

## An Open Formula for the Numerical Integration of First Order Differential Equations

**1. Introduction.** The integration scheme given below combines the accuracy of multi-point formulas with the convenience, lack of starter formulas, etc., of two-point formulas, as described, for example, by Milne [1]. The price paid is the necessity of evaluating the right hand side of

$$(1) \quad y' = f(x, y)$$

at points which may lie outside the range of integration. It is the writer's belief that this is compensated for by the ease of programming the scheme for a digital computer.

**2. The Integration Formulas.** For each  $n = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ , there exists a matrix  $D_n$  of order  $n$  and rank  $n - 1$  such that if  $\bar{y} = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$  is a vector of values of the function  $y(x)$  at equally spaced points  $x_0, x_0 + h, \dots, x_0 + (n - 1)h$ , then  $\bar{y}' = (y_0', y_1', \dots, y_{n-1}')$  is given by

$$(2) \quad \bar{y}' = D_n \bar{y} + \bar{E}$$

where  $\bar{E}$  is an error vector whose elements are  $O(h^{n-1}y^{(n)}(\xi))$ .

Recurrence formulas for the propagation of the solution of (1) can be obtained by setting

$$(3) \quad D_n \bar{y} + \bar{E} = f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

where

$$f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \equiv (f(x_0, y_0), f(x_1, y_1), \dots, f(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})),$$

from which all but two variables can be eliminated successively, yielding the desired recurrence relation. Thus for  $n = 3$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad y_0' = \frac{1}{2h} (-3y_0 + 4y_1 - y_2) + \frac{h^2}{3} y^{(3)} = f(x_0, y_0)$$

$$(4.2) \quad y_1' = \frac{1}{2h} (-y_0 + y_2) - \frac{h^2}{6} y^{(3)} = f(x_1, y_1)$$

$$(4.3) \quad y_2' = \frac{1}{2h} (y_0 - 4y_1 + 3y_2) + \frac{h^2}{3} y^{(3)} = f(x_2, y_2).$$

Solving (4.2) for  $y_2$ , inserting in (4.1) we get

$$(5) \quad y_1 - y_0 = \frac{h}{2} \{f(x_0, y_0) + f(x_1, y_1)\} - \frac{h^3}{12} y^{(3)}(\xi),$$

the familiar trapezoidal rule.

With  $n = 4$  we eliminate  $y_2, y_3$  from

$$(6.1) \quad y_0' = \frac{1}{6h} (-11y_0 + 18y_1 - 9y_2 + 2y_3) - \frac{h^3}{4} y^{(IV)} = f(x_0, y_0)$$

$$(6.2) \quad y_1' = \frac{1}{6h} (-2y_0 - 3y_1 + 6y_2 - y_3) + \frac{h^3}{12} y^{(IV)} = f(x_1, y_1)$$

$$(6.3) \quad y_2' = \frac{1}{6h} (y_0 - 6y_1 + 3y_2 + 2y_3) - \frac{h^3}{12} y^{(IV)} = f(x_2, y_2)$$

$$(6.4) \quad y_3' = \frac{1}{6h} (-2y_0 + 9y_1 - 18y_2 + 11y_3) + \frac{h^3}{4} y^{(IV)} = f(x_3, y_3)$$

getting

$$(7.1) \quad y_1 - y_0 = \frac{h}{12} [5f(x_0, y_0) + 8f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2)] + \frac{h^4}{24} y^{(IV)}$$

$$(7.2) \quad y_2 = 5y_0 - 4y_1 + 2h\{f(x_0, y_0) + 2f(x_1, y_1)\} + \frac{h^4}{6} y^{(IV)}.$$

Replacing  $y_2$  in (7.1) by (7.2) and using the mean value theorem we get finally

$$(8.1) \quad y_1 - y_0 = \frac{h}{12} \{5f(x_0, y_0) + 8f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2^*)\} \\ O \frac{h^4}{24} y^{(IV)}(\xi) \left[ 1 + \frac{h}{3} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_2, \eta) \right]$$

where

$$(8.2) \quad y_2^* = 5y_0 - 4y_1 + 2h[f(x_0, y_0) + 2f(x_1, y_1)]$$

$$(8.3) \quad x_0 \leq \xi \leq x_2$$

and  $\eta$  lies between  $y_2$  and  $y_2^*$ .

If  $f(x, y)$  is linear in  $y$  then  $y$  is given explicitly by (8.1) and (8.2); otherwise an initial guess may be made of  $y_1, y_2^*$  computed from (8.2), and a new  $y_1$ , computed from (8.1), repeating the process until convergence is reached before proceeding to the next point.

In the linear case, (1) takes the form

$$(9) \quad y'(x) = P(x) + Q(x)y(x),$$

and (8.1), (8.2) become

$$(10) \quad y_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{h}{3} (2Q_1 + Q_2) + \frac{h^2}{3} Q_1 Q_2 \right] - y_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{h}{12} (Q_0 - Q_2) - \frac{h^2}{6} Q_0 Q_2 \right] \\ = \frac{h}{12} [5P_0 + 8P_1 - P_2] - \frac{h^2 Q_2}{6} [P_0 + 2P_1] + \frac{h^4}{24} y^{(IV)}(\xi) \left[ 1 + \frac{h}{3} Q_2 \right].$$

The method clearly generalizes to  $n > 4$  yielding formulas of higher order accuracy. The involved calculations necessary in carrying out the propagation, however, may make the method too inconvenient for machine calculation.

**3. An example.** We illustrate the method with the solution of

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} y' = 1 + y \\ y(0) = 2 \end{cases}$$

for which the exact solution is  $y = 3e^x - 1$ .

The functions  $P(x)$ ,  $Q(x)$  are here identically unity, and (10) becomes

$$(12) \quad \left[1 - h + \frac{h^2}{3}\right] y_1 = \left[1 - \frac{h^2}{6}\right] y_0 + h - \frac{h^2}{2}$$

or

$$(13) \quad y_1 = A(1 + y_0) - 1$$

where

$$A = [1 - \frac{1}{6}h^2][1 - h + \frac{1}{3}h^2]^{-1}.$$

The fourth order Runge-Kutta method also gives (13) with

$$A = [1 + h + \frac{1}{2}h^2 + \frac{1}{6}h^3].$$

For  $h = .05$  the solution was propagated twenty steps with the following results:

$x$	$y$ (Runge-Kutta)	$y$ (Eq. 10)	$y$ (Exact)
0.00	2.00000 0000	2.00000 0000	2.00000 0000
0.20	2.66420 4603	2.66420 4351	2.66420 8275
0.40	3.47546 5123	3.47546 4508	3.47547 4093
0.60	4.46633 9967	4.46633 8842	4.46635 6401
0.80	5.67659 6021	5.67659 4190	5.67662 2786
1.00	7.15480 4623	7.15480 1828	7.15484 5486

**4. Significance.** In order to obtain accuracy of higher order than the third, using the Runge-Kutta technique, it is necessary to evaluate the functions  $Q(x)$ ,  $P(x)$  in equation (9) at points interior to each mesh interval. On the other hand, equation (10) requires that evaluation only at points at which  $y$  is to be calculated, plus one extra beyond the right hand limit of integration.

This fact, plus the freedom from starter formulas required by some multi-point methods combine to enhance the value of equation (10), or equivalently (8.1), (8.2) for machine computation.

HERBERT S. WILF

Nuclear Development Corporation of America  
White Plains, New York

1. W. E. MILNE, *Numerical Calculus. Approximations, Interpolation, Finite Differences, Numerical Integration, and Curve Fitting*, Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersey, 1949, p. 96-98.