

THE FINE STRUCTURE OF TRANSLATION FUNCTORS

KAREN GÜNZL

ABSTRACT. Let E be a simple finite-dimensional representation of a semisimple Lie algebra with extremal weight ν and let $0 \neq e \in E_\nu$. Let $M(\tau)$ be the Verma module with highest weight τ and $0 \neq v_\tau \in M(\tau)_\tau$. We investigate the projection of $e \otimes v_\tau \in E \otimes M(\tau)$ on the central character $\chi(\tau + \nu)$. This is a rational function in τ and we calculate its poles and zeros. We then apply this result in order to compare translation functors.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction
 2. Filtration of $E \otimes M(\tau)$
 3. The fine structure of $E \otimes M(\tau)$
 - 3.1. Algebraicity of f_ν
 - 3.2. Poles of f_ν . Theorem 1 and proof
 - 3.3. Proof of Lemmas 6, 7, 8, and 9
 4. The triangle function Δ
 - 4.1. Preliminaries
 - 4.2. Definition of Δ
 - 4.3. Uncanonical definition of Δ
 5. Bernstein's relative trace and a special case of Δ
 - 5.1. The relative trace
 - 5.2. The special case $\Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)$
 - 5.3. Proof of Theorem 2
 - 5.4. The case $M(\tau) \rightarrow T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(\tau) \rightarrow M(\tau)$
 6. Calculation of Δ
 7. Outlook
 - 7.1. Identities
 - 7.2. Generalizations
- References

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let \mathfrak{g} be a split semisimple Lie algebra over k . Then $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote a Cartan and a Borel subalgebra, $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ the universal enveloping algebra and $\mathfrak{Z} \subset \mathfrak{U}$ its center. We set $R^+ \subset R \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ to be the roots of \mathfrak{b} and of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{n} (resp. \mathfrak{n}^-) be the sum of the positive (negative) weight

Received by the editors September 2, 1998 and, in revised form, July 19, 1999.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 17B10.
Partially supported by EEC TMR-Network ERB FMRX-CT97-0100.

spaces and denote by $P^+ \subset P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the set of dominant and the lattice of integral weights respectively. Let \mathcal{W} be the Weyl group.

A \mathfrak{g} -module M is called \mathfrak{Z} -finite, if $\dim_k(\mathfrak{Z}m) < \infty$ for all $m \in M$. Every \mathfrak{Z} -finite module M splits under the operation of the center \mathfrak{Z} into a direct sum of submodules $M = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Max}\mathfrak{Z}} M_\chi$. Here χ runs over the maximal ideals in the center and $M_\chi \in \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi)$, where

$$\mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi) := \{M \mid \text{for all } m \in M \text{ there exists } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \chi^n m = 0\}.$$

We denote the projection onto the central character χ by $\text{pr}_\chi : M \mapsto M_\chi$. By means of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism [Di, 7.4] $\xi : \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{h})$ (normalized by $z - \xi(z) \in \mathfrak{Un} \forall z \in \mathfrak{Z}$) we assign to each weight $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ its central character $\chi(\tau)$ defined by $\chi_\tau(z) := (\xi(z))(\tau) \forall z \in \mathfrak{Z}$.

Let now $\nu \in P$ be an integral weight and denote by $E := E(\nu)$ the irreducible finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight in $\mathcal{W}\nu$. It is known that for each \mathfrak{Z} -finite module M the tensor product $E \otimes M$ is again \mathfrak{Z} -finite (see [Ko]). If we now tensor a module $M \in \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau))$ with E and then project onto the central character $\chi(\tau + \nu)$, we obtain the *translation functor*

$$\begin{aligned} T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} : \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau + \nu)) \\ M &\longmapsto \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(E \otimes M). \end{aligned}$$

In the present paper we investigate the fine structure of translation functors. Namely, take for M the Verma module $M(\tau) := \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{b})} k_\tau$ with its highest weight vector $v_\tau := 1 \otimes 1 \in M(\tau)_\tau$ and then identify for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} M(\tau) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \\ uv_\tau &\mapsto u. \end{aligned}$$

We choose a fixed extremal weight vector $e_\nu \in E_\nu$ and define the map

$$\begin{aligned} f_\nu : \mathfrak{h}^* &\longrightarrow E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \\ \tau &\mapsto \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Here we identify $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) \in E \otimes M(\tau)$ with its image in $E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$. The image of the map f_ν is then contained in the finite-dimensional ν -weight space of $E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, and we may thus regard f_ν as a map between varieties. In general however, f_ν is not a morphism.

Let $\rho := 1/2 \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha$ denote the half sum of positive roots, α^\vee the co-root of α and set

$$\mathcal{N}_\nu := \{(\alpha, m_\alpha) \in R^+ \times \mathbb{Z} \mid -\langle \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq m_\alpha < -\langle \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle\}.$$

Then the set

$$\mathcal{H}_\nu := \bigcup_{(\alpha, m) \in \mathcal{N}_\nu} \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle = m\}$$

is a finite family of hyperplanes and therefore Zariski closed. We will show at first that f_ν is a morphism of varieties on the complement of $\mathcal{H}_\nu \cup \mathcal{S}$, where $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ is a suitable Zariski closed subset of codimension ≥ 2 . More precisely, \mathcal{S} consists of intersections of finitely many hyperplanes.

Define for all roots $\alpha \in R$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the polynomial function $H_{\alpha,m}$ on \mathfrak{h}^* by

$$H_{\alpha,m}(\tau) := \langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle - m \text{ for all } \tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

If we then set $\delta_\nu := \prod_{(\alpha,m) \in \mathcal{N}_\nu} H_{\alpha,m}$, we obtain $\mathcal{H}_\nu = \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \delta_\nu(\tau) = 0\}$. A central result of this paper will be the following

Theorem. *There exists a morphism of varieties $G : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow (E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-))_\nu$, such that the set of zeros of G has codimension ≥ 2 and such that G equals $\delta_\nu f_\nu$ on $\mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{H}_\nu \cup \mathcal{S})$.*

This means that the map f_ν has a pole of order 1 along each of the hyperplanes $\langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle = m$ with $(\alpha, m) \in \mathcal{N}_\nu$ and outside of these hyperplanes it is a non-vanishing morphism of varieties except on a set of codimension ≥ 2 .

We remark that Kashiwara [Ka, Thm. 1.7] has also determined these poles (for universal Verma modules) in the special case of dominant τ and antidominant ν , i.e. for ν the lowest weight of E . He then used this result to calculate b -functions on the flag manifold.

Etingof and Styrkas [ES] have considered a slightly different situation in connection with intertwiners: Instead of looking at the element $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau)$ which for generic τ is a generator for the Verma module $M(\tau + \nu)$ seen as a submodule of $E \otimes M(\tau)$, consider rather a different generator $w_{\tau+\nu}$ given by $w_{\tau+\nu} := e_\nu \otimes v_\tau + R$, where $R \in E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)\mathfrak{n}^-v_\tau$. By the above theorem we can then express $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau)$ as $(\delta_\nu(\tau))^{-1} a_\nu(\tau) w_{\tau+\nu}$, where a_ν is a polynomial in \mathfrak{h}^* and its zeros contain the poles of the coefficient functions occurring in R . For $\nu = 0$, not necessarily an extremal weight of E , these poles (i.e. the poles of $w_{\tau+\nu}$) have been computed in [ES] in terms of the determinant of the Shapovalov form. Etingof and Varchenko later used this result to establish a Hopf-algebroid structure on a certain (rational) exchange quantum group [EV, Ch. 5].

In Chapter 4 we introduce the so-called triangle functions $\Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau)$ for integral weights μ and ν and $x \in \mathcal{W}$. These are rational functions on \mathfrak{h}^* , which measure in a subtle way the relation between the two translation functors $T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} \circ T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}$ and $T_\tau^{\tau+\nu+\mu}$ by first applying them to the Verma modules $M(\tau)$ and $M(x \cdot \tau)$ and then identifying the results with $M(\tau + \nu + \mu)$ and $M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu))$ respectively.

This construction is the characteristic zero analogue of a function introduced by Andersen, Jantzen and Soergel [AJS, Ch. 11]. In fact, the motivation for the present work was to understand better some of the more technical chapters in [AJS] and in particular realizing the poles and zeros of Δ in a different way. In order to do this we make use of the maps f_ν for suitable integral weights ν and can thus write Δ as a product of the corresponding δ_ν 's. This is done in Chapter 6 (Lemma 11). If we set now $\bar{\alpha}(\lambda) := 1$ for $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0$ and $\bar{\alpha}(\lambda) := 0$ for $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0$, we then obtain

Theorem. *Let $\nu, \mu \in P$ be integral weights and $x \in \mathcal{W}$. Then*

$$\Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau - \rho) = c \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ \text{with } x\alpha \in R^-}} \frac{\langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\nu)} \langle \tau + \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\mu)} \langle \tau + \nu + \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\nu+\mu)}}{\langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\nu+\mu)} \langle \tau + \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\nu)} \langle \tau + \nu + \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\mu)}}$$

for a constant $c \in k^\times$ independent of τ, ν, μ and x .

Bernstein defined in [Be] the so-called *relative trace* tr_E for a finite-dimensional vector space E and this function is related to the special case $\Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)$, where ν is dominant and w_0 is the longest element of \mathcal{W} . This is explained in Chapter 5. By Bernstein’s explicit formula for tr_E – or alternatively by [Ka, Thm. 1.9]—we obtain

Corollary. *Let $\nu \in P^+$ be dominant and $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ generic, i.e. $\langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in R$. Then*

$$\Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau) = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{\langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}.$$

2. FILTRATION OF $E \otimes M(\tau)$

In the following let $\nu \in P$ be a fixed integral weight. Then let ν_1, \dots, ν_n be the multiset of weights of $E = E(\nu)$, i.e. with multiplicities, and let $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a basis of weight vectors of E , such that $e_i \in E_{\nu_i}$ and $\nu_i < \nu_j \Rightarrow i < j$. Here $\lambda \leq \mu$ for weights $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, if $\mu - \lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} n_\alpha \alpha$ with $n_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [BGG1] it was shown that the tensor product $E \otimes M(\tau)$ admits a chain of submodules $N_i := \sum_{j=i}^n \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)(e_j \otimes v_\tau)$, such that $N_i/N_{i+1} \cong M(\tau + \nu_i)$.

Using this, one can easily construct a slightly coarser filtration, namely a filtration such that the subquotients are each isomorphic to a direct sum of Verma modules with the same highest weight. In order to do this, let $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m$ denote the set of weights of E *without* multiplicities and set $d_j := \dim E_{\mu_j}$. We may choose the numbering of the ν_i in such a way that $\nu_k = \mu_j$ for all k with $d_1 + \dots + d_{j-1} < k \leq d_1 + \dots + d_j$. The weight space E_{μ_j} is then generated by precisely these e_k . Set $d_0 := 0$ and define for $1 \leq j \leq m$:

$$M_j := \sum_{k=j}^m \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)(E_{\mu_k} \otimes v_\tau) = \sum_{k > d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_{j-1}}^n \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)(e_k \otimes v_\tau).$$

We obtain immediately: The chain $E \otimes M(\tau) = M_1 \supset \dots \supset M_m \supset 0$ is a filtration of submodules such that $M_j/M_{j+1} \cong \bigoplus_{d_j} M(\tau + \mu_j)$ and the summands $M(\tau + \mu_j)$ are generated by the vectors $(e_i \otimes v_\tau)/M_{j+1} = \text{pr}(e_i \otimes v_\tau)/M_{j+1}$, where e_i is a vector of weight μ_j .

Lemma 1. *For $1 \leq j \leq m$ let $s_j \in \mathfrak{J}$ with $\chi_{\tau + \mu_j}(s_j) = 0$ and set $z_i := \prod_{j \geq i} s_j$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq m$ we get: $z_i M_i = 0$.*

In particular, for a weight vector $e_{\mu_i} \in E_{\mu_i}$ we obtain

$$z_{i+1}(e_{\mu_i} \otimes v_\tau) = z_{i+1} \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau + \mu_i)}(e_{\mu_i} \otimes v_\tau).$$

Proof. The first statement follows by induction from above and since a central element $z \in \mathfrak{J}$ operates on the Verma module $M(\lambda)$ by multiplication with the scalar $\chi_\lambda(z)$.

