A Method for Computing the Circular Coverage Function

By A. R. DiDonato and M. P. Jarnagin

1. Introduction. In this paper an efficient method is described for the numerical evaluation, with a high-speed digital computer, of a special case of the integral of an uncorrelated bivariate Gaussian distribution centered at the origin over the area of an arbitrarily placed circle in the plane. This function, popularly known as the circular coverage function or as the non-central chi-square distribution for two degrees of freedom*, can be written as

(1)
$$P(R,D) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_x \, \sigma_y} \int_{s} \int \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{x}{\sigma_x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{\sigma_y}\right)^2 \right] \right\} dx dy,$$

where S is the circle: $(x - h)^2 + (y - k)^2 = (\sigma R)^2$, where $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$, and σD is the radial distance from the origin to the center (h, k) of the circle of integration, S. Because of the equivalence mentioned above, a great deal of published literature applies. The papers [13], [15], suggested by the referee, list a large number of such references.

The average computing time for the calculation of the integral in equation (1) to six decimal digits, by the method of this paper, is six milliseconds on the IBM 7090 and ten milliseconds on NORC. An extensive inverse table, which is described in the last section of this paper and which is given in [4], has been computed with R as a function of P and D. A condensed version, Table 1, is presented herein.

In the general case [3], [11] suppose the uncorrelated bivariate Gaussian distribution centered at the origin of an Oxy Cartesian coordinate system has standard deviations σ_x , σ_y along the x and y axes respectively, and that the integral of this function is to be evaluated over a circle of radius \bar{R} with center at (h, k). Then the probability, P, can be written in polar coordinates accordingly:

(2)
$$P\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{\sigma_{x}}, \frac{\bar{R}}{\sigma_{y}}, \frac{h}{\sigma_{x}}, \frac{k}{\sigma_{y}}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_{x}\sigma_{y}} \int_{0}^{\bar{R}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{h+r\cos\theta}{\sigma_{x}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{k+r\sin\theta}{\sigma_{y}}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} r dr d\theta,$$

where
$$x - h = r \cos \theta$$
, $y - k = r \sin \theta$, $0 \le r \le \overline{R}$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$.

If $h = k = 0$,

a special case identified as the V(K, c) or elliptical normal probability function (sometimes known by other titles, for example, the generalized circular error function) [4], [5], [6], [10], [14], [15], [16], [18] follows, i.e.,

$$(3) \qquad P\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{\sigma_{x}}, \frac{\bar{R}}{\sigma_{y}}, 0, 0\right) \equiv V(K, c) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{0}^{K} \exp\left(-\frac{B}{2}r^{2}\right) I_{0}\left(\frac{Ar^{2}}{2}\right) r dr,$$

Received July 27, 1961.

^{*} The equivalence between the function P(R, D) of equation (1) and the non-central chi-square distribution is evident from equation (2) in [13].

where

$$0 \le c \equiv \frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_z} \le 1$$
, $K \equiv \bar{R}/\sigma_z$, $A \equiv \frac{1-c^2}{2c^2}$, $B \equiv \frac{1+c^2}{2c^2}$.

 $I_0(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order zero, [8]. Equation (3) is derived by setting h = k = 0 in equation (2), by using the trigonometric identity $1(\pm) \cos 2\theta = 2 \left(\frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\sin^2 \theta} \right)$, and by introducing an integral expression for $I_0(x)$ which is given by

$$I_0(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \exp(-x \cos \theta) d\theta.$$

Equation (4) can be derived from Example 1 (ii), page 62, in [8].

If
$$\sigma_x = \sigma_y = \sigma$$
,

in equation (2), the distribution is circular normal. In this case, in which h and k are arbitrary, the center of the circle of integration can always be taken as offset a distance of σD from the origin along the positive x axis by simply introducing a rotation of axes through the angle arc $\tan\left(\frac{k}{h}\right)$. Moreover, by introducing the integral expression for $I_0(x)$ as given by equation (4), the circular coverage function, P(R, D), [1], [4], [6], [7], [9], [12], [13], [14], [17], is obtained from equation (2), i.e.,

(5)
$$P\left(\frac{\bar{R}}{\sigma_x}, \frac{\bar{R}}{\sigma_x}, \frac{h}{\sigma_x}, \frac{k}{\sigma_x}\right) \equiv P(R, D) = \exp\left(-D^2/2\right) \int_0^R \exp\left(-r^2/2\right) I_0(rD) r dr,$$

where $R \equiv \bar{R}/\sigma_x$, $D^2 \equiv (h^2 + k^2)/\sigma_x^2$.

