

# Error Bounds in Gaussian Integration of Functions of Low-Order Continuity

By Philip Rabinowitz

The standard error term in the Gaussian integration rule with  $N$  points involves the derivative of order  $2N$  of the integrand. This seems to indicate that such a rule is not efficient for integrating functions of low-order continuity, i.e. functions which have only a few derivatives in the entire interval of integration. However, Stroud and Secrest [3] have shown that Gaussian integration is efficient even in these cases. By applying Peano's theorem [1, p. 109] to functions of low-order continuity, they have tabulated error coefficients  $e_{m,N}$  by which the error in integrating such functions can be bounded, provided that a bound  $M_m$  exists for the derivative of order  $m$  of the integrand. In this case,

$$(1) \quad |E_N(f)| = \left| \int_{-1}^1 f(x)dx - \sum_{i=1}^N w_i f(x_i) \right| \leq e_{m,N} M_m$$

where  $|f^{(m)}(x)| \leq M_m$  in  $I = \{-1 \leq x \leq 1\}$ . In the present paper, we use results from the theory of Chebyshev expansions to compute a different set of error coefficients  $d_{m,N}$  which provide sharper bounds on  $E_N(f)$  in some cases.

Let  $f(x)$  be continuous and of bounded variation in  $I$ . Then there is an expansion of the form

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2}a_0 + a_1 T_1(x) + a_2 T_2(x) + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T_n(x)$$

which is uniformly convergent throughout  $I$ . Here,  $T_n(x)$  are the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind and

$$(3) \quad a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x) T_n(x)}{(1-x^2)^{1/2}} dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} g(\theta) \cos n\theta d\theta$$

where  $g(\theta) \equiv f(\cos \theta)$ . By integrating the right-hand integral in (3) successively by parts and applying the second mean-value theorem of the integral calculus after each integration, we get the following results of interest to us. These results as well as additional ones appear in Elliott [2].

A. Define  $F_1(x) \equiv (1-x^2)^{1/2} f'(x)$ ; if  $F_1(x)$  is of bounded variation in  $I$  with  $|F_1(x)| \leq P_1$  and if  $C_1$  is the number of intervals in  $I$ , in each of which  $F_1(x)$  is monotonic, then

$$(4) \quad |a_n| \leq 4C_1 P_1 / \pi n^2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

B. Define  $F_2(x) \equiv (1-x^2)f''(x) - xf'(x)$ ; if  $F_2(x)$  is of bounded variation in  $I$  with  $|F_2(x)| \leq P_2$ , if  $C_2$  is the number of intervals in  $I$ , in each of which  $F_2(x)$  is monotonic, and if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm 1} F_1(x) = 0$ , then

---

Received July 10, 1967.

$$(5) \quad |a_n| \leq 4C_2P_2/\pi n^3 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Let us now apply the operator  $E_N$  to (2). We get

$$(6) \quad E_N(f) = E_N\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n T_n(x)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n E_N(T_n) = \sum_{n=2N}^{\infty} a_n E_N(T_n)$$

since  $E_N(T_n) = 0$  for  $n < 2N$ . If now  $f(x)$  satisfies the conditions A, we get

$$(7) \quad |E_N(f)| \leq \frac{4C_1P_1}{\pi} \sum_{n=2N}^{\infty} \frac{|E_N(T_n)|}{n^2} = d_{1,N}C_1P_1$$

where

$$(8) \quad d_{1,N} = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=2N}^{\infty} \frac{|E_N(T_n)|}{n^2}$$

converges since  $|E_N(T_n)| \leq 2 + 2/(n^2 - 1)$ . This bound holds since  $|T_n(x)| \leq 1$  in  $I$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 2$  implying that  $|\sum_{i=1}^N w_i T_n(x_i)| \leq 2$  and since  $\int_{-1}^1 T_n(x) dx = 2/(n^2 - 1)$ . If  $f(x)$  satisfies conditions B, we get similarly

$$(9) \quad |E_N(f)| \leq d_{2,N}C_2P_2$$

where

$$(10) \quad d_{2,N} = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=2N}^{\infty} \frac{|E_N(T_n)|}{n^3}.$$

In Table 1, values of  $e_{i,N}$  and  $d_{i,N}$  are given for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $N = 4(3)16$ . We see that  $d_{i,N}/e_{i,N} < 1$  and that this ratio decreases with increasing  $N$ . Hence, in cases where  $C_iP_i$  is not too much greater than  $M_i$ , (7) and (9) will provide sharper error bounds than (1), especially for large  $N$ .

