

## TECHNICAL NOTES AND SHORT PAPERS

## A Method to Investigate Primality

The method determines the smallest odd prime factor of a number  $N$  by testing the remainders left after division by the successive odd numbers  $3, 5, \dots, f_m - 2, f_m$ : here,  $f_m$  is the largest odd number not exceeding  $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . If none of these remainders vanishes,  $N$  is a prime number.

Let  $f$  be one of the odd trial divisors. Remainder  $r_0$  and quotient  $q_0$  are defined by the relations

$$N = r_0 + fq_0, \quad 0 \leq r_0 < f.$$

Now  $q_0$  is divided by  $f + 2$ , giving

$$q_0 = r_1 + (f + 2)q_1, \quad 0 \leq r_1 < f + 2.$$

Then  $q_1$  is divided by  $(f + 4)$ , etc., and this process is continued till a quotient ( $q_n$ , say) equal to zero is found;  $r_n$  is the last remainder in the sequence unequal to zero. After elimination of the  $q_i$  we get the relations

$$(1) \quad N = r_0 + fr_1 + f(f + 2)r_2 + f(f + 2)(f + 4)r_3 + \dots \\ + f(f + 2) \dots (f + 2n - 2)r_n$$

and

$$(2) \quad 0 \leq r_i < f + 2i.$$

Once the sequence  $r_i$  is known for a given value of  $f$ , it is easy to compute the corresponding sequence  $r_i^*$ , defined by the relations (1) and (2) with respect to  $f^* = f + 2$ , as they are expressed in terms of the  $r_i$  by the recurrence relations

$$(3) \quad b_0 = 0, \quad r_i^* = r_i - 2(i + 1)r_{i+1} - b_i + (f^* + 2i)b_{i+1}, \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

The relation corresponding to (1) is satisfied for arbitrary values of the numbers  $b_i$  with  $i \geq 1$ ; they are fixed, however, by the relations corresponding to (2)

$$(2^*) \quad 0 \leq r_i^* < f^* + 2i.$$

On account of the inequalities (2) and (2\*)—and  $b_0 = 0$ —the  $b_i$  satisfy the inequalities

$$(4) \quad 0 \leq b_i \leq 2i.$$

We have chosen  $b_0 = 0$ . Then the relations (3) and (2\*) with  $i = 0$  determine  $r_0^*$  and  $b_1$ ; once  $b_1$  is known, (3) and (2\*) with  $i = 1$  determine  $r_1^*$  and  $b_2$ , etc. The process is easily programmed.

As  $r_{n+1} = 0$ , and the inequalities (2\*) with  $i = n$  are always satisfied with  $b_{n+1} = 0$ , the process terminates with

$$r_n^* = r_n - b_n.$$

As soon as  $r_n^* = 0$  is found—in that case it can be proved that  $r_{n-1}^* \neq 0$ —the index  $n$ , marking the last  $r_i \neq 0$  in the sequence, is lowered by 1.

In order to find the smallest odd prime factor of  $N$ , the  $r_i$  defined by (2) and (3) and  $f = 3$  are computed. Here the only divisions in the process are carried out. At the same time the initial value of  $n$  is found. If  $N$  is large, this value may be considerable: for instance  $n = 11$  is found for  $N \approx 10^{13}$ . The amount of work involved in each step is roughly proportional to  $n^2$ . Fortunately large initial values of  $n$  decrease very rapidly. As soon as  $f \cdot (f + 2) \cdot (f + 4) > N$ ,  $n$  takes the value 2. This is its minimum value: when  $r_n^* = 0$  with  $n = 2$  is found,  $(f^* + 2)^2 > N$  holds and  $N$  is a prime number. (If not, we should have found an  $r_0 = 0$  earlier and should have stopped there.)

The process still may be speeded up. Let  $b_n'$  be the minimum of  $b_n$  for fixed  $n$  up till a certain moment: then it can be shown that the next  $b_n$  satisfies

$$(5) \quad b_n \leq b_n' + 1.$$

Let us apply this to the last stage  $n = 2$ . According to (4)  $b_2$  satisfies  $0 \leq b_2 \leq 4$ . According to (5), however, the only possible values for  $b_2$  are 0 and 1 as soon as a value  $b_2 = 0$  once has been found. This is bound to happen for  $f$  ranging (roughly) from  $(4N)^{\frac{1}{3}}$  to  $(8N)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . In the case  $b_2 = 0$  it is apparently unnecessary to test whether  $r_2 = 0$  is reached. (If  $N \geq 144$ , the case  $b_n = 0$  with  $n = 2$  occurs, before  $r_n^* = 0$  with  $n = 2$  is found; prime numbers are then always detected in this last stage.)

The less efficient steps of the process for large  $n$  (i.e., small  $f$ ) could be avoided by carrying out divisions for small values of  $f$  (see Alway [1]). However we strongly advise against doing this.

If the process described above is started at  $f = 3$ , the *whole* computation can be checked at the end by inserting the final values of  $f$  and  $r_i$  into (1). As all the intermediate results are used in the computation, this check seems satisfactory.

If a double-length number  $N$  is to be investigated, another argument can be added: division of  $N$  by small  $f$  may give a double-length quotient, i.e., two divisions (and two multiplications to check) are needed for each  $f$ . In our case only part of the initial  $n$  divisions are double-length divisions.

The process described above has been programmed for the ARMAC (Automatische Rekenmachine van het Mathematisch Centrum). The speed of this machine is about 2400 operations per second. A twelve decimal number was identified as the square of a prime in less than 23 minutes.

E. W. DIJKSTRA

Mathematical Centre  
Computation Department  
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

1. G. G. ALWAY, "A method of factorisation using a high-speed computer," *MTAC*, v. 6 1952, p. 59-60.