The function $\partial P(R, D)/\partial R$ is required for computing the inverse function, R(P, D), by the Newton-Raphson procedure (Appendix C, [4]) and is also of use in computing P(R, D) itself (see equation (9)). This function is obtained straightforwardly from equation (5) as

(6)
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial R} = R \exp\left(-\frac{R^2 + D^2}{2}\right) I_0(RD).$$

It is apparent by comparing equations (6), (9) that $\partial P/\partial R$ can be computed simultaneously with P(R, D).

In a previous paper, [18], a very efficient computing method was described for calculation of the V(K, c) function. The success of the method warranted consideration of extending the technique to the P(R, D) function. This is not as straightforward as for V(K, c); nevertheless, it is easily possible because of the existence of a simple functional relationship, equation (9), between P(R, D) and V(K, c).

2. The Relationship between P(R, D) and V(K, c). The relationship between P and V can be derived by utilizing two preliminary results which are given by Fettis, in terms of $q \equiv 1 - P$, in equations (I-35) and (I-44) in [6]. They can be

stated in terms of P as:

(7)
$$P(R,D) - P(D,R) = \pm V\left(|R-D|, \frac{|R-D|}{R+D}\right) \quad (+) \text{ if } R > D \\ (-) \text{ if } R < D,$$

(8)
$$P(R,D) + P(D,R) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{R^2 + D^2}{2}\right)I_0(RD).$$

Equation (8) is easily derived. The origin of equation (7) is not known to the authors. The referee has pointed out that a geometrical proof was given by Dr. David C. Kleinecke of the University of California in 1955. (See also paper I of [15], page 613). Mr. Fettis has kindly placed at the disposal of the authors some correspondence which indicates that the relationship was given in a Sandia Corporation working paper in 1952, and that it was believed to have been originally derived in a British publication by using power series.

It follows by adding the corresponding sides of equations (7) and (8) that*

(9)
$$P(R,D) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{R^2 + D^2}{2}\right) I_0(RD) \pm V\left(|R - D|, \frac{|R - D|}{R + D}\right) \right]$$

$$(+) \text{ if } R > D$$

$$(-) \text{ if } R < D.$$

Thus, the P(R, D) function is computable at virtually the same speed as V(K, c), since the second term in the brackets turns out to be a by-product of the recurrence relations which are used to compute V in the last term. Consequently, if there exists a satisfactory computing program for the V function, a computing program of equal merit can be realized for the P(R, D) function.

3. Recurrence Relations. The V function that appears as the last term of equation (9) is identified with equation (3) by setting

$$K = |R - D|, \quad c = |R - D|/(R + D).$$

It follows that

$$A = \frac{2RD}{(R-D)^2}, \qquad B = \frac{R^2 + D^2}{(R-D)^2},$$

where it is assumed $R \neq D$. If R = D, then, from equation (7), $V(|R - D|, \frac{|R - D|}{R + D})$ vanishes and P(R, D) is given by the first two terms of equation (9).

The two series representations for $V\left(|R-D|, \frac{|R-D|}{R+D}|\right)$ from which the basic recurrence relations are derived are given by:

^{*} Guenther recently (see equation (2) in [9]) derived an equation for P(R, D) in terms of $I_0(x)$ and the incomplete gamma function, which can be shown to be equivalent to equation (9) of the present paper. However, he did not exploit his relationship from the point of view of developing an efficient program for a high-speed digital computer.

(10)
$$V\left(|R-D|, \frac{|R-D|}{R+D}\right) = \frac{|R^2-D^2|}{RD} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^2 \cdot \int_0^{RD/2} \exp\left(-\frac{R^2+D^2}{RD}w\right) w^{2n} dw \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{2n},$$

(11)
$$V\left(|R-D|, \frac{|R-D|}{R+D}\right) = 1 - \frac{|R^2-D^2|}{4RD\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{[(2n)!]^2}{2^{4n}(n!)^3} \cdot \int_{2RD}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{(R-D)^2}{4RD}w\right] w^{-\left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right)} dw = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{N} M_{2n+1}.$$