TABLE 1

$N$	$e_{1,N}$	$d_{1,N}$	$e_{2,N}$	$d_{2,N}$
4	2.76(-1)	8.64(-2)	2.19(-2)	7.07(-3)
7	1.65(-1)	3.13(-2)	7.63(-3)	1.50(-3)
10	1.18(-1)	1.60(-2)	3.86(-3)	5.40(-4)
13	9.15(-2)	9.68(-3)	2.33(-3)	2.54(-4)
16	7.48(-2)	6.48(-3)	1.56(-3)	1.39(-4)

*Examples.* 1.  $f(x) = |x|^{4/3}$ . In this case,  $f''(x)$  is unbounded in  $I$  so that using (1), we find  $E_N(f) \leq e_{1,N}M_1$ . Taking  $N = 16$  and  $M_1 = 4/3$ , we find  $E_{16}(f) \leq 1.0(-1)$ . Using (7) with  $C_1 = 3$  and  $P_1 = .92$ , we find  $E_{16}(f) \leq 1.8(-2)$ . The actual error is  $1.0(-3)$ . For  $N = 4$ , the figures are  $3.7(-1)$ ,  $2.4(-1)$ , and  $2.2(-2)$ , respectively.

2.  $f(x) = |x|^{8/3}$ . In this case,  $E_N(f) \leq e_{2,N}M_2$ . With  $N = 16$  and  $M_2 = 40/9$ , we find  $E_{16}(f) \leq 7.0(-3)$ . Using (9) with  $C_2 = 3$  and  $P_2 = 8/3$ , we find  $E_{16}(f) \leq 1.2(-3)$ . The actual error is  $3.5(-5)$ . For  $N = 4$ , the figures are  $9.8(-2)$ ,  $5.7(-2)$  and  $5.1(-3)$ , respectively.

3.  $f(x) = (x + 1)^{5/4}$ . In this case also,  $f''(x)$  is unbounded in  $I$  so that  $E_N(f) \leq e_{1,N}M_1$ . With  $N = 16$  and  $M_1 = (5/4)2^{1/4}$  we find  $E_{16}(f) \leq 1.1(-1)$ . However,  $F_2(x)$  satisfies conditions B so that we can use (9). With  $C_2 = 2$  and  $P_2 = (5/4)2^{1/4}$ , we find  $E_{16}(f) \leq 4.2(-4)$ . The actual error is  $8.9(-7)$ .

*Remarks.* 1. This method is not restricted to Gaussian rules but is applicable to any integration rule defined over  $I$  which integrates constants exactly. This includes the Lobatto, Radau, Newton-Cotes, Romberg and Gauss-Jacobi rules.

2. This method can be extended to cases where higher derivatives exist. Thus, Elliott [2] gives the estimate  $|a_n| \leq 4C_3P_3/\pi n^4$  where

$$F_3(x) \equiv (1 - x^2)^{1/2}[(1 - x^2)f'''(x) - 3xf''(x) - f'(x)]$$

satisfies conditions similar to B. However, the expressions for  $F_i$  become very complicated with increasing  $i$  and it is not worth the effort to find  $C_i$  and  $P_i$ .