By construction of the M_i it is clear, that for $e_{\mu_i} \otimes v_\tau \in M_i$ its projections $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau + \mu)}(e_{\mu_i} \otimes v_\tau)$ lie already in M_{i+1} if $\chi(\tau + \mu) \neq \chi(\tau + \mu_i)$. But $e_{\mu_i} \otimes v_\tau$ equals the sum of its projections and thus the second statement follows from the first. \square

Note that for the extremal weight $\nu = \nu_{i_0} = \mu_{j_0}$ its weight space is of dimension 1. Therefore, we can always choose the numbering of the ν_i such that $i > i_0 \iff \nu_i > \nu_{i_0} = \nu$ or equivalently $j > j_0 \iff \mu_j > \mu_{j_0} = \nu$. It then follows immediately that an element of $M_{i_0} = M_{j_0}$ (of $M_{i_0+1} = M_{j_0+1}$ resp.) consists only of parts with

central character $\chi_{\tau+\mu}$ for $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu \geq \nu$ ($\mu > \nu$ resp.). For $e_\nu \otimes v_\tau \in M_{i_0}$ we thus obtain the following special case of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *For $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu > \nu$ let $s_\mu \in \mathfrak{Z}$ such that $\chi_{\tau+\mu}(s_\mu) = 0$ and set $z := \prod_{\mu > \nu} s_\mu$. Then $z(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) = z \operatorname{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau)$.*

3. THE FINE STRUCTURE OF $E \otimes M(\tau)$

3.1. Algebraicity of f_ν . For $\nu \in P$ fixed, set $E := E(\nu)$ and let f_ν be the map

$$\begin{aligned} f_\nu : \mathfrak{h}^* &\longrightarrow E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \\ \tau &\longmapsto \operatorname{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) \end{aligned}$$

where we identify $E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \cong E \otimes M(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. We will first construct a Zariski open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that f_ν restricted to \mathcal{U} is a morphism of varieties. In this case we will also say that f_ν is algebraic on \mathcal{U} .

Before we go on, we need some more notation: The dot-operation of the Weyl group on \mathfrak{h}^* has fixed point $-\rho = -1/2 \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha$ and is defined by $w \cdot \lambda := w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ denote the set of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{h}^* and $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}}$ the $(\mathcal{W}\cdot)$ -invariants. We define the operator

$$\operatorname{sym} : \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}}$$

by $(\operatorname{sym} s)(\lambda) := \prod_{x \in \mathcal{W}} s(x \cdot \lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*, s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$.

For any $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu > \nu$ we now choose an element $h_\mu \in \mathfrak{h}$, $h_\mu \neq 0$ and define maps $H_\mu : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^* \longrightarrow k$ by

$$H_\mu(\lambda, \tau) := \langle \lambda - \tau - \mu, h_\mu \rangle \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

If we fix $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the map $H_\mu(-, \tau) : \mathfrak{h}^* \longrightarrow k$ is then a polynomial function on \mathfrak{h}^* and its kernel is obviously a hyperplane in \mathfrak{h}^* . We then define a $(\mathcal{W}\cdot)$ -invariant polynomial function p_τ , depending also on the choice of the elements h_μ , by

$$p_\tau := \prod_{\mu \in P(E), \mu > \nu} \operatorname{sym} H_\mu(-, \tau).$$

By means of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism [Di, 7.4] $\xi : \mathfrak{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}}$, there exist central elements $s_\mu \in \mathfrak{Z}$, such that $\xi(s_\mu) = \operatorname{sym} H_\mu(-, \tau)$. For $z_\tau := \prod_{\mu > \nu} s_\mu$ we have $\xi(z_\tau) = p_\tau$. Define now the map

$$\begin{aligned} u = u_{\{h_\mu\}} : \mathfrak{h}^* &\longrightarrow k \\ \tau &\longmapsto p_\tau(\tau + \nu) \end{aligned}$$

and set $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}} := \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid u(\tau) \neq 0\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Lemma 3. *The map f_ν restricted to $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$ is a morphism of varieties and for all $\tau \in \mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$ we have $f_\nu(\tau) = (u(\tau))^{-1} z_\tau(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau)$.*

Proof. We know $\chi_{\tau+\mu}(s_\mu) = (\xi(s_\mu))(\tau + \mu) = (\operatorname{sym} H_\mu(-, \tau))(\tau + \mu) = 0$ and by Lemma 2 we conclude $z_\tau(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) = z_\tau \operatorname{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau)$.

Let now $\tau \in \mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$, i.e. $u(\tau) \neq 0$. This means, that for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and for all $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu > \nu$ we have $\langle w \cdot (\tau + \nu) - \tau - \mu, h_\mu \rangle \neq 0$ and in particular $w \cdot (\tau + \nu) \neq \tau + \mu$ or equivalently $\chi(\tau + \nu) \neq \chi(\tau + \mu) \forall \mu \in P(E), \mu > \nu$. This implies, that already the projection $\operatorname{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) \in E \otimes M(\tau)$ generates a Verma module $M(\tau + \nu)$. A central element z operates on this by multiplication with the

scalar $(\xi(z))(\tau + \nu) = u(\tau)$. We therefore get $z_\tau(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) = z_\tau \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) = u(\tau)f_\nu(\tau)$ and the above equation follows.

We have yet to show that f_ν is algebraic on $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$. By construction of z_τ it is clear that z_τ depends algebraically on τ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Also the map $\tau \mapsto z_\tau(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) \in E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ is a morphism on \mathfrak{h}^* and $1/u$ is per definition algebraic on $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$. Thus f_ν restricted to $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$ is a morphism. \square

Example. Let $\nu \in P(E)$ be an extremal and dominant weight. For all $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu \neq \nu$ we then have $\mu < \nu$ and we may choose $z_\tau := 1 \in \mathfrak{Z}$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. The above lemma then implies $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}} = \mathfrak{h}^*$, the map f_ν is a morphism on \mathfrak{h}^* and $f_\nu(\tau) = e_\nu \otimes v_\tau$ for all τ .

Lemma 3 implies that f_ν is algebraic on $\mathcal{U} := \bigcup \mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$, where the union is taken over all possible choices for $\{h_\mu \mid \mu \in P(E), \mu > \nu\}$. If we set

$$\mathcal{A} := \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid u(\tau) = p_\tau(\tau + \nu) = 0 \text{ for all choices of } \{h_\mu\}\},$$

we can write \mathcal{U} as $\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{h}^* - \mathcal{A}$. Since

$$p_\tau(\tau + \nu) = \prod_{\mu \in P(E), \mu > \nu} \prod_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \langle w \cdot (\tau + \nu) - \tau - \mu, h_\mu \rangle$$

we know that τ is in \mathcal{A} if and only if there exists a $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and a $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu > \nu$, such that $w \cdot (\tau + \nu) = \tau + \mu$. Thus we obtain

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{\substack{\mu \in P(E), \mu > \nu \\ w \in \mathcal{W}}} \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid w \cdot (\tau + \nu) = \tau + \mu\}.$$

Let us examine more closely the sets on the right hand side: For $w = s_\alpha, \alpha \in R$, we get $\{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha = \nu - \mu - \langle \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha\}$, which implies that this set is nonempty if and only if there exists a weight $\mu = \nu - \langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$ in the α -string through ν , such that $\mu \in P(E)$ and $\mu > \nu$. Since ν was an extremal weight, it is either the greatest or the smallest weight in this α -string and hence such a μ exists only if $\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0$ for an $\alpha \in R^+$. In this case all $\mu_{(n)} := \nu + n\alpha$ for $1 \leq n \leq -\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ are weights of E with $\mu_{(n)} \geq \nu$. Comparing this with $\mu = \nu - \langle \nu + \rho + \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$ we obtain as a condition for τ , precisely $-\langle \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq \langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq -\langle \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle - 1$ for an $\alpha \in R^+$.

Set $\mathcal{W}^0 := \{w \in \mathcal{W} \mid w \neq s_\alpha \text{ for an } \alpha \in R\}$. For

$$\mathcal{N}_\nu := \{(\alpha, m_\alpha) \in R^+ \times \mathbb{Z} \mid -\langle \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq m_\alpha < -\langle \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\nu := \bigcup_{(\alpha, m) \in \mathcal{N}_\nu} \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle = m\},$$

$$\mathcal{S} := \bigcup_{\substack{\mu \in P(E), \mu > \nu \\ w \in \mathcal{W}^0}} \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid w \cdot (\tau + \nu) = \tau + \mu\},$$

we get $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}_\nu \cup \mathcal{S}$. Remark that in general \mathcal{H}_ν and \mathcal{S} are not disjoint. We claim that $\text{codim } \mathcal{S} \geq 2$: for $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\mu \in P$ let $\mathcal{S}(w, \mu) := \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid w \cdot (\tau + \nu) = \tau + \mu\}$. Since \mathcal{S} is a finite union of such sets it will be enough to show that $\text{codim } \mathcal{S}(w, \mu) \geq 2$ for $w \in \mathcal{W}^0$ and $\mu > \nu$. First rewrite $w \cdot (\tau + \nu) = \tau + \mu$ as $(w - \text{id})(\tau) = \mu - w \cdot \nu$ and note that $\text{codim } \mathcal{S}(w, \mu) = \text{rank}(w - \text{id}) = \dim \mathfrak{h}^* - m_w$, where m_w denotes the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 of w since w is diagonalizable. If we assume now $\text{codim } \mathcal{S}(w, \mu) \leq 1$, then $m_w = \dim \mathfrak{h}^*$ or $m_w = \dim \mathfrak{h}^* - 1$ which implies either

$w = e$ or $w = s_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in R$. But for $w \in \mathcal{W}^0$ and $\mu > \nu$ this is impossible and we get in this case that $\text{codim } \mathcal{S}(w, \mu) = \text{rank}(w - \text{id}) \geq 2$.

This implies also that a set $\mathcal{S}(w, \mu)$ appearing in \mathcal{S} consists of an intersection of at least two integral hyperplanes in \mathfrak{h}^* .

Using Lemma 3 we obtain

Lemma 4. *The map f_ν is algebraic on the complement of $\mathcal{H}_\nu \cup \mathcal{S}$.*

3.2. Poles of f_ν . Theorem 1 and proof. Let now $\delta_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ be the product of the equations of the hyperplanes in \mathcal{H}_ν ; that is

$$\delta_\nu(\tau) := \prod_{(\alpha, m) \in \mathcal{N}_\nu} H_{\alpha, m}(\tau) = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{0 \leq n_\alpha < -\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle - n_\alpha),$$

where $H_{\alpha, m}(\tau) := \langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle - m$. We then have $\mathcal{H}_\nu = \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \delta_\nu(\tau) = 0\}$.

Theorem 1. *There exists a morphism of varieties $G : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow (E(\nu) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-))_\nu$, such that the set of zeros of G has codimension ≥ 2 and such that G equals $\delta_\nu f_\nu$ on $\mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{H}_\nu \cup \mathcal{S})$.*

The proof comes in two parts. In the first part we demonstrate the existence of an algebraic extension G of $\delta_\nu f_\nu$ on the whole of \mathfrak{h}^* , in the second we will show that the set of zeros of G has codimension ≥ 2 . It will be useful to introduce the set $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ of all intersections of hyperplanes in \mathcal{H}_ν . So if we set

$$\mathcal{S}_1 := \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid H_{\alpha, m}(\tau) = 0 = H_{\beta, n}(\tau) \text{ for } (\alpha, m) \neq (\beta, n) \in \mathcal{N}_\nu\},$$

then $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1$ is a Zariski closed subset of codimension ≥ 2 . By definition of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}_1 we obtain immediately

Lemma 5. *Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_\nu - (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1)$. Then there exists exactly one $\alpha \in R^+$, such that $s_\alpha \cdot (\tau + \nu) = \tau + \mu_0$ for a weight μ_0 of E with $\mu_0 > \nu$, namely $\mu_0 = \nu - \langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$. For all $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu > \nu$ and $\mu \neq \mu_0$ we have $w \cdot (\tau + \nu) \neq \tau + \mu$ for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. Again let $\mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{H}_\nu \cup \mathcal{S})$ be the set on which f_ν is algebraic. First we claim

- (*) For all $\tau_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1)$ there exists a Zariski open neighborhood \mathcal{U}_0 of τ_0 and an algebraic map $G_0 : \mathcal{U}_0 \rightarrow (E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-))_\nu$, such that $G_0 = \delta_\nu f_\nu$ on $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}_0$.

This statement then implies the existence of an algebraic extension of $\delta_\nu f_\nu$ locally around every point of $\mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1)$. This extension is unique and thus we obtain a global algebraic extension on $\mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1)$. Since $\text{codim}(\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1) \geq 2$ we can extend this to a morphism G on the whole of \mathfrak{h}^* .

Let now $\tau_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1)$.

If $\tau_0 \notin \mathcal{H}_\nu$, then $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{H}_\nu \cup \mathcal{S})$ and since f_ν was algebraic on \mathcal{U} (Lemma 4), claim (*) follows with $\mathcal{U}_0 := \mathcal{U}$ and $G_0 := \delta_\nu f_\nu$.