The detailed derivations of equations (10), (11) are given in [4]. Briefly, to obtain equation (10), introduce a variable of integration transformation

$$(12) w = Ar^2/4$$

into the integral of equation (3), then replace $I_0(2w)$ by its Taylor series expansion (see page 14, [8]),

(13)
$$I_0(2w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{2}\right)^{2n},$$

which is convergent for all values of w, and subsequently reverse the order of integration and summation, which can be justified by application of the Weierstrass "M" test. In order to derive equation (11) introduce a variable of integration transformation

$$(14) w = Ar^2$$

into the integral of equation (3) and use the fact that

(15)
$$\frac{1}{2Ac} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{Bw}{2A}\right) I_0\left(\frac{w}{2}\right) dw = 1,$$

(See page 76, [8]). In the resulting integral expression, call it J, with upper and lower limits of integration of infinity and AK^2 respectively, replace $I_0\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)$ by its asymptotic expansion (see page 58, [8]), i.e.,

(16)
$$I_0\left(\frac{w}{2}\right) \approx \frac{\exp\left(w/2\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi(w/2)}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{\left[(2n)!\right]^2}{2^{4n}(n!)^3} (2w/2)^{-n},$$

which is valid for sufficiently large w and finite N; subsequently interchange the order of integration and summation. The interchange is justified for all values of (2RD) for which equation (16) is valid because of the existence of the integral J (see page 17, [2]).

The substitution of equations (13), (16) into equation (6) gives analogous series representations for $\partial P/\partial R$, i.e.,

(17)
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial R} = R \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{S}_{2n},$$

(18)
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial R} \approx R \left(\frac{1}{4RD} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \tilde{X}_{2n+1} \right),$$

where

(19)
$$\bar{S}_{2n} = \exp\left(-\frac{R^2 + D^2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^2 \left(\frac{RD}{2}\right)^{2n}, \qquad n \ge 0,$$

(20)
$$\bar{X}_{2n+1} \equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(R-D)^2}{2}\right] \frac{\left[(2n)!\right]^2}{2^{4n}(n!)^3} (2RD)^{-\left(\frac{2n-1}{2}\right)}, \qquad n \ge 0,$$

following the notation of [4], in which there are slight distinctions between \bar{S}_{2n} , \bar{X}_{2n+1} , \bar{Y}_{2n-1} , and the corresponding unbarred variables used with V(K, c) and $\partial V/\partial K$.

Thus two schemes are used to compute P. If

(21)
$$2RD \leq M$$
 (M is a positive constant),

then with reference to equations (10) and (17)

(22)
$$T_{2n} = \left(\frac{2n-1}{2n}\right) \left(\frac{2RD}{R^2 + D^2}\right)^2 T_{2n-2} - \frac{|R^2 - D^2|}{R^2 + D^2} \left(1 + \frac{4n}{R^2 + D^2}\right) \tilde{S}_{2n}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

$$\tilde{S}_{2n} = \left(\frac{RD}{2n}\right)^2 \tilde{S}_{2n-2}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

where the necessary initial terms are given by

(24)
$$T_{0} = \frac{|R^{2} - D^{2}|}{R^{2} + D^{2}} \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{R^{2} + D^{2}}{2}\right) \right] = \frac{|R^{2} - D^{2}|}{R^{2} + D^{2}} (1 - \bar{S}_{0}),$$
(25)
$$\bar{S}_{0} = \exp\left(-\frac{R^{2} + D^{2}}{2}\right).$$

The following brief comments are made on the derivation of recurrence relations (22) and (23). Fuller details are given in [4]. From equations (13) and (19), \bar{S}_{2n} is the general term in the series obtained by multiplying every term of the Taylor series for $I_0(RD)$ by exp $[-(R^2 + D^2)/2]$, and equations (23) and (25) are obtained immediately. If T_{2n} is regarded as defined by equation (10), two successive integrations by parts give T_{2n} in terms of T_{2n-2} , R, D, and n, after which the term not containing T_{2n-2} can be written more concisely in terms of \bar{S}_{2n} , and equation (22) is the result.

