

KNOTS ARE DETERMINED BY THEIR COMPLEMENTS

C. McA. GORDON AND J. LUECKE

Two (smooth or PL) knots K, K' in S^3 are *equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $h: S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ such that $h(K) = K'$. This implies that their complements $S^3 - K$ and $S^3 - K'$ are homeomorphic. Here we announce the converse implication.

THEOREM 1. *If two knots have homeomorphic complements then they are equivalent.*

This answers a question apparently first raised by Tietze [T, p. 83].

It was previously known that there were at most two knots with a given complement [CGLS, Corollary 3].

Whitten [W] has shown that prime knots with isomorphic groups have homeomorphic complements. Hence we have

COROLLARY 1.1. *If two prime knots have isomorphic groups then they are equivalent.*

The notion of equivalence of knots can be strengthened by saying that K and K' are *isotopic* if the above homeomorphism h is isotopic to the identity, or equivalently, orientation-preserving. The analog of Theorem 1 holds in this setting too: *if two knots have complements which are homeomorphic by an orientation-preserving homeomorphism, then they are isotopic.*

Theorem 1 and its orientation-preserving version are easy consequences of the following theorem concerning Dehn surgery.

THEOREM 2. *Nontrivial Dehn surgery on a nontrivial knot never yields S^3 .*

The arguments used to prove Theorem 2 also lead to restrictions on when Dehn surgery on a knot yields a reducible manifold. (It is conjectured that this happens only with torus knots and cable knots.)

THEOREM 3. *If a 3-manifold obtained by Dehn surgery on a nontrivial knot is reducible then it has a lens space as a connected summand.*

COROLLARY 3.1. *Any homology 3-sphere obtained by Dehn surgery on a knot is irreducible.*

Theorem 3 also gives a new proof of the following result of Gabai [Ga], which includes the Property *R* Conjecture.

Received by the editors June 8, 1988.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 57M25.

©1989 American Mathematical Society
0273-0979/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

COROLLARY 3.2 (GABAI). *Any 3-manifold obtained by a 0-framed surgery on a nontrivial knot is irreducible.*

SKETCH PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let K be a knot in S^3 , with tubular neighborhood $N(K)$, and let $X = S^3 - \dot{N}(K)$ be the exterior of K . Let ρ be a *slope* on ∂X , that is, the unoriented isotopy class of an essential simple loop on ∂X . Let $K(\rho)$ denote the closed 3-manifold obtained by ρ -Dehn surgery on K , in other words, the result of attaching a solid torus V to X so that ρ bounds a disk in V . Let μ be the slope of a meridian of K . Then the trivial Dehn surgery yields $K(\mu) \cong S^3$. Let μ' be another slope on ∂X , having minimal geometric intersection number $n \geq 1$ with μ .

The starting point of the proof of Theorem 2 is the following proposition. David Gabai has independently proved this proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. *If $K(\mu')$ is homeomorphic to S^3 , then there exist planar surfaces P, P' properly embedded in X such that*

- (i) $\partial P(\partial P')$ consists of parallel copies of μ (resp. μ');
- (ii) P and P' are in mutual general position, and each component of ∂P intersects each component of $\partial P'$ in n points;
- (iii) no arc of $P \cap P'$ is boundary-parallel in either P or P' .

The construction of P and P' is based on [Ga, §4(A)]. P is the intersection with X of a suitable level 2-sphere in S^3 for a height function h with respect to which K is in *thin presentation* [Ga, p. 491]. Since $K(\mu')$ is homeomorphic to S^3 , the core of the attached solid torus V is a knot K' , say, and P' likewise comes from a level 2-sphere of a height function h' with respect to which K' is in thin presentation. Given P' , the argument in [Ga, §4(A)] produces P such that (i) and (ii) hold and no arc of $P \cap P'$ is boundary-parallel in P' . Similarly, given P , one can find P' satisfying (i) and (ii) such that no arc on $P \cap P'$ is boundary-parallel in P . The additional content of Proposition 1 is that we can find P and P' so that these conditions hold simultaneously.

To do this, we pick a 1-parameter family of level 2-spheres of h in $K(\mu)$ between an adjacent local maximum and local minimum of K . This family becomes a 1-parameter family $\{P(\lambda)\}$ of punctured 2-spheres in X . We put the family $\{P(\lambda)\}$ in general position with respect to the height function $h'|X$. This means that for all but finitely many λ , $h'|P(\lambda)$ is a Morse function, and each $P(\lambda)$ such that $h'|P(\lambda)$ is not Morse has a single singularity corresponding to a birth, death or an exchange of tangencies.

Assume for a contradiction that Proposition 1 is false. The argument in [Ga, §4(A)], using the thin presentation of K' , allows one to associate to each $P(\lambda)$ such that $h'|P(\lambda)$ is Morse, a punctured level 2-sphere of h' , say P'_λ , which intersects $P(\lambda)$ transversely and is such that $P(\lambda) \cap P'_\lambda$ contains an arc which is boundary-parallel in P'_λ . If the corresponding arc in $\partial P'_\lambda$ lies above (below) $P(\lambda)$ in $K(\mu)$ then $P(\lambda)$ is called low (high, respectively), as in [Ga, §4(A)]. One observes that as λ increases, $P(\lambda)$ starts off high and ends up low. By the thinness of K under h , a change from high to low in

$\{P(\lambda)\}$ can only occur at a λ_0 such that $h'|P(\lambda_0)$ is not Morse. One analyses what happens at $P(\lambda_0)$ using the special way in which P'_λ is constructed for $P(\lambda)$, and eventually arrives at a contradiction to the thinness of K under h .

