

4. A. ERDÉLYI, "The inversion of the Laplace transformation," *Math. Mag.*, v. 24, 1950, pp. 1-6. MR **12**, 256.
5. A. ERDÉLYI, W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER & F. G. TRICOMI, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953. MR **15**, 419.
6. A. ERDÉLYI, W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER & F. G. TRICOMI, *Tables of Integral Transforms*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954. MR **16**, 468.
7. A. PAPOULIS, "A new method of inversion of the Laplace transform," *Quart. Appl. Math.*, v. 14, 1957, pp. 405-414. MR **18**, 602.
8. D. VOELKER & G. DOETSCH, *Die zweidimensionale Laplace-Transformation*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1950. MR **12**, 699.
9. D. V. WIDDER, "An application of La Guerre polynomials," *Duke Math. J.*, v. 1, 1935, pp. 126-136.
10. F. G. TRICOMI, *Rend. Accad. Lincei*, 1935, pp. 232-420.

On the First Positive Zero of $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta)$, Considered as a Function of ν

By R. D. Low

1. Introduction. Several years ago Pal [1], [2] published two papers in which he considered the roots of the equations $P_{\nu}^{(m)}(\mu) = 0$ and $(d/d\mu)P_{\nu}^{(m)}(\mu) = 0$ regarded as equations in ν .† In these equations m is an integer and $\mu = \cos \theta$. Among the roots which Pal computed and tabulated are those of the equation $P_{\nu}^{(2)}(\cos \theta) = 0$ for $\theta = \pi/12, \pi/6$, and $\pi/4$, and he lists as the first root in each case: 4.77, 2.26, and 1.52. In view of the fact that $P_{\nu}^{(2)}(\cos \theta) = \nu(\nu + 2)(\nu^2 - 1) \cdot P_{\nu}^{(-2)}(\cos \theta)$, it must be assumed that the numbers just mentioned are respectively the first positive roots of the equation $P_{\nu}^{(-2)}(\cos \theta) = 0$ for $\theta = \pi/12, \pi/6$, and $\pi/4$, since the equation $P_{\nu}^{(2)}(\cos \theta) = 0$ has the roots $-2, -1, 0$, and 1 regardless of the value of θ . In any event it will be seen that the numbers 4.77, 2.26, and 1.52 are not roots at all in as much as they are *less than* the first element of a sequence of lower bounds to be exhibited below.

2. A Sequence of Lower Bounds. We restrict our attention to the function $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta)$ in which $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ because of the identity [3]

$$P_{\nu-1/2}^{(m)}(\cos \theta) = (-1)^m (\nu^2 - \frac{1}{4})(\nu^2 - \frac{9}{4}) \cdots [\nu^2 - (2m - 1)^2/4] P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta),$$

which shows that the zeros of $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(m)}(\cos \theta)$ consist of $\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \pm(m - \frac{1}{2})$, together with those of $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta)$. It is known that $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta)$, considered as a function of the complex variable ν , has infinitely many zeros which are all real and simple. Moreover, since $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta)$ is an even function of ν which does not vanish for $\nu = 0$, only its positive zeros need be considered. Hence the purpose of the present investigation is to establish a sequence of lower bounds for the first positive zero of $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta)$. In addition to the properties mentioned already, it is also known that $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta)$ is an entire function of order unity. Hence if $\nu_{n,m}(\theta)$ denotes its n th positive zero, $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta)$ can be expressed as an infinite product of the form

Received August 9, 1965.

† A trivial change in notation has been made; Pal uses n instead of ν .

$$(1) \quad P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta) = P_{-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\nu^2}{\nu_{n,m}^2(\theta)} \right\}.$$

On the other hand we may also write [3, p. 60]

$$(2) \quad P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta) = \frac{\tan^m \theta/2}{m!} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2} + \nu, \frac{1}{2} - \nu; m + 1; \sin^2 \theta/2\right),$$

and by combining (1) and (2), we obtain

$$(3) \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\nu^2}{\nu_{n,m}^2(\theta)} \right\} = \frac{{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2} + \nu, \frac{1}{2} - \nu; m + 1; \sin^2 \theta/2\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; m + 1; \sin^2 \theta/2\right)}.$$