Let now $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\nu$. By assumption we then have $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\nu - (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1)$, and Lemma 5 implies that $\langle \tau_0, \alpha^\vee \rangle = t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for exactly one $\alpha \in R^+$ and $\mu_0 = \nu - \langle \nu + \rho + \tau_0, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$ is a weight of E with $\mu_0 > \nu$.

Again we construct a set $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$ with a particular choice of $\{h_\mu\}$. Namely, choose for all weights $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu > \nu$ and $\mu \neq \mu_0$ elements $h_\mu \in \mathfrak{h}$, such that $H_\mu(w \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0) = \langle w \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu) - \tau_0 - \mu, h_\mu \rangle \neq 0$ for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$. For μ_0 however, choose h_{μ_0} such that

- a) $\langle \alpha, h_{\mu_0} \rangle \neq 0$ and
 b) $H_{\mu_0}(w \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0) = \langle w \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu) - \tau_0 - \mu_0, h_{\mu_0} \rangle \neq 0$ for all $s_\alpha \neq w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Lemma 5 ensures that such a choice of $\{h_\mu\}$ is always possible. For $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we set again $p_\tau := \prod_{\mu \in P(E), \mu > \nu} \text{sym } H_\mu(-, \tau)$, and $\xi^{-1}(p_\tau) =: z_\tau \in \mathfrak{Z}$. For u defined by $u(\tau) = p_\tau(\tau + \nu)$ we then obtain $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}} = \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid u(\tau) \neq 0\}$. According to Lemma 3 the map f_ν restricted to $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$ is algebraic. Let us have a closer look at the map u : It vanishes along the hyperplane $\ker H_{\alpha, t_0}$, because for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we have $H_{\mu_0}(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau + \nu), \tau) = -\langle \alpha, h_{\mu_0} \rangle \cdot (\langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle - t_0) = a \cdot H_{\alpha, t_0}(\tau)$, where $a := -\langle \alpha, h_{\mu_0} \rangle \neq 0$ according to assumption a).

All the other hyperplanes along which u vanishes are not equal to $\ker H_{\alpha, t_0}$ but do at most intersect it. Otherwise, (since $\tau_0 \in \ker H_{\alpha, t_0}$) we would have $H_\mu(w \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0) = 0$ for a pair $(\mu, w) \neq (\mu_0, s_\alpha)$ which is impossible by our choice of the h_μ .

For $\bar{u} : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow k$ defined by $\bar{u}H_{\alpha, t_0} = u$, it follows by what we just said that $\bar{u}(\tau_0) \neq 0$. With $\mathcal{U}_0 := \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \bar{u}(\tau) \neq 0\}$ we then get

- $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0$,
- $1/\bar{u}$ is algebraic on \mathcal{U}_0 ,
- $\mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}} = \mathcal{U}_0 - \ker H_{\alpha, t_0}$, thus $\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}} \cup \ker H_{\alpha, t_0}$,
- $(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}_0) \subset \mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$, since $\mathcal{U} \cap \ker H_{\alpha, t_0} = \emptyset$.

If we define $\bar{\delta} = \bar{\delta}_\nu : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow k$ by $\bar{\delta}H_{\alpha, t_0} = \delta_\nu$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} G_0 : \mathcal{U}_0 &\longrightarrow E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \\ \tau &\longmapsto \bar{\delta}(\tau) (\bar{u}(\tau))^{-1} z_\tau (e_\nu \otimes v_\tau), \end{aligned}$$

we know that G_0 is algebraic on \mathcal{U}_0 . In particular, G_0 is algebraic on an open neighborhood of τ_0 . This is now the local algebraic extension of $\delta_\nu f_\nu$ around τ_0 which we were looking for, because according to Lemma 3 we have for all $\tau \in \mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\nu(\tau) f_\nu(\tau) &= \delta_\nu(\tau) (u(\tau))^{-1} z_\tau (e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) \\ &= \bar{\delta}_\nu(\tau) H_{\alpha, t_0}(\tau) (H_{\alpha, t_0}(\tau))^{-1} (\bar{u}(\tau))^{-1} z_\tau (e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) \\ &= G_0(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this equation holds for all $\tau \in (\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}_0) \subset \mathcal{U}_{\{h_\mu\}}$, i.e. (*).

It remains to show that the set of zeros of G has codimension ≥ 2 .

In order to do this, let \mathcal{S}_2 be the union of all intersections of hyperplanes in \mathcal{H}_ν with any other integral hyperplanes, that is

$$\mathcal{S}_2 := \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \{\tau \in \mathcal{H}_\nu \mid \langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle = m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for a pair } (\alpha, m) \notin \mathcal{N}_\nu\}.$$

We claim

$$(**) \mathcal{K} := \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid G(\tau) = 0\} \subset (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_2)$$

and conclude then that $\text{codim } \mathcal{K} \geq 2$. Indeed, by definition the set $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_2$ consists of a countable family of intersections of integral hyperplanes. On the other hand, G is algebraic on \mathfrak{h}^* and therefore its set of zeros must be Zariski closed. Since a countable family of intersections of integral hyperplanes is Zariski closed only if it is a *finite* family of such intersections, the set \mathcal{K} must have codimension ≥ 2 .

Let now $\tau_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_2)$. We have to show $G(\tau_0) \neq 0$.

If $\tau_0 \notin \mathcal{H}_\nu$, we have $\delta_\nu(\tau_0) \neq 0$ and $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{U} = \mathfrak{h}^* - (\mathcal{H}_\nu \cup \mathcal{S})$. Now G equals $\delta_\nu f_\nu$ on \mathcal{U} and hence $G(\tau_0) = \delta_\nu(\tau_0) f_\nu(\tau_0) \neq 0$.

Let now $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\nu$. Again by the first part of the proof we know that there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{U}_0 of τ_0 , such that $G(\tau) = \bar{\delta}_\nu(\tau)(\bar{u}(\tau))^{-1}z_\tau(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{U}_0$. Since $\bar{\delta}_\nu(\tau_0) \neq 0$ it thus suffices to show

(**)' $z_{\tau_0}(e_\nu \otimes v_{\tau_0}) \neq 0$.

In order to do this, we will use Lemmas 6 to 9 of the following section. Set $e := e_\nu$ and denote the projection onto the central character $\chi(\tau_0 + \nu)$ by $\text{pr} = \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau_0 + \nu)} : E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \rightarrow E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$.

Since $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\nu - (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_2)$, there exists exactly one $\alpha \in R^+$ such that $\langle \tau_0, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $R_{\tau_0} := \{\beta \in R \mid \langle \tau_0, \beta^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$ and hence the Weyl group \mathcal{W}_{τ_0} of this root system R_{τ_0} equals $\mathcal{W}_{\tau_0} = \langle s_\beta \mid \beta \in R_{\tau_0} \rangle = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$. For τ_0 and $\mu_0 = \nu - \langle \tau_0 + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$ we may then apply Lemma 8 and obtain a short exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{\dim E_{\mu_0}} M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) \hookrightarrow \text{pr}(E \otimes M(\tau_0)) \rightarrow L(\tau_0 + \nu)$$

such that $\text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0}) / \text{pr}(E \otimes M(\tau_0))$ generates the simple module $L(\tau_0 + \nu)$. The module $\text{pr}(E \otimes M(\tau_0))$ is projective (Lemma 7) and this forces the above short exact sequence to be a nontrivial extension because otherwise, being a direct summand, $L(\tau_0 + \nu)$ would be projective too. This contradicts Lemma 7.

Therefore (see for example [HS, Ch. 3, Lemma 4.1]) we have at least one direct summand $M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu))$ of $R_2 := \bigoplus_{\dim E_{\mu_0}} M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu))$, such that the projection $\text{pro} : R_2 \rightarrow M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu))$ induces a nontrivial extension

$$M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) \hookrightarrow \text{PO} \rightarrow L(\tau_0 + \nu)$$

together with a homomorphism $\text{p}\tilde{\text{r}}\text{o} : R_1 := \text{pr}(E \otimes M(\tau_0)) \rightarrow \text{PO}$, such that the following diagram commutes (the rows are exact, PO is a push-out of $\text{pro} : R_2 \rightarrow M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu))$ and $R_2 \hookrightarrow R_1$; see for example the dual statement to [HS, Ch. 3, Lemma 1.3]):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R_2 & \longrightarrow & R_1 & \longrightarrow & R_1/R_2 \cong L(\tau_0 + \nu) \\ \downarrow \text{pro} & & \downarrow \text{p}\tilde{\text{r}}\text{o} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) & \longrightarrow & \text{PO} & \longrightarrow & \text{PO}/M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) \cong L(\tau_0 + \nu) \end{array}$$

Since the bottom row is a nontrivial extension and since $\mathcal{W}_{\tau_0 + \nu} = \mathcal{W}_{\tau_0} = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$, the push-out PO is isomorphic to $P(\tau_0 + \nu)$, the projective cover of $L(\tau_0 + \nu)$ in \mathcal{O} (Lemma 6). In the right loop of the above diagram lies the following element diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0}) & \longrightarrow & \text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0})/R_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{p}\tilde{\text{r}}\text{o}(\text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0})) & \longrightarrow & \text{p}\tilde{\text{r}}\text{o}(\text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0}))/M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) \end{array}$$

Since $\text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0})/R_2$ is a generator of $L(\tau_0 + \nu)$ we conclude that $\text{p}\tilde{\text{r}}\text{o}(\text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0}))/M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu))$ is also a generator of $L(\tau_0 + \nu)$ and thus its preimage $\text{p}\tilde{\text{r}}\text{o}(\text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0}))$ generates the indecomposable module $\text{PO} \cong P(\tau_0 + \nu)$. By Lemma 9 the element z_{τ_0} is not contained in the annihilator of $P(\tau_0 + \nu)$, this means in particular $0 \neq z_{\tau_0} \text{p}\tilde{\text{r}}\text{o}(\text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0})) = \text{p}\tilde{\text{r}}\text{o}(z_{\tau_0} \text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0}))$

and we obtain $z_{\tau_0} \text{pr}(e \otimes v_{\tau_0}) \neq 0$. Therefore, claim $(**)$ ' is proven and the proof of Theorem 1 is finished provided we know that Lemma 6, 7, 8 and 9 hold. \square

3.3. Proof of Lemmas 6, 7, 8 and 9. In the following let e_ν denote again the fixed extremal weight vector of the finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module $E = E(\nu)$. Set $v_\tau \in M(\tau)$ the canonical generator of the Verma module and let $E \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \cong E \otimes M(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. The category \mathcal{O} is the category of all finitely generated \mathfrak{g} -modules, which are \mathfrak{b} -finite and semisimple over \mathfrak{h} [BGG2]. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ set $\mathcal{W}_\lambda := \{w \in \mathcal{W} \mid \lambda - w\lambda \in P\}$ and $R_\lambda := \{\beta \in R \mid \langle \lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The group \mathcal{W}_λ is then the Weyl group to the root system R_λ [Ja1, 1.3]. Denote by $P(\lambda)$ the projective cover in \mathcal{O} of the simple module $L(\lambda)$.

