It is known that an interesting part of the study of the representation theory of $p$-adic groups can be reduced to the study of the representation theory of affine Hecke algebras \[ B, V \]. Let \((W, S)\) be an extended affine Weyl group and \(H_{k,q^0}\) the corresponding Hecke algebra over a field \(k\) with a nonzero parameter \(q^0 \in k\). When \(k\) is the complex numbers field and \(q^0\) is not a root of unity, a classification of simple representations of \(H_{k,q^0}\) was established in [KL2] (Deligne-Langlands-Lusztig classification). For affine type \(A\), a classification of simple representations of \(H_{k,q^0}\) was obtained in [AM] for any \(q^0\) and arbitrary sufficiently large \(k\). When \(k\) is algebraically closed and has positive characteristic, the representations of \(H_{k,q^0}\) were studied by Vignéras, as part of her study of modular representations of \(p\)-adic groups \[ V \]. In this paper we shall verify a conjecture of Lusztig [L6, 7(a)] by means of the based ring of an extended affine Weyl group (Theorem 3.3). The conjecture says that if the parameter \(q^0\) is not a root of the corresponding Poincaré polynomial, then the classification established in [KL2] remains valid. The restriction is necessary for the classification; see Remark 3.4 (a).

1. Extended affine Weyl groups and their Hecke algebras

Let \(G\) be a connected reductive group over the field \(C\) of complex numbers with simply connected derived group and \(T\) a maximal torus of \(G\). Let \(N_G(T)\) be the normalizer of \(T\) in \(G\). Then \(W_0 = N_G(T)/T\) is a Weyl group, which acts on the character group \(X = \text{Hom}(T, C^*)\) of \(T\). The semi-direct product \(W = W_0 \ltimes X\) is called an extended affine Weyl group. We shall denote by \(S\) the set of simple reflections of \(W\).

Denote by \(H_{k,q^0}\) the Hecke algebra of \((W, S)\) over an arbitrary field \(k\) with a nonzero parameter \(q^0 \in k\). We shall assume that \(k\) contains the square roots of \(q^0\).

The following result is due to J. Bernstein; see [L1, Theorem 8.1] for a proof.

(a) The center \(Z\) of \(H_{k,q^0}\) is a finitely generated \(k\)-algebra and \(H_{k,q^0}\) is a finitely generated \(Z\)-module.

The following result was proved in [KL2] Proof of Prop. 5.13 when \(k\) is uncountable, by using an argument of Dixmier.

**Proposition 1.2.** Any simple \(H_{k,q^0}\)-module is finite dimensional.

**Proof.** Let \(M\) be a simple \(H_{k,q^0}\)-module and \(\mathcal{D} = \text{End}_{H_{k,q^0}} M\). Then \(\mathcal{D}\) is a division ring. For \(z\) in \(Z\), let \(f_z : M \to M, m \to zm\). Then \(f_z\) is in \(\mathcal{D}\) and the map
$f : Z \to D$, $z \to f_z$ is a homomorphism of $k$-algebras. Let $Y = f(Z)$. By section 1.1 (a), $Y$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra. We only need to show that each element in $Y$ is algebraic over $k$.

Let $r$ be the transcendency degree of $Y$ over $k$. By the Noether normalization theorem, there are elements $y_1, \ldots, y_r$ in $Y$ such that $Y$ is integral over $k[y_1, \ldots, y_r]$. We need to show that $r$ is zero. Assume that $r \geq 1$. Note that $y_1^{-1}$ is not in $Y$ since $y_1, \ldots, y_r$ are algebraically independent and $Y$ is integral over $k[y_1, \ldots, y_r]$. By section 1.1 (a), $M$ is a finitely generated $Z$-module. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_g$ be elements in $M$ which generate $M$ as a $Z$-module. Choose $x$ in $Z$ such that $f_x = y_1$. Since $y_1$ is invertible in $D$, we can find $u_i$ in $M$ such that $v_i = xu_i$ for all $i$. Let $u_i = \sum_j \xi_{ji}v_j$, $\xi_{ji} \in Z$. Set $\eta_{ji} = x\xi_{ji}$ if $j \neq i$, and $\eta_{ii} = 1 - x\xi_{ii}$. Then we have $\det(\eta_{ij})v_i = 0$ for all $i$. But $\det(\eta_{ij}) = 1 - xz$ for some $z$ in $Z$. Thus $f_1 - xz = 1 - f_z = 1 - y_1 f_z = 0$. This implies that $y_1$ is invertible in $Y$ and leads to a contradiction. Therefore we must have $r = 0$. The proposition is proved. □

2. $a$-FUNCTION AND BASED RING

In this section we will see that the simple $J_k$-modules and simple $H_{k,q_0}$-modules have a nice relationship.