If we set $\zeta = \sin^2 \theta/2$ it is not difficult to show that the right side of (3) can be written in the form

$$(4) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{l,m}(\theta) \nu^{2l},$$

where

$$(5) \quad a_{l,m}(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{b_{l,k} \zeta^k}{(m+1)_k k!}}{{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; m+1; \zeta\right)},$$

and the $b_{l,k}$ are such that

$$(6) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} + \nu\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} - \nu\right)_k = \sum_{l=0}^k b_{l,k} \nu^{2l}, \quad b_{0,0} = 1.$$

Next if we denote the left side of (3) by $f(\nu^2)$, take the logarithmic derivative (with respect to ν^2), multiply the result by $f(\nu^2)$, differentiate $l - 1$ times, set $\nu = 0$, and realize that $f^{(l)}(0) = l!a_{l,m}$, we find

$$(7) \quad \sum_{p=1}^l S_{p,m}(\theta) a_{l-p,m}(\theta) = -l a_{l,m}(\theta), \quad l = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where

$$(8) \quad S_{p,m}(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{n,m}^{-2p}(\theta).$$

The desired sequence of lower bounds for $\nu_{1,m}(\theta)$ follows directly from (7) and (8). Indeed from (8) we have $\nu_{1,m}^{-2p}(\theta) < S_{p,m}(\theta)$, and if we denote $[S_{p,m}(\theta)]^{-1/2p}$ by $\lambda_m^{(p)}(\theta)$, then

$$(9) \quad \nu_{1,m}(\theta) > \lambda_m^{(p)}(\theta), \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

It is a simple matter to solve (7) for the S 's and we then find for the first three λ 's:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_m^{(1)} &= [-a_{1,m}]^{-1/2}, \\ \lambda_m^{(2)} &= [a_{1,m}^2 - 2a_{2,m}]^{-1/4}, \\ \lambda_m^{(3)} &= [-a_{1,m}^3 + 3a_{1,m}a_{2,m} - 3a_{3,m}]^{-1/6}. \end{aligned}$$

It is thus evident that the elements of the sequence $\{\lambda_m^{(p)}(\theta)\}$ depend upon the

$a_{l,m}$ and these coefficients in turn depend upon the $b_{l,k}$ according to (5). From (6) it is obvious that $b_{0,k} = [(\frac{1}{2})_k]^2 = 1^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdots (2k - 1)^2 / 4^k$, and by straightforward calculations we find

$$\begin{aligned} b_{1,k} &= -4H_{1,k}b_{0,k}, \\ b_{2,k} &= 8(H_{1,k}^2 - H_{2,k})b_{0,k}, \\ b_{3,k} &= -\frac{32}{3}(H_{1,k}^3 - 3H_{1,k}H_{2,k} + 2H_{3,k})b_{0,k}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_{p,k} = \sum_{n=1}^k (2n - 1)^{-2p}, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

3. Some Numerical Results and Comments. In this section we record, in the table below, the results of some computations performed on a desk calculator in the case $m = 2$. This value of m has been chosen: (i) to illustrate the procedure outlined in the previous section, and (ii) to point out the discrepancy mentioned in the introduction regarding the first roots of the equation $P_{\nu}^{(2)}(\cos \theta) = 0$ as calculated by Pal. Only the elements $\lambda_2^{(1)}(\theta)$ and $\lambda_2^{(2)}(\theta)$ of the sequence $\{\lambda_m^{(p)}(\theta)\}$ have been calculated primarily because of the problem of significant figures for larger values of p . Also the element $\lambda_2^{(1)}(\theta)$ is already sufficient to bring out the erroneous nature of the "first roots" mentioned above.