Lemma 6. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with $\mathcal{W}_\lambda = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$ and $M(\lambda) = L(\lambda)$ simple. If the short exact sequence $M(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda) \hookrightarrow N \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda)$ is a nontrivial extension, then N is isomorphic to $P(\lambda)$.*

Proof. For λ with $\mathcal{W}_\lambda = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$ there exists in \mathcal{O} (up to isomorphism) a unique indecomposable projective module $P(\lambda)$, such that the short exact sequence

$$M(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda) \hookrightarrow P(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda)$$

is a nontrivial extension [BGG2, Ch. 4]. We thus have a nontrivial element of $\text{Ext}^1(L(\lambda), M(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda))$. The assertion follows immediately if we know that $\dim \text{Ext}^1(L(\lambda), M(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda)) \leq 1$. Indeed, construct for $M(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda) \hookrightarrow P(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda)$ the long exact homology sequence [HS, Ch. 3, Thm. 5.3] (set $M = M(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda)$, $P = P(\lambda)$, $L = L(\lambda)$, $\text{Ext} = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and let ω be the connecting homomorphism):

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M) \xrightarrow{\omega} \text{Ext}^1(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(P, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, M) \rightarrow \dots$$

and note that $\text{Ext}^1(P, M) = 0$ since $P = P(\lambda)$ is projective [HS, Chap. 3, Prop. 2.6]. By exactness we obtain ω surjective. Since now for any Verma module M $\dim \text{Hom}(M, M) = 1$, we conclude that $\dim \text{Ext}^1(L, M) \leq 1$. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with $\mathcal{W}_\tau = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$.*

- (a) *If $\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0$, then $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(E \otimes M(\tau))$ is projective, this means that $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(E \otimes M(\tau))$ is a projective object of the category \mathcal{O} .*
- (b) *If $\langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq 0$, then $M(\tau + \nu) = L(\tau + \nu)$ is simple and not projective.*

Proof. a) A Verma module $M(\lambda)$ is projective if $\langle \lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\beta \in R_\lambda \cap R^+$ [Ja2, 4.8]. Since $\mathcal{W}_\tau = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$, we have $R_\tau \cap R^+ = \{\alpha\}$ and hence $M(\tau)$ is projective. Then also $E \otimes M(\tau)$ is projective, because for E with $\dim E < \infty$ the functor

$$F_E : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} \\ M & \longmapsto & E \otimes M \end{array}$$

maps projective objects of \mathcal{O} to projective objects of \mathcal{O} [BG]. Its direct summand $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(E \otimes M(\tau)) \subset E \otimes M(\tau)$ is then projective too.

b) A Verma module $M(\lambda)$ is simple if and only if $\langle \lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle \leq 0$ for all $\beta \in R_\lambda \cap R^+$ [Di, 7.6.24] or [Ja1, 1.8, 1.9]. Since $\mathcal{W}_{\tau+\nu} = \mathcal{W}_\tau = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$ we obtain $R_{\tau+\nu} \cap R^+ = \{\alpha\}$ and the simplicity of $M(\tau + \nu) = L(\tau + \nu)$ follows. Since the short exact sequence $M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau + \nu)) \hookrightarrow P(\tau + \nu) \twoheadrightarrow L(\tau + \nu)$ is a nontrivial extension [BGG2, Ch. 4], the module $L(\tau + \nu)$ cannot be projective. \square

Lemma 8. *Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with $\mathcal{W}_\tau = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$ and $\mu_0 := \nu - \langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha \in P(E)$ such that $\mu_0 > \nu$. Then the module $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(E \otimes M(\tau))$ admits a chain of submodules*

$$\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(E \otimes M(\tau)) = R_1 \supset R_2 \supset 0,$$

such that $R_2 \cong \bigoplus_{\dim E_{\mu_0}} M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau + \nu))$ and $R_1/R_2 \cong M(\tau + \nu) = L(\tau + \nu)$ and such that $L(\tau + \nu)$ is generated by $(\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau))/R_2$.

Proof. Let $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m$ be the weights of E without multiplicities, numbered such that $\mu_i < \mu_j \Rightarrow i < j$. Set $\mu_{j_0} := \nu, \mu_{j_1} := \mu_0, d_j := \dim E_{\mu_j}$ and $\text{pr} := \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}$. From Chapter 2 we already know that $E \otimes M(\tau)$ has a chain of submodules $E \otimes M(\tau) = M_1 \supset \dots \supset M_m \supset 0$ such that $M_j/M_{j+1} \cong \bigoplus_{d_j} M(\tau + \mu_j)$. It is then clear that $\text{pr}(E \otimes M(\tau)) = \text{pr} M_1 \supset \dots \supset \text{pr} M_m \supset 0$ is a chain of submodules such that the subquotients $\text{pr} M_j / \text{pr} M_{j+1}$ are isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{d_j} M(\tau + \mu_j)$, if $\tau + \mu_j \in \mathcal{W} \cdot (\tau + \nu)$, otherwise they are 0. Since μ_j and ν are integral weights, we get $\mu_j = w \cdot (\tau + \nu) - \tau$ only for $w \in \mathcal{W}_\tau$. For $w = e$ this yields $\mu_{j_0} = \nu$, for $w = s_\alpha$ we obtain $\mu_{j_1} = \mu_0$ since $\tau + \mu_0 = s_\alpha \cdot (\tau + \nu)$. By assumption we have $\mu_{j_0} = \nu < \mu_0 = \mu_{j_1}$, and thus $j_0 < j_1$. Therefore, if we omit in this chain trivial submodules we obtain a chain $\text{pr}(E \otimes M(\tau)) = \text{pr} M_{j_0} =: R_1 \supset \text{pr} M_{j_1} =: R_2 \supset 0$ such that $R_2 \cong \bigoplus_{d_{j_1}} M(\tau + \mu_0) = \bigoplus_{d_{j_1}} M(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau + \nu))$ and $R_1/R_2 \cong \bigoplus_{d_{j_0}} M(\tau + \nu) \cong M(\tau + \nu)$. By construction of the M_i this module is generated by $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau)/R_2$. Now $\mu_0 > \nu$ forces $\langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0$ and together with $\mathcal{W}_{\tau+\nu} = \mathcal{W}_\tau = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$ this implies $M(\tau + \nu) = L(\tau + \nu)$ (Lemma 7). \square

For $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ define maps $H_\mu : \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow k$ by $H_\mu(\lambda, \tau) := \langle \lambda - \tau - \mu, h_\mu \rangle \forall \lambda, \tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*, h_\mu \in \mathfrak{h}$. For $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ set $p_\tau := \prod_{\mu > \nu, \mu \in P(E)} \text{sym} H_\mu(-, \tau)$ and $z_\tau := \xi^{-1}(p_\tau) \in \mathfrak{Z}$ the preimage of p_τ under the Harish-Chandra isomorphism $\xi : \mathfrak{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}}$.

Lemma 9. *Let $\tau_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with $\mathcal{W}_{\tau_0} = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$ and $-\langle \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq \langle \tau_0, \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq -\langle \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle - 1$. Assume the $h_\mu \in \mathfrak{h}$ to be chosen such that*

- (a) $\langle \alpha, h_{\mu_0} \rangle \neq 0$ for $\mu_0 := \nu - \langle \tau_0 + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$ and
- (b) $H_\mu(w \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0) \neq 0$ for $(w, \mu) \neq (s_\alpha, \mu_0), \forall w \in \mathcal{W}, \mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu > \nu$.

Then $z_{\tau_0} \notin \text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{Z}} P(\tau_0 + \nu)$.

Proof. We will first give an explicit description of the annihilator $\text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{Z}} P(\tau_0 + \nu)$ by a theorem of Soergel [So]. For this let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, such that for all $\beta \in R^+ \cap R_\lambda$ we have $\langle \lambda + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle \geq 0$. Denote by $w_\lambda \in \mathcal{W}_\lambda$ the longest element with respect to the Bruhat ordering. Then define for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^+ : \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*) \\ p &\longmapsto \mu^+(p) \end{aligned}$$

by $(\mu^+(p))(\tau) := p(\tau + \mu)$ for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then [So, 2.2]:

$$\xi^{-1}(p) \in \text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{Z}} P(w_\lambda \cdot \lambda) \iff \lambda^+(p) \in (\mathcal{P}^+(\mathfrak{h}^*))^{\mathcal{W}_\lambda} \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*).$$

Here $\mathcal{P}^+(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ denotes the set of polynomial functions on \mathfrak{h}^* without constant term. We want to describe the annihilator of $P(\tau_0 + \nu)$ and choose for this $\lambda_0 := s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu) = \tau_0 + \mu_0 = \tau_0 + \nu - \langle \tau_0 + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$. We then have $\mathcal{W}_{\lambda_0} = \mathcal{W}_{s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)} = \mathcal{W}_{\tau_0 + \nu} = \mathcal{W}_{\tau_0} = \langle s_\alpha \rangle$ and hence $R_{\lambda_0} = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$. By assumption we know that $\langle \lambda_0 + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0$ and obtain for all $\beta \in R^+ \cap R_{\lambda_0}$ that $\langle \lambda_0 + \rho, \beta^\vee \rangle \geq 0$. Now $w_{\lambda_0} = s_\alpha$ is the longest element in \mathcal{W}_{λ_0} and we may apply Soergel's theorem with

$\lambda := \lambda_0 = s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)$. Assume we had $\xi^{-1}(p) \in \text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{Z}} P(w_{\lambda_0} \cdot \lambda_0) = \text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{Z}} P(\tau_0 + \nu)$ for $p = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i$ with $p_i \in \mathcal{P}^+(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}_{\lambda_0}}$ and $q_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$. Then it follows for all p_i that

$$p_i(\lambda_0 + \mu) = (\lambda_0^+(p_i))(\mu) = (\lambda_0^+(p_i))(s_\alpha \mu) = p_i(\lambda_0 + s_\alpha \mu) \quad \forall \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

For $\mu = \alpha$ we obtain $p_i(\lambda_0 + \alpha) = p_i(\lambda_0 - \alpha)$ and this forces the derivative of p in direction of α to vanish at the point λ_0 . Let us check this condition for p_τ . By definition we have for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$: $p_\tau(\lambda) = \prod_{\mu > \nu, w \in \mathcal{W}} H_\mu(w \cdot \lambda, \tau)$. If we define \bar{p}_τ by $\bar{p}_\tau H_{\mu_0}(-, \tau) = p_\tau$, we obtain

$$\bar{p}_\tau(\lambda) := \prod_{\substack{(w, \mu) \neq (e, \mu_0) \\ w \in \mathcal{W}, \mu > \nu}} H_\mu(w \cdot \lambda, \tau).$$

Let p'_τ denote the derivative of p_τ in direction α . By the product rule we have $p'_\tau = \bar{p}'_\tau H_{\mu_0}(-, \tau) + \bar{p}_\tau H'_{\mu_0}(-, \tau)$.

Since $s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu) = \tau_0 + \mu_0$ it follows that $H_{\mu_0}(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0) = 0$ and we get $p'_{\tau_0}(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) = \bar{p}_{\tau_0}(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) H'_{\mu_0}(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0)$. But now neither of these two factors is zero because

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p}_{\tau_0}(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) &= \prod_{\substack{(w, \mu) \neq (e, \mu_0) \\ w \in \mathcal{W}, \mu > \nu}} H_\mu(w \cdot s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{(w, \mu) \neq (s_\alpha, \mu_0) \\ w \in \mathcal{W}, \mu > \nu}} H_\mu(w \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0) \end{aligned}$$

and by assumption (b) none of the factors $H_\mu(w \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0)$ vanishes, hence $\bar{p}_{\tau_0}(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) \neq 0$. On the other hand, also $H'_{\mu_0}(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu), \tau_0) \neq 0$ since by assumption (a) we have: $H'_{\mu_0}(\lambda, \tau_0) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle \lambda + t\alpha - \tau_0 - \mu_0, h_{\mu_0} \rangle = \langle \alpha, h_{\mu_0} \rangle \neq 0$.

Together this implies $p'_{\tau_0}(s_\alpha \cdot (\tau_0 + \nu)) \neq 0$ and therefore $\xi(p_{\tau_0}) = z_{\tau_0}$ cannot be contained in the annihilator of $P(\tau_0 + \nu)$. \square

4. THE TRIANGLE FUNCTION Δ

4.1. Preliminaries. Let M be a representation of \mathfrak{g} and E a vector space. Then $E \otimes M$ is a representation of \mathfrak{g} via $X(e \otimes m) := e \otimes Xm$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}, e \in E$ and $m \in M$. If in addition E is also a representation of \mathfrak{g} , then we obtain a second \mathfrak{g} -operation on $E \otimes M$ via $X(e \otimes m) := Xe \otimes m + e \otimes Xm$. To distinguish these two representations we denote the first one by $E \hat{\otimes} M$. Let now E be a finite-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} and $\nu \in P$ a weight of E .

Lemma 10. *Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\chi(\tau + \nu) \neq \chi(\tau + \mu)$ for all $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu \neq \nu$. Then there exists a unique natural isomorphism*

$$\text{can} : E_\nu \hat{\otimes} M(\tau + \nu) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau + \nu)}(E \otimes M(\tau)),$$

such that $\text{can}(e \hat{\otimes} v_{\tau + \nu}) = \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau + \nu)}(e \otimes v_\tau)$ for all $e \in E_\nu$.

Remark. For a *generic* weight, i.e. a weight $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in R$, the central characters $\chi(\tau + \mu)$ for $\mu \in P(E)$ are pairwise distinct. In particular, in this case the condition of the lemma is always satisfied.

Proof. By the so-called tensor identity we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{b})} (E \otimes k_\tau) \xrightarrow{\sim} E \otimes (\mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{b})} k_\tau)$$

such that $u \otimes (e \otimes a) \mapsto u(e \otimes (1 \otimes a))$. Call the left hand side F , the right hand side is $E \otimes M(\tau)$. Denote by μ_1, \dots, μ_m the weights of E . The filtration $E \otimes M(\tau) = M_1 \supset \dots \supset M_m \supset 0$, where the subquotients are isomorphic to direct sums of Verma modules (see Chapter 2), induces a filtration of $F : \mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{b})} (E \otimes k_\tau) = F = F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \dots \supset F_m \supset 0$ such that

$$F_j/F_{j+1} \cong \mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{b})} (E_{\mu_j} \otimes k_\tau).$$

Now here the right hand side is canonically isomorphic to $E_{\mu_j} \hat{\otimes} M(\tau + \mu_j)$ (by mapping $e \otimes uv_{\tau+\mu_j} \mapsto u \otimes (e \otimes 1)$) and in particular, we have that $\chi(\tau + \mu_j)(F_j/F_{j+1}) = 0$.