2.1. We refer to [L2] 2.1 and [L3] 2.3 for the definitions of the function $a : W \to N$ and of the based ring $J$ of $W$ respectively. Following [L3] we denote by $t_w$, $w \in W$ the basis elements of $J$. For each nonnegative integer $i$ we denote by $J^i$ the subgroup of $J$ generated by all $t_w$ with $a(w) = i$. Then $J^i$ is a two-sided ideal of $J$ and $J$ is the direct sum of all $J^i$. Set $J_k = J \otimes W$ and $J_k^i = J^i \otimes W$. Thus $J_k^i$ is a two-sided ideal of $J_k$ and is also a $k$-algebra. By abusing notation we also write $t_w$ for $t_w \otimes 1$.

Let $C_w, w \in W$ be the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of $H_{k,q_0}$ in [KL1, L4] and write $C_w C_u = \sum h_{w,u,v}C_v, h_{w,u,v} \in k$. Let $D$ be the set of distinguished involutions of $W$. The following properties are due to Lusztig; see [L3] 2.4 (a) and [L4] Prop. 1.7, Prop. 1.6 (i), (ii)].

(a) There is a well-defined homomorphism $\varphi : H_{k,q_0} \to J_k$ of $k$-algebras such that

$$\varphi(C_w) = \sum_{d \in D \atop a(d) = a(w)} h_{w,d,u} t_u, \quad w \in W.$$ 

(b) The homomorphism $\varphi$ in (a) is injective. Thus $H_{k,q_0}$ can be regarded as a subalgebra of $J_k$ by means of $\varphi$.

(c) The center $Z(J_k)$ of $J_k$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra and $J_k$ is a finitely generated $Z(J_k)$-module.

(d) There is a well-defined right $H_{k,q_0}$-module structure on $J_k^i$ such that

$$t_w C_u = \sum_{v \in W \atop a(v) = a(u)} h_{w,u,v} t_v.$$ 

In this way, $J_k^i$ becomes a $J_k$-$H_{k,q_0}$-bimodule. See [L4] 1.4 (b)].

The following result was proved by Lusztig [L4] Prop. 1.6 (iii)] provided that $k$ is uncountable.

**Lemma 2.2.** Any simple $J_k$-module is finite dimensional.
Proof. A proof is similar to that for Proposition 1.2. \qed

2.3. Let \( E \) be a \( J_k \)-module through the homomorphism \( \varphi \), it is endowed with an \( H_{k,q_0} \)-module structure. We denote the \( H_{k,q_0} \)-module by \( E_\varphi \). **Convention**: For any subset \( N \) of \( E \) and any subset \( L \) of \( H_{k,q_0} \), we often write \( LN \) for \( \varphi(L)N \). Thus, as a set the notation \( LN \) is unambiguous, no matter whether \( N \) is regarded as a subset of \( E \) or as a subset of \( E_\varphi \).

For each simple \( J_k \)-module \( E \), there is a unique \( i \) such that \( J_1E = E \). We define \( a(E) \) to be \( i \). For an integer \( i \), we denote by \( H_{k,q_0}^{\geq i} \) (resp. \( H_{k,q_0}^{>i} \)) the subspace of \( H_{k,q_0} \) spanned by all \( C_w \) with \( a(w) \geq i \) (resp. \( a(w) > i \)). Both \( H_{k,q_0}^{\geq i} \) and \( H_{k,q_0}^{>i} \) are two-sided ideals of \( H_{k,q_0} \). For each \( H_{k,q_0} \)-module \( M \) we then define \( a(M) \) to be \( i \) if \( H_{k,q_0}^{>i}M \neq 0 \) but \( H_{k,q_0}^{\geq i}M = 0 \).

Let \( M \) be an \( H_{k,q_0} \)-module with \( a(M) = i \). We define \( \tilde{M} \) to be \( J_1^i \otimes H_{k,q_0} \) \( M \); here we regard \( J_1^i \) as a \( J_k \)-\( H_{k,q_0} \)-bimodule as in section 2.1 (d). Then \( \tilde{M} \) is a \( J_k \)-module. There is a natural homomorphism of \( H_{k,q_0} \)-modules \( p : \tilde{M} \to M, t_w \otimes m \to C_wm \). We have ([L4] Proof of Lemma 1.9]).

(a) When \( M \) is simple, the map \( p \) is surjective and \( C_w \ker p = 0 \) whenever \( a(w) \geq a(M) \).

The following assertion is clear.

