

Rational Chebyshev Approximations for the Error Function*

By W. J. Cody

Abstract. This note presents nearly-best rational approximations for the functions $\text{erf}(x)$ and $\text{erfc}(x)$, with maximal relative errors ranging down to between 6×10^{-19} and 3×10^{-20} .

In [1] Hart, et al., present rational approximations for the function

$$\text{erfc}(x) \equiv 1 - \text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt$$

valid for $0 \leq x \leq \alpha$, where $\alpha = 4, 8, 10$, or 20 . They carefully point out [1, p. 138] that these approximations are not useful for computing the error function

$$\text{erf}(x) \equiv 1 - \text{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$$

for small x because of subtraction error, but they do not provide any alternative. Hastings' [2] approximations for $\text{erf}(x)$ are no better, since they explicitly use the constant 1 as an additive term and are chosen to nearly minimize the maximum absolute error rather than the relative error. Clenshaw's [3] Chebyshev series expansions for $\text{erf}(x)/x$ come close to minimizing relative error, but his approximations are somewhat inefficient because of his choice of interval and his restriction to polynomials.

For a computer subroutine with entries for both $\text{erf}(x)$ and $\text{erfc}(x)$, cancellation error can be avoided by evaluating $\text{erf}(x)$ directly and $\text{erfc}(x)$ indirectly (as $1 - \text{erf}(x)$) when $\text{erf}(x)$ is smaller in magnitude than $\text{erfc}(x)$, and $\text{erf}(x)$ indirectly and $\text{erfc}(x)$ directly, otherwise. The changeover point occurs for $|x| \approx .47$.

In this note we present nearly-best rational approximations for the functions $\text{erf}(x)$ and $\text{erfc}(x)$ with maximal relative errors ranging down to between 6×10^{-19} and 3×10^{-20} . The approximation forms and intervals used are

$$\begin{aligned}\text{erf}(x) &\simeq xR_{lm}(x^2), \quad |x| \leq .5, \\ \text{erfc}(x) &\simeq e^{-x^2}R_{lm}(x), \quad .46875 \leq x \leq 4.0, \\ \text{erfc}(x) &\simeq \frac{e^{-x^2}}{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{1}{x^2} R_{lm}(1/x^2) \right\}, \quad x \geq 4,\end{aligned}$$

where the $R_{lm}(z)$ are rational functions of degree l in the numerator and m in the denominator. The relations $\text{erf}(-x) = -\text{erf}(x)$ and $\text{erfc}(-x) = 2 - \text{erfc}(x)$ can be used to evaluate the functions for negative arguments.

Received January 24, 1969.

* Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

Table I. $\sum_{\ell m} = -100 \log_{10} \max \left| \frac{f(x) - f_{\ell m}(x)}{f(x)} \right|$

$f(x) = \text{erf}(x), \quad |x| \leq .5$

$m \backslash \ell$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	139	313	496	688	887	1092			
1	417	556*	753	960	1172	1390			
2	558	702	986*	1212	1438	1666			
3	800	956	1307	1465*	1698	1935			
4	962	1108	1466	1626	1950*				
5	1158	1338	1751	1932					

$f(x) = \text{erfc}(x), \quad .46875 \leq x \leq 4.0$

	61	109	161	214	270				
0	164	222*	280	340	401	462			
1		376	441	506	572	638			
2		440	597	666	736	806			
3		502	666	824	897*				
4			1056	1132*					
5				1292	1371				
6					1532	1613*			
7						1775	1859*		
8									

$f(x) = \text{erfc}(x), \quad x \geq 4.0$

	628	756	876						
0	688*	828	958	1081	1198				
1	855	998*	1131	1256					
2	992	1151	1287*	1415					
3	1116	1283	1431	1561*					
4	1232	1405	1558		1824*				
5									

*Coefficients for these approximations only are given in Tables II-IV.

Table II. $\text{erf}(x) \approx f_{nn}(x) = x \sum_{j=0}^n p_j x^{2j} / \sum_{j=0}^n q_j x^{2j}$, $|x| \leq .5$

n	j	p_j	q_j
1	0	3.67678 77	(00)
	1	-9.79704 65	(-02)
2	0	2.13853 32237 8	(00)
	1	1.72227 57703 9	(00)
3	2	3.16652 89065 8	(-01)
	3	2.42667 95523 05317 5	(02)
4	1	2.19792 61618 29415 2	(01)
	2	6.99638 34886 19135 5	(00)
5	3	-3.56098 43701 81538 5	(-02)
	4	3.20937 75891 38469 47256 2	(03)
6	2	3.77485 23768 53020 20813 7	(02)
	3	1.13864 15415 16501 55649 5	(02)
7	3	3.16112 37438 70565 59694 7	(03)
	4	1.85777 70618 46031 52673 0	(-01)

Table III. $\text{erfc}(x) \approx f_{nn}(x) = e^{-x^2} \sum_{j=0}^n p_j x^j / \sum_{j=0}^n q_j x^j$,
 $.46875 \leq x \leq 4.0$

n	j	p_j	q_j
1	0	7.3033	(-01)
	1	-2.3877	(-02)
4	0	7.37388	(00)
	1	6.86501	(00)
	2	3.03179	(00)
	3	5.63169	(-01)
	4	4.31877	(-05)
5	0	2.28989	(01)
	1	2.60947	(01)
	2	1.45718	(01)
	3	4.26772	(00)
	4	5.64371	(-01)
	5	-6.08581	(-06)