Let now $\nu = \mu_i$ for a fixed i . By the condition on τ we know that $\chi(\tau + \nu) \neq \chi(\tau + \mu_j)$ for all $j \neq i$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and hence $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(F_j/F_{j+1}) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Thus we get $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} F = \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} F_1 = \dots = \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} F_i$ and also $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} F_{i+1} = \dots = \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} F_m = 0$. We conclude that $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} F \subset F_i$ and that $F_{i+1} \subset \ker(\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} : F_i \rightarrow \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} F)$. Since $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(F_i/F_{i+1}) = F_i/F_{i+1}$, we even know that F_{i+1} is equal to this kernel. This now induces a natural isomorphism

$$F_i/F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} F$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_\nu \hat{\otimes} M(\tau + \nu) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} F \\ e \hat{\otimes} (uv_{\tau+\nu}) & \mapsto & \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(u \otimes (e \otimes 1)). \end{array}$$

We apply the tensor identity $F \cong E \otimes M(\tau)$ and the lemma follows. \square

Let us recall the theorem of Bernstein and Gelfand [BG] for projective functors. For this denote by \mathcal{M} the category of all \mathfrak{Z} -finite \mathfrak{g} -modules and for $\chi \in \text{Max } \mathfrak{Z}$ let

$$\mathcal{M}(\chi) := \{M \in \mathcal{M} \mid \chi M = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi) := \{M \in \mathcal{M} \mid \text{for all } m \in M \text{ exists } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \chi^n m = 0\}.$$

A *projective χ -functor* is then a functor $F : \mathcal{M}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, which is isomorphic to a direct summand of a functor $E \otimes$ for a finite-dimensional representation E . In particular, the restriction of the translation functor $T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} : \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau + \nu))$ to the subcategory $\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))$ is a projective $\chi(\tau)$ -functor. For two projective χ -functors $F, \tilde{F} : \mathcal{M}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ denote by $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi)}(F, \tilde{F})$ the space of all natural transformations from F to \tilde{F} .

Theorem ([BG, 3.5]). *Let $F, \tilde{F} : \mathcal{M}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be projective χ -functors and let $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\chi(\tau) = \chi$ and $M(\tau)$ is projective. Then the obvious map*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))}(F, \tilde{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(FM(\tau), \tilde{F}M(\tau))$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark. (i) The Verma module $M(\tau)$ is projective if and only if $\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \notin \{-1, -2, \dots\}$ for all $\alpha \in R^+$. In particular, for a generic weight τ the Verma module $M(\tau)$ is always projective.

(ii) Note that in [BG] the Verma module with highest weight τ is denoted by $M_{\tau+\rho}$. Accordingly, the theorem there is formulated for all τ with $\langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle \notin \{-1, -2, \dots\}$ for all $\alpha \in R^+$.


4.2. **Definition of Δ .** For $\nu \in P$ let $E(\nu)$ be the finite-dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module with extremal weight ν and let $x \in \mathcal{W}$. For a weight $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with $\chi(\tau + \nu) \neq \chi(\tau + \mu)$ for all $\mu \in P(E)$ with $\mu \neq \nu$, Lemma 10 yields a canonical isomorphism

$$E(\nu)_{x\nu} \hat{\otimes} M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(E(\nu) \otimes M(x \cdot \tau)) = T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(x \cdot \tau).$$

Let now $\nu, \mu \in P$ be integral weights. We set $E' := E(\nu)$, $E'' := E(\mu)$ and $E := E(\nu + \mu)$. For generic τ consider the following sequence of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))} \rightarrow (T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} \circ T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}, T_\tau^{\tau+\nu+\mu}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(x \cdot \tau), T_\tau^{\tau+\nu+\mu} M(x \cdot \tau)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (E''_{x\mu} \hat{\otimes} E'_{x\nu} \hat{\otimes} M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu)), E_{x(\mu+\nu)} \hat{\otimes} M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu))) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_k (E''_{x\mu} \otimes E'_{x\nu}, E_{x(\mu+\nu)}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} E''_{x\mu}^* \otimes E'_{x\nu}^* \otimes E_{x(\mu+\nu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we obtain the first isomorphism by the Theorem of Bernstein-Gelfand, the second is due to Lemma 10, the others are obvious. We call this map $\text{nat}(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau)$ and define for generic τ and for the triangle



the value of the triangle function Δ by

$$\Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau) := \det (x^{-1} \circ \text{nat}(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau) \circ (\text{nat}(\mu, \nu; e)(\tau))^{-1}).$$

We have yet to explain the map

$$x^{-1} : E(\mu)_{x\mu}^* \otimes E(\nu)_{x\nu}^* \otimes E(\mu + \nu)_{x(\nu+\mu)} \rightarrow E(\mu)_\mu^* \otimes E(\nu)_\nu^* \otimes E(\mu + \nu)_{\nu+\mu}.$$

For this let G be a simply connected algebraic group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and $T \subset G$ a maximal torus with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . Each finite-dimensional representation E of \mathfrak{g} is in a natural way a representation of G . The operation of $N_G(T)$, the normalizer of T in G , on E stabilizes E_0 and factors over an operation of $\mathcal{W} = N_G(T)/T$. The map x^{-1} is given by this operation of \mathcal{W} on the zero weight space $(E(\mu)^* \otimes E(\nu)^* \otimes E(\nu + \mu))_0$.

Now take for τ not only any, but rather *the* generic weight: For this denote by $S := S_k(\mathfrak{h})$ the symmetric algebra of \mathfrak{h} and let $K := \text{Quot}(S)$ be its quotient field. We then have a k -linear map $\mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow S \hookrightarrow K$ and obtain thus a K -linear map $\tau : K \otimes_k \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow K$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{h} & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K \otimes_k \mathfrak{h} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & K \end{array}$$

With this τ (= tautologous) we then obtain $\Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau) \in K^\times$. These are the triangle functions.

4.3. Uncanonical definition of Δ . We defined the triangle functions by a series of canonical isomorphisms. For our purposes it is sometimes more convenient to realize the triangle functions in the following—uncanonical—way: Let $\nu \in P$, $x \in \mathcal{W}$ and choose a fixed extremal weight vector $0 \neq e_\nu \in E(\nu)_\nu$. Since extremal weight spaces are one dimensional, this choice is unique up to non-zero scalar. By Lemma 10 we obtain for generic $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ a—no longer canonical—*isomorphism*

$$F_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau) : \begin{array}{ccc} M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(x \cdot \tau) \\ v_{x \cdot (\tau+\nu)} & \mapsto & \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(\dot{x}e_\nu \otimes v_{x \cdot \tau}). \end{array}$$

Here $\dot{x} \in G$ denotes a pre-image of $x \in \mathcal{W} \cong N_G T/T$. We have $\dot{x}e_\nu \in E(\nu)_{x\nu}$. Let now $\mu \in P$ be another weight. Choose $\tilde{e}_\mu \in E(\mu)_\mu$ and $\bar{e}_{\mu+\nu} \in E(\mu + \nu)_{\mu+\nu}$, both non-zero, and consider for generic τ the following sequence of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))} &\rightarrow (T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} \circ T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}, T_\tau^{\tau+\nu+\mu}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(x \cdot \tau), T_\tau^{\tau+\nu+\mu} M(x \cdot \tau)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu)), M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} k. \end{aligned}$$

Denote this map by $\text{Nat}(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau)$. Here again the first isomorphism is clear by the Theorem of Bernstein-Gelfand, the second is due to the maps $F_{x(\nu+\mu)}(x \cdot \tau)$ and $F_{x\mu}(x \cdot (\tau + \mu)) \circ T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} F_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau)$. We then obtain

$$\Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau) = \text{Nat}(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau) \circ (\text{Nat}(\mu, \nu; e)(\tau))^{-1}(1).$$

It follows that this characterization of Δ is independent of the choice of the weight vectors e_ν, \tilde{e}_μ and $\bar{e}_{\mu+\nu}$, and also independent of the choice of the pre-image \dot{x} of x .

5. BERNSTEIN’S RELATIVE TRACE AND A SPECIAL CASE OF Δ

5.1. The relative trace. Let us recall the definition of the *relative trace* tr_E defined by Bernstein in [Be]. Let $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$ be the category of all \mathfrak{g} -modules and let E be a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module. Denote by $F_E : \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$ the functor defined by $F_E(M) := E \otimes M$. The relative trace $\text{tr}_E : \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}}(F_E) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}}(\text{Id})$ is then a morphism from the endomorphisms of the functor F_E to the endomorphisms of the identity functor on $\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$, defined by

$$\text{tr}_E^M : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E \otimes M) & \rightarrow & \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}} M \\ a & \mapsto & \text{tr}_E^M(a) \end{array}$$

where

$$\text{tr}_E^M(a) : M \xrightarrow{i} E^* \otimes E \otimes M \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes a} E^* \otimes E \otimes M \xrightarrow{\text{cont} \otimes \text{id}} M.$$

Here, i is the map $M \xrightarrow{j} \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E) \otimes M \xrightarrow{c} E^* \otimes E \otimes M$ with $j(m) := \text{id}_E \otimes m$ and c the canonical isomorphism $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E) \cong E^* \otimes E$. The map $\text{cont} : E^* \otimes E \rightarrow k$ denotes the evaluation map.

Bernstein has calculated an explicit formula for the relative trace, by considering it as a map from $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}}$ to itself in the following way: First, we identify $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}}(\text{Id}) \cong \mathfrak{Z}$ with the center of the enveloping algebra \mathfrak{U} , then we make use of the natural morphism $\mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}}(F_E)$ and composing this with the trace map we obtain $\text{tr}_E : \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$. By means of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$\xi : \mathfrak{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}}$. (normalized by $z - \xi(z) \in \mathfrak{Un}$) we may then regard tr_E as an endomorphism of $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}}$.

Define now on $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ a convolution $f \mapsto P(E) * f$ by

$$(P(E) * f)(\lambda) := \sum_{\mu \in P(E)} f(\lambda + \mu),$$

where the sum is taken over all weights $\mu \in P(E)$ with their multiplicities. Set $\Lambda(\lambda) := \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle$. Then we have

Theorem ([Be]). $\text{tr}_E(f) = \Lambda^{-1}(P(E) * \Lambda f)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}}$.

If we choose now for M the Verma module $M(\lambda)$ we can associate to each endomorphism $f \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E \otimes M(\lambda))$ an element $\text{tr}_E^{M(\lambda)}(f)$ of $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M(\lambda)) \cong k$. As an endomorphism of $M(\lambda)$ this element operates on $M(\lambda)$ by multiplication with the scalar $(\text{tr}_E^{M(\lambda)}(f))(\lambda)$ and we obtain by Bernstein's Theorem for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{tr}_E^{M(w \cdot \lambda)}(f))(\lambda) &= (\Lambda^{-1}(P(E) * \Lambda f))(\lambda) \\ &= (\Lambda(\lambda))^{-1} \sum_{\mu \in P(E)} \Lambda(\lambda + \mu) f(\lambda + \mu). \end{aligned}$$

5.2. The special case $\Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)$. Let now $E = E(\nu)$, $w_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ the longest element and $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} \in \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E(\nu) \otimes M(w_0 \cdot \tau))$ the projection on the central character $\chi(\tau + \nu)$. Then $\text{tr}_{E(\nu)}^{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}(\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)})$ is an element in $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M(w_0 \cdot \tau)) \cong k$ and we have

Theorem 2. *Let $\nu \in P^+$ be a dominant weight and $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ generic. Then*

$$\Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau) = \text{tr}_{E(\nu)}^{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}(\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}).$$

Proof. Postponed to 5.3. □

Regarding $\Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)$ as a polynomial function on \mathfrak{h}^* we obtain for this special case an explicit formula:

Corollary. *Let $\nu \in P^+$ be a dominant weight and $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ generic. Then*

$$\Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau) = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{\langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}.$$

Proof. Under the morphism $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathcal{W}} \cong \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}}(F_E)$ the projection $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}$ is the image of a polynomial function, which takes value 1 at all weights $\lambda \in \mathcal{W} \cdot (\tau + \nu)$ and vanishes at all other weights $\tau + \mu$ with $\mu \in P(E)$. Call this polynomial function $\bar{\text{pr}}$. By Bernstein's formula for the relative trace we then obtain

$$(\text{tr}_{E(\nu)}^{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}(\bar{\text{pr}}))(\tau) = (\Lambda(\tau))^{-1} \sum_{\mu \in P(E(\nu))} \Lambda(\tau + \mu) \bar{\text{pr}}(\tau + \mu)$$

and by definition of $\bar{\text{pr}}$ the value of $\bar{\text{pr}}(\tau + \mu)$ for $\mu \in P(E)$ does not vanish if and only if there is a $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $w \cdot (\tau + \mu) = (\tau + \nu)$. Since τ is generic, this is only possible for $w = e$ and hence $\mu = \nu$. In this case we have $\bar{\text{pr}}(\tau + \nu) = 1$ and

since furthermore $\dim E(\nu)_\nu = 1$, we get $\sum_{\mu \in P(E(\nu))} \Lambda(\tau + \mu) \bar{\text{pr}}(\tau + \mu) = \Lambda(\tau + \nu)$. The claim now follows by Theorem 2 and the equation

$$\left(\text{tr}_{E(\nu)}^{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}(\bar{\text{pr}}) \right)(\tau) = \frac{\Lambda(\tau + \nu)}{\Lambda(\tau)} = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{\langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}.$$

□

5.3. Proof of Theorem 2. First we make some more general preliminary remarks.

5.3.1. *The adjunctions $(T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}, T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau)$ and $(T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau, T_\tau^{\tau+\nu})$.* Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be categories and $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ two functors. Then an adjunction (F, G) of F and G is a family of isomorphisms

$$(F, G)_{M, N} := (F, G) : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(FM, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, GN),$$

which is natural in M and N ($M \in \mathcal{A}$, $N \in \mathcal{B}$).