These basic recurrence relations are cycled until

$$(26) T_{2n} < \epsilon, \tilde{S}_{2n} < \epsilon, (\epsilon > 0).$$

Then P and $\partial P/\partial R$ are given correctly to at least $(|\log_{10} \epsilon| - 1)$ decimal digits by

(27)
$$P(R, D) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \sum_{n=0}^{N'} \bar{S}_{2n} \pm \sum_{n=0}^{N'} T_{2n} \right] \qquad (+) \text{ if } R > D, \\ (-) \text{ if } R < D,$$

(28)
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial R} \approx R \sum_{n=0}^{N} \bar{S}_{2n}.$$

If it is assumed that

$$(29) 2RD > M,$$

then with reference to equations (11) and (18)

(30)
$$M_{2n+1} = \frac{|R^2 - D^2|}{4RD} \bar{Y}_{2n-1} - \frac{(R-D)^2}{4RD} \left(\frac{2n-1}{2n}\right) M_{2n-1}, \qquad n \ge 1,$$

(31)
$$\bar{Y}_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{4RD} \left(\frac{2n-1}{2n} \right) \bar{X}_{2n-1}, \qquad n \ge 1,$$

$$\bar{X}_{2n+1} = (2n-1)\bar{Y}_{2n-1}, \qquad n \ge 1,$$

where the initial terms are given by

(33)
$$M_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2RD}} \left(\frac{R+D}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{|R-D|}{\sqrt{2}}}^{\infty} \exp\left(-y^{2}\right) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2RD}} \left(\frac{R+D}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \left[1 - \operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{|R-D|}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right],$$

$$\bar{X}_{1} = \sqrt{2RD} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(R-D)^{2}}{2}\right].$$

The following brief comments are made on the derivation of equations (30) to (33). Fuller details are given in [4]. From equations (16) and (20), \bar{X}_{2n+1} is the general term in the expansion obtained by multiplying every term of the asymptotic expansion of $I_0(RD)$ by 4RD exp $[-(R^2 + D^2)/2]$. Equations (31) and (32), which together form a recurrence relation generating \bar{X}_{2n+1} , are obtained immediately, the introduction of the variable \bar{Y}_{2n-1} leading to a computationally efficient algorithm for the simultaneous evaluation of the last two terms in equation (9). If M_{2n+1} is regarded as defined by equation (11), an integration by parts gives M_{2n+1} in terms of M_{2n-1} , R, D, and n, after which the term not containing M_{2n-1} can be written more concisely in terms of \bar{Y}_{2n-1} , and recurrence relation (30) is the result. M_1 , originally obtained by putting n=0 in the definition of M_{2n+1} , is expressed in equation (33) in terms of the error function (see [3], equations (6)) by a transformation in which y is $(\frac{1}{2})|R-D|\sqrt{w/(RD)}$.

These basic recurrence relations are cycled until

$$(35) M_{2n+1} < \epsilon, \bar{X}_{2n+1} < \epsilon, (\epsilon > 0).$$

Then P(R, D) and $\partial P/\partial R$ are given correctly to $(|\log_{10} \epsilon| - 1)$ decimal digits by

(36)
$$P(R,D) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{4RD} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \bar{X}_{2n+1} \pm \left(1 - \sum_{n=0}^{N} M_{2n+1} \right) \right] \quad (+) \text{ if } R > D,$$

(37)
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial R} \approx R \left[\frac{1}{4RD} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \bar{X}_{2n+1} \right].$$

The determination of the constant M is discussed in Appendix A of [4]. If the constants M and ϵ were chosen such that

(38)
$$M = 30, \quad \epsilon = 10^{-8},$$

then sufficient tests were made on the results to assure seven-decimal digit accuracy in the values of P and $\partial P/\partial R$ for all values of R and D. The tests are described in [4]. The maximum number of terms, N', required for seven-decimal

digit accuracy in either series that occurs in equation (27) was twenty for $0 < R \le 126, 0 \le D \le 120$.

4. Table Computation—Discussion of Results. The extensive inverse table, mentioned in the introduction, has R tabulated as a function of P and D for the

TABLE 1
Inverse P(R, D) Table, R = R(P, D)

