

SCALAR FLAT COMPACTIFICATIONS OF POINCARÉ-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS AND APPLICATIONS

SIMON RAULOT

ABSTRACT. We derive an integral inequality between the mean curvature and the scalar curvature of the boundary of any scalar flat conformal compactifications of Poincaré-Einstein manifolds. As a first consequence, we obtain a sharp lower bound for the first eigenvalue of the conformal half-Laplacian of the boundary of such manifolds. Secondly, a new upper bound for the renormalized volume is given in the four dimensional setting. Finally, some estimates on the first eigenvalues of Dirac operators are also deduced.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the seminal work [GZ03], Graham and Zworski use scattering theory to study a family of conformally covariant operators defined on the boundary at infinity $(\partial X, [\widehat{g}])$ of an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Poincaré-Einstein (PE) manifold (X, g_+) . These operators, denoted by $P_{\widehat{g}}^\alpha$ for $\widehat{g} \in [\widehat{g}]$, are usually called the fractional conformal Laplacians and they define pseudo-differential self-adjoint operators of order 2α for suitable $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. The simplest example of a PE manifold is the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ whose boundary at infinity is the Möbius sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, [g_{\mathbb{S}}])$. Let us explain the construction of these operators when we consider the upper half-space model of the hyperbolic space

$$\left(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} / y > 0\}, g_{\mathbb{H}} = y^2 g_{\mathbb{R}}\right),$$

where $g_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Euclidean metric. To avoid technical difficulties, we assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$ and we refer to Section 3 for the general case. For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the eigenvalue problem

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{H}}} u - s(n-s)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{H}^{n+1},$$

where $\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{H}}}$ is the Laplacian on the hyperbolic space and $s = \frac{n}{2} + \alpha$, admits a solution of the form

$$u = Fy^{n-s} + Gy^s, \quad F, G \in C^\infty(X), \quad F|_{y=0} = f.$$

If $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the restriction of $g_{\mathbb{R}}$ to $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, the maps

$$(1.2) \quad P_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}}^\alpha f := d_\alpha S\left(\frac{n}{2} + \alpha\right)f, \quad d_\alpha := 2^{2\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)},$$

where $S(s)f = G|_{y=0}$ is the scattering operator, define a family of conformally covariant self-adjoint pseudo-differential operators of order 2α on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Received by the editors February 28, 2020, and, in revised form, November 29, 2021.
 2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C24, 53C27, 53C80, 58J50.

A typical example of such an operator on \mathbb{R}^n is the fractional Laplacian. This is a nonlocal operator of order 2α which can be defined by the singular integral (suitably regularized)

$$(-\Delta_x)^\alpha f(x) = C_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x) - f(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{n+2\alpha}} d\xi,$$

where $C_{n,\alpha}$ is some normalization constant. In [CS07], Caffarelli and Silvestre proved that this operator can be derived in an alternative way. More precisely, for a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, they consider the extension problem

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_x U + \frac{a}{y} \partial_y U + \partial_{yy} U = 0, & \text{for } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ U(x, 0) = f(x), & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $U : \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a = 1 - 2\alpha$ and they prove the remarkable result that

$$(-\Delta_x)^\alpha f = \frac{d_\alpha}{2\alpha} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^a \partial_y U,$$

where the constant d_α is defined in (1.2). This operator can then be thought of as a generalized Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator since for $\alpha = 1/2$ this is precisely the well-known Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.

Noticing that a function u is a solution to the eigenvalue problem (1.1) if and only if the function $U := y^{s-n} u$ is a solution of the extension problem (1.3), Chang and González [CG11] made the fundamental observation that

$$P_{\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R}}^\alpha f = \frac{d_\alpha}{2\alpha} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^a \partial_y U = (-\Delta_x)^\alpha f.$$

This is the key point which allows to generalize this construction in the context of PE manifolds (see Section 2 for precise definitions). In fact, fractional conformal Laplacians on the conformal infinity $(\partial X, [\bar{\mathfrak{g}}])$ of PE manifolds (X, g_+) can be defined as generalized Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators on compactifications of (X, g_+) .

On the other hand, in the context of PE manifolds, it is natural to search for relations between the conformal structure of the boundary at infinity and the Riemannian geometry of (X, g_+) . Recently with O. Hijazi and S. Montiel [HMR20], we proved that the nonnegativity of the Yamabe invariant of the boundary at infinity, denoted by $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\bar{\mathfrak{g}}])$, is equivalent to the fact that the linear isoperimetric

$$n \text{Vol}(\Omega) \leq \text{Area}(\partial\Omega)$$

holds for any compact domains Ω contained in X . Here $\text{Vol}(\Omega)$ and $\text{Area}(\partial\Omega)$ denote respectively the volume of Ω and the area of $\partial\Omega$ with respect to g_+ . Another characterization, previously obtained by Guillarmou and Qing [GQ10], state that $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\bar{\mathfrak{g}}]) > 0$ if and only if the first real scattering pole of X is less than $\frac{n}{2} - 1$. In the same work, it is also shown that the positivity of the Yamabe invariant implies the positivity of the first eigenvalue of the operator $P_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}^\alpha$ for all $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \in [\bar{\mathfrak{g}}]$.

In this paper, we prove a sharp inequality between the first eigenvalue of the half-conformal Laplacian $P_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}^{1/2}$ and the first eigenvalue of the conformal Laplacian $P_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}^1$ for any $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \in [\bar{\mathfrak{g}}]$. This result particularly fits well with the aforementioned result of Guillarmou and Qing since the positivity of the first eigenvalue of $P_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}^1$ is equivalent to the positivity of the Yamabe invariant of the boundary at infinity. Moreover,

this estimate is sharp since equality occurs if, and only if, the PE manifold is isometric to the hyperbolic space. The proof of this result relies on a new integral inequality (see Theorem 2.1) involving the mean curvature and the scalar curvature of the boundary of any scalar flat compactifications of the PE manifold. The fact that scalar flat metrics appears naturally in this proof comes from the mere observation that the generalized Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator associated to the half-conformal Laplacian via the previous construction has a nice geometric description. Indeed it precisely appears in a Yamabe-type problem on compact manifolds with boundary introduced by Escobar [Esc92a] and which asks for the existence of a conformally related metric with zero scalar curvature and constant mean curvature (see Section 3). We will also give several other direct applications of this integral inequality. For example, it implies that a PE manifold which admits a Ricci-flat compactification has to be the hyperbolic space. This generalizes a recent result of Chen, Wang and Zhang [CWZ19] where a similar result is obtained under the additional assumption that the mean curvature is constant. Moreover, in Section 4, we prove a new upper bound for the renormalized volume of four dimensional PE manifolds. Finally in the last section, we consider spin PE manifolds and study more precisely the first eigenvalue of the boundary Dirac operator on the conformal infinity. In particular, when combined with a conformal lower bound of Hijazi, Montiel and Zhang [HMZ02] and the Hijazi inequality [Hij86], we obtain a new proof of a uniqueness result of Hijazi and Montiel [HM14] concerning PE manifolds whose conformal infinity carries a twistor spinor (see Theorem 5.1.2). Although our assumptions here are in fact stronger than [HM14] it seems interesting to the author to give an alternative proof of this result. Finally, our previous estimates on the renormalized volume are used to bound from below the first nonnegative eigenvalue of the boundary Dirac operator of four dimensional spin PE manifolds (see Theorem 5.1.3).

2. AN INTEGRAL FORMULA

Let X be the interior of an $(n+1)$ -dimensional connected and compact manifold \overline{X} with (possibly disconnected) boundary ∂X . Recall that (X, g_+) is a conformally compact manifold of order $C^{m,\alpha}$ with $m \geq 3$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ if g_+ is a Riemannian metric on X such that the conformally related metric $\overline{g} = \rho^2 g_+$ extends to a $C^{m,\alpha}$ Riemannian metric on \overline{X} for a defining function ρ of the boundary ∂X . A defining function is a smooth function $\rho : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is positive on X , zero on ∂X and such that $d\rho|_{\partial X} \neq 0$. There exist actually plenty of defining functions so that a canonical choice of the metric on the boundary is not possible. However, it is clear that such compactifications of g_+ induce a well-defined *conformal structure* on the boundary ∂X denoted by $[\overline{g}]$ where $\overline{g} := \overline{g}|_{\partial X}$. The conformal manifold $(\partial X, [\overline{g}])$ will be referred to as the *conformal infinity* or the *boundary at infinity* of the conformally compact manifold (X, g_+) . Moreover, it is a well-known fact (see [GL91, Lee95] for example) that a metric $\widehat{g} \in [\overline{g}]$ on ∂X determines a unique defining function r in a neighborhood of ∂X such that the metric $\widetilde{g} = r^2 g_+$ satisfies $\widetilde{g}|_{\partial X} = \widehat{g}$ and $|\widetilde{\nabla} r|^2 = 1$. Here $\widetilde{\nabla} r$ denotes the gradient of the function r with respect to the metric \widetilde{g} whose norm is also taken with respect to \widetilde{g} . Such a function is called the *geodesic defining function* associated to \widehat{g} since the integral curves of the vector field $\widetilde{\nabla} r$ are geodesics orthogonal to the boundary ∂X . In particular,

the metric g_+ takes the form

$$(2.1) \quad g_+ = r^{-2}(dr^2 + \tilde{g}_r)$$

in a neighborhood of ∂X where \tilde{g}_r is a one-parameter family of metrics on ∂X with $\tilde{g}_0 = \hat{\mathbf{g}}$.