To prove Theorem 2 we now carry out a combinatorial analysis of the intersection of the planar surfaces P and P' , ultimately deriving a contradiction. More precisely, we cyclically number the boundary components of $P(P')$ in the order they occur on ∂X , and label the endpoints of the arcs of $P \cap P'$ in $P(P')$ with the corresponding boundary component of $P'(P)$. Assigning (arbitrary) orientations to P and P' allows us to refer to + and - boundary components of P and P' , according to the direction of the induced orientation of a boundary component as it lies on ∂X . Then for any arc α of $P \cap P'$, the boundary components of P joined by α on P have the same sign if and only if the boundary components of P' joined by α on P' have opposite sign. Capping off the boundary components of $P(P')$ with disks, we regard these disks as forming the ‘fat’ vertices of a graph $\Gamma(\Gamma')$ in S^2 , the edges of $\Gamma(\Gamma')$ corresponding to the arcs of $P \cap P'$ in $P(P')$. We thus obtain two labeled graphs in S^2 , whose edges are in one-one correspondence, such that the labeling satisfies the sign condition noted above, and such that neither graph contains a trivial loop (by condition (iii) of Proposition 1).

A (disk) face of Γ' corresponds to a subdisk of P' which we may regard as lying in $K(\mu)$ with its boundary contained in $P \cup \partial N(K)$. Similarly, the faces of Γ may be regarded as lying in $K(\mu')$. This allows us to infer topological properties of $K(\mu)$ ($K(\mu')$) from graph-theoretic properties of $\Gamma'(\Gamma)$.

For $n \geq 2$, this program is already carried out in [CGLS, §2.5 and 2.6; see Proposition 2.5.6]. There it is shown that given a pair of graphs Γ, Γ' as above, one of them, say Γ , contains a special kind of face (a *Scharlemann cycle*), which implies that $K(\mu')$ contains a punctured lens space.

We therefore focus on the case $n = 1$, where the above assertion is false and more delicate graph-theoretic arguments are needed. To describe the result, note that a disk face of Γ' (say) corresponds to a disk E in P' whose boundary ∂E can be expressed as a sequence of arcs $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k$, where a_i lies in $P \cap P'$ and b_i lies in $\partial N(K)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. If the boundary components of P are labeled $1, \dots, p$ (in order on $\partial N(K)$), then each b_i joins some consecutive pair of boundary components $j_i, j_i + 1$. Orienting ∂E , we regard b_i as representing $(j_i, j_i + 1)$ or $-(j_i, j_i + 1)$ according as b_i runs from j_i to $j_i + 1$ or vice versa. In this way, ∂E represents an element $\alpha(E) = (\alpha_1(E), \dots, \alpha_p(E))$ of the free abelian group on the set of consecutive label-pairs $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (p-1, p), (p, 1)$. We say that Γ' represents all types if there exists a collection \mathcal{E} of disk faces of Γ' such that

- (1) for each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and for each label-pair $(j, j + 1)$, all occurrences of $(j, j + 1)$ in ∂E have the same sign;

- (2) for each sequence $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_p)$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pm$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, there exists $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\eta = \pm$ such that

$$\text{sign } \alpha_i(E) = \eta \varepsilon_i \text{ for all } i \text{ such that } \alpha_i(E) \neq 0.$$

(Clearly (1) and (2) are independent of the orientation of ∂E .)

We remark that if Γ' contains a Scharlemann cycle E , then taking $\mathcal{E} = \{E\}$ shows that Γ' represents all types.

We prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let Γ, Γ' be a pair of graphs as described above. Either Γ contains a Scharlemann cycle or Γ' represents all types.*

The final step in the proof of Theorem 2 is supplied by the following proposition (in which we do not assume that $K(\mu')$ is S^3).

PROPOSITION 3. *Suppose that X contains properly embedded planar surfaces P, P' satisfying conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 1, where P is the intersection with X of a level 2-sphere in a thin presentation of K . Let Γ, Γ' be the associated graphs. Then Γ' does not represent all types.*

Proposition 3 is proved by showing that under the given hypotheses, a collection \mathcal{E} of faces of Γ' representing all types would ultimately lead to the existence of a punctured lens space in $K(\mu) \cong S^3$, which is absurd.

Propositions 2 and 3 imply that $K(\mu')$ contains a punctured lens space. Since, in the context of Theorem 2, $K(\mu')$ is also homeomorphic to S^3 , this contradiction completes the proof.

SKETCH PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let μ' be a slope on ∂X such that $K(\mu')$ is reducible. Then there exists a properly embedded, incompressible (and non-boundary-parallel) planar surface P' in X whose boundary components have slope μ' . By [Ga, §4(A)] there is a planar surface P in X , coming from a level sphere in a thin presentation of K , such that P and P' satisfy conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Proposition 1. Exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2, Propositions 2 and 3 now show that $K(\mu')$ contains a punctured lens space.

We understand that David Gabai and Will Kazez, using a similar approach, have independently obtained some partial results on Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [CGLS] M. Culler, C. McA. Gordon, J. Luecke, and P. B. Shalen, *Dehn surgery on knots*, Ann. of Math. (2) **125** (1987), 237–300.
- [Ga] D. Gabai, *Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. III*, J. Differential Geom. **26** (1987), 479–536.
- [T] H. Tietze, Über die topologischen Invarianten mehrdimensionaler Mannigfaltigkeiten, Monatsh. Math. Phys. **19** (1908), 1–118.
- [W] W. Whitten, *Knot complements and groups*, Topology **26** (1987), 41–44.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TEXAS
78712

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COURANT INSTITUTE, 251 MERCER STREET, NEW YORK,
NEW YORK 10012

CURRENT ADDRESS (J. LUECKE): DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