For example, for E a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module we obtain an adjunction (F_E, F_{E^*}) of $F_E : \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$ and $F_{E^*} : \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}$ as the composition

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E \otimes M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E^* \otimes E \otimes M, E^* \otimes N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, E^* \otimes N).$$

Here, the first map is given by $f \mapsto \text{id}_{E^*} \otimes f$, the second by $g \mapsto g \circ \text{i}$. Interchanging E and E^* we obtain in the same way an adjunction (F_{E^*}, F_E) . Its inverse is the composition

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, E \otimes N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E^* \otimes M, E^* \otimes E \otimes N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E^* \otimes M, N),$$

where the first map is again given by $f \mapsto \text{id}_{E^*} \otimes f$ and the second by $g \mapsto (\text{cont} \otimes \text{id}_N) \circ g$. Let now $\nu \in P$ be an integral weight. Each identification $\varphi : E(\nu)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} E(-\nu)$ then defines adjunctions $(F_{E(\nu)}, F_{E(-\nu)})$ and $(F_{E(-\nu)}, F_{E(\nu)})$. More precisely, we have

$$(F_{E(\nu)}, F_{E(-\nu)}) : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E(\nu) \otimes M, N) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, E(-\nu) \otimes N) \\ f & \mapsto & (\varphi \otimes f) \circ \text{i} \end{array}$$

and

$$(F_{E(-\nu)}, F_{E(\nu)})^{-1} : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, E(\nu) \otimes N) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(E(-\nu) \otimes M, N) \\ g & \mapsto & (\text{cont} \otimes \text{id}_N) \circ (\varphi^{-1} \otimes g). \end{array}$$

Let now $\text{i}_\chi : \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ denote the embedding functor. We then have in a natural way adjunctions $(\text{i}_\chi, \text{pr}_\chi)$ and $(\text{pr}_\chi, \text{i}_\chi)$. Since for the translation functor $T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} = \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)} \circ F_{E(\nu)} \circ \text{i}_{\chi(\tau)}$, we thus obtain also adjunctions $(T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}, T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau)$ and $(T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau, T_\tau^{\tau+\nu})$.

5.3.2. *The natural transformations adj^1 and adj^2 .* Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau))$ and consider $\text{Id} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu} M, T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M)$. By means of the two adjunctions $(T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}, T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau)$ and $(T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau, T_\tau^{\tau+\nu})^{-1}$ we get two maps as the images of Id :

$$\text{adj}_M^1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M) \text{ and } \text{adj}_M^2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M, M)$$

and one checks that we obtain in this way natural transformations $\text{adj}^1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau)) \rightarrow (\text{Id}, T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau \circ T_\tau^{\tau+\nu})}$ and $\text{adj}^2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau)) \rightarrow (T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau \circ T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}, \text{Id})}$. By composing these two maps, we get a canonical endomorphism of M :

$$M \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_M^1} T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_M^2} M.$$

We want to describe this endomorphism in more detail. By definition, the adjunction $(T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu}, T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau})$ is just the composition of adjunctions $(i_{\chi(\tau)}, \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau)}) \circ (F_{E(\nu)}, F_{E(-\nu)}) \circ (\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}, i_{\chi(\tau+\nu)})$ and one checks easily that the image of

$$\text{Id} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}}(T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu}M, T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu}M)$$

under $(\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}, i_{\chi(\tau+\nu)})$ is precisely the projection $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}$. Thus, the image of Id under the adjunction $(T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu}, T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau})$ can be described by the composition

$$\text{adj}_M^1 : M \xrightarrow{i} E^* \otimes E \otimes M \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes \text{pr}} T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau} T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu} M.$$

Here, we put $E = E(\nu)$ and $\text{pr} = \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}$. Analogously, we obtain for adj_M^2 the composition

$$\text{adj}_M^2 : T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau} T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu} M \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1} \otimes \text{pr}} E^* \otimes E \otimes M \xrightarrow{\text{cont} \otimes \text{id}_M} M$$

and taken together we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_M^1} & T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau} T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu} M & \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_M^2} & M \\ \downarrow i & & \uparrow \varphi \otimes \text{id}_{E \otimes M} & & \uparrow \text{cont} \otimes \text{id}_M \\ E^* \otimes E \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{E^*} \otimes \text{pr}} & E^* \otimes E \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{E^*} \otimes \text{pr}} & E^* \otimes E \otimes M \end{array}$$

We thus obtain for $\text{adj}_M^2 \circ \text{adj}_M^1$

$$M \xrightarrow{i} E^* \otimes E \otimes M \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{E^*} \otimes \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}} E^* \otimes E \otimes M \xrightarrow{\text{cont} \otimes \text{id}_M} M.$$

Comparing this with the relative trace tr_E for $E = E(\nu)$, it follows immediately for all $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\infty}(\chi(\tau))$ that

$$\text{adj}_M^2 \circ \text{adj}_M^1 = \text{tr}_{E(\nu)}^M(\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}) \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{g}}(M)$$

or, regarded as a natural transformation of the identity functor $\text{Id} : \mathcal{M}^{\infty}(\chi(\tau)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\infty}(\chi(\tau))$ to itself

$$\text{adj}^2 \circ \text{adj}^1 = \text{tr}_{E(\nu)}(\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}).$$

Let now $\iota_{\chi} : \mathcal{M}(\chi) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ denote the embedding functor, let $F, G : \mathcal{M}^{\infty}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be functors and denote by $F(\chi)$, resp. $G(\chi)$ its restrictions to the subcategory $\mathcal{M}(\chi)$. Each natural transformation n from F to G can be regarded as natural transformation from $F(\chi)$ to $G(\chi)$ by first applying ι_{χ} and then n . In particular, we obtain in this way the two natural transformations $\text{adj}^1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))}(\text{Id}(\chi), T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau} \circ T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu}(\chi))$ and similarly $\text{adj}^2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))} (T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau} \circ T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu}(\chi), \text{Id}(\chi))$.

5.3.3. We have $\Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau) = \text{adj}_{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}^2 \circ \text{adj}_{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}^1$. By choosing for M the Verma module $M(\tau)$, we can assign to each $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ a canonical element $\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^2 \circ \text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^1 \in \text{End}(M(\tau)) \cong k$. We will see in the following, that for dominant ν this is precisely the triangle function $\Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau)$. For $x \in \mathcal{W}$ and τ generic we call $\phi_x(x \cdot \tau)$ the isomorphism $T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau} T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu} M(x \cdot \tau) \xrightarrow{\sim} M(x \cdot \tau)$ given by $(\phi_x(x \cdot \tau))^{-1} = T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau} F_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau) \circ F_{-x\nu}(x \cdot (\tau + \nu))$ (see 4.3). First we show that for dominant ν and

generic τ the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(\tau) & \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^2} & M(\tau) \\
 \phi_e(\tau) \downarrow & \nearrow \text{id} & \\
 M(\tau) & &
 \end{array}$$

Let again denote $e_\nu \in E(\nu)_\nu$ the fixed extremal weight vector for a dominant integral weight ν . Since w_0 is the longest element in the Weyl group, the dominance of ν implies that $e_{-\nu} := \dot{w}_0 e_\nu$ is a weight vector of weight $-\nu$. Here, $\dot{w}_0 \in G$ is a representative of $w_0 \in N_G(T)/T$.

Define now a pairing $E(-\nu) \times E(\nu) \rightarrow k$ by $\langle e_{-\nu}, e_\nu \rangle := 1$ and obtain thus an identification $\varphi : E(\nu)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} E(-\nu)$. Let $v_\tau \in M(\tau)$ be the canonical generator. To see that the above diagram commutes, it suffices to show that the pre-image of v_τ in $T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(\tau)$ is mapped again to v_τ when applying $\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\phi_e(\tau))^{-1}(v_\tau) &= \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau)}(e_{-\nu} \otimes (\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau))) \\
 &= \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau)}(e_{-\nu} \otimes e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) \\
 &= e_{-\nu} \otimes e_\nu \otimes v_\tau - \bigoplus_{\chi \neq \chi(\tau)} \text{pr}_\chi(e_{-\nu} \otimes e_\nu \otimes v_\tau).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first equation holds by definition of $\phi_e(\tau)$, the second follows since for dominant ν we have $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_\nu \otimes v_\tau) = e_\nu \otimes v_\tau$ (see the example in 3.1) and the third equation is just a direct sum decomposition. Since adj_M^2 was the composition

$$T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1} \otimes \text{pr}} E^* \otimes E \otimes M \xrightarrow{\text{cont} \otimes \text{id}_M} M.$$

we know in particular that $\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^2$ is a \mathfrak{g} -module homomorphism to $M(\tau)$. Then it is clear, that $\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^2$ maps $\bigoplus_{\chi \neq \chi(\tau)} \text{pr}_\chi(e_{-\nu} \otimes e_\nu \otimes v_\tau)$ to zero since this element has the wrong central character. For $e_{-\nu} \otimes e_\nu \otimes v_\tau$ we use the fact that $\langle e_{-\nu}, e_\nu \rangle = 1$ and obtain as an image under $\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^2$ precisely v_τ . Taken together, we get $\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^2 = \text{id} \circ \phi_e(\tau)$, i.e. the above diagram commutes.

This now means that under the map $\text{Nat}(-\nu, \nu; e)(\tau)$ the image of $\text{adj}^2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))} (T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau \circ T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}(\chi), T_\tau^\tau(\chi))$ is just the identity $\text{id} \in \text{End}(M(\tau))$. By means of the uncanonical definition of the triangle function we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau) &= \text{Nat}(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau) \circ (\text{Nat}(-\nu, \nu; e)(\tau))^{-1} (\text{id}_{M(\tau)}) \\
 &= (\text{Nat}(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau)) (\text{adj}^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

and the Theorem of Bernstein-Gelfand implies that the right hand side of the following diagram commutes:

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
 M(w_0 \cdot \tau) & \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}^1} & T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(w_0 \cdot \tau) & \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}^2} & M(w_0 \cdot \tau) \\
 & \searrow \text{id} & \downarrow \phi_{w_0}(w_0 \cdot \tau) & \nearrow \Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau) & \\
 & & M(w_0 \cdot \tau) & &
 \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the left hand side can be shown in an analogous way. We thus obtain for dominant ν

$$\text{adj}_{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}^2 \circ \text{adj}_{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}^1 = \Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau)$$

and together with the equation $\text{adj}_{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}^2 \circ \text{adj}_{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}^1 = \text{tr}_{E(\nu)}^{M(w_0 \cdot \tau)}(\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)})$ from section 5.3.2 we deduce Theorem 2. \square

5.4. **The case** $M(\tau) \longrightarrow T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(\tau) \longrightarrow M(\tau)$.

Corollary of the proof. *Let $\nu \in P^+$ be dominant and $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ generic. Then the composition*

$$M(\tau) \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^1} T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(\tau) \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^2} M(\tau)$$

is just multiplication with $s(\tau) := \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{\langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}$, where s is considered as an element in $\text{Quot}(S(\mathfrak{h}))$.

