# DYSON'S CRANK OF A PARTITION 
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1. Introduction. In [3], F. J. Dyson defined the rank of a partition as the largest part minus the number of parts. He let $N(m, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$ of rank congruent to $m$ modulo $t$, and he conjectured

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N(m, 5,5 n+4)=\frac{1}{5} p(5 n+4), & 0 \leq m \leq 4 \\
N(m, 7,7 n+5)=\frac{1}{7} p(7 n+5), & 0 \leq m \leq 6 \tag{1.2}
\end{array}
$$

where $p(n)$ is the total number of partitions of $n[1$, Chapter 1$]$. These conjectures were subsequently proved by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer [2].

Dyson [3] went on to observe that the rank did not separate the partition of $11 n+6$ into 11 equal classes even though Ramanujan's congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(11 n+6) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 11) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. He was thus led to conjecture the existence of some other partition statistic (which he called the crank); this unknown statistic should provide a combinatorial interpretation of $\frac{1}{11} p(11 n+6)$ in the same way that (1.1) and (1.2) treat the primes 5 and 7.

In $[\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}]$, one of us was able to find a crank relative to vector partitions as follows:

For a partition $\pi$, let $\#(\pi)$ be the number of parts of $\pi$ and $\sigma(\pi)$ be the sum of the parts of $\pi$ (or the number $\pi$ is partitioning) with the convention $\#(\phi)=\sigma(\phi)=0$ for the empty partition $\phi$, of 0 . Let
$V=\left\{\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}\right) \mid \pi_{1}\right.$ is a partition into distinct parts,

$$
\left.\pi_{2}, \pi_{3} \text { are unrestricted partitions }\right\} .
$$

We shall call the elements of $V$ vector partitions. For $\vec{\pi}=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \pi_{3}\right)$ in $V$ we define the sum of parts, $s$, a weight, $\omega$, and a crank, $r$, by

$$
\begin{gather*}
s(\vec{\pi})=\sigma\left(\pi_{1}\right)+\sigma\left(\pi_{2}\right)+\sigma\left(\pi_{3}\right),  \tag{1.4}\\
\omega(\vec{\pi})=(-1)^{\#\left(\pi_{1}\right)},  \tag{1.5}\\
r(\vec{\pi})=\#\left(\pi_{2}\right)-\#\left(\pi_{3}\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

We say $\vec{\pi}$ is a vector partition of $n$ if $s(\vec{\pi})=n$. For example, if

$$
\vec{\pi}=(5+3+2,2+2+1,2+1+1)
$$
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then $s(\vec{\pi})=19, \omega(\vec{\pi})=-1, r(\vec{\pi})=0$ and $\vec{\pi}$ is a vector partition of 19 . The number of vector partitions of $n$ (counted according to the weight $\omega$ ) with crank $m$ is denoted by $N_{V}(m, n)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{V}(m, n)=\sum_{\substack{\vec{\pi} \in V \\ s(\vec{\pi})=n \\ r(\vec{\pi})=m}} \omega(\vec{\pi}) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of vector partitions of $n$ (counted according to the weight $\omega$ ) with crank congruent to $k$ modulo $t$ is denoted by $N_{V}(k, t, n)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{V}(k, t, n)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} N_{V}(m t+k, n)=\sum_{\substack{\vec{\pi} \in V \\ s(\vec{\pi})=n \\ r(\vec{\pi}) \equiv k(\bmod t)}} \omega(\vec{\pi}) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By considering the transformation that interchanges $\pi_{2}$ and $\pi_{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{V}(m, n)=N_{V}(-m, n) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{V}(t-m, t, n)=N_{V}(m, t, n) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following generating function for $N_{V}(m, n)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_{V}(m, n) z^{m} q^{n}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-q^{n}\right)}{\left(1-z q^{n}\right)\left(1-z^{-1} q^{n}\right)} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By putting $z=1$ in (1.11) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} N_{V}(m, n)=p(n) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Vector-Crank Theorem (Garvan [4, 5]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{V}(0,5,5 n+4)=N_{V}(1,5,5 n+4)=\cdots=N_{V}(4,5,5 n+4)=\frac{p(5 n+4)}{5} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{V}(0,7,7 n+5)=N_{V}(1,7,7 n+5)=\cdots=N_{V}(6,7,7 n+5)=\frac{p(7 n+5)}{7}  \tag{1.14}\\
& 1.15) \quad N_{V}(0,11,11 n+6)=\cdots=N_{V}(10,11,11 n+6)=\frac{p(11 n+6)}{11} \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The above still leaves open the question of whether there is a crank for ordinary partitions. The answer is "yes" when the crank is defined as follows:

Definition. For a partition $\pi$, let $l(\pi)$ denote the largest part of $\pi, \omega(\pi)$ denote the number of ones in $\pi$, and $\mu(\pi)$ denote the number of parts of $\pi$ larger than $\omega(\pi)$. The crank $c(\pi)$ is given by

$$
c(\pi)= \begin{cases}l(\pi) & \text { if } \omega(\pi)=0 \\ \mu(\pi)-\omega(\pi) & \text { if } \omega(\pi)>0\end{cases}
$$

Our main result is the following.
THEOREM 1. The number of partitions $\pi$ of $n$ with $c(\pi)=m$ is $N_{V}(m, n)$ for all $n>1$.