Inverse $P(R, D)$ Table, $R = R(P, D)$								
$\overline{\ \ }$ D	0.1	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
P	0.1	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
			0.101005	0.045050	0.00004	0.050000	1 055055	2 2222
.01	0.142132	0.150917	0.181965	0.247976	0.377894	0.973968	1.857355	2.807007
.05 .10	0.321093	0.340911	0.410355	0.552995	0.803492	1.589932	2.514287	3.475659
.10	0.460192	0.488541	0.586808	0.780875	1.090931	$1.931431 \\ 2.164629$	2.867729	3.833372
.15	0.571548	0.606683	$\begin{array}{c} 0.727145 \\ 0.850071 \end{array}$	0.956651	1.299471	2.104029	$3.107065 \\ 3.297689$	4.075094
.20	$\begin{array}{c} 0.669719 \\ 0.760426 \end{array}$	0.710800	0.850071	1.106744	1.470965	2.351156	3.297089	4.267393
.25	0.760426	0.806964	0.962923	1.241576	1.621141	$2.511865 \\ 2.656649$	$3.461479 \\ 3.608743$	4.432486
.30	0.846714	0.898407	1.069594	1.366651 1.485396	1.757905 1.885955	2.030049 2.791156	3.745340	$4.580828 \\ 4.718356$
.35	0.930528	0.987190	1.172547 1.273564	1.485390 1.600226	2.008448	2.791130 2.919061	3.875068	4.718330
.40	1.013296 1.096204	1.074827 1.162568	1.374100	1.713036	2.000440	3.043037	4 000676	4.975274
.15 .20 .25 .30 .35 .40 .45	1.090204	1.102508	1.475479	1.825472	$2.127745 \\ 2.245802$	3 165246	$\frac{4.000676}{4.124378}$	5.099676
. 50	$\substack{1.180355\\1.266891}$	1.231380	1.579042	1.939121	2.364426	$3.165246 \ 3.287634$	4.248157	5.224119
.00	1.200891	1.438388	1.686286	2.055680	2.485472	3.412162	4.374006	5.350606
.60		1.539246	1.799042	2.035080 2.177146	2.611062	3.541034	4.504154	5.481380
.00	1.452637 1.555634	1 647014	1.919739	2.306101	2.743883	3.677012	4.641388	5.619238
.70	1.000004	1 767705	2.051892	2.446209	2.887695	3.823927	4.789566	5.768053
.10	$\substack{1.669270\\1.798604}$	1.647914 1.767705 1.903913	2.201075	2.603222	3.048351	3.987718	4.954663	5.933817
.00	1.7952745	2.066052	2.377281	2.787369	3.236215	4.178871	5.147218	6 127099
.00	9 151299	2.274618	2.601947	3.020515	3.473382	4.419704	5.389656	6.127099 6.370384
.90	2.151322 2.453851 2.654829	2.591661	2.939763	3.368463	3.826253	4.777225	5.749279	6.731139
.95	2 654820	2.801806	3.161592	3.595668	4.056141	5.009727	5.982997	6.965523
.55 .60 .65 .70 .75 .80 .85 .90 .95	3.042407	3 205999	3.584494	4.026818	4.491533	5.449368	6.424667	7.408327
.995	3 263342	3.205999 3.435790 3.915765	3.823110	4.269216	l 4.735933	l 5.695826	6.672133	7.656366
.999	3.263342 3.726147 4.302554	3.915765	4.318250	4.770776	5.240984	6.204548	7.182694	8.167991
.9999	4.302554	4.511127	4.927840	5.386401	± 5.860000	6.827233	7.807274	8.793692
. 99999	4.810368	5.033640	5.459903	5.922582	6.398559	l 7.368429	8.349868	9.337129
.999999	5.269458	5.504595	5.937784	5.922582 6.403513	6.881283	7.853179	8.835714	9.337129 9.823646
\setminus D	6.0	8.0	10.0	20.0	30.0	50.0	80.0	120.0
P	0.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	00.0	00.0		120.0