If now we assume that g_+ is at least C^2 and satisfies the Einstein equation

$$Ric_{g_+} = -ng_+,$$

we say that (X, g_+) is a PE manifold. Note that in this situation if the boundary at infinity admits a smooth representative then it follows from [CDLS05] that for any smooth defining function, the metric $\bar{g} = \rho^2 g_+$ is at least of class $C^{3,\alpha}$ on \bar{X} . We will always assume that these assumptions are fulfilled unless otherwise stated.

In the following, we will denote by \bar{g} , \hat{g} or \tilde{g} metrics on the compact manifold with boundary $\bar{X} = X \cup \partial X$ and by $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$, $\hat{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ their corresponding restrictions to the boundary ∂X .

Now we prove our main inequality (see Theorem 2.1) which will be of constant use in this paper. For this, we generalize the arguments used in [CLW19, GH17, Rau19] largely inspired by Obata [Oba72] in his work on the uniqueness of Yamabe metrics for Einstein closed manifolds. First, we remark that if $\hat{g} = \rho^2 g_+$ for ρ a smooth defining function, the formula which gives the Ricci curvatures of two conformally related metrics (see [Bes08] for example) leads to

$$(2.2) \quad Ric_{\hat{g}} = -(n-1)\rho^{-1}\hat{\nabla}^2\rho + \left(n\rho^{-2}(|\hat{\nabla}\rho|^2 - 1) - \rho^{-1}\hat{\Delta}\rho\right)\hat{g}.$$

Here $\hat{\nabla}$, $\hat{\nabla}^2$ and $\hat{\Delta}$ denote respectively the gradient, the Hessian and the Laplace operator on (\bar{X}, \hat{g}) . Taking the trace of the previous identity with respect to the metric \hat{g} implies that the scalar curvature $R_{\hat{g}}$ of (\bar{X}, \hat{g}) is given by

$$(2.3) \quad R_{\hat{g}} = -2n\rho^{-1}\hat{\Delta}\rho + n(n+1)\rho^{-2}(|\hat{\nabla}\rho|^2 - 1).$$

From (2.2) and (2.3), we can compute that if the defining function ρ is a geodesic one, the boundary ∂X of \bar{X} is totally geodesic with respect to \hat{g} . This is due to the fact that the first order term in the asymptotic expansion of \tilde{g}_r in (2.1), which is precisely twice the second fundamental form of ∂X in (\bar{X}, \hat{g}) , is zero by [FG85]. In particular, for any metric $\hat{g} \in [\bar{g}]$, ∂X is a totally umbilical hypersurface in \bar{X} with respect to \hat{g} since this property only depends on the conformal class of \bar{g} . As we will see, this is of great importance in the following. Now denote by

$$E_{\hat{g}} := Ric_{\hat{g}} - \frac{R_{\hat{g}}}{n+1}\hat{g}$$

the trace free part of the Ricci tensor which, from (2.2) and (2.3), can be written as

$$(2.4) \quad E_{\hat{g}} = -(n-1)\rho^{-1}\left(\hat{\nabla}^2\rho - \frac{\hat{\Delta}\rho}{n+1}\hat{g}\right).$$

Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and $x \in \partial X \times [0, \varepsilon[\subset \bar{X}$, we let $y(x) = \text{dist}_{\hat{g}}(x, \partial X)$ in such a way that the metric takes the form

$$(2.5) \quad \hat{g} = dy^2 + \hat{g}_y,$$

where \widehat{g}_y is a one-parameter family of metrics on ∂X . Moreover, in this coordinate system, the defining function ρ has the following expansion

$$(2.6) \quad \rho = y + O(y^2).$$

Now if

$$X_\varepsilon := \left\{ x \in X / y(x) \geq \varepsilon \right\},$$

we compute using (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_\varepsilon} \rho |E_{\widehat{g}}|^2 dv_{\widehat{g}} &= -(n-1) \int_{X_\varepsilon} \left\langle \left(\widehat{\nabla}^2 \rho - \frac{\widehat{\Delta} \rho}{n+1} \widehat{g} \right), E_{\widehat{g}} \right\rangle dv_{\widehat{g}} \\ &= -(n-1) \int_{X_\varepsilon} \langle \widehat{\nabla}^2 \rho, E_{\widehat{g}} \rangle dv_{\widehat{g}} \end{aligned}$$

since $E_{\widehat{g}}$ is trace-free. An integration by parts gives

$$\int_{X_\varepsilon} \rho |E_{\widehat{g}}|^2 dv_{\widehat{g}} = -(n-1) \int_{X_\varepsilon} \langle \widehat{\nabla} \rho, \widehat{\delta} E_{\widehat{g}} \rangle dv_{\widehat{g}} - (n-1) \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} E_{\widehat{g}}(\widehat{\nabla} \rho, \widehat{N}_\varepsilon) ds_{\widehat{g}},$$

where $\widehat{\delta}$ denotes the divergence of tensor fields with respect to \widehat{g} , $dv_{\widehat{g}}$ (resp. $ds_{\widehat{g}}$) is the Riemannian volume element of $(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})$ (resp. of $(\partial X, \widehat{g})$) and \widehat{N}_ε is the outward unit normal to ∂X_ε . From the second Bianchi identity, we deduce that

$$\widehat{\delta} E_{\widehat{g}} = -\frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} \widehat{\nabla} R_{\widehat{g}}$$

and this implies, by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the following general formula

$$\int_{\overline{X}} \rho |E_{\widehat{g}}|^2 dv_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{(n-1)^2}{2(n+1)} \int_{\overline{X}} \langle \widehat{\nabla} \rho, \widehat{\nabla} R_{\widehat{g}} \rangle dv_{\widehat{g}} + (n-1) \int_{\partial X} E_{\widehat{g}}(\widehat{N}, \widehat{N}) ds_{\widehat{g}}.$$

Here we used the facts that $\widehat{\nabla} \rho = \partial/\partial y + O(y)$ by (2.5) and that $\widehat{N} := -\partial/\partial y$ is the outward unit normal along ∂X in $(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})$. If now we assume that $R_{\widehat{g}}$ is constant, the previous equality reads as

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\overline{X}} \rho |E_{\widehat{g}}|^2 dv_{\widehat{g}} = (n-1) \int_{\partial X} E_{\widehat{g}}(\widehat{N}, \widehat{N}) ds_{\widehat{g}}.$$

On the other hand, if $\mathcal{R}_{\widehat{g}}$ denotes the scalar curvature of $(\partial X, \widehat{g})$, the Gauss formula gives

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\widehat{g}} = R_{\widehat{g}} - 2Ric_{\widehat{g}}(\widehat{N}, \widehat{N}) + n(n-1)H_{\widehat{g}}^2$$

since ∂X is totally umbilical so that

$$(2.9) \quad E_{\widehat{g}}(\widehat{N}, \widehat{N}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n-1}{n+1} R_{\widehat{g}} - \mathcal{R}_{\widehat{g}} + n(n-1)H_{\widehat{g}}^2 \right).$$

Here $H_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}_{\widehat{g}}(L_{\widehat{g}})$ is the (inner) mean curvature of ∂X in $(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})$ defined by taking the normalized trace of the second fundamental form $L_{\widehat{g}}$. Combining (2.7) and (2.9) implies that

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{n-1}{n+1} R_{\widehat{g}} \text{Vol}(\partial X, \widehat{g}) + \int_{\partial X} \left(n(n-1)H_{\widehat{g}}^2 - \mathcal{R}_{\widehat{g}} \right) ds_{\widehat{g}} \geq 0$$

for all compactified metrics \widehat{g} with constant scalar curvature. Moreover equality occurs if and only if the metric \widehat{g} is Einstein. From this observation, we deduce the main result of this section:

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, g_+) be a PE manifold which has a smooth representative in its conformal infinity $(\partial X, [\hat{g}])$. Then, for any defining function ρ of ∂X such that the scalar curvature $R_{\hat{g}}$ of the compactified manifold (\overline{X}, \hat{g}) is zero with $\hat{g} = \rho^2 g_+$, we have*

$$(2.11) \quad n(n-1) \int_{\partial X} H_{\hat{g}}^2 ds_{\hat{g}} \geq \int_{\partial X} \mathcal{R}_{\hat{g}} ds_{\hat{g}}.$$

Moreover, there exists a compactification of (X, g_+) for which (2.11) is an equality if and only if (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.

