

scheme is advantageous for problems in which minimum mixing of the marks at each step is important.

**5. Acknowledgements.** This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

The need for such a scheme was suggested by Professor D. H. Lehmer of the University of California at Berkeley.

University of California  
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory  
Los Alamos, New Mexico

1. C. B. TOMPKINS, "Machine attacks on problems whose variables are permutations," *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics*, v. VI, *Numerical Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1956, pp. 195-211.

2. D. H. LEHMER, "Teaching combinatorial tricks to a computer," *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics*, v. X, *Combinatorial Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1960, p. 179-193.

## Chebyshev Approximations to the Gamma Function

By Helmut Werner and Robert Collinge

In this note several Chebyshev approximations are given for the function  $y = \Gamma(x + 2)$  for  $x$  in the  $0 \leq x \leq 1.0$  range. The approximations were obtained from a table of  $\Gamma(x + 2)$ , employing well-known methods as described in numerous papers; see for instance [1] and the literature quoted there. The table of  $\Gamma(x + 2)$  was calculated from the asymptotic expansion of  $\log \Gamma(z)$  as given in [2] to provide data accurate to at least  $10^{-21}$ . Compare also [3].

The asymptotic expansion of  $\ln \Gamma(z)$  is given by

$$\ln \Gamma(z) = (z - \frac{1}{2}) \ln z - z + \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + \Phi(z)$$

where

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{r-1} B_r}{2r(2r-1)} \frac{1}{z^{2r-1}} + R_n(z),$$

and  $B_r$  is the  $r$ th Bernoulli number.

It can be shown [2] that for  $z > 0$  the value of  $\Phi(z)$  always lies between the sum of  $n$  terms and the sum of  $(n + 1)$  terms of the series, for all values of  $n$ . In terminating this series with the  $n$ th term the error  $R_n(z)$  will be less than

$$\frac{B_{n+1}}{2(n+1)(2n+1)} \cdot \frac{1}{z^{2n+1}}.$$

By truncating  $\Phi(z)$  at the 10th term it is easily shown that for values of  $z \geq 13$ , the error in the expansion is less than  $5.5 \times 10^{-22}$ . We therefore replace  $\Phi(z)$  by  $\sum_{i=1}^{10} A_i/z^{2i-1}$  and calculate  $\ln \Gamma(z)$  for values of  $z$  in the range  $13 \leq z \leq 14$ .

Received July 25, 1960.

TABLE 1  
Table of Coefficients

$n$	7		8		10	
$\epsilon_{\max}^{(n)}$	$0.25 \times 10^{-7}$		$0.16 \times 10^{-8}$		$0.74 \times 10^{-11}$	
$\nu = 0$	0.99999	99758	0.99999	99998 452	0.99999	99999 9269
1	0.42278	74605	0.42278	43662 730	0.42278	43369 6202
2	0.41177	41955	0.41183	92935 920	0.41184	02517 9616
3	0.08211	17404	0.08159	03449 474	0.08157	82187 8492
4	0.07211	01567	0.07416	00915 535	0.07423	79076 0629
5	0.00445	11400	0.00007	55964 181	-0.00021	09074 6731
6	0.00515	89951	0.01033	20685 065	0.01097	36958 4174
7	0.00160	63118	-0.00157	80074 635	-0.00246	67479 8054
8			0.00079	62464 760	0.00153	97681 0472
9					-0.00034	42342 0456
10					0.00006	77105 7117

  

$n$	13				15			
$\epsilon_{\max}^{(n)}$	$0.96 \times 10^{-14}$				$0.97 \times 10^{-14}$			
$\nu = 0$	0.99999	99999	99990	44	0.99999	99999	99999	9032
1	0.42278	43351	02334	79	0.42278	43350	98518	1178
2	0.41184	03301	66781	29	0.41184	03304	21981	4831
3	0.08157	69261	24155	46	0.08157	69194	01388	6786
4	0.07424	89154	19444	74	0.07424	90079	43401	2692
5	-0.00026	61865	94953	06	-0.00026	69510	28755	5266
6	0.01114	97143	35778	93	0.01115	38196	71906	6992
7	-0.00283	64625	20372	82	-0.00285	15012	43034	6494
8	0.00206	10918	50225	54	0.00209	97590	35077	0629
9	-0.00083	75646	85135	17	-0.00090	83465	57420	0521
10	0.00037	53650	52263	07	0.00046	77678	11496	4956
11	-0.00012	14173	48706	32	-0.00020	64476	31915	9326
12	0.00002	79832	88993	83	0.00008	15530	49806	6373
13	-0.00000	30301	90810	28	-0.00002	48410	05384	8712
14					0.00000	51063	59207	2582
15					-0.00000	05113	26272	6698

  