3. Elliott also gives the estimate  $|a_n| \leq 4C_0P_0/\pi n$  where  $F_0(x) \equiv f(x)$ . However, it is probably not possible to use this method for functions with unbounded first derivatives. This is so since  $\sum_{n=2N}^{\infty} |E_N(T_n)|/n$  probably diverges. This assumption is based on the fact that for Gauss-Chebyshev integration, we can prove divergence. The Gauss-Chebyshev integration rule is of the form

$$(11) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x)}{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}} dx = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i) + E_N(f)$$

where

$$(12) \quad x_i = \cos \frac{(2i - 1)\pi}{2N}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Since  $\int_{-1}^1 T_n(x)/(1 - x^2)^{1/2} dx = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , it follows that  $E_N(T_n) = (\pi/N) \sum_{i=1}^N T_n(x_i)$ . Since  $T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x)$ , we have  $T_n(x_i) = \cos((2i - 1)n\pi/2N)$ . Hence, for  $n = 2KN$ ,  $K = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $E_N(T_n) = -\pi$ , from which it follows that  $\sum_{n=2N}^{\infty} |E_N(T_n)|/n$  diverges.

*Conclusions.* As Examples 1 and 2 indicate, error bounds (1), (7) and (9) may give rather good bounds on the integration error. On the other hand, Example 3 shows that the bounds may overshoot the actual error by many orders of magnitude. Nevertheless, in the absence of further information, they are the best available for functions of low-order continuity. Since  $|F_1(x)| \leq |f'(x)|$  in  $I$ , (7) will be better than (1) for small values of  $C_1$ . The situation with  $F_2$  is more complicated but usually  $P_2$  will be of the same order of magnitude as  $M_2$  so that (9) will give a better bound than (1) for small values of  $C_2$ . In both cases, the critical value of  $C_i$  increases with  $N$ . In cases when the singularity is at an endpoint of  $I$ , our method may be very advantageous. As Example 3 shows, we can use (9) even when  $f''(x)$  is unbounded. More generally,  $f^{(j)}(x)$  may be unbounded while  $F_{j+k}(x)$  is well behaved,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ . But as mentioned above, the work involved in calculating  $C_{j+k}$  and  $P_{j+k}$  becomes prohibitive. On the other hand, (1) has the advantage of simplicity especially when compared with (9), and, of course, (1) is preferable when  $C_i$  is large. Hence there is room for both types of error bound.

1. P. J. DAVIS & P. RABINOWITZ, *Numerical Integration*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1967.
2. D. ELLIOTT, "A Chebyshev series method for the numerical solution of Fredholm integral equations," *Comput. J.*, v. 6, 1963, pp. 102-111. MR 27 #5386.
3. A. H. STROUD & D. SECREST, *Gaussian Quadrature Formulas*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1966. MR 34 #2185.

## An Explicit Sixth-Order Runge-Kutta Formula

By H. A. Luther

**1. Introduction.** The system of ordinary differential equations considered has the form

$$(1) \quad dy/dx = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0.$$

Here  $y(x)$  and  $f(x, y)$  are vector-valued functions

$$y(x) = (y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_m(x)),$$

$$f(x, y) = (f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y), \dots, f_m(x, y)),$$

so that we are dealing with  $m$  simultaneous first-order equations.

For the fifth-order case, explicit Runge-Kutta formulas have been found whose remainder, while of order six when  $y$  is present in (1), does become of order seven when  $f$  is a function of  $x$  alone [3], [4]. This is due to the use of six functional substitutions, a necessary feature when  $y$  occurs nontrivially [1].

A family of explicit sixth-order formulas has been described [1]. In this family is the formula given in the next section. Its remainder, while of order seven when  $y$  is present in (1), is of order eight when  $f$  is a function of  $x$  alone. Here again the possibility arises because seven functional substitutions are used, rather than six. Once more, this is a necessity [2].

For selected equations (those not strongly dependent on  $y$ ) such formulas seem to lead to some increase in accuracy.

**2. Presentation of the Formula.** For the interval  $[x_n, x_n + h]$ , Lobatto quadrature points leading to a remainder of order eight are

$$x_n, \quad x_n + h/2, \quad x_n + (7 - (21)^{1/2})h/14, \quad x_n + (7 + (21)^{1/2})h/14, \quad x_n + h.$$

A set of Runge-Kutta formulas related thereto is given below. They can be verified by substitution in the relations given by Butcher [1].

Expressed in a usual form they are