(b) Let \( E \) be a simple \( J_k \)-module. Then \( H_{k,q_0}^{>a(E)} E_\varphi = 0 \). In particular, \( a(M) \leq a(E) \) for any simple constituent \( M \) of \( E_\varphi \). Also for any subset \( N \) of \( E \) or \( E_\varphi \), \( H_{k,q_0}^{\geq a(M)}N \) is spanned by all \( C_wN \), \( w \in W \) with \( a(w) = a(E) \).

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( E \) be a simple \( J_k \)-module and \( N \) a submodule of \( E_\varphi \) such that \( C_wN \neq 0 \) for some \( w \in W \) with \( a(w) = a(E) \). Regarding \( N \) as a subset of \( E \), then \( H_{k,q_0}^{\geq a(M)}N = E \). In particular, \( N = E_\varphi \) as \( H_{k,q_0} \)-modules.

**Proof.** Using section 2.3 (b) we know \( a(N) = a(E) \). Thus \( \tilde{N} = J_k^{a(E)} \otimes H_{k,q_0} \) \( N \). We have a well-defined \( k \)-linear map \( \theta : \tilde{N} \to E, t_w \otimes v \to \varphi(C_w)v \).

Using [L3] 2.4 (c) we see that \( \theta \) is a homomorphism of \( J_k \)-modules. Since \( E \) is a simple \( J_k \)-module and \( \theta(N) = H_{k,q_0}^{\geq a(E)}N \neq 0 \), we must have \( H_{k,q_0}^{\geq a(E)}N = E \). The lemma is proved. \qed

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( E \) be a simple \( J_k \)-module. Then

(a) \( E_\varphi \) has at most one simple constituent \( M \) such that \( a(M) = a(E) \).

(b) If \( E_\varphi \) has a simple constituent \( M \) such that \( a(M) = a(E) \), then \( M \) is a quotient module of \( E_\varphi \).

(c) If \( E_\varphi \) has a simple constituent \( M \) such that \( a(M) = a(E) \), then \( M \) is the unique simple quotient module of \( E_\varphi \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( E_\varphi \) has a simple constituent \( M \) such that \( a(M) = a(E) \). Let \( N_2 \subseteq N_1 \) be two submodules of \( E_\varphi \) such that the quotient module \( N_1/N_2 \) is \( M \). Then \( C_wN_1 \neq 0 \) for some \( w \in W \) with \( a(w) = a(E) \). By Lemma 2.4 we have \( N_1 = E_\varphi \) since \( H_{k,q_0}^{\geq a(E)} \) is a two-sided ideal, using Lemma 2.4 we see that \( N_2 = \{ v \in E_\varphi \mid H_{k,q_0}^{\geq a(E)}v = 0 \} \).

(a) and (b) follow.
Now we argue for (c). Let $N$ be a maximal submodule of $E_{\varphi}$. Using Lemma 2.4 we see that $N$ is a submodule of $N_2 = \{ v \in E_{\varphi} \mid H_{k,q_0}^{\geq a(E)} v = 0 \}$. By the argument for (a) and (b), $N_2$ is a maximal submodule of $E_{\varphi}$. Thus $N = N_2$ and $E_{\varphi}/N = M$ is the unique simple quotient module of $E_{\varphi}$.

The lemma is proved.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let $E$ be a simple $J_k$-module. Then $E_{\varphi}$ has a simple constituent $M$ with $a(M) = a(E)$ if and only if $C_w E_{\varphi} \neq 0$ for some $w$ with $a(w) = a(E)$. In this case $E_{\varphi}$ has a unique maximal submodule.

**Proof.** The “only if” part is obvious. Now we prove the “if” part. Assume that $E_{\varphi}$ had no simple constituent $M$ with $a(M) = a(E)$. Let $N$ be a maximal submodule of $E_{\varphi}$. Then $E_{\varphi}/N$ is simple. By assumption and section 2.3 (b), we have $H_{k,q_0}^{\geq a(E)} E_{\varphi} \subset N$. However, $C_w E_{\varphi} \neq 0$ for some $w$ with $a(w) = a(E)$. By Lemma 2.4 we have $H_{k,q_0}^{\geq a(E)} E_{\varphi} = E_{\varphi}$. This is a contradiction. The corollary is proved.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let $E$ and $E'$ be two simple $J_k$-modules. Assume that $E_{\varphi}$ (resp. $E'_{\varphi}$) has a simple quotient $M$ (resp. $M'$) such that $a(M) = i$ (resp. $a(M') = i$). Then $M$ is isomorphic to $M'$ if and only if $E$ is isomorphic to $E'$.