			7 7 00400	15 50000	07 00100	47 00000	77 67000	117 0770
.01	3.778556	5.747335	7.730490	17.70022	27.69100	47.68389 48.36531	77.67999	117.6779
.05	4.452164	6.424982	8.409/12	18.38123	28.37229	40 70070	78.36146	118.3593
.10	4.811875 5.054765 5.247904	6.786445	8.771899	18.74428	28.73548	48.72858	78.72475 78.96986	118.7226
.15	5.054765	7.030393 7.224314	9.016299	18.98923	28.98053	40.97307	70 16466	118.9678 119.1626
.15 .20 .25 .30 .35	5.247904	7.224314	9.210009	19.18391	29.17528	49.10040	79.16466	119.1020
.25	5.413665	7.390705	9.377228	19.35094 19.50093	29.34237	49.33338	79.33179 79.48187	119.3297
.30	5.562570	7.540148 7.678645	9.520912	19.50095	29.49241	49.40000	70 62004	119.4798
.35	5.700590	7.078040	9.000023	19.63992 19.77181	29.03140	49.02472	70 75201	119.0109
.40	5.831589	7.810077 7.937251	9.797253	10 80041	20 80104	40 88435	70 88050	119.7508
.40 .45 .50 .55	5.958359 6.083144	8 062420	10.04996	20 02400	29.17526 29.34237 29.49241 29.63145 29.76339 29.89104 30.01667	48.72858 48.97367 49.16846 49.33558 49.48565 49.62472 49.75668 49.88435 50.01000	79.48187 79.62094 79.75291 79.88059 80.00625 80.13191 80.25959 80.39156 80.53063	120.0042
. 50 55	6 207052	8 187508	10.17531	20.15058	30 14229	50 13565	80.13191	120.0042
. JJ 60	6.207953 6.334797	8 314803	10.30269	20.27819	30.14229 30.26994 30.40188	50.13565 50.26332 50.39528 50.53435 50.68442 50.85154 51.04633 51.29143 51.65469 51.89061 52.33612 52.58558 53.09994 53.72866	80.25959	120.2575
.00 65	6.465923	8.446290	10.30203	20.41008	30.40188	50.39528	80.39156	120.3895
.00 70	6.604135	8 584868	10.57310	20.54907	30.54092	50.53435	80.53063	120.5286
75	6.753314		10.72284	20.69907	30.69097 30.85806 31.05282	50.68442	80.68071	120.6786
.80	6.919464	8.900983	10.88959	$20.69907 \\ 20.86610$	30.85806	50.85154	80.84784	120.8458
.85	7.113172	9.095143	11.08398	21.06080	31.05282	51.04633	81.04264	121.0406
.65 .70 .75 .80 .85	7.113172 7.356958	9.339466	11.32857	21.30578	$\frac{31.29787}{31.66108}$	51.29143	80.53063 80.68071 80.84784 81.04264 81.28775 81.65104 81.88697 82.33251 82.58198 83.09636 83.72513 84.27098	121.2857
.95 .97 .99 .995	7.718391	9.701640	11 60111	21 66887	31.66108	51.65469	81.65104	121.6490
.97	7.953181	9.936878	11.92658	21.90468	31.89696	51.89061	81.88697	121.8849
.99	8.396685	10 38117	12.37128	22.34999	32.34240	52.33612	82.33251	122.3305
.995	8.645082	10.62997	12.62029	22.59934	32.59182	52.58558	82.58198	122.5800
.999	9.157380	11.14304	12.62029 13.13378 13.76151	21.90468 22.34999 22.59934 23.11348 23.74194	$32.59182 \\ 33.10609$	53.09994	83.09636	123.0943
.9999	9.783802	11.77031	13.76151	23.74194	33.73473	53.72866	83.72513	123.7231
. 99999	10.32779	112.31496	114.30052	24.28(00	34.28047	154.27449		124.2690
.999999	10.81475	12.80245	14.79431	24.77585	34.76889	54.76298	84.75950	124.7575
	1	1				<u> </u>		