7	0	3.00459	26102	01616	005	(02)	3.00459	26095	69832	933	(02)
	1	4.51918	95371	18729	422	(02)	7.90950	92532	78980	272	(02)
	2	3.39320	81673	43436	870	(02)	9.31354	09485	06096	211	(02)
	3	1.52989	28504	69404	039	(02)	6.38980	26446	56311	665	(02)
	4	4.31622	27222	05673	530	(01)	2.77585	44474	39876	434	(02)
	5	7.21175	825c8	83093	659	(00)	7.70001	52935	22947	295	(01)
	6	5.64195	51747	89739	711	(-01)	1.27827	27319	62942	351	(01)
	7	-1.36864	85738	27167	067	(-07)	1.00000	00000	00000	000	(00)
8	0	1.23033	93547	97997	25272	(03)	1.23033	93548	03749	42043	(03)
	1	2.05107	83778	26071	46532	(03)	3.43936	76741	43721	63696	(03)
	2	1.71204	76126	34070	58314	(03)	4.36261	90901	43247	15820	(03)
	3	8.81952	22124	17690	90411	(02)	3.29079	92357	33459	62678	(03)
	4	2.98635	13819	74001	31132	(02)	1.62138	95745	66690	18874	(03)
	5	6.61191	90637	14162	94775	(01)	5.37181	10186	20098	57509	(02)
	6	8.88314	57943	88375	94118	(00)	1.17693	95089	13124	99305	(02)
	7	5.64188	49698	86703	89180	(-01)	1.57449	26110	70983	47253	(01)
	8	2.15311	53547	44038	46343	(-08)	1.00000	00000	00000	00000	(00)

Table IV. $\text{erfc}(x) \approx f_{nn}(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2}}{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{1}{x^2} \sum_{j=0}^n p_j x^{-2j} \sum_{j=0}^n q_j x^{-2j} \right\}, \quad x \geq 4.0$

n	j	p_j	q_j
1	0	-1.24368 544	(-01) 4.40917 061 (-01)
1	1	-9.68210 364	(-02) 1.00000 000 (-00)
2	0	-4.25799 64355 3	(-02) 1.50942 07054 5 (-01)
2	1	-1.96068 97372 6	(-01) 9.21452 41169 4 (-01)
2	2	-5.16882 26218 5	(-02) 1.00000 00000 0 (-00)
3	0	-1.21308 27638 9978	(-02) 4.30026 64345 2770 (-02)
3	1	-1.19903 95526 8146	(-01) 4.89552 44196 1437 (-01)
3	2	-2.43911 02948 8626	(-01) 1.43771 22793 7118 (-00)
3	3	-3.24319 51927 7746	(-02) 1.00000 00000 00000 (-00)
4	0	-2.99610 70770 35421 74	(-03) 1.06209 23052 84679 18 (-02)
4	1	-4.94730 91062 32507 34	(-02) 1.91308 92610 78298 41 (-01)
4	2	-2.26956 59353 96869 30	(-01) 1.05167 51070 67932 07 (-00)
4	3	-2.78661 30860 96477 88	(-01) 1.98733 20181 71352 56 (-00)
4	4	-2.23192 45973 41846 86	(-02) 1.00000 00000 00000 00 (-00)
5	0	-6.58749 16152 98378 03157	(-04) 2.33520 49762 68691 85443 (-03)
5	1	-1.60837 85148 74227 66278	(-02) 6.05183 41312 44131 91178 (-02)
5	2	-1.25781 72611 12292 46204	(-01) 5.27905 10295 14284 12248 (-01)
5	3	-3.60344 89994 98044 39429	(-01) 1.87295 28499 23460 47209 (-00)
5	4	-3.05326 63496 12323 44035	(-01) 2.56852 01922 89822 42072 (-00)
5	5	-1.63153 87137 30209 78498	(-02) 1.00000 00000 00000 00000 (-00)

Table I presents the initial segments of the L_∞ Walsh arrays while Tables II, III, and IV present selected approximations. All approximations were generated using a standard version of the Remes algorithm [4] on a CDC 3600. The master function routines used continued-fraction expansions described in [1] and were verified to be accurate to at least 22S. Finally, the accuracy of the approximations as presented here was verified by comparison against the master routines using 5000 pseudo-random arguments.

Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439

1. J. F. HART, et al., *Computer Approximations*, Siam Series in Appl. Math., Wiley, New York, 1968.
2. C. HASTINGS, JR., *Approximations for Digital Computers*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1955. MR 16, 963.
3. C. W. CLENSHAW, *Chebyshev Series for Mathematical Functions*, National Physical Lab. Math. Tables, vol. 5, H.M.S.O., London, 1962. MR 26 #362.
4. W. J. CODY, W. FRASER & J. F. HART, "Rational Chebyshev approximations using linear equations," *Numer. Math.*, v. 12, 1968, pp. 242-251.