$n$	17				18			
$\epsilon_{\max}^{(n)}$	$0.10 \times 10^{-17}$				$0.10 \times 10^{-18}$			
$\nu = 0$	0.99999	99999	99999	99901 2	0.99999	99999	99999	99990 02
1	0.42278	43350	98467	79580 6	0.42278	43350	98467	21319 64
2	0.41184	03304	26367	20638 1	0.41184	03304	26430	62304 23
3	0.08157	69192	50260	90508 9	0.08157	69192	47528	84581 87
4	0.07424	90106	80090	41696 9	0.07424	90107	42094	91715 38
5	-0.00026	69810	33348	38176 8	-0.00026	69818	88740	38315 07
6	0.01115	40360	24034	39169 2	0.01115	40438	29069	91793 28
7	-0.00285	25821	44619	65607 6	-0.00285	26318	64702	11862 89
8	0.00210	36287	02459	83329 2	0.00210	38579	20672	20524 09
9	-0.00091	84843	69099	08014 2	-0.00091	92675	95039	95026 11
10	0.00048	74227	94476	75810 4	0.00048	94361	06998	14458 34
11	-0.00023	47204	01891	94985 9	-0.00023	86428	33752	63647 10
12	0.00011	15339	51966	59947 0	0.00011	73283	10224	09396 51
13	-0.00004	78747	98383	44672 4	-0.00005	43183	86280	13508 99
14	0.00001	75102	72717	90508 0	0.00002	28140	41153	66022 75
15	-0.00000	49203	75090	42313 2	-0.00000	80523	43363	48309 46
16	0.00000	09199	15640	71621 4	0.00000	21741	77495	45532 64
17	-0.00000	00839	94049	59039 7	-0.00000	03889	70057	38769 55
18					0.00000	00339	81801	01810 43

For the convenience of the reader the  $A_i$  coefficients are quoted below, to 25 significant figures.

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 &= 0.08333\ 33333\ 33333\ 33333\ 33333\ 3 \\
 A_2 &= -0.00277\ 77777\ 77777\ 77777\ 77777\ 78 \\
 A_3 &= 0.00079\ 36507\ 93650\ 79365\ 07936\ 508 \\
 A_4 &= -0.00059\ 52380\ 95238\ 09523\ 80952\ 381 \\
 A_5 &= 0.00084\ 17508\ 41750\ 84175\ 08417\ 508 \\
 A_6 &= -0.00191\ 75269\ 17526\ 91752\ 69175\ 27 \\
 A_7 &= 0.00641\ 02564\ 10256\ 41025\ 64102\ 56 \\
 A_8 &= -0.02955\ 06535\ 94771\ 24183\ 00653\ 6 \\
 A_9 &= 0.17964\ 43723\ 68830\ 57316\ 49385 \\
 A_{10} &= -1.39243\ 22169\ 05901\ 11642\ 7432 \\
 \ln \sqrt{2\pi} &= 0.91893\ 85332\ 04672\ 74178\ 03297
 \end{aligned}$$

A triple precision logarithm routine was used to evaluate  $\ln z$ , and then an exponential routine to calculate  $\Gamma(z) = e^{\ln \Gamma(z)}$ . Each of these routines produces results accurate to at least 24 significant digits.

After obtaining a table of  $\Gamma(z)$  for  $z$  in the range  $13 \leq z \leq 14$ , we made use of the recursion formula  $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$  in order to obtain a table of  $\Gamma(x+2)$  for  $x$  in the range  $0 \leq x \leq 1.0$ .

From the tests made on the results obtained, the values of  $\Gamma(x+2)$  were shown to be accurate to at least 21 significant figures.

Several Chebyshev approximations have been calculated to provide varying degrees of accuracy. Let

$$\Gamma(2+x) = \sum_{r=0}^n a_r^{(n)} x^r + \epsilon_n(x)$$

and

$$\epsilon_{\max}^{(n)} = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |\epsilon_n(x)|.$$

Table 1 gives the coefficients  $a_r^{(n)}$  for  $n = 7, 8, 10, 13, 15, 17, 18$  together with the corresponding  $\epsilon_{\max}^{(n)}$ .

Professional Services Department  
Burroughs Corporation  
460 Sierra Madre Villa  
Pasadena, California

1. E. L. STIEFEL, "Numerical methods of Tchebycheff approximation," *On Numerical Approximation* by R. E. Langer, The University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1959, p. 217-232.

2. E. T. WHITTAKER & G. N. WATSON, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, Cambridge, 1958, p. 251-253.

3. M. E. SHERRY & S. FULDA, "Calculation of gamma functions to high accuracy," *MTAC*, v. 13, 1959, p. 314-315.

4. H. S. UHLER, "Log  $\pi$  and other basic constants," *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, v. 24, 1938, p. 23-30.

5. H. S. UHLER, "The coefficients of Stirling's series for  $\log \Gamma(x)$ ," *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, v. 28, 1942, p. 59-62.