Proof. The commutativity of the diagram (*) in the proof of Theorem 2 is equivalent to the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M(\tau) & \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^1} & T_{\tau+\nu}^\tau T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(\tau) & \xrightarrow{\text{adj}_{M(\tau)}^2} & M(\tau) \\ & \searrow \Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau) & \downarrow \phi_e(\tau) & \nearrow \text{id} & \\ & & M(\tau) & & \end{array}$$

Hence $(\text{adj}^2 \circ \text{adj}^1)_{M(\tau)} = \Delta(-\nu, \nu; w_0)(\tau) = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{\langle \tau + \nu + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle}{\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle} = s(\tau)$. \square

6. CALCULATION OF Δ

Theorem 3. *For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ set $\bar{\alpha}(\lambda) := 1$ if $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0$ and $\bar{\alpha}(\lambda) := 0$ if $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0$. Let $\nu, \mu \in P$ be integral weights and $x \in \mathcal{W}$. Then there exists a constant $c \in k^\times$, independent of τ, ν, μ and x , such that*

$$\Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau - \rho) = c \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ \text{with } x\alpha \in R^-}} \frac{\langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\nu)} \langle \tau + \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\mu)} \langle \tau + \nu + \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\nu+\mu)}}{\langle \tau, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\nu+\mu)} \langle \tau + \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\nu)} \langle \tau + \nu + \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle^{\bar{\alpha}(\mu)}}.$$

Proof. Postponed. \square

For an integral weight $\nu \in P$ define the map $\delta_\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ as in Section 3.2 by

$$\delta_\nu(\tau) := \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \prod_{0 \leq n_\alpha < -\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle - n_\alpha).$$

Lemma 11. *Let $\pi(\tau)$ be the product on the right hand side of the equation in Theorem 3. Then*

$$\pi(\tau + \rho) = \pm \frac{\delta_\nu(\tau) \delta_\mu(\tau + \nu) \delta_{x(\nu+\mu)}(x \cdot \tau)}{\delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau) \delta_{x\mu}(x \cdot (\tau + \nu)) \delta_{\nu+\mu}(\tau)}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{W}$ be fixed and let $\alpha \in R^+$ be a positive root. We then have in $\delta_\nu(\tau)$ the factor $\prod_{0 \leq n < -\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle - n)$. Suppose now that $x\alpha$ is also a positive root. Then we obtain in $\delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau)$ the factor

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{0 \leq n < -\langle x\nu, x\alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle x \cdot \tau + \rho, x\alpha^\vee \rangle - n) &= \prod_{0 \leq n < -\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle x(\tau + \rho), x\alpha^\vee \rangle - n) \\ &= \prod_{0 \leq n < -\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle - n) \end{aligned}$$

and hence in the quotient $\delta_\nu(\tau)/\delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau)$ all the products over $\alpha \in R^+$ with $x\alpha \in R^+$ cancel out. Let now $\alpha \in R^+$ such that $x\alpha \notin R^+$, i.e. $-x\alpha$ is a positive root. In this case we have in $\delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau)$ the factor

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{0 \leq n < -\langle x\nu, -x\alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle x \cdot \tau + \rho, -x\alpha^\vee \rangle - n) &= \prod_{0 \leq n < \langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} (-\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle - n) \\ &= (-1)^{\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} \prod_{0 \leq n < \langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle + n) \end{aligned}$$

and taken together we get

$$\frac{\delta_\nu(\tau)}{\delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau)} = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ \text{with } x\alpha \in R^-}} (-1)^{\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} \frac{\prod_{0 \leq n_\alpha < -\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle - n_\alpha)}{\prod_{0 \leq n_\alpha < \langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle} (\langle \tau + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle + n_\alpha)}.$$

Considering then the different cases according to whether $\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle$, $\langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ and $\langle \nu + \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ are positive or negative, we obtain the closed formula

$$\frac{\delta_\nu(\tau)\delta_\mu(\tau + \nu)\delta_{x(\nu+\mu)}(x \cdot \tau)}{\delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau)\delta_{x\mu}(x \cdot (\tau + \nu))\delta_{\nu+\mu}(\tau)} = \varepsilon \pi(\tau + \rho),$$

where $\varepsilon := \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ \text{with } x\alpha \in R^-}} (-1)^{(\bar{\alpha}(\nu)\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle + \bar{\alpha}(\mu)\langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle - \bar{\alpha}(\nu+\mu)\langle \nu+\mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle)} = \pm 1$. \square

Now, let $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be a generic weight and recall (see 4.3) the map $\text{Nat}_x(\tau) := \text{Nat}(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))} &\rightarrow (T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} \circ T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}, T_\tau^{\tau+\nu+\mu}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} T_\tau^{\tau+\nu} M(x \cdot \tau), T_\tau^{\tau+\nu+\mu} M(x \cdot \tau)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu)), M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu))) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} k. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the pre-image of $1 \in k$ under this isomorphism by the natural transformation $G^x(\tau) := (\text{Nat}_x(\tau))^{-1}(1) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))} (T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} \circ T_\tau^{\tau+\nu}, T_\tau^{\tau+\nu+\mu})$.

Lemma 12. *For generic $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we have $G^e(\tau) = \Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau)G^x(\tau)$.*

Proof. By definition, $\Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau) = \text{Nat}_x(\tau) \circ (\text{Nat}_e(\tau))^{-1}(1)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} G^e(\tau) &= (\text{Nat}_x(\tau))^{-1} \circ \text{Nat}_x(\tau) \circ (\text{Nat}_e(\tau))^{-1}(1) \\ &= (\text{Nat}_x(\tau))^{-1}(\Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau)) \\ &= \Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau)(\text{Nat}_x(\tau))^{-1}(1) \\ &= \Delta(\mu, \nu; x)G^x(\tau). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let now integral weights ν_1, \dots, ν_n be given such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i = 0$. We set the translation functor $T(\nu_i) := T_{\tau+\nu_1+\dots+\nu_{i-1}}^{\tau+\nu_1+\dots+\nu_i}$ when there is no ambiguity of the respective categories. For $\lambda \in P$ an integral weight and τ generic there are isomorphisms (see 4.3) $F_{x\lambda}(x \cdot \tau) : M(x \cdot (\tau + \lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{\tau}^{\tau+\lambda}M(x \cdot \tau)$, such that $(F_{x\lambda}(x \cdot \tau))(v_{x \cdot \tau}) = \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\lambda)}(\dot{x}e_{\lambda} \otimes v_{x \cdot \tau})$. Here, $e_{\lambda} \in E(\lambda)_{\lambda}$ is a fixed chosen extremal weight vector. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i = 0$, we can compose these isomorphisms to obtain an isomorphism $M(x \cdot \tau) \cong T(\nu_n) \cdots T(\nu_1)M(x \cdot \tau)$ and thus also

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M(x \cdot \tau), M(x \cdot \tau)) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M(x \cdot \tau), T(\nu_n) \cdots T(\nu_1)M(x \cdot \tau)) \\ &\hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-), E(\nu_n) \otimes \cdots \otimes E(\nu_1) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)), \end{aligned}$$

where we have identified the Verma module $M(x \cdot \tau)$ with $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$. Call now the image of the identity on $M(x \cdot \tau)$ under this map $h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)(\tau)$ and let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the set of all generic weights. We then obtain for all $x \in \mathcal{W}$ a function

$$\begin{aligned} h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) : \mathcal{U} &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-), E(\nu_n) \otimes \cdots \otimes E(\nu_1) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)) \\ \tau &\mapsto h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

such that $h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)(\tau)$ maps the element $v_{x \cdot \tau} \in M(x \cdot \tau) \cong \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ to the element $\text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu_1+\dots+\nu_n)}(\dot{x}e_{\nu_n} \otimes (\cdots \otimes \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu_1)}(\dot{x}e_{\nu_1} \otimes v_{x \cdot \tau})) \cdots)$ for fixed vectors $e_{\nu_i} \in E(\nu_i)_{\nu_i}$.

Lemma 13. *Set $d^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)(\tau) := \delta_{x\nu_1}(x \cdot \tau)\delta_{x\nu_2}(x \cdot (\tau + \nu_1)) \cdots \delta_{x\nu_n}(x \cdot (\tau + \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_{n-1}))$. Then the map $d^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ is algebraic on \mathcal{U} and there exists an algebraic extension on \mathfrak{h}^* whose set of zeros has codimension ≥ 2 .*

Remark. Here, we call a map $a : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow W$ to a vector space W algebraic, if it is a morphism of varieties. Of course, this is defined only if $\dim W < \infty$. In our case however, the image of $h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ is always contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of $\text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-), E(\nu) \otimes \cdots \otimes E(\nu_1) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-))$ and we may thus regard $h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ as a map between varieties.

Proof. Let $\nu \in P$ be an integral weight and recall the maps

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\nu} : \mathfrak{h}^* &\longrightarrow E(\nu) \otimes M(\tau) \cong E(\nu) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \\ \tau &\mapsto \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(e_{\nu} \otimes v_{\tau}). \end{aligned}$$

Let now $x \in \mathcal{W}$ be fixed. For generic τ define then the map $a_{\nu}^x(\tau)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\nu}^x(\tau) : M(x \cdot \tau) \cong \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) &\longrightarrow E(\nu) \otimes M(x \cdot \tau) \cong E(\nu) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-) \\ v_{x \cdot \tau} &\mapsto \delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau)f_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau) = \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(\dot{x}e_{\nu} \otimes v_{x \cdot \tau})$. Since f_{ν} is algebraic on \mathcal{U} (see Theorem 1), we obtain in this way also an algebraic map

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\nu}^x : \mathcal{U} &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-), E(\nu) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)) \\ \tau &\mapsto a_{\nu}^x(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Here again, the image of a_{ν}^x is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of $\text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-), E(\nu) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-))$ and we regard a_{ν}^x in this way as a map between varieties.

According to Theorem 1 there is an algebraic extension of $\delta_{\nu}f_{\nu}$ on \mathfrak{h}^* , which vanishes only on a set of codimension ≥ 2 . Therefore, also a_{ν}^x has such an algebraic extension and we call it again a_{ν}^x . For generic τ we then have $(a_{\nu}^x(\tau))(v_{x \cdot \tau}) =$

$\delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau) \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau+\nu)}(\dot{x}e_\nu \otimes v_{x \cdot \tau})$. Note that for all $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ the vector $(a_\nu^x(\tau))(v_{x \cdot \tau}) \in E(\nu) \otimes M(x \cdot \tau)$ generates a Verma module with highest weight $x \cdot (\tau + \nu)$. The image of $a_\nu^x(\tau)$ is thus always contained in a Verma module $M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu)) \subset E(\nu) \otimes M(x \cdot \tau)$ and we can identify the element $(a_\nu^x(\tau))(v_{x \cdot \tau})$ with the canonical generator $v_{x \cdot (\tau+\nu)} \in M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu))$. Using the isomorphism $M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu)) \cong \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, we may then apply the map $a_{\nu'}^x(\tau + \nu)$ for another weight $\nu' \in P$. In particular, we can concatenate the maps $a_{\nu_n}^x(\tau + \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_{n-1}) \circ \cdots \circ a_{\nu_2}^x(\tau + \nu_1) \circ a_{\nu_1}^x(\tau)$ and obtain in this way an algebraic map

$$\begin{aligned} a : \mathfrak{h}^* &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-), E(\nu_n) \otimes \cdots \otimes E(\nu_1) \otimes \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-)) \\ \tau &\longmapsto a_{\nu_n}^x(\tau + \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_{n-1}) \circ \cdots \circ a_{\nu_2}^x(\tau + \nu_1) \circ a_{\nu_1}^x(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes only on a set of codimension ≥ 2 and which maps a generic weight τ to $a(\tau) = \delta_{x\nu_1}(x \cdot \tau) \delta_{x\nu_2}(x \cdot (\tau + \nu_1)) \cdots \delta_{x\nu_n}(x \cdot (\tau + \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_{n-1})) h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)(\tau) = d^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)(\tau) h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)(\tau)$. We thus obtain the map a as the desired algebraic extension. \square

According to the Theorem of Bernstein-Gelfand we may interpret for generic τ the maps

$$\begin{aligned} h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)(\tau) &\in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M(x \cdot \tau), T(\nu_n) \cdots T(\nu_1)M(x \cdot \tau)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))}(\text{Id}, T(\nu_n) \cdots T(\nu_1)) \end{aligned}$$

as natural transformations of functors. Set now

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &:= h^x(\nu + \mu, -\mu, -\nu)(\tau) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))}(\text{Id}, T(-\nu)T(-\mu)T(\nu + \mu)), \\ h_2 &:= h^x(-\nu, \nu)(\tau + \nu) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau+\nu))}(\text{Id}, T(\nu)T(-\nu)), \\ h_3 &:= h^x(-\mu, \mu)(\tau + \nu + \mu) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau+\nu+\mu))}(\text{Id}, T(\mu)T(-\mu)) \end{aligned}$$

and consider the natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(\mu)T(\nu)G^x(\tau) & \longrightarrow & T(\nu + \mu) \\ T(\mu)T(\nu)h_1 \downarrow & & \uparrow (h_3)^{-1} \\ T(\mu)T(\nu)T(-\nu)T(-\mu)T(\nu + \mu) & \xrightarrow{(T(\mu)h_2)^{-1}} & T(\mu)T(-\mu)T(\nu + \mu) \end{array}$$