**Proof.** Let $\pi : E_{\varphi} \rightarrow M$ be the natural projection. Since $H_{k,q_0}^{\geq i} E_{\varphi} \neq 0$, by section 2.3 (b) we have $E_{\varphi} = J_k^i \otimes_{H_{k,q_0}} E_{\varphi}$. For simplicity, we shall write $\tilde{E}$ for $E_{\varphi}$. There are two well-defined $k$-linear maps

$$p' : \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{M}, \quad t_w \otimes v \rightarrow t_w \otimes \pi(v),$$

$$\theta : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E, \quad t_w \otimes v \rightarrow \varphi(C_w) v.$$

Clearly $p'$ is a homomorphism of $J_k$-modules. According to the proof of Lemma 2.4, $\theta$ is also a homomorphism of $J_k$-modules. Obviously we have $\pi \theta = pp'$ (see section 2.3 for the definition of $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$).

Since $p'$ is a surjection, the homomorphism $p'$ induces a surjective homomorphism of $J_k$-modules, $\tilde{p}' : \tilde{E}/\ker \theta \rightarrow \tilde{M}/p'(\ker \theta)$. As $J_k$-modules, $\tilde{E}/\ker \theta$ is isomorphic to $E$, since $E$ is simple and $\theta(\tilde{E}) = H_{k,q_0}^{\geq i} E \neq 0$. Thanks to $\pi \theta = pp'$, we know that $p'(\ker \theta)$ is in the kernel of $p$. By section 2.3 (a), $\ker p \subseteq \tilde{M}$, so $p'$ is an isomorphism and $E$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{M}/p'(\ker \theta)$.

By section 2.3 (a), $H_{k,q_0}^{\geq i} \ker p = 0$; hence we have $H_{k,q_0}^{\geq i} p'(\ker \theta) = 0$. Thus $E$ can be characterized as the unique simple constituent $F$ of the $J_k$-module $\tilde{M}$ such that $H_{k,q_0}^{\geq i} F_{\varphi} \neq 0$.

As a consequence, if $M$ is isomorphic to $M'$, then $E$ must be isomorphic to $E'$. The lemma is proved.

**Corollary 2.8 (LA Corollary 3.6).** Assume that for each simple $J_k$-module $E$, the $H_{k,q_0}$-module $E_{\varphi}$ has a simple constituent $M$ with $a(M) = i$. Then both of the $J_k$-modules $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{M}$ are isomorphic to $E$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.5 (c), $M$ is the unique simple quotient of $E_{\varphi}$. Note that $J_k^i E = 0$ if $r \neq i$ (recall that $E$ stands for $E_{\varphi}$). Let $\theta : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$ be as in the proof of Lemma 2.7. As in the proof of LA Lemma 1.9, one may check that $C_w \ker \theta = 0$ whenever $a(w) \geq i$. If $\ker \theta \neq 0$, then by assumption, $C_w \ker \theta \neq 0$ for some $w$ with $a(w) = i$. This yields a contradiction. Therefore $\ker \theta = 0$ and as $J_k$-modules,
Let \( \tilde{E} \) isomorphic to \( E \). By the proof of Lemma 2.7 we know that \( \tilde{E} \) and \( \tilde{M} \) are isomorphic in this case. The corollary is proved. \( \square \)

3. Main results

In this section we give our main results.

Denote by \( W^I \) the subgroup of \( W \) generated by a subset \( I \) of \( S \) and call it a parabolic subgroup. Let \( J_k^I \) be the subspace spanned by all \( t_w, w \in W^I \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that \( \text{char } k = 0 \). Then as a two-sided ideal, \( J_k \) is generated by all \( J_k^I \) for all finite parabolic subgroups \( W^I \).

**Proof.** According to [L5, Theorem 4.2] and [L5, Theorem 6.7(a2)], for any simple \( J_C \)-module \( E \), we can find a finite parabolic subgroup \( W^I \) of \( W \) such that the action of \( J_k^I \) on \( E \) is nonzero. This implies that as a two-sided ideal, \( J_C \) is generated by all \( J_k^I \) for all finite parabolic subgroups \( W^I \). With respect to the basis \( \{t_w, w \in W\} \), the structure constants of \( J_k \) are in \( \mathbb{N} \) if \( \text{char } k = 0 \). The theorem follows. \( \square \)

When \( q_0 \) is not a root of unity, the following result was proved by Lusztig [L4, Theorem 3.4], except for the uniqueness in (a).