Proof. The integral inequality (2.11) follows directly using (2.10) for any scalar flat compactification (\overline{X}, \hat{g}) of the PE space (X, g_+) . If equality occurs, it follows from (2.7) and (2.9) that $E_{\hat{g}}$ vanishes on \overline{X} and since \hat{g} is scalar flat it has to be Ricci-flat. In particular, we have

$$(2.12) \quad n(n-1)H_{\hat{g}}^2 = \mathcal{R}_{\hat{g}}.$$

From (2.4), we also deduce that ρ satisfies the boundary value problem

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{\nabla}^2 \rho = \frac{\widehat{\Delta} \rho}{n+1} \hat{g} & \text{on } \overline{X}, \\ \rho|_{\partial X} = 0, \widehat{N}(\rho)|_{\partial X} = -1 & \text{on } \partial X, \end{cases}$$

where the boundary conditions follow from (2.6) and the fact that on ∂X , it holds that $\widehat{N} = -\partial/\partial y$. Now from the Ricci identity and the first equation in (2.13) we compute that

$$\frac{n}{n+1} \widehat{\nabla}(\widehat{\Delta} \rho) = 0$$

and then $\widehat{\Delta} \rho$ is constant on \overline{X} . We claim that $H_{\hat{g}}$ is a nonzero constant. For this, it is enough to recall that for all $f \in C^2(\overline{X})$ it holds on ∂X that

$$\widehat{\Delta} f = \widehat{\Delta} f + \widehat{\nabla}^2 f(\widehat{N}, \widehat{N}) + nH_{\hat{g}} \widehat{N}(f),$$

where $\widehat{\Delta}$ denotes the Laplacian on $(\partial X, \hat{g})$. With the help of (2.13), the previous formula for $f = \rho$ yields

$$\widehat{\Delta} \rho = \frac{\widehat{\Delta} \rho}{n+1} - nH_{\hat{g}}$$

that is

$$(2.14) \quad \widehat{\Delta} \rho = -(n+1)H_{\hat{g}}$$

at all points of ∂X . Since $\widehat{\Delta} \rho$ is a constant, the mean curvature has to be constant. If this constant is zero, the defining function is a harmonic function which vanishes on the boundary and so it has to vanish on the whole of \overline{X} . This gives a contradiction and then $H_{\hat{g}}$ is a nonzero constant as well as $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{g}}$ because of (2.12). Now since (2.14) holds on the whole of \overline{X} , it follows from the Stokes formula and (2.13) that

$$H_{\hat{g}} = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\text{Vol}(\partial X, \hat{g})}{\text{Vol}(\overline{X}, \hat{g})}.$$

Since (\overline{X}, \hat{g}) is also Ricci-flat, we deduce from [Xia97, Lemma 1] that this compactification is isometric to a Euclidean ball. The PE manifold (X, g_+) has to be the hyperbolic space. Conversely, it is obvious to check that the unit Euclidean ball $(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{B}})$ is a compactification of the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}})$ for which inequality (2.11) is an equality. \square

Remark 2.2. It is easy to see from (2.10) that Theorem 2.1 also holds if we only assume that the compactifications of the PE space have nonpositive constant scalar curvature.

Remark 2.3. We can also note that the only compactifications of the hyperbolic space for which (2.11) is an equality are the metrics homothetic to the Euclidean metric on the unit ball. Indeed, it follows from the proof of the equality case of Theorem 2.1 that such a compactified metric has zero scalar curvature and positive constant mean curvature. From [Esc90, Theorem 2.1], we conclude that this metric is a constant multiple of the flat metric on the unit ball.

As a direct consequence, we obtain the following rigidity result for the hyperbolic space which generalizes a recent result of [CWZ19, Theorem 3.2]. It is interesting to note that no restriction is made on the conformal infinity.

Corollary 2.1. *The only PE manifold which has a smooth representative in its conformal infinity and which admits a Ricci-flat conformal compactification is the hyperbolic space.*

Proof. If \widehat{g} is a Ricci-flat compactification it is scalar flat so that Inequality (2.11) holds. On the other hand, the Gauss formula (2.8) implies that this inequality is in fact an equality and then the rigidity part of Theorem 2.1 allows to conclude. \square

It is important to remark that if we allow the PE manifold (X, g_+) to have singularities one can easily produce examples of PE manifolds with Ricci-flat (partial) compactifications which are not isometric to the hyperbolic space. Indeed, it is well-known that the *hyperbolic cusps* defined by the warped products

$$(X = \mathbb{R} \times P, g_+ = ds^2 + e^{2s}\overline{g}),$$

where (P, \overline{g}) is an n -dimensional compact nonflat Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold, are Einstein manifolds with scalar curvature equal to $-n(n+1)$. Then defining a new variable $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ as $t = e^s$, we obtain

$$\overline{g} = e^{-2s}g_+ = dt^2 + \overline{g}$$

so that the Ricci-flat metric $dt^2 + \overline{g}$ extends to $[0, +\infty[\times P$. We conclude that a hyperbolic cusp is a PE manifold with (P, \overline{g}) as conformal infinity and a cusp singularity at $s = +\infty$ which has a Ricci-flat (partial) compactification with one cylindrical end and which is not isometric to the hyperbolic space.

3. SHARP LOWER BOUND FOR THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF THE CONFORMAL HALF-LAPLACIAN

In this section, we apply Theorem 2.1 to prove a sharp inequality relating the first eigenvalues of the conformal half-Laplacian and the conformal Laplacian of the boundary at infinity of a PE manifold.

Let first recall the relation between the conformal half-Laplacian and the generalized Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator which was studied by Escobar [Esc92a]. This correspondence was established by Guillarmou and Guillopé [GG07] in this situation and largely generalized in Chang and González [CG11].

From the work of Graham and Zworski [GZ03], it is well-known that for f a smooth function on ∂X , there exists a solution to the problem

$$(3.1) \quad -\Delta_{g_+} u - s(n-s)u = 0 \text{ in } X$$

such that

$$(3.2) \quad u = Fr^{n-s} + Gr^s, \quad F, G \in C^\infty(X), \quad F|_{r=0} = f,$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{n}{2}, \quad s(n-s) \notin \sigma_p(-\Delta_{g_+}), \quad s \notin \frac{n}{2} + \mathbb{N}.$$

Here $\sigma_p(-\Delta_{g_+})$ denotes the pure point spectrum of the Laplace operator $-\Delta_{g_+}$ on (X, g_+) and r the geodesic defining function corresponding to a boundary metric $\widehat{g} \in [\widehat{g}]$. The scattering operator acts on $C^\infty(\partial X)$ by

$$S(s)f = G|_{r=0}$$

and defines a meromorphic family of pseudo-differential operators for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > n/2$ with simple poles of finite rank at $s = n/2 + k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The operators

$$(3.3) \quad P_{\widehat{g}}^\alpha := d_\alpha S\left(\frac{n}{2} + \alpha\right),$$

where the constant d_α is given in (1.2), are conformally covariant self-adjoint operators of order 2α with principal symbols $(-\Delta_{\widehat{g}})^\alpha$. They are usually referred to as fractional conformal Laplacians. When $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ is an integer, it can be shown that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=n/2+\alpha} S(s) = c_\alpha P_{\widehat{g}}^\alpha, \quad c_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha+1} (2^{2\alpha} \alpha! (\alpha-1)!)^{-1}$$

are the GJMS operators constructed in [GJMS92].

For $\alpha = 1$ we recover the classical conformal Laplacian given by

$$P_{\widehat{g}}^1 := P_{\widehat{g}}^1 = -\widehat{\Delta} + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{\widehat{g}}.$$

This operator appears in the famous Yamabe problem which consists to prove that on a closed Riemannian manifold the metric can be conformally deformed to a metric with constant scalar curvature. This problem has a long and fruitful history and we refer the interested reader to [LP87]. Its resolution relies on a deep study of the Yamabe invariant which is a conformal invariant of $(\partial X, \widehat{g})$ and whose sign has deep influences on the topology and the Riemannian structures of the associated PE manifold.

For $\alpha = 1/2$, we obtain the so-called conformal half-Laplacian which for obvious reasons will be denoted by $\sqrt{P_{\widehat{g}}}$. As noticed by Guillarmou and Guillopé [GG07], this operator is tightly related to a generalized Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator defined using the conformal Laplacian of any compactification $(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})$ of the PE manifold (X, g_+) . Indeed first note that the existence of a solution u of the eigenvalue problem (3.1) for $s = (n+1)/2$ is equivalent to the fact that $U = \rho^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} u$ satisfies

$$-\widehat{\Delta}U + \frac{n-1}{4n} R_{\widehat{g}}U = 0,$$

for $\widehat{g} = \rho^2 g_+$. On the other hand, if r denotes the geodesic defining function associated to \widehat{g} , we can compute that

$$\rho = r - H_{\widehat{g}} r^2 + O(r^3).$$

Combining with (3.2) yields

$$U = f + \left(S\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)f + \frac{n-1}{2} H_{\widehat{g}} f\right)r + O(r^2)$$

and then since $d_{1/2} = -1$ we conclude from (3.3) that

$$\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}}(f) = (\hat{N}(U) + \frac{n-1}{2}H_{\hat{g}}U)|_{\partial X},$$

where \hat{N} is the unit outward normal to ∂X for \hat{g} . In particular, u is an eigenfunction associated to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}})$ of $\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}}$ if and only if the corresponding U satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\hat{\Delta}U + \frac{n-1}{4n}R_{\hat{g}}U = 0 & \text{on } \overline{X}, \\ \hat{N}(U) + \frac{n-1}{2}H_{\hat{g}}U = \lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}})U & \text{on } \partial X. \end{cases}$$

It is important to note here that, as noticed in [Esc94], this eigenvalue can be $-\infty$. However, if we assume that the boundary has nonnegative Yamabe invariant then $\lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}})$ is also nonnegative by [HM14, Corollary 5]. In the following, we will always assume that this assumption is fulfilled although we could only assume the finiteness of this eigenvalue for our results to hold. Anyway thanks to this characterization, we are now able to prove:

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, g_+) be a PE manifold which has a smooth representative in its conformal infinity $(\partial X, [\hat{g}])$. Then if the Yamabe invariant $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\hat{g}])$ is nonnegative, the first eigenvalue of the conformal half-Laplacian satisfies*

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}})^2 \geq \frac{(n-1)^2}{n(n-2)}\lambda_1(P_{\hat{g}})$$

for $n \geq 3$ and

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}})^2 \geq \frac{\pi}{2 \text{Area}(\partial X, \hat{g})}\chi(\partial X)$$

for $n = 2$ and for any $\hat{g} \in [\hat{g}]$. Moreover, there exists a compactification of (X, g_+) for which equality occurs in one of these inequalities if and only if (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.

