

Equally-Weighted Quadrature Formulas for Inversion Integrals

In a previous article [1] the author considered Gaussian-type quadrature formulas for the numerical evaluation of inversion integrals $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{e^p}{p} F(p) dp$, where an n -point formula was exact whenever $F(p)$ was a polynomial of degree $(2n - 1)$ in $1/p$. In this present note we consider equally weighted (i.e., Chebyshev type) quadrature formulas of the form

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{e^p}{p} F(p) dp = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n F(p_j),$$

where (1) is exact whenever $F(p)$ is any polynomial of degree n in $1/p$. The analogous set of equally weighted quadrature formulas for evaluating infinite integrals that are direct Laplace transforms has already been considered in one of the author's earlier papers [2]. Similar to the derivation given there, it is easily seen here that the well-known relation

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{e^p}{p} \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^r dp = \frac{1}{r!},$$

by choosing $r = 0$, establishes the factor $1/n$ outside the summation in (1), and the choice of $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ establishes the following necessary and sufficient conditions on p_j , in order that (1) should hold whenever $F(p)$ is an arbitrary n th degree polynomial in $1/p$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right)^r = \frac{n}{r!}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Following the usual methods [2], one determines for the n -point case of (1) the coefficients of the polynomials $\phi_n(z)$ whose zeros z_j are the reciprocals of the required points p_j . Thus from $\phi_n(z) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + a_2 z^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1} z + a_n$, the coefficients a_k are found successively from

$$(3) \quad ka_k + a_{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j + a_{k-2} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2 + \dots + a_1 \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^{k-1} + \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^k = 0,$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Below are the general formulas for the first ten coefficients a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{10} for any n , having meaning, of course, only for an a_k where $k \leq n$

$$a_1 = -n,$$

$$a_2 = \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{4},$$

$$a_3 = -\frac{n^3}{6} + \frac{n^2}{4} - \frac{n}{18},$$

$$a_4 = \frac{n^4}{24} - \frac{n^3}{8} + \frac{25}{288}n^2 - \frac{n}{96},$$

$$a_5 = -\frac{n^5}{120} + \frac{n^4}{24} - \frac{17}{288}n^3 + \frac{7}{288}n^2 - \frac{n}{600},$$

$$a_6 = \frac{n^6}{720} - \frac{n^5}{96} + \frac{43}{1728}n^4 - \frac{25}{1152}n^3 + \frac{1507}{2\,59200}n^2 - \frac{n}{4320},$$

$$a_7 = -\frac{n^7}{5040} + \frac{n^6}{480} - \frac{13}{1728}n^5 + \frac{13}{1152}n^4 - \frac{1741}{2\,59200}n^3 + \frac{53}{43200}n^2 - \frac{n}{35280},$$

$$a_8 = \frac{n^8}{40320} - \frac{n^7}{2880} + \frac{61}{34560}n^6 - \frac{7}{1728}n^5 + \frac{17627}{41\,47200}n^4 - \frac{3779}{20\,73600}n^3 \\ + \frac{15787}{677\,37600}n^2 - \frac{n}{3\,22560},$$

$$a_9 = -\frac{n^9}{3\,62880} + \frac{n^8}{20160} - \frac{7}{20736}n^7 + \frac{19}{17280}n^6 - \frac{22289}{124\,41600}n^5 \\ + \frac{2887}{20\,73600}n^4 - \frac{4\,87471}{10973\,49120}n^3 + \frac{12317}{3048\,19200}n^2 - \frac{n}{32\,65920},$$

$$a_{10} = \frac{n^{10}}{36\,28800} - \frac{n^9}{1\,61280} + \frac{79}{14\,51520}n^8 - \frac{11}{46080}n^7 + \frac{69353}{1244\,16000}n^6 \\ - \frac{11347}{165\,88800}n^5 + \frac{22\,37339}{54867\,45600}n^4 - \frac{26791}{2709\,50400}n^3 \\ + \frac{5\,90383}{9\,14457\,60000}n^2 - \frac{n}{362\,88000}.$$