following ranges:

$$P = 0.01(.01)0.99,$$

 $D = 0(.1)5(.2)10(2)20(5)120,$

and

$$P = .99(.0005).9990(.0001).9999(.00001).99999(.000001).999999,$$

 $D = 0, .05, .10, .25, .75, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 20, 30, 50, 80, 120.$

This table required the calculation of over $45,000\ P(R,D)$ functions to an accuracy of seven or more decimal digits. The tabulated values of R, determined by a Newton-Raphson process, are correct to within one unit in the last digit position given. The method by which this conclusion was verified is given in Appendix C of [4]. A condensed version of the complete table is given below. The complete table as well as a similar one for K as a function of V and c are available by direct request to the authors.

It can be proved that R(P,D) as a function of P approximates a univariate normal distribution to any desired accuracy for sufficiently large fixed values of D and $|R-D|/(R+D) \ll 1$. The relation between R and P in this case is given by

(39)
$$P(R,D) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \text{Erf}\left(\frac{R - \mu_R}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{R - \mu_R} e^{-t^2/2} dt,$$

where $\mu_R \equiv R(0.50, D) \approx D + 1/(2D)$. (A slightly different formulation of the asymptotic behavior was given by Germond in [7]). This shows that the functional relationship is symmetric with respect to the point $R = \mu_R$, P = 0.50. This is evident from a study of Table 1. Also, if $20 \le D \le 25$, and if μ_R is computed from the approximation D + 1/(2D) (which for these values of D is accurate to 10^{-5} or better), and if values of P as a function of P are then computed from equation (39) by inverse interpolation in an error function or univariate probability integral table, the results are, in general, correct within 10^{-3} , or one unit in the fifth significant figure of P. Further, the accuracy improves rapidly as P increases. This means that an efficient inverse table such as Table 1 need extend only from P = 0 to P = 0.50 if P is large. Each value of P for P > 0.50 is then found with only one subtraction and one addition by using the symmetry property stated above.

5. Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank Mr. David Eliezer and Mr. Robert Belsky, who programmed and coded the editing procedure for setting up the complete tables, and Mr. Robert Gramp, who programmed and coded the method of computing V(K, c) and P(R, D) for the IBM 7090. The authors are indebted to the referee for suggestions which materially improved the introductory portion of this paper, for correcting a false impression the authors had concerning the origin of equation (9), and for calling the attention of the authors to the univariate normal character of the circular coverage function for large D, as commented on at the end of Section 4.

U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory Dahlgren, Virginia 1. H. E. Daniels, "The covering circle of a sample from a circular normal distribution," Biometrika, v. 39, 1952, p. 137-143.

2. N. G. DE BRUIN, Asymptotic Methods in Analysis, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, and Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1958.
3. A. R. DIDONATO & M. P. JARNAGIN, "Integration of the general bivariate Gaussian distribution over an offset circle," Math. Comp., v. 15, 1961, p. 375-382.
4. A. R. DIDONATO & M. P. JARNAGIN, A Method for Computing the Generalized Circular Error Function and the Circular Coverage Function, NWL Report 1768, U. S. Naval Weapons Laboratory, Dahlgren, Virginia, 23 January 1962.
5. R. V. ESPERTI, Tables of the Elliptical Normal Probability Function, Defense Systems Division, General Motors Corporation, Warren, Michigan, 6 April 1960.
6. H. E. FETTIS, Some Mathematical Identities and Numerical Methods Relating to the Bivariate Normal Probability for Circular Regions, WADC Technical Note 57-383, ASTIA Document No. AD142135, Wright Air Development Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, December, 1957.
7. H. H. GERMOND. The Circular Coverage Function, BAND C.

7. H. H. GERMOND, The Circular Coverage Function, RAND Corporation Research Memo-

randum RM-330, 26 January 1950.

- 8. A. GRAY, G. B. MATHEWS & T. M. MACROBERT, A Treatise on Bessel Functions and Their Applications to Physics, Second Edition, The Macmillan Co., New York and London,
- 9. W. C. Guenther, "Circular probability problems," Amer. Math. Monthly, v. 68, n. 6, 1961, p. 541-544.
- 10. H. L. HARTER, "Circular error probabilities," J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., v. 55, n. 292, 1960, p. 723-731.
- 11. J. R. Lowe, "A table of the bivariate normal distribution over an offset circle," J. Roy. Statist. Soc., Ser. B, v. 22, 1960, p. 177-186.

 12. Offset Circle Probabilities, RAND Corporation Report R-234, 14 March 1952.

13. P. B. PATNAIK, "The non-central x²- and F-distributions and their applications," Biometrika, v. 36, 1949, p. 202-232.

14. Probability-of-Damage Problems of Frequent Occurrence, OEG Study 626, Operations Evaluation Group, Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, 11 December 1959.

15. H. Ruben, "Probability content of regions under spherical normal distributions"; I, Ann. Math. Statist., v. 31, 1960, p. 598-618; II, ibid., v. 31, 1960, p. 1113-1121; III, ibid., v. 32, 1961, p. 171-186.
16. H. Solomon, Distribution of Quadratic Forms-Tables and Applications, Applied Mathe-

matics and Statistics Laboratories Technical Report No. 45, Stanford University, 5 September

17. Table of Circular Normal Probabilities, Bell Aircraft Corporation Report #02-949-106,

June 1956. Reviewed in MTAC, v. 11, 1957, p. 210.
18. H. Weingarten & A. R. DiDonato, "A table of generalized circular error," Math. Comp., v. 15, 1961, p. 169-173.