Remark 3.2. Here $\chi(\partial X)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of the surface ∂X . In this situation, the nonnegativity of the Yamabe invariant $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\hat{g}])$ reduces to the topological assumption $\chi(\partial X) \geq 0$ by the Gauss-Bonnet formula.

Proof. Let \hat{g} be a conformal compactification of (X, g_+) . Then consider a smooth positive eigenfunction $U \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ associated with $\lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}})$ which therefore satisfies (3.4). From the relations between the scalar and the mean curvatures of two conformally related metrics (see [Esc92a] for example), the metric $\tilde{g} := U^{\frac{4}{n-1}}\hat{g}$ satisfies

$$(3.7) \quad R_{\tilde{g}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\tilde{g}} = \frac{2}{n-1}\lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}})U^{-\frac{2}{n-1}}$$

so that we can apply Theorem 2.1. Then the inequality (2.11) and the second equality in (3.7) give

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{4n}{n-1}\lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\hat{g}}})^2 \int_{\partial X} U^{2\frac{n-2}{n-1}} ds_{\hat{g}} \geq \int_{\partial X} \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{g}} ds_{\tilde{g}}$$

since $ds_{\tilde{g}} = U^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} ds_{\hat{g}}$. Inequality (3.6) follows from the Gauss-Bonnet formula if $n = 2$. For $n \geq 3$, we write $\tilde{g} = \tilde{U}^{\frac{4}{n-2}}\hat{g}$ with $\tilde{U} = U^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}$ and then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tilde{g}} = \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\tilde{U}^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}}P_{\hat{g}}\tilde{U}$$

so that Inequality (3.8) finally reads

$$\lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\widehat{g}}})^2 \int_{\partial X} \widetilde{U}^2 ds_{\widehat{g}} \geq \frac{(n-1)^2}{n(n-2)} \int_{\partial X} \widetilde{U} P_{\widehat{g}} \widetilde{U} ds_{\widehat{g}}.$$

We conclude the proof with the Rayleigh characterization of the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(P_{\widehat{g}})$. If equality occurs the metric \widehat{g} is such that (2.11) is an equality so that the rigidity part of Theorem 2.1 applies. Conversely, it is straightforward to see that on the round sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{S}})$, the nonzero constant functions are eigenfunctions for $P_{g_{\mathbb{S}}}$ and $\sqrt{P_{g_{\mathbb{S}}}}$ associated respectively to $n(n-2)/4$ and to $(n-1)/2$. This implies that the unit Euclidean ball is a scalar flat compactification of the hyperbolic space for which (3.5) is an equality for $n \geq 3$. On the other hand, since $\chi(\mathbb{S}^2) = 2$, equality also holds for $(\mathbb{B}^3, g_{\mathbb{B}})$ in (3.6) and then the same conclusion is true for $n = 2$. \square

4. UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE RENORMALIZED VOLUME IN DIMENSION 4

In this section, we will see that our main integral inequality (2.11) allows to obtain a new upper bound for the renormalized volume in term of the Yamabe-type invariant $\mathcal{E}(\overline{X}, [\widehat{g}])$ (see below for a precise definition).

The volume of a PE manifold being infinite, it has been suggested by several works that an appropriate normalization should lead to the definition of an adapted notion of volume. This has been achieved by Henningson and Skenderis [HS98] and Graham [Gra00] as follows. Here we only consider the four dimensional case. It appears that if r denotes the geodesic defining function relative to $\widehat{g} \in [\widehat{g}]$, then for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we can compute that

$$\text{Vol}_{g_+}(\{r > \varepsilon\}) = c_0 \varepsilon^{-3} + c_2 \varepsilon^{-1} + V(X, g_+) + o(1).$$

The coefficients c_j , $j = 1, 2$, are integrals over ∂X of local curvature expressions of the metric \widehat{g} and the constant term $V(X, g_+)$ is called the renormalized volume of the PE manifold (X, g_+) and does not depend on the choice of \widehat{g} . An important observation by Anderson [And01] is that this renormalized volume appears in the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula in the four dimensional case. This is in fact true in the n -dimensional case with n odd by the work of Chang, Qing and Yang [CQY06]. Let us sketch the proof of their result in the four dimensional case. First recall that the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula on a four dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with boundary $(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})$ can be written for $\widehat{g} \in [\widehat{g}]$ as

$$8\pi^2 \chi(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\overline{X}} |W_{\widehat{g}}|^2 dv_{\widehat{g}} + \int_{\overline{X}} Q_{\widehat{g}} dv_{\widehat{g}} + 2 \int_{\partial X} (\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{g}} + T_{\widehat{g}}) ds_{\widehat{g}},$$

where $W_{\widehat{g}}$ is the Weyl tensor and $Q_{\widehat{g}}$ and $T_{\widehat{g}}$ are respectively the Q -curvature and the T -curvature of the metric \widehat{g} which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\widehat{g}} &:= \frac{1}{6} (R_{\widehat{g}}^2 - 3|\text{Ric}_{\widehat{g}}|^2 - \widehat{\Delta} R_{\widehat{g}}), \\ T_{\widehat{g}} &:= \frac{1}{12} \widehat{N}(R_{\widehat{g}}) + \frac{1}{2} R_{\widehat{g}} H_{\widehat{g}} - \langle F_{\widehat{g}}, L_{\widehat{g}} \rangle + 3H_{\widehat{g}}^3 - \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}_{\widehat{g}}(L_{\widehat{g}}^3) - \widehat{\Delta} H_{\widehat{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $F_{\widehat{g}}$ is the covariant symmetric tensor field of order two on ∂X defined by

$$F_{\widehat{g}}(Y, Z) = \text{Riem}_{\widehat{g}}(Y, \widehat{N}, Z, \widehat{N})$$

for all Y, Z tangent vector fields to ∂X and where $\text{Riem}_{\widehat{g}}$ is the Riemann tensor of $(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})$. Moreover, the function $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{g}}$ is a pointwise conformal invariant which vanishes when the boundary is totally umbilical. Since this property is fulfilled for

any compactification of a PE manifold, we can assume without loss in generality that $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{g}} = 0$. For the same reason, the T -curvature reduces to

$$(4.1) \quad T_{\widehat{g}} = \frac{1}{12} \widehat{N}(R_{\widehat{g}}) + \frac{1}{2} H_{\widehat{g}}(R_{\widehat{g}} - 2Ric_{\widehat{g}}(\widehat{N}, \widehat{N})) + 2H_{\widehat{g}}^3 - \widehat{\Delta} H_{\widehat{g}},$$

and the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula then reads

$$(4.2) \quad 8\pi^2 \chi(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\overline{X}} |W_{\widehat{g}}|^2 dv_{\widehat{g}} + Q(\overline{X}, \widehat{g}),$$

where

$$Q(\overline{X}, \widehat{g}) := \int_{\overline{X}} Q_{\widehat{g}} dv_{\widehat{g}} + 2 \int_{\partial X} T_{\widehat{g}} ds_{\widehat{g}}$$

is the total Q -curvature of $(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})$. Note that from the topological invariance of the Euler characteristic and the conformal invariance of the Weyl tensor, we immediately deduce the conformal covariance of the total Q -curvature, that's why it will be denoted by $Q(\overline{X}, [\widehat{g}])$ from now.