Obviously, in light of the Vector-Crank Theorem, we see that Theorem 1 supplies the crank asked for by Dyson.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. We shall require the standard notation of $q$-series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A ; q)_{n}=(A)_{n}=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-A q^{j}\right)}{\left(1-A q^{j+n}\right)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(=(1-A)(1-A q) \cdots\left(1-A q^{n-1}\right)\right.$ when $n$ is a positive integer $)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A ; q)_{\infty}=(A)_{\infty}=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(1-A q^{j}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now transform (1.11):

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_{V}(m, n) z^{m} q^{n} & =\frac{(1-q)}{(z q)_{\infty}} \cdot \frac{\left(q^{2} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{(q / z)_{\infty}} \\
& =\frac{(1-q)}{(z q)_{\infty}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z q)_{j}(q / z)^{j}}{(q)_{j}} \quad(\text { by [1, p. 17]) }  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\frac{(1-q)}{(z q)_{\infty}}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{j} z^{-j}}{\left(q^{2} ; q\right)_{j-1}\left(z q^{j+1}\right)_{\infty}}
\end{align*}
$$

As was noted in (1.12), when we set $z=1$ the series on the left of (2.3) reduces to the generating function for $p(n)$. For $j>0$, the $j$ th term in the sum on the right is

$$
\frac{z^{-j} q^{1+1+\cdots+1}}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)\left(1-q^{3}\right) \cdots\left(1-q^{j}\right)\left(1-z q^{j+1}\right)\left(1-z q^{j+2}\right) \cdots}
$$

The standard techniques of partition theory [1, Chapter 1] show that this expression generates partitions with $\omega(\pi)=j$ and the exponent on $z$ is clearly $\mu(\pi)-\omega(\pi)$, i.e. $c(\pi)$, since $j>0$.

Thus we must interpret

$$
\frac{(1-q)}{(1-z q)\left(1-z q^{2}\right)\left(1-z q^{3}\right) \cdots}
$$

as the generating function for partitions without ones. By considering conjugate partitions, we note that

$$
\frac{1}{(1-z q)\left(1-z q^{2}\right)\left(1-z q^{3}\right) \cdots}
$$

generates all partitions with the exponent on $z$ counting the largest part, and for integers larger than 1

$$
\frac{q}{(1-z q)\left(1-z q^{2}\right)\left(1-z q^{3}\right) \cdots}
$$

generates partitions with at least one 1 appearing again with the exponent on $z$ counting the largest part. Note that this interpretation fails for 1 because this is the unique instance in which introducing a 1 into the partitions of $n-1$ alters the largest part. Hence

$$
\frac{1-q}{(z q)_{\infty}}
$$

counts (for $n>1$ ) the number of partitions with no ones and with the exponent on $z$ being the largest part of the partition $l(\pi)=c(\pi)$. Thus in the double series expansion of

$$
\frac{(1-q)}{(z q)_{\infty}}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{j} z^{-j}}{\left(q^{2} ; q\right)_{j-1}\left(z q^{j+1}\right)_{\infty}}
$$

we see that the coefficient of $z^{m} q^{n}(n>1)$ is the number of ordinary partitions of $n$ in which $c(\pi)=m$. Therefore by (2.3), we have Theorem 1 .
3. Conclusion. We can't resist exhibiting $c(\pi)$ for the first instance of (1.3).

| partitions of 6 | $l(\pi)$ | $\omega(\pi)$ | $\mu(\pi)$ | $c(\pi)$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| $5+1$ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $4+2$ | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| $4+1+1$ | 4 | 2 | 1 | -1 |
| $3+3$ | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| $3+2+1$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $3+1+1+1$ | 3 | 3 | 0 | -3 |
| $2+2+2$ | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| $2+2+1+1$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | -2 |
| $2+1+1+1+1$ | 2 | 4 | 0 | -4 |
| $1+1+1+1+1+1$ | 1 | 6 | 0 | -6 |

As Theorem 1 together with (1.15) predicts, $c(\pi)$ provides eleven different residue classes modulo 11 .
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