θ	Pal	$\lambda_2^{(1)}(\theta)$	$\lambda_2^{(2)}(\theta)$	$\nu_{1,2}^{(a)}(\theta)$
$\pi/12$	5.27	13.24	18.76	19.79
$\pi/6$	2.76	6.64	9.42	9.96
$\pi/4$	2.02	4.45	6.32	6.70
$\pi/3$	—	3.36	4.79	5.08
$5\pi/12$	—	2.71	3.98	4.13
$\pi/2$	—	2.29	3.31	3.50

In the column headed "Pal", the entries are Pal's first roots corrected by the additive factor $\frac{1}{2}$ which is necessary because in his equation the degree of the Legendre function is ν rather than $\nu - \frac{1}{2}$. With the exception of $\nu_{1,2}(\pi/2) = 3.50$, which is an exact value, the entries in the column headed $\nu_{1,2}^{(a)}(\theta)$ are the values of $\nu_{1,2}(\theta)$ as computed from the first two terms in the asymptotic expansion

$$\nu_{n,m}(\theta) = \left(n - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{m}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{\theta} - \frac{(4m^2 - 1) \cot \theta}{8\theta[1 + (n - 1/4 + m/2)\pi/\theta]} + O(n^{-2}),$$

which was derived from [3, p. 71].

Although no claim is made to the effect that the sequence $\{\lambda_m^{(p)}(\theta)\}$ even converges, let alone that it converges to the true value of $\nu_{1,2}(\theta)$; the above table suggests that this may be the case at least for $m = 2$. Along these lines it is perhaps worth mentioning, for example, that the function $\cos \pi\nu$, like $P_{\nu-1/2}^{(-m)}(\cos \theta)$, is: even in ν , entire of order unity, and has infinitely many zeros which are all real and simple. For $\cos \pi\nu$ the coefficients, analogous to the $a_{l,m}(\theta)$ in (4), are $(-1)^l \pi^{2l} / (2l)!$, and with considerably less effort than was required in the case of the Legendre function

one finds $\lambda^{(1)} = 0.45016$, $\lambda^{(2)} = 0.49818$, $\lambda^{(3)} = 0.49988$, etc. The convergence of the sequence $\{\lambda^{(p)}\}$ to the true value $\nu_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ is strongly suggested.

Department of Engineering Mechanics
University of Michigan

1. BHOLANATH PAL, "On the numerical calculation of the roots of the equations $P_n^m(\mu) = 0$ and $(d/d\mu)P_n^m(\mu) = 0$, regarded as equations in n ," *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, v. 9, 1917-1918, pp. 85-96.

2. BHOLANATH PAL, "On the numerical calculation of the roots of the equations $P_n^m(\mu) = 0$ and $(d/d\mu)P_n^m(\mu) = 0$, regarded as equations in n ," *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, v. 10, 1918-1919, pp. 187-194.

3. WILHELM MAGNUS & FRITZ OBERHETTINGER, *Formeln und Sätze für die speziellen Funktionen der mathematischen Physik*, Springer, Berlin, 1943; 2nd ed., 1948; English transl., Chelsea, New York, 1949. MR 9, 183; MR 10, 38, 532.

Some Integrals of Ramanujan and Related Contour Integrals*

By Van E. Wood

1. **Introduction.** The integrals

$$I_n^k(t) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} e^{zt} z^{n-1} (\ln z)^k dz, \quad \text{Re } t > 0,$$

occur in the asymptotic expansions of the solutions of heat conduction problems in regions bounded internally by a circular cylinder [1], in problems on the flow of fluids through porous media [2], in electron slowing-down problems [3], and elsewhere. It should be recognized that these integrals are *not* in general the inverse Laplace transforms of $z^{n-1}(\ln z)^k$, since the contour does not surround the singularity occurring at $z = 1$ when $k < 0$. We will consider only cases where t is real and n and k are integers. For k nonnegative, the integrals can be expressed in terms of polygamma functions [2]. For nonnegative n and negative k , they can be expressed, by means of change of variables and integrations by parts, in terms of derivatives of Ramanujan's integral [4],

$$I_R(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} x^{-1} (\pi^2 + \ln^2 x)^{-1} dx.$$

This function is in turn related to the ν -functions of Volterra and others [5, 6], which are useful in the solution of certain integral equations. In this paper, we discuss properties and numerical values of Ramanujan's integral, its derivatives, and the related contour integrals.

2. **Relation to Other Integrals.** Using the recurrence relations

$$(1a) \quad dI_n^k(t)/dt = I_{n+1}^k(t),$$

Received October 6, 1965.

* This work was supported in part by the U. S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research Grant No. AF-AFOSR-262-63.