On the other hand, Feffermann and Graham [FG02] proved that there exists a unique function $v \in C^\infty(X)$ such that

$$-\Delta_{g_+} v = 3$$

and with the asymptotic

$$v = \log r + A + Br^3,$$

where $A, B \in C^\infty(X)$, even in r such that $A|_{\partial X} = 0$. Here r denotes the defining function associated to the metric \widehat{g} in the conformal infinity. Then they related the value of B on the boundary with the renormalized volume by the formula

$$(4.3) \quad V(X, g_+) = \int_{\partial X} B|_{\partial X} ds_{\widehat{g}}.$$

In addition to this, it can be computed that for the compactified metric $\widetilde{g} = e^{2v} g_+$ it holds that

$$Q_{\widetilde{g}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\widetilde{g}} = 3B|_{\partial X}$$

so that the formula (4.2) for \widetilde{g} and (4.3) give

$$(4.4) \quad 8\pi^2 \chi(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_X |W_{\widetilde{g}}|^2 dv_{\widetilde{g}} + 6V(X, g_+).$$

Moreover, since the L^2 -norm of the Weyl tensor is conformally invariant, we obtain the following formula by comparing (4.2) and (4.4):

Proposition 4.1. *Let (X, g_+) be a four dimensional PE manifold, then we have*

$$(4.5) \quad V(X, g_+) = \frac{1}{6} Q(\overline{X}, [\widetilde{g}]).$$

Note that this formula was already known for totally geodesic compactifications in relation with the σ_2 -scalar curvature (see [CQY06]). Here we just observed that it holds for *any* compactifications of a PE manifold. It was also noticed in [And01] that when this formula is compared to (4.2), it implies the following upper bound for the renormalized volume

$$V(X, g_+) \leq \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \chi(\overline{X})$$

with equality if and only if (X, g_+) is hyperbolic. Note that for the four dimensional hyperbolic space, it is not difficult to compute that

$$(4.6) \quad V(\mathbb{H}, g_{\mathbb{H}}) = \frac{4\pi^2}{3}.$$

In this section, we first give a proof of a probably well-known upper bound for the renormalized volume in term of the Yamabe-type invariant introduced by Escobar in [Esc92b]. It can be obtained by combining the doubling argument of [CQY04, Proposition 3.1] and the inequality (1.4) in [Gur99]. However, since the author was not able to find it explicitly in the literature, we include a proof here. This proof is a straightforward adaptation of the one for closed manifolds in [Gur99]. The Yamabe-type invariant we consider is defined by

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{X}, [\overline{g}]) := \inf_{\widehat{g} \in [\overline{g}]_{\text{mf}}} \frac{\int_{\overline{X}} R_{\widehat{g}} dv_{\widehat{g}}}{\text{Vol}(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})^{\frac{n-1}{n+1}}},$$

where

$$[\overline{g}]_{\text{mf}} := \{\widehat{g} \in [\overline{g}] / H_{\widehat{g}} = 0\}.$$

It is naturally associated to the problem of finding, given a smooth Riemannian manifold with boundary, the existence of a conformally related metric with constant scalar curvature and zero mean curvature. This question was first addressed in [Esc92b] and has recently been settled in [MN15] (in which the interested reader will be able to find a complete bibliography on the subject). In our context, that is the four dimensional case with totally umbilical boundary, it has been completely solved by Escobar in [Esc92b, Theorem 4.1]. We then prove:

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, g_+) be a four dimensional PE manifold which has a smooth representative in its conformal infinity. Then the renormalized volume satisfies*

$$(4.8) \quad V(X, g_+) \leq \frac{1}{144} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{X}, [\overline{g}])^2$$

and equality occurs if and only if (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^4, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.

Proof. From the formula (4.5) in Proposition 4.1, we have

$$V(X, g_+) = \frac{1}{6} \int_{\overline{X}} \left(\frac{1}{24} R_{\widehat{g}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} |E_{\widehat{g}}|^2 \right) dv_{\widehat{g}}$$

since $L_{\widehat{g}} = 0$ for any $\widehat{g} \in [\overline{g}]_{\text{mf}}$. In particular for such metrics it holds that

$$(4.9) \quad V(X, g_+) \leq \frac{1}{144} \int_{\overline{X}} R_{\widehat{g}}^2 dv_{\widehat{g}}$$

with equality if and only if the metric \widehat{g} is Einstein. Now as explained in the beginning of this section, it follows from the work of Escobar that one can find $\widehat{g} \in [\overline{g}]$ such that

$$R_{\widehat{g}} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{X}, [\overline{g}]), \quad H_{\widehat{g}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Vol}(\overline{X}, \widehat{g}) = 1.$$

Since the boundary of any compactification of a PE manifold is totally umbilical, it is totally geodesic for \widehat{g} since $\widehat{g} \in [\overline{g}]_{\text{mf}}$. Then we can apply (4.9) to \widehat{g} and (4.8) follows directly. If equality holds, the manifold $(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})$ is a compactification of a PE manifold which is Einstein with totally geodesic boundary, it follows from

[CWZ19, Theorem 3.1] that (X, g_+) is the hyperbolic space. On the other hand, since from [Esc92b], it holds that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{S}_+^4, [g_{\mathbb{S}}]) = 8\sqrt{3}\pi,$$

it is obvious from (4.6) that the round hemisphere is a compactification of the hyperbolic space for which the inequality (4.8) is an equality. \square

Remark 4.2. It is also a well-known result of Escobar [Esc92b] that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}]) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{S}_+^4, [g_{\mathbb{S}}]) = 8\sqrt{3}\pi$$

with equality if and only if $(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}])$ is the four dimensional round hemisphere $(\mathbb{S}_+^4, [g_{\mathbb{S}}])$. In particular the inequality (4.8) gives an alternative proof of the well-known inequality [CQY04]

$$(4.10) \quad V(X, g_+) \leq V(\mathbb{H}, g_{\mathbb{H}}) = \frac{4\pi^2}{3},$$

with equality if and only if (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space.

As a direct consequence, we easily deduce:

Corollary 4.1. *Let (X, g_+) be a four dimensional PE manifold which has a smooth representative in its conformal infinity. If $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}]) = 0$ then the renormalized volume of (X, g_+) satisfies $V(X, g_+) < 0$.*

Another important Yamabe-type problem on manifolds with boundary first addressed by Escobar [Esc92a] asks for the existence of a conformally related metric with zero scalar curvature and constant mean curvature on a given compact Riemannian manifold. This is a deep and very difficult problem which is now completely solved. We refer to [MN17] where the last unsolved cases were treated and where a complete bibliography regarding this subject can be found. As before, a natural invariant associated to this problem is the Yamabe-type invariant defined by

$$(4.11) \quad \mathcal{E}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}]) := \inf_{\hat{g} \in [\bar{g}]_{\text{sf}}} \frac{\int_{\partial X} H_{\hat{g}} ds_{\hat{g}}}{\text{Vol}(\partial X, \hat{g})^{\frac{n-1}{n}}},$$

where

$$[\bar{g}]_{\text{sf}} := \{\hat{g} \in [\bar{g}] / R_{\hat{g}} = 0\}$$

and which satisfies

$$(4.12) \quad \mathcal{E}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}]) \leq \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, [g_{\mathbb{B}}]) = \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

by Escobar [Esc92a]. It is then a natural question to ask if one can relate the renormalized volume with this Yamabe-type invariant. We will see that this is indeed possible using the integral inequality (2.11). First note that the Gauss formula (2.8) in the expression (4.1) gives

$$T_{\hat{g}} = \frac{1}{12} \hat{N}(R_{\hat{g}}) + \frac{1}{2} H_{\hat{g}} (\mathcal{R}_{\hat{g}} - 6H_{\hat{g}}^2) + 2H_{\hat{g}}^3 - \hat{\Delta} H_{\hat{g}}.$$

Then if $\hat{g} \in [\bar{g}]_{\text{sf}}$, Proposition 4.1 implies that

$$V(X, g_+) = -\frac{1}{12} \int_X |Ric_{\hat{g}}|^2 dv_{\hat{g}} + \frac{1}{6} \int_{\partial X} H_{\hat{g}} (\mathcal{R}_{\hat{g}} - 6H_{\hat{g}}^2) ds_{\hat{g}} + \frac{2}{3} \int_{\partial X} H_{\hat{g}}^3 ds_{\hat{g}}$$

that is

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{2}{3} \int_{\partial X} H_{\hat{g}}^3 ds_{\hat{g}} \geq V(X, g_+) + \frac{1}{6} \int_{\partial X} H_{\hat{g}} (6H_{\hat{g}}^2 - \mathcal{R}_{\hat{g}}) ds_{\hat{g}},$$

with equality if and only if \hat{g} is a Ricci-flat metric. On the other hand, it follows from [Esc92a, Theorem 6.1] that there exists $\hat{g} \in [\bar{g}]$ such that

$$R_{\hat{g}} = 0, \quad H_{\hat{g}} = \mathcal{E}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}]) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Vol}(\partial X, \hat{g}) = 1.$$

The inequality (4.13) for this choice of metric now reads as

$$\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{E}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}])^3 \geq V(X, g_+) + \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{E}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}]) \int_{\partial X} (6H_{\hat{g}}^2 - \mathcal{R}_{\hat{g}}) ds_{\hat{g}}.$$

Since $\hat{g} \in [\bar{g}]_{\text{sf}}$, the inequality (2.11) applies so that the second term on the right-hand side of the previous inequality is nonnegative as soon as $\mathcal{E}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}]) \geq 0$. We then finally obtain:

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, g_+) be a four dimensional PE manifold which has a smooth representative in its conformal infinity. Then if $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\bar{g}]) \geq 0$ it holds that*

$$(4.14) \quad V(X, g_+) \leq \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{E}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}])^3.$$

Moreover equality occurs if and only if (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^4, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.