The diagram commutes, since $(h_3)^{-1} \circ (T(\mu)h_2)^{-1} \circ T(\mu)T(\nu)h_1$ as well as $G^x(\tau)$ imply the identity on $M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu))$ under the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))} (T(\mu) \circ T(\nu), T(\nu + \mu)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (T(\mu)T(\nu)M(x \cdot \tau), T(\nu + \mu)M(x \cdot \tau)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu)), M(x \cdot (\tau + \nu + \mu))). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 14. *Set $D^x(\tau) := \delta_{x(\nu+\mu)}(x \cdot \tau) / \delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau) \delta_{x\mu}(x \cdot (\tau + \nu))$. Then the map $D^x G^x$ is algebraic on \mathcal{U} and there exists an algebraic extension on \mathfrak{h}^* whose set of zeros has codimension ≥ 2 .*

Proof. Since the maps $d^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) h^x(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ have such algebraic extensions (Lemma 13), the commutativity of the above diagram implies that also $D^x G^x$ has such an algebraic extension, where

$$\begin{aligned} D^x(\tau) &= d^x(\nu + \mu, -\mu, -\nu)(\tau) d^x(-\nu, \nu)(\tau + \nu) d^x(-\mu, \mu)(\tau + \nu + \mu) \\ &= \delta_{x(\nu+\mu)}(x \cdot \tau) / \delta_{x\nu}(x \cdot \tau) \delta_{x\mu}(x \cdot (\tau + \nu)). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Finally, we come to the following:

Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 11 it suffices to show that $\Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau) = c \pi(\tau + \rho)$ for a non-vanishing constant c . Note, that $(D^x/D^e)(\tau) = \pm\pi(\tau + \rho)$. We deduce from Lemma 12 that for generic weights $D^x D^e G^e = \Delta(\mu, \nu; x) D^e D^x G^x$. Since $D^e G^e$ as well as $D^x G^x$ have algebraic extensions on \mathfrak{h}^* which vanish only on a set of codimension ≥ 2 (Lemma 14), it follows that there is a constant $c \in k^\times$, independent of τ, μ, ν and x , such that

$$c \Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau) = (D^x/D^e)(\tau) = \pm\pi(\tau + \rho).$$

□

7. OUTLOOK

7.1. Identities. There are many nice identities for the triangle functions. Obvious are the

Normalization identities.

$$\Delta(\mu, \nu; e) = 1 \qquad \Delta(0, \nu; x) = 1 \qquad \Delta(\nu, 0; x) = 1.$$

By means of Theorem 3 or directly with the definition of Δ one then checks for $\nu, \mu, \eta \in P$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{W}$:

Decomposition identity.

$$\Delta(\eta + \mu, \nu; x)(\tau) \Delta(\eta, \mu; x)(\tau + \nu) = \Delta(\eta, \mu + \nu; x)(\tau) \Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau).$$

Rotation identity.

$$\Delta(y\mu, y\nu; x)(y \cdot \tau) = (\Delta(\mu, \nu; y)(\tau))^{-1} \Delta(\mu, \nu; xy)(\tau).$$

Flat triangle identity. Let $\nu, \mu \in P$ be in the closure of a Weyl chamber. Then $\Delta(\mu, \nu; x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathcal{W}$.

7.2. Generalizations.

7.2.1. The Weyl group parameter. Let $\nu, \mu \in P$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{W}$. Instead of applying the translation functors to the Verma modules $M(\tau)$ and $M(x \cdot \tau)$, we may choose the Verma modules $M(x \cdot \tau)$ and $M(y \cdot \tau)$. We then define a generalized triangle function Δ_g by

$$\Delta_g(\mu, \nu; y, x)(\tau) := \det(yx^{-1} \circ \text{nat}(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau) \circ (\text{nat}(\mu, \nu; y)(\tau))^{-1}).$$

We now have $\Delta_g(\mu, \nu; e, x)(\tau) = \Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau)$ and going back to the definition of Δ_g we deduce the identities

(I1) $\Delta_g(\mu, \nu; y, x)(\tau) = (\Delta(\mu, \nu; y)(\tau))^{-1} \Delta(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau),$

(I2) $\Delta_g(\mu, \nu; y, x)(\tau) = (\Delta_g(\mu, \nu; x, y)(\tau))^{-1}$


and

(I3) $\Delta_g(\mu, \nu; y, x) \Delta_g(\mu, \nu; x, z) = \Delta_g(\mu, \nu; y, z).$

The equivalent statement to the Rotation identity is obtained by comparing (I1) with

(I4) $\Delta_g(\mu, \nu; y, x)(\tau) = \Delta(y\mu, y\nu; xy^{-1})(y \cdot \tau).$


7.2.2. *Number of translations.* The triangle functions measure in a subtle way the relation between the two translation functors $T_{\tau+\nu}^{\tau+\nu+\mu} \circ T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu}$ and $T_{\tau}^{\tau+\nu+\mu}$. Therefore the triangle



Let now integral weights ν_1, \dots, ν_n and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in P$ be given such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j =: p$. Then call $T(\nu_i)$ the translation functor

$$T(\nu_i) : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau + \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_{i-1})) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^\infty(\chi(\tau + \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_i)) \\ M & \longmapsto & \text{pr}_{\chi(\tau + \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_i)}(E(\nu_i) \otimes M) \end{array}$$

and define similarly the translation functor $T(\mu_i)$. We now want to compare the functors $T(\nu_n) \circ \dots \circ T(\nu_1)$ and $T(\mu_m) \circ \dots \circ T(\mu_1)$ with each other and instead of a triangle of translations we thus have now the following situation:



We start by defining a map $\text{nat}(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; \nu_n, \dots, \nu_1; x)(\tau)$ for $x \in \mathcal{W}$ and generic weight τ analogously to the definition of $\text{nat}(\mu, \nu; x)(\tau)$ as the composition

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}(\chi(\tau))} (T(\mu_m) \circ \dots \circ T(\mu_1), T(\nu_n) \circ \dots \circ T(\nu_1)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (T(\mu_m) \cdots T(\mu_1)M(x \cdot \tau), T(\nu_n) \cdots T(\nu_1)M(x \cdot \tau)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}} (E_{\mu_m} \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} E_{\mu_1} \hat{\otimes} M(x \cdot (\tau + p)), E_{\nu_n} \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} E_{\nu_1} \hat{\otimes} M(x \cdot (\tau + p))) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\mu_m}^* \otimes \dots \otimes E_{\mu_1}^* \otimes E_{\nu_n} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{\nu_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we wrote E_μ for $E(\mu)_{x\mu}$. We then obtain a generalized triangle function \diamond by

$$\diamond(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; \nu_n, \dots, \nu_1; x)(\tau) := \det (x^{-1} \circ \bar{\text{nat}}(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; \nu_n, \dots, \nu_1; x)(\tau) \circ (\bar{\text{nat}}(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; \nu_n, \dots, \nu_1; e)(\tau))^{-1}).$$


Obviously we have $\diamond(\mu, \nu; \nu + \mu; x) = \Delta(\mu, \nu; x)$ as well as



$$\diamond(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; \nu_n, \dots, \nu_1; x)(\tau) = (\diamond(\nu_n, \dots, \nu_1; \mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; x)(\tau))^{-1}$$

and we can reduce the calculation of \diamond to the calculation of Δ by means of the


Split identities.

- (1) $\diamond(\nu_3, \nu_2, \nu_1; \nu_3 + \nu_2 + \nu_1; x)(\tau) = \Delta(\nu_2, \nu_1; x)(\tau)\Delta(\nu_3, \nu_1 + \nu_2; x)(\tau) = \Delta(\nu_2 + \nu_3, \nu_1; x)(\tau)\Delta(\nu_3, \nu_2; x)(\tau + \nu_1),$
- (2) $\diamond(\mu_2, \mu_1; \nu_2, \nu_1; x)(\tau) = \Delta(\mu_2, \mu_1; x)(\tau)(\Delta(\nu_2, \nu_1; x)(\tau))^{-1}.$


The first identity just means that we can split  into tri-

angles according to  or . This is

just the Decomposition identity in 7.1. The second equation describes the decom-




Inductively, we may thus first split up our situation into




In formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} \diamond(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; \nu_n, \dots, \nu_1; x)(\tau) &= \diamond(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; p; x)(\tau) \diamond(p; \nu_n, \dots, \nu_1; x)(\tau) \\ &= \diamond(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; p; x)(\tau) (\diamond(\nu_n, \dots, \nu_1; p; x)(\tau))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and in order to calculate the \diamond -functions it suffices thus to know them in the special case $\diamond(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j; x)$. This situation can then be reduced to triangles by decomposing it into



or into



Inductively one can then prove

Proposition. *Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in P$ be integral weights and $x \in \mathcal{W}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \diamond(\mu_m, \dots, \mu_1; \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j; x)(\tau) &= \prod_{k=2}^m \Delta(\mu_k, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu_i; x)(\tau) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \Delta(\sum_{j=k+1}^m \mu_j, \mu_k; x)(\tau + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mu_j). \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to my advisor, Wolfgang Soergel, for his constant encouragement and all the fruitful discussions we had. Without his many ideas this work would not have been possible. I also want to thank Bernard Leclerc for bringing the article [Ka] to my attention.

REFERENCES

- [AJS] Andersen, H.H., Jantzen, J.-C., Soergel, W.: *Representations of quantum groups at a pth root of unity and of semisimple groups in characteristic p: Independence of p*. Astérisque **220** (1994), 1–320. MR **95j**:20036
- [Be] Bernstein, J.N.: *Trace in Categories*. In Operator Algebras, Unitary Representations, Enveloping Algebras and Invariant Theory (A. Connes, M. Duflo, A. Joseph, R. Rentschler, editors), Actes du colloque en l'honneur de Jacques Dixmier, Progress in Mathematics **92** Birkhäuser, 1990, 417–423. MR **92d**:17010
- [BG] Bernstein, J.N., Gelfand, S.I.: *Tensor products of finite and infinite dimensional representations of semisimple Lie algebras*. Compositio Math. **41** (1980), 245–285. MR **82c**:17003
- [BGG1] Bernstein, J.N., Gelfand, I.M., Gelfand, S.I.: *Structure of representations generated by vectors of highest weight*. Funct. Anal. App. **5** (1971), 1–8. MR **45**:298
- [BGG2] ———, *Category of \mathfrak{g} -modules*. Funct. Anal. App. **10** (1976), 87–92.
- [Di] Dixmier, J.: *Enveloping Algebras*. (North Holland Mathematical Library, **Vol. 14**) Amsterdam-New York-Oxford: North Holland, 1977. MR **58**:16803b
- [ES] Etingof, P., Styrkas, K.: *Algebraic integrability of Schrödinger operators and representations of Lie algebras*. Compositio Math. **98** (1995), 91–112. MR **96j**:58076
- [EV] Etingof, P., Varchenko, A.: *Exchange Dynamical Quantum Groups*. On the xxx-preprint server under math.QA/9801135.
- [HS] Hilton, J.P., Stammach, U.: *A course in homological algebra*. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer, 1971. MR **49**:10751
- [Ja1] Jantzen, J.C.: *Moduln mit einem höchsten Gewicht*. (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **Vol. 750**) Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1979. MR **81m**:17011
- [Ja2] ———, *Einhüllende Algebren halbeinfacher Lie-Algebren*. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo: Springer 1983. MR **86c**:17011
- [Ka] Kashiwara, M.: *The Universal Verma Module and the b-Function*. In R. Hotta, editor, Algebraic Groups and Related Topics, Proceedings of Symposia Kyoto 1983, Nagoya, 1983, Adv. Stud. in Pure Mathematics **6**, Kinokuniya Tokyo and North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985, 67–81. MR **87i**:22047
- [Ko] Kostant, B.: *On the Tensor Product of a Finite and an Infinite Dimensional Representation*. Journal of Functional Analysis, **20** (1975), 257–285. MR **54**:2888
- [So] Soergel, W.: *Kategorie \mathcal{O} , Perverse Garben und Moduln über den Koinvarianten zur Weylgruppe*. Journal of the American Mathematical Society, **Vol. 3, No. 2**, April, 1990. MR **91e**:17007

UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, ECKERSTR.1, D-79104 FREIBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: karen@mathematik.uni-freiburg.de