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that \( \text{char } k = 0 \) and \( \sum_{w \in W} q_0^{I(w)} \neq 0 \) (I is the length function of \( W \)). Then

(a) for each simple \( J_k \)-module \( E \), the \( H_{k,q_0} \)-module \( E_\varphi \) has a unique simple constituent \( M \) such that \( a(M) = a(E) \). For other simple constituents \( M' \) of \( E_\varphi \) we have \( a(M') < a(E) \). Thus the \( H_{k,q_0} \)-module \( M \) is the unique simple quotient of \( E_\varphi \). (The uniqueness is part of [L2, 9.10, Conjecture A]. The other part of the conjecture was proved in [L3].)

(b) Keep the notation in (a). The map \( E \to M \) defines a bijection between the isomorphism classes of simple \( J_k \)-modules and the isomorphism classes of simple \( H_{k,q_0} \)-modules.

**Proof.** Let \( W^I \) be a finite parabolic subgroup of \( W \). Since \( \sum_{w \in W} q_0^{I(w)} \neq 0 \), it is easy to check that \( \sum_{w \in W} q_0^{I(w)} \neq 0 \). Thus the subalgebra \( H_{k,q_0}^I \) of \( H_{k,q_0} \) generated by all \( C_w, w \in W^I \) is semisimple [G1, Theorem 3.9]. Then the restriction of \( \varphi \) to \( H_{k,q_0}^I \) induces an isomorphism \( \varphi_I : H_{k,q_0}^I \to J_k^I \) [G2, Lemma 2.1]. The isomorphism \( \varphi_I \) sends \( C_w, w \in W^I \) to a linear combination of \( t_u, u \in W^I \) with \( a(u) \geq a(w) \).

Now for each simple \( J_k \)-module \( E \), we can find a finite parabolic subgroup \( W^I \) such that \( J_k^I E \neq 0 \) (Theorem 3.1). Let \( N_1 = J_k^I E \) and \( N_2 = \{v \in E | J_k^I v = 0\} \). Then \( E = N_1 \oplus N_2 \) and \( J_k^I N_1 = N_1 \). Moreover, for any \( v \in N_1 \) and \( h \in H_{k,q_0}^I \), we have \( \varphi(h)v = \varphi_I(h)v \). Let \( u \in W^I \) be such that \( t_u N_1 \neq 0 \). Then \( a(u) = a(E) \) and \( h = \varphi_I^{-1}(t_u) \) is a linear combination of \( C_w, w \in W^I \) with \( a(w) \geq a(E) \). Now we have \( hN_1 = \varphi(h)N_1 = \varphi_I(h)N_1 = t_u N_1 \neq 0 \). Using section 2.3 (b) we can find an element \( w \in W^I \) such that \( a(w) = a(E) \) and \( C_w N_1 \neq 0 \). This implies that \( C_w E_\varphi \neq 0 \). By Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.5, we see that \( E_\varphi \) has a unique simple constituent \( M \) such that \( a(M) = a(E) \). Moreover, \( M \) is the unique simple quotient of \( E_\varphi \).

Using section 2.3 (b), we know that for other simple constituents \( M' \) of \( E_\varphi \) we have \( a(M') < a(E) \). Part (a) is proved.

Using section 2.3 (a) and Lemma 2.7 we see that (b) is true. \( \square \)
Theorem 3.3. Assume that \( k = C \) and \( \sum_{w \in W_0} q_0^{l(w)} \neq 0 \). Then the classification of simple \( H_{k,q_0} \) modules in [KL2] remains valid.

Proof. The theorem follows from [L3 Theorem 4.2] and Theorem 3.2 (b).

Remark 3.4. (a) When \( \sum_{w \in W_0} q_0^{l(w)} = 0 \), there are simple \( J_C \) modules \( E \) such that the \( H_{k,q_0} \) modules \( E_\phi \) have no simple constituents \( M \) with \( a(M) = a(E) \) [XI Theorem 7.8].

(b) A weaker result was proved in [X1, Theorem 6.6].

(c) In [Gr], Grojnowski announced a stronger result. The proof seems to not be available yet. The validity of the result will be commented on in a future work.

(d) For type \( \tilde{A}_n \), rank 2 cases, the structure of the based ring \( J \) is known explicitly [X1, X2, BO]. In these cases we can get a classification of simple \( H_{k,q_0} \) modules for any field \( k \) containing square roots of \( q_0 \), by means of \( J_k \). The result suggests that an analogue of the Deligne-Langlands-Lusztig classification of simple \( H_{k,q_0} \) modules remains true, provided that \( k \) is algebraically closed and the subalgebra \( H(W_0)_{k,q_0} \) of \( H_{k,q_0} \) generated by all \( C_w \ (w \in W_0) \) is semisimple. The details will appear elsewhere.
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