Proof. The previous discussion holds since, as explained in Section 3, the nonnegativity of $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\bar{g}])$ implies the nonnegativity of $\mathcal{E}(\bar{X}, [\bar{g}])$. On the other hand, if equality holds in (4.14) we conclude that (\bar{X}, \hat{g}) is a Ricci-flat conformal compactification of the PE manifold (X, g_+) and so Corollary 2.1 implies that it has to be the hyperbolic space. Finally, since from (4.12) we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{B}^4, [g_{\mathbb{B}}])^3 = 2\pi^2,$$

it follows from (4.6) that the unit Euclidean ball is a compactification of the hyperbolic space for which the inequality (4.14) is an equality. \square

Note that combining (4.12) with (4.14) gives an alternative proof of the inequality (4.10).

5. LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF DIRAC OPERATORS

In this section, we prove new lower bounds for the first nonnegative eigenvalue of Dirac operators in the context of PE manifolds.

Let us briefly recall some standard facts on spin manifolds. For more details on this subject, we refer to [BHM⁺15, Fri00, Gin09, LM89]. On an $(n+1)$ -dimensional compact Riemannian spin manifold (\bar{X}, \hat{g}) with boundary, there exists a smooth Hermitian vector bundle over \bar{X} called the spinor bundle which will be denoted by $\Sigma \bar{X}$. The sections of this bundle are called spinors. Moreover, the tangent bundle $T\bar{X}$ acts on $\Sigma \bar{X}$ by Clifford multiplication $Y \otimes \psi \mapsto \hat{\gamma}(Y)\psi$ for any tangent vector fields Y and any spinor fields ψ . On the other hand, the Riemannian Levi-Civita connection $\hat{\nabla}$ lifts to the so-called spin Levi-Civita connection (also denoted by $\hat{\nabla}$) and defines a metric connection on $\Sigma \bar{X}$ that preserves the Clifford multiplication. The Dirac operator is then the first order elliptic differential operator acting on the spinor bundle $\Sigma \bar{X}$ given by $D_{\hat{g}} := \hat{\gamma} \circ \hat{\nabla}$. Moreover, the spin structure on \bar{X} induces (via the unit normal field to ∂X) a spin structure on its boundary which

allows to define the *extrinsic* spinor bundle $\mathcal{S} := \Sigma\overline{X}|_{\partial X}$ over ∂X on which there exists a Clifford multiplication $\widehat{\gamma}$ and a metric connection $\widehat{\nabla}$. Similarly, the extrinsic Dirac operator is defined by taking the Clifford trace of the covariant derivative $\widehat{\nabla}$ that is $\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} := \widehat{\gamma} \circ \widehat{\nabla}$. From the spin structure on ∂X , one can also construct an *intrinsic* spinor bundle for the induced metric $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ denoted by $\Sigma\partial X$ and endowed with a Clifford multiplication $\widehat{\gamma}^{\partial X}$ and a spin Levi-Civita connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{\partial X}$. Note that the (intrinsic) Dirac operator on $(\partial X, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is obviously defined by $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} = \widehat{\gamma}^{\partial X} \circ \widehat{\nabla}^{\partial X}$. In fact, we have an isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{S}, \widehat{\nabla}, \widehat{\gamma}) \simeq \begin{cases} (\Sigma\partial X, \widehat{\nabla}^{\partial X}, \widehat{\gamma}^{\partial X}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (\Sigma\partial X, \widehat{\nabla}^{\partial X}, \widehat{\gamma}^{\partial X}) \oplus (\Sigma\partial X, \widehat{\nabla}^{\partial X}, -\widehat{\gamma}^{\partial X}) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

so that the restriction of a spinor field on \overline{X} to ∂X and the extension of a spinor field on ∂X to \overline{X} are well-defined. These identifications also imply in particular that the spectrum of the extrinsic Dirac operator is an intrinsic invariant of the boundary which means that it only depends on the spin and Riemannian structures of ∂X and not on how it is embedded in \overline{X} .

As noticed in [AD98, HM14], it is important to pay attention to the involved spin structures. Since a PE manifold is topologically given by the interior of a compact and connected manifold with boundary, we will say that X is spin if the manifold with boundary $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$ is spin and then ∂X will always be endowed with the induced spin structure.

5.1. The boundary Dirac operator. In this part, we apply our results to the first nonnegative eigenvalue of the boundary Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}$. In view of the previous discussion, all the next results also hold for the absolute value of the first eigenvalue of the intrinsic Dirac operator. For this, we need an inequality proved by Hijazi, Montiel and Zhang in [HMZ02] in the context of compact Riemannian spin manifolds with boundary which relates this eigenvalue, denoted by $\lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}})$, and the first eigenvalue of the problem (3.4). In our setting, we can refine the equality case and state their result as follows:

Theorem 5.1.1. *Let (X, g_+) be a spin PE manifold which has a smooth representative in its conformal infinity $(\partial X, [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}])$. Then if the Yamabe invariant $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}])$ is nonnegative, the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}})$ of the boundary Dirac operator satisfies*

$$(5.1) \quad \lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}) \geq \frac{n}{n-1} \lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}})$$

for any $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \in [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}]$ with $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho^2 g_+$ and ρ is a smooth defining function. Moreover, there exists a compactification of (X, g_+) for which (5.1) is an equality if and only if (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.

Proof. The inequality is exactly the one obtained in [HMZ02, Theorem 9] (the constant differs only because of our choice of normalization). Moreover, if the equality occurs in (5.1) it is also proved therein that the manifold $(\overline{X}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ has a Ricci-flat metric in its conformal class. In this situation, Corollary 2.1 applies and the conclusion follows immediately. Conversely, it is well-known that $\lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{g_{\mathbb{S}}}) = n/2$ on the unit round sphere as well as $\lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{g_{\mathbb{S}}}}) = (n-1)/2$, so that equality occurs in (5.1) for the unit Euclidean ball. In this situation, the associated PE manifold is the hyperbolic space. \square

Now if we assume that the Yamabe invariant $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\widehat{g}])$ is nonnegative, we have $\mathcal{E}(\overline{X}, [\widehat{g}]) \geq 0$ as discussed in Section 3, so that we can put together (5.1) with the inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) to deduce the following estimates

$$(5.2) \quad \lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{g}})^2 \geq \frac{n}{n-2} \lambda_1(P_{\widehat{g}})$$

for $n \geq 3$ and

$$(5.3) \quad \lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{g}})^2 \geq \frac{2\pi}{\text{Area}(\partial X, \widehat{g})} \chi(\partial X)$$

for $n = 2$ and any $\widehat{g} \in [\widehat{g}]$. Moreover, equality occurs if and only if (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}})$. In fact, these inequalities are well-known in the context of spin closed manifolds. The first one is due to Hijazi [Hij86] and is known as the Hijazi inequality and the second one is due to Bär [Bär92] and Hijazi [Hij91]. Note that Inequality (5.2) differs from the original one from a constant since we take another normalization in the definition of the conformal Laplacian $P_{\widehat{g}}$. The equality case in this context occurs if and only if the manifold carries a real Killing spinor. Our approach allows then to state that the inequality (5.1) is always sharper than the inequalities (5.2) and (5.3) for compact spin manifolds which arise as the boundary at infinity of a spin PE manifold. In particular, let (X, g_+) be a PE manifold for which there exists a metric $\widehat{g} \in [\widehat{g}]$ on the boundary at infinity carrying a real Killing spinor. Then it follows that equality occurs in (5.2) and so in (3.5) and we conclude that (X, g_+) has to be the hyperbolic space and $(\partial X, [\widehat{g}])$ the Möbius sphere. We thus have proved that the only spin PE manifold with a real Killing spinor in its conformal infinity is the hyperbolic space. Recall that manifolds carrying real Killing spinors are Einstein and, in the simply connected case, have been classified by Bär [Bär93]. They are round spheres, Einstein-Sasaki manifolds, 3-Sasaki manifolds, nearly-Kähler non-Kähler 6-manifolds and 7-manifolds carrying nice 3-forms. In particular, nonspherical manifolds with a real Killing spinor cannot be the conformal infinity of a spin PE manifold. In fact, with a little more effort, one can say more. Indeed assume that the boundary at infinity carries a twistor-spinor $\varphi \in \Gamma(\Sigma\partial X)$ that is a section of the spinor bundle over $(\partial X, \widehat{g})$ such that

$$\widehat{\nabla}_Y^{\partial X} \varphi + \frac{1}{n} \widehat{\gamma}^{\partial X}(Y) \widehat{\mathcal{D}}\varphi = 0$$

for all $Y \in \Gamma(T\partial X)$. Note that this property only depends on the conformal structure of the underlying manifold so that it is a natural assumption to impose on the boundary at infinity. It can be seen as a supersymmetric version of the symmetric condition which assumes the existence of a conformal Killing vector fields on $(\partial X, [\widehat{g}])$. Moreover, if a twistor spinor is also an eigenspinor for the Dirac operator it is a Killing spinor. In particular, this situation contains the previous discussion where real Killing spinors were considered. For more details on the subject, we refer to [BFGK90] for example. Let us now state the result which follows directly from our analysis. As pointed out in Section 1, this result was already known and proved under weaker assumptions by Hijazi and Montiel [HM14] but it seems interesting to the author to give an alternative proof.