The first ten polynomials $\phi_n(z)$ are

$$\phi_1(z) = z - 1,$$

$$\phi_2(z) = z^2 - 2z + \frac{3}{2},$$

$$\phi_3(z) = z^3 - 3z^2 + \frac{15}{4}z - \frac{29}{12},$$

$$\phi_4(z) = z^4 - 4z^3 + 7z^2 - \frac{62}{9}z + \frac{289}{72},$$

$$\phi_5(z) = z^5 - 5z^4 + \frac{45}{4}z^3 - \frac{535}{36}z^2 + \frac{1805}{144}z - \frac{1627}{240},$$

$$\phi_6(z) = z^6 - 6z^5 + \frac{33}{2}z^4 - \frac{82}{3}z^3 + \frac{481}{16}z^2 - \frac{4537}{200}z + \frac{27769}{2400},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_7(z) = z^7 - 7z^6 + \frac{91}{4}z^5 - \frac{1631}{36}z^4 + \frac{4417}{72}z^3 - \frac{1\ 06351}{1800}z^2 \\ + \frac{53\ 02619}{1\ 29600}z - \frac{180\ 44381}{9\ 07200}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_8(z) = z^8 - 8z^7 + 30z^6 - \frac{628}{9}z^5 + \frac{4037}{36}z^4 - \frac{3277}{25}z^3 + \frac{9\ 22919}{8100}z^2 \\ - \frac{29\ 22187}{39690}z + \frac{1455\ 11171}{42\ 33600}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_9(z) = z^9 - 9z^8 + \frac{153}{4}z^7 - \frac{407}{4}z^6 + \frac{3027}{16}z^5 - \frac{1\ 03911}{400}z^4 + \frac{6\ 50239}{2400}z^3 \\ - \frac{84\ 99571}{39200}z^2 + \frac{414\ 78457}{3\ 13600}z - \frac{15146\ 11753}{254\ 01600}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{10}(z) = z^{10} - 10z^9 + \frac{95}{2}z^8 - \frac{1280}{9}z^7 + \frac{43235}{144}z^6 - \frac{1\ 70381}{360}z^5 + \frac{14\ 90251}{2592}z^4 \\ - \frac{173\ 63761}{31752}z^3 + \frac{4151\ 58089}{10\ 16064}z^2 - \frac{32552\ 25203}{137\ 16864}z + \frac{14\ 23249\ 22009}{13716\ 86400}. \end{aligned}$$

The values of p_j and the zeros $z_j \equiv 1/p_j$ of the above polynomials $\phi_n(z)$, for $n = 1(1)10$, are given in Table 1.

The zeros $z_j \equiv 1/p_j$ of $\phi_n(z)$ were calculated for $n = 3, 4, \dots, 10$, by first obtaining an initial approximation, using a procedure that had been employed upon the Univac Scientific Computer (ERA 1103) at the Convair Digital Computing Laboratory. The initial approximations to the complex zeros were then used to construct approximate real quadratic factors, which were refined by Bairstow's method (Milne [3], Olver [4]), using only a desk calculator. The initial approximations to the real zeros were refined by Newton's method. All factors of $\phi_n(z)$ were checked by four different formulas (see [4], p. 414). Also a final functional check was performed upon the values of $1/p_j$ by substituting into equation (2) for $n = 1(1)10$, and $r = 1(1)n$.

The 8-decimal values of p_j and $1/p_j$ in Table 1 are guaranteed as far as the seventh decimal place. But they are believed to be correct to within around two units in the eighth decimal place for $n = 1(1)7$ and have a high probability of being correct to within several units in the eighth decimal place for $n = 8(1)10$.