Theorem 5.1.2. *A spin PE manifold (X, g_+) whose conformal infinity $(\partial X, [\widehat{g}])$ has a smooth representative and which carries a twistor spinor is isometric to the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.*

Proof. From the solution of the Yamabe problem [Sch84], we can choose a metric $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \in [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}]$ with constant scalar curvature $\mathcal{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and whose sign is precisely given by the sign of its Yamabe invariant $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}])$. Moreover, from the variational characterization of the first eigenvalue of the conformal Laplacian we deduce that

$$(5.4) \quad \lambda_1(P_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}) = \inf_{f \in C^\infty(\partial X)} \frac{\int_{\partial X} (|\widehat{\nabla} f|^2 + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \mathcal{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} f^2) ds_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}}{\int_{\partial X} f^2 ds_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}} \geq \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \mathcal{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}.$$

On the other hand, there exists on the spinor bundle over ∂X endowed with the metric $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ a twistor spinor and it follows from [Lic87] that it is an eigenspinor for the squared of the Dirac operator $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ associated to the eigenvalue $\frac{n\mathcal{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}}{4(n-1)}$. Note that since ∂X is compact and the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^2$ is nonnegative, the scalar curvature with respect to the metric $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a nonnegative constant so that $\mathcal{Y}(\partial X, [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}]) \geq 0$. For $n \geq 3$, we can then apply (3.5) in Theorem 3.1, the Hijazi inequality (5.2) and (5.4) to get

$$\frac{n\mathcal{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}}{4(n-1)} \geq \lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}})^2 \geq \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}})^2 \geq \frac{n}{n-2} \lambda_1(P_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}) \geq \frac{n\mathcal{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}}{4(n-1)}$$

so that the equality holds in (3.5) and (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space as claimed. The same arguments hold for $n = 2$ using (3.6) in Theorem 3.1. \square

This result also prevents the existence of spin PE manifolds whose boundary at infinity carries a parallel spinor field. These manifolds include Calabi-Yau manifolds, hyper-Kähler manifolds, G_2 7-manifolds, Spin_7 8-manifolds in the simply connected case [Wan89] as well as the flat tori equipped with the trivial spin structure.

To conclude this section, we combine the estimate of Hijazi, Montiel and Zhang and the upper bound (4.14) to obtain a lower bound of the first nonnegative eigenvalue of the boundary Dirac operator in term of the renormalized volume of (X, g_+) . We first need to remark that from the variational characterizations of the eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\sqrt{P_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}})$, the conformal invariant $\mathcal{E}(\overline{X}, [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}])$ and the Hölder inequality, we immediately deduce [HMZ02, Corollary 11] that

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}) \text{Vol}(\partial X, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \frac{n}{2} \mathcal{E}(\overline{X}, [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}])$$

for any $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \in [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}]$. Then it is straightforward to deduce from Theorem 4.3 that in the four dimensional case we get:

Theorem 5.1.3. *Let (X, g_+) be a four dimensional spin PE manifold such that the boundary at infinity has a smooth representative and nonnegative Yamabe invariant. Then the first nonnegative eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}})$ of the boundary Dirac operator satisfies*

$$(5.5) \quad \lambda_1(\mathcal{D}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}})^3 \text{Vol}(\partial X, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \geq \frac{81}{16} V(X, g_+)$$

for any $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \in [\overline{\mathfrak{g}}]$. Moreover, there exists a compactification of (X, g_+) for which (5.5) is an equality if and only if (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.

It is maybe interesting to note that this bound on the renormalized volume only depends on the geometry of the boundary at infinity while the renormalized

volume depends, a priori, on the global geometry of the bulk manifold (X, g_+) . This inequality suggests the definition of the following spin conformal invariant

$$\mathcal{P}(\overline{X}, [\overline{g}]) := \inf_{\widehat{g} \in [\overline{g}]} \left(\lambda_1(D_{\widehat{g}}) \text{Vol}(\partial X, \widehat{g})^{\frac{1}{n}} \right),$$

where $(\overline{X}, [\overline{g}])$ is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional conformal spin compact manifold with boundary. It can be seen as an extrinsic analogue of the invariant studied by Ammann [Amm03] (see also the references therein) which is tightly related to the existence of periodic constant mean curvature immersions of surfaces [Amm09]. We leave the study of this invariant for further investigations.

5.2. The condition associated to a chirality operator. In this section, we assume that there exists a *chirality operator* \mathcal{G} on \overline{X} that is a unitary and parallel involution of the spinor bundle $\Sigma \overline{X}$ which anticommutes with the action of a tangent vector field on \overline{X} . Note that such a map always exists when n is odd. It is then well-known [HMR02] that the projection $P_{\mathcal{G}} := \frac{1}{2}(\text{Id} - \widehat{\gamma}(\widehat{N})\mathcal{G})$ defines a local elliptic boundary condition for the Dirac operator for which the spectrum is a discrete unbounded sequence of real eigenvalues with finite dimensional eigenspaces. If $\lambda_1(D_{\widehat{g}})$ denotes this first positive eigenvalue, it is proved in [Rau06] by the author that

$$\lambda_1(D_{\widehat{g}})^2 \text{Vol}(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \geq \frac{n+1}{4n} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{X}, [\overline{g}]).$$

We can combine this estimate with Theorem 4.1 to deduce:

Theorem 5.2.1. *Let (X, g_+) be a four dimensional spin PE manifold which has a smooth representative in its conformal infinity. Then the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(D_{\widehat{g}})$ of the Dirac operator under the condition associated to a chirality operator satisfies*

$$(5.6) \quad \lambda_1(D_{\widehat{g}})^4 \text{Vol}(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})^2 \geq 16V(X, g_+)$$

for any $\widehat{g} \in [\overline{g}]$. Moreover, there exists a compactification of (X, g_+) for which (5.6) is an equality if and only if (X, g_+) is isometric to the hyperbolic space $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}})$.

Finally, it is interesting to remark that one can formulate all these estimates in a more conformal way, meaning that all the data involved depend only on the conformal structure of the compactification of the PE manifold unlike the left-hand side of Inequality (5.6). This formulation needs the introduction of a spin conformal invariant defined by the author in [Rau09] whose expression for four dimensional manifolds is

$$\mathcal{D}(\overline{X}, [\overline{g}]) := \inf_{\widehat{g} \in [\overline{g}]} \left(\lambda_1(D_{\widehat{g}}) \text{Vol}(\overline{X}, \widehat{g})^{\frac{1}{4}} \right).$$

This finally leads to:

Corollary 5.2.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.2.1, it holds that:*

$$16V(X, g_+) \leq 9\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(\overline{X}, [\overline{g}])^2 \leq \mathcal{D}(\overline{X}, [\overline{g}])^4 \leq \frac{64\pi^2}{3}.$$

Proof. The last inequality, which is the only thing left to prove, follows from [Rau09, Theorem 9]. \square

REFERENCES

- [AD98] Lars Andersson and Mattias Dahl, *Scalar curvature rigidity for asymptotically locally hyperbolic manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **16** (1998), no. 1, 1–27, DOI 10.1023/A:1006547905892. MR1616570
- [Amm03] Bernd Ammann, *A spin-conformal lower bound of the first positive Dirac eigenvalue*, Differential Geom. Appl. **18** (2003), no. 1, 21–32, DOI 10.1016/S0926-2245(02)00095-5. MR1951070
- [Amm09] Bernd Ammann, *The smallest Dirac eigenvalue in a spin-conformal class and cmc immersions*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **17** (2009), no. 3, 429–479, DOI 10.4310/CAG.2009.v17.n3.a2. MR2550205
- [And01] Michael T. Anderson, *L^2 curvature and volume renormalization of AHE metrics on 4-manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), no. 1-2, 171–188, DOI 10.4310/MRL.2001.v8.n2.a6. MR1825268
- [Bär92] Christian Bär, *Lower eigenvalue estimates for Dirac operators*, Math. Ann. **293** (1992), no. 1, 39–46, DOI 10.1007/BF01444701. MR1162671
- [Bär93] Christian Bär, *Real Killing spinors and holonomy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **154** (1993), no. 3, 509–521. MR1224089
- [Bes08] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1987 edition. MR2371700
- [BFGK90] Helga Baum, Thomas Friedrich, Ralf Grunewald, and Ines Kath, *Twistor and Killing spinors on Riemannian manifolds*, Seminarberichte [Seminar Reports], vol. 108, Humboldt Universität, Sektion Mathematik, Berlin, 1990. MR1084369
- [BHM⁺15] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon, Oussama Hijazi, Jean-Louis Milhorat, Andrei Moroianu, and Sergiu Moroianu, *A spinorial approach to Riemannian and conformal geometry*, EMS Monographs in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2015, DOI 10.4171/136. MR3410545
- [CDLS05] Piotr T. Chruściel, Erwann Delay, John M. Lee, and Dale N. Skinner, *Boundary regularity of conformally compact Einstein metrics*, J. Differential Geom. **69** (2005), no. 1, 111–136. MR2169584
- [CG11] Sun-Yung Alice Chang and María del Mar González, *Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 2, 1410–1432, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2010.07.016. MR2737789
- [CLW19] Xuezhong Chen, Mijia Lai, and Fang Wang, *Escobar-Yamabe compactifications for Poincaré-Einstein manifolds and rigidity theorems*, Adv. Math. **343** (2019), 16–35, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2018.11.005. MR3880687
- [CQY04] Sun-Yung A. Chang, Jie Qing, and Paul Yang, *On the topology of conformally compact Einstein 4-manifolds*, Noncompact problems at the intersection of geometry, analysis, and topology, Contemp. Math., vol. 350, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 49–61, DOI 10.1090/conm/350/06337. MR2082390
- [CQY06] P. Yang, Dzh. King, and S.-Yu. A. Chang, *Renormalized volumes for conformally compact Einstein manifolds* (Russian, with Russian summary), Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl. **17** (2006), 129–142, DOI 10.1007/s10958-008-0094-0; English transl., J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **149** (2008), no. 6, 1755–1769. MR2336463
- [CS07] Luis Caffarelli and Luis Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), no. 7-9, 1245–1260, DOI 10.1080/03605300600987306. MR2354493
- [CWZ19] Daguang Chen, Fang Wang, and Xiao Zhang, *Eigenvalue estimate of the Dirac operator and rigidity of Poincaré-Einstein metrics*, Math. Z. **293** (2019), no. 1-2, 485–502, DOI 10.1007/s00209-018-2210-2. MR4002287
- [Esc90] José F. Escobar, *Uniqueness theorems on conformal deformation of metrics, Sobolev inequalities, and an eigenvalue estimate*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **43** (1990), no. 7, 857–883, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160430703. MR1072395
- [Esc92a] José F. Escobar, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to a scalar flat metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary*, Ann. of Math. (2) **136** (1992), no. 1, 1–50, DOI 10.2307/2946545. MR1173925
- [Esc92b] José F. Escobar, *The Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary*, J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992), no. 1, 21–84. MR1152225