TABLE 1. p_j and $1/p_j$

n	j	p_j		$1/p_j$	
1	1	1.00000 000	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>	1.00000 000	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>
2	1, 2	.66666 667	± .47140 452 <i>i</i>	1.00000 000	∓ .70710 678 <i>i</i>
3	1, 2	.46343 318	± .66891 655 <i>i</i>	.69981 792	∓ 1.01011 279 <i>i</i>
	3	.62485 778	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>	1.60036 417	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>
4	1, 2	.31209 699	± .78442 870 <i>i</i>	.43788 772	∓ 1.10059 277 <i>i</i>
	3, 4	.54603 449	± .22670 497 <i>i</i>	1.56211 228	∓ .64856 456 <i>i</i>
5	1, 2	.19029 304	± .86260 499 <i>i</i>	.24387 201	∓ 1.10548 034 <i>i</i>
	3, 4	.46724 697	± .36843 448 <i>i</i>	1.31966 923	∓ 1.04058 814 <i>i</i>
	5	.53392 634	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>	1.87291 752	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>
6	1, 2	.08786 626	± .92009 404 <i>i</i>	.10285 254	∓ 1.07702 331 <i>i</i>
	3, 4	.39416 727	± .46819 799 <i>i</i>	1.05229 916	∓ 1.24993 725 <i>i</i>
	5, 6	.49826 825	± .14769 920 <i>i</i>	1.84484 830	∓ .54685 928 <i>i</i>
7	1, 2	-.00076 496	± .96470 825 <i>i</i>	-.00082 196	∓ 1.03658 217 <i>i</i>
	3, 4	.32727 973	± .54346 944 <i>i</i>	.81317 581	∓ 1.35033 175 <i>i</i>
	5, 6	.45588 935	± .25464 118 <i>i</i>	1.67190 107	∓ .93385 569 <i>i</i>
	7	.49224 949	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>	2.03149 016	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>
8	1, 2	-.07902 919	± 1.00066 480 <i>i</i>	-.07843 500	∓ .99314 110 <i>i</i>
	3, 4	.26601 917	± .60293 762 <i>i</i>	.61252 402	∓ 1.38829 762 <i>i</i>
	5, 6	.41223 251	± .33698 985 <i>i</i>	1.45409 421	∓ 1.18868 595 <i>i</i>
	7, 8	.47182 912	± .10911 533 <i>i</i>	2.01181 677	∓ .46525 329 <i>i</i>
9	1, 2	-.14919 526	± 1.03046 752 <i>i</i>	-.13761 844	∓ .95050 835 <i>i</i>
	3, 4	.20966 304	± .65149 353 <i>i</i>	.44761 307	∓ 1.39088 424 <i>i</i>
	5, 6	.36931 455	± .40305 392 <i>i</i>	1.23580 348	∓ 1.34870 244 <i>i</i>
	7, 8	.44525 659	± .19444 915 <i>i</i>	1.88616 975	∓ .82371 403 <i>i</i>
	9	.46815 071	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>	2.13606 428	+ .00000 000 <i>i</i>
10	1, 2	-.21284 773	± 1.05570 953 <i>i</i>	-.18351 682	∓ .91023 036 <i>i</i>
	3, 4	.15754 418	± .69213 469 <i>i</i>	.31266 794	∓ 1.37363 580 <i>i</i>
	5, 6	.32790 360	± .45764 025 <i>i</i>	1.03454 187	∓ 1.44386 337 <i>i</i>
	7, 8	.41610 417	± .26374 950 <i>i</i>	1.71443 371	∓ 1.08670 151 <i>i</i>
	9, 10	.45488 509	± .08636 297 <i>i</i>	2.12187 330	∓ .40285 183 <i>i</i>

The refinement of the factors and zeros of $\phi_n(z)$ by Bairstow's method was done by Mrs. Genevieve Mullin Kimbro.

HERBERT E. SALZER

CONVAIR Astronautics
San Diego, California

1. H. E. SALZER, "Orthogonal polynomials arising in the numerical evaluation of inverse Laplace transforms," *MTAC*, v. 9, 1955, p. 164-177.
2. H. E. SALZER, "Equally weighted quadrature formulas over semi-infinite and infinite intervals," *Jn. Math. and Physics*, v. 34, 1955, p. 54-63.
3. W. E. MILNE, *Numerical Calculus*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1949, p. 53-57.
4. F. W. J. OLVER, "The evaluation of zeros of high-degree polynomials," *Roy. Soc. London, Phil. Trans.*, v. 244, Ser. A, 1952, p. 385-415.