- [Esc94] José F. Escobar, *Addendum: “Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to a scalar flat metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary”* [*Ann. of Math. (2)* **136** (1992), no. 1, 1–50; MR1173925 (93e:53046)], *Ann. of Math. (2)* **139** (1994), no. 3, 749–750, DOI 10.2307/2118578. MR1283876
- [FG85] Charles Fefferman and C. Robin Graham, *Conformal invariants*, Astérisque **Numéro Hors Série** (1985), 95–116. The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984). MR837196
- [FG02] Charles Fefferman and C. Robin Graham, *Q-curvature and Poincaré metrics*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **9** (2002), no. 2-3, 139–151, DOI 10.4310/MRL.2002.v9.n2.a2. MR1909634
- [Fri00] Thomas Friedrich, *Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. Translated from the 1997 German original by Andreas Nestke, DOI 10.1090/gsm/025. MR1777332
- [GG07] Colin Guillarmou and Laurent Guillopé, *The determinant of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for surfaces with boundary*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **22** (2007), Art. ID rnm099, 26, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnm099. MR2376211
- [GH17] Matthew J. Gursky and Qing Han, *Non-existence of Poincaré-Einstein manifolds with prescribed conformal infinity*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **27** (2017), no. 4, 863–879, DOI 10.1007/s00039-017-0414-y. MR3678503
- [Gin09] Nicolas Ginoux, *The Dirac spectrum*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1976, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-01570-0. MR2509837
- [GJMS92] C. Robin Graham, Ralph Jenne, Lionel J. Mason, and George A. J. Sparling, *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian. I. Existence*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **46** (1992), no. 3, 557–565, DOI 10.1112/jlms/s2-46.3.557. MR1190438
- [GL91] C. Robin Graham and John M. Lee, *Einstein metrics with prescribed conformal infinity on the ball*, *Adv. Math.* **87** (1991), no. 2, 186–225, DOI 10.1016/0001-8708(91)90071-E. MR1112625
- [GQ10] Colin Guillarmou and Jie Qing, *Spectral characterization of Poincaré-Einstein manifolds with infinity of positive Yamabe type*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **9** (2010), 1720–1740, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnp188. MR2643579
- [Gra00] C. Robin Graham, *Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein metrics*, The Proceedings of the 19th Winter School “Geometry and Physics” (Srní, 1999), *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.* **63** (2000), 31–42. MR1758076
- [Gur99] Matthew J. Gursky, *The principal eigenvalue of a conformally invariant differential operator, with an application to semilinear elliptic PDE*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **207** (1999), no. 1, 131–143, DOI 10.1007/s002200050721. MR1724863
- [GZ03] C. Robin Graham and Maciej Zworski, *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry*, *Invent. Math.* **152** (2003), no. 1, 89–118, DOI 10.1007/s00222-002-0268-1. MR1965361
- [Hij86] Oussama Hijazi, *A conformal lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirac operator and Killing spinors*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986), no. 1, 151–162. MR834486
- [Hij91] Oussama Hijazi, *Première valeur propre de l’opérateur de Dirac et nombre de Yamabe* (French, with English summary), *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **313** (1991), no. 12, 865–868. MR1138566
- [HM14] Oussama Hijazi and Sebastián Montiel, *Supersymmetric rigidity of asymptotically locally hyperbolic manifolds*, *Internat. J. Math.* **25** (2014), no. 3, 1450020, 25, DOI 10.1142/S0129167X14500207. MR3189777
- [HMR02] Oussama Hijazi, Sebastián Montiel, and Antonio Roldán, *Eigenvalue boundary problems for the Dirac operator*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **231** (2002), no. 3, 375–390, DOI 10.1007/s00220-002-0725-0. MR1946443
- [HMR20] Oussama Hijazi, Sebastián Montiel, and Simon Raulot, *The Cheeger constant of an asymptotically locally hyperbolic manifold and the Yamabe type of its conformal infinity*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **374** (2020), no. 2, 873–890, DOI 10.1007/s00220-019-03545-x. MR4072232
- [HMZ02] Oussama Hijazi, Sebastián Montiel, and Xiao Zhang, *Conformal lower bounds for the Dirac operator of embedded hypersurfaces*, *Asian J. Math.* **6** (2002), no. 1, 23–36, DOI 10.4310/AJM.2002.v6.n1.a2. MR1902645
- [HS98] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, *The holographic Weyl anomaly*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **7** (1998), Paper 23, 12, DOI 10.1088/1126-6708/1998/07/023. MR1644988

- [Lee95] John M. Lee, *The spectrum of an asymptotically hyperbolic Einstein manifold*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **3** (1995), no. 1-2, 253–271, DOI 10.4310/CAG.1995.v3.n2.a2. MR1362652
- [Lic87] André Lichnerowicz, *Spin manifolds, Killing spinors and universality of the Hijazi inequality*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **13** (1987), no. 4, 331–344, DOI 10.1007/BF00401162. MR895296
- [LM89] H. Blaine Lawson Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. MR1031992
- [LP87] John M. Lee and Thomas H. Parker, *The Yamabe problem*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **17** (1987), no. 1, 37–91, DOI 10.1090/S0273-0979-1987-15514-5. MR888880
- [MN15] Martin Mayer and Cheikh Birahim Ndiaye, *Proof of the remaining cases of the Yamabe boundary problem*, [arXiv:1505.06114](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.06114), 2015.
- [MN17] Martin Mayer and Cheikh Birahim Ndiaye, *Barycenter technique and the Riemann mapping problem of Cherrier-Escobar*, *J. Differential Geom.* **107** (2017), no. 3, 519–560, DOI 10.4310/jdg/1508551224. MR3715348
- [Oba72] Morio Obata, *The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds*, *J. Differential Geometry* **6** (1971/72), 247–258. MR303464
- [Rau06] Simon Raulot, *The Hijazi inequality on manifolds with boundary*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **56** (2006), no. 11, 2189–2202, DOI 10.1016/j.geomphys.2005.11.013. MR2248870
- [Rau09] Simon Raulot, *On a spin conformal invariant on manifolds with boundary*, *Math. Z.* **261** (2009), no. 2, 321–349, DOI 10.1007/s00209-008-0327-4. MR2457302
- [Rau19] Simon Raulot, *A remark on the rigidity of Poincaré-Einstein manifolds*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **109** (2019), no. 5, 1247–1256, DOI 10.1007/s11005-018-01146-8. MR3946492
- [Sch84] Richard Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, *J. Differential Geom.* **20** (1984), no. 2, 479–495. MR788292
- [Wan89] McKenzie Y. Wang, *Parallel spinors and parallel forms*, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **7** (1989), no. 1, 59–68, DOI 10.1007/BF00137402. MR1029845
- [Xia97] Changyu Xia, *Rigidity of compact manifolds with boundary and nonnegative Ricci curvature*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), no. 6, 1801–1806, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-97-04078-1. MR1415343

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES R. SALEM, UMR 6085 CNRS-UNIVERSITÉ DE ROUEN, AVENUE DE L'UNIVERSITÉ, BP.12, TECHNOPÔLE DU MADRILLET, 76801 SAINT-ÉTIENNE-DU-ROUVRAY, FRANCE

Email address: simon.raulot@univ-rouen.fr