

# On the Distribution of Pseudo-Random Numbers Generated by the Linear Congruential Method

By Harald Niederreiter

**Abstract.** The discrepancy of sequences of pseudo-random numbers generated by the linear congruential method is estimated, thereby improving a result of Jagerman. Applications to numerical integration are mentioned.

Let  $m$  be a modulus with primitive root  $\lambda$ , and let  $y_0$  be an integer in the least residue system modulo  $m$  with  $\text{g.c.d.}(y_0, m) = 1$ . We generate a sequence  $y_0, y_1, \dots$  of integers in the least residue system modulo  $m$  by  $y_{j+1} \equiv \lambda y_j \pmod{m}$  for  $j \geq 0$ . The sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots$ , defined by  $x_j = y_j/m$  for  $j \geq 0$ , is then a frequently employed sequence of pseudo-random numbers in the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . Its elements  $x_j$  may also be described explicitly by  $x_j = \{\lambda^j y_0/m\}$  for  $j \geq 0$ , where  $\{x\}$  denotes the fractional part of the real number  $x$ . The sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  has period  $Q = \phi(m)$ , where  $\phi$  is Euler's totient function.

For a real number  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , let  $A(\alpha)$  be the number of elements of the sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{Q-1}$  lying in the interval  $[0, \alpha]$ . We define the discrepancy  $D = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |A(\alpha)/Q - \alpha|$  which measures the deviation from the uniform distribution. Jagerman [2] has shown that  $D \leq (4/\pi)(3 \log m/Q)^{1/2}$ . His method is based on an estimate of the discrepancy in terms of certain trigonometric sums. In the present note, we shall show that a much simpler method yields a considerably sharper estimate for  $D$  (see Theorem 2). We prove also some related results.

For  $\alpha$  from above and a positive integer  $k$ , let  $A^{(k)}(\alpha)$  be the number of rationals  $i/k$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\text{g.c.d.}(i, k) = 1$ , lying in the interval  $[0, \alpha]$ .

**THEOREM 1.** For any positive integer  $k$ , we have

$$D^{(k)} = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \left| \frac{A^{(k)}(\alpha)}{\phi(k)} - \alpha \right| = O(k^{\epsilon-1}) \quad \text{for every } \epsilon > 0.$$

*Proof.* For an arbitrary positive integer  $r$ , we consider the sequence of rationals  $1/r, 2/r, \dots, r/r$ . There are exactly  $[r\alpha]$  elements of this sequence in the interval  $[0, \alpha]$ . We now count these elements by a second method. We write the rationals  $j/r$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , in reduced form and then count, for each positive divisor  $d$  of  $r$ , the resulting rationals with denominator  $d$  lying in  $[0, \alpha]$ . We thereby arrive at the identity

$$(1) \quad [r\alpha] = \sum_{d|r} A^{(d)}(\alpha) \quad \text{for all } r \geq 1 \text{ and all } \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Applying the Moebius inversion formula to (1), we obtain

Received January 6, 1972.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 65C10, 10F40; Secondary 65D30.

Key words and phrases. Pseudo-random numbers, discrepancy, numerical integration.

$$A^{(k)}(\alpha) = \sum_{d|k} \mu(d) \left[ \frac{k}{d} \alpha \right] \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1 \text{ and all } \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Consequently, we have, for all  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,

$$(2) \quad \left| \frac{A^{(k)}(\alpha)}{\phi(k)} - \alpha \right| = \left| \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d|k} \mu(d) \frac{k}{d} \alpha - \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d|k} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{k}{d} \alpha \right\} - \alpha \right| \\ = \left| \frac{1}{\phi(k)} \sum_{d|k} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{k}{d} \alpha \right\} \right|.$$

Therefore,  $D^{(k)} \leq (1/\phi(k)) \sum_{d|k} |\mu(d)| = g(k)$ . Now,  $g(k)$  is a multiplicative number-theoretic function. To prove that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g(k)k^{1-\epsilon} = 0$ , it will therefore suffice to show that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} g(p^s)(p^s)^{1-\epsilon} = 0$ , where  $p^s$  runs through all prime powers. But  $g(p^s)(p^s)^{1-\epsilon} = 2p^{-\epsilon s}(1 - 1/p)^{-1} \leq 4p^{-\epsilon s}$ , and we are done.

Let us now return to the sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{q-1}$ . Since there is a primitive root modulo  $m$ , we must have  $m = 2, 4, p^s$ , or  $2p^s$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime and  $s \geq 1$ . For  $m = 2$  and  $4$ , we readily get  $D = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $D = \frac{1}{4}$ , respectively. For the remaining cases, we have the following estimates.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $m = p^s$ , then  $D \leq 1/Q$ . If  $m = 2p^s$ , then  $D \leq 2/Q$ .*

*Proof.* We note that the sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{q-1}$  runs, in some order, through all the rationals  $i/m$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $\text{g.c.d.}(i, m) = 1$ . Therefore,  $A(\alpha) = A^{(m)}(\alpha)$ , and we can apply (2). For  $m = p^s$ , we get, for all  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| \frac{A(\alpha)}{Q} - \alpha \right| = \frac{1}{Q} \left| \{m\alpha\} - \left\{ \frac{m}{p} \alpha \right\} \right| < \frac{1}{Q}.$$

For  $m = 2p^s$ , we get, for all  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| \frac{A(\alpha)}{Q} - \alpha \right| = \frac{1}{Q} \left| \{m\alpha\} - \left\{ \frac{m}{2} \alpha \right\} - \left\{ \frac{m}{p} \alpha \right\} + \left\{ \frac{m}{2p} \alpha \right\} \right| < \frac{2}{Q}.$$

It is well known (see for instance [4]) that the discrepancy  $D$  of any sequence in  $[0, 1]$  with  $Q$  elements must satisfy  $D \geq 1/2Q$ . Therefore, no substantial improvement of Theorem 2 is possible. We refer to [1] for results on the distribution of pseudo-random numbers in the case  $m = 2^s$  with  $s \geq 3$  (of course,  $\lambda$  is then not a primitive root any more).

Theorem 2 implies two error estimates for numerical integration based on the sequence  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{q-1}$ . First, we apply Koksma's inequality [3] which states that, for any sequence  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}$  in  $[0, 1]$  with discrepancy  $D_N$  and any integrand  $f$  with bounded variation  $V(f)$  on  $[0, 1]$ , one has

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(a_i) - \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right| \leq V(f)D_N.$$

The notion of discrepancy is usually defined in terms of the counting functions relative to the half-open intervals  $[0, \alpha)$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . But it is easily seen that this is identical with our concept of discrepancy in which we used the counting functions relative to the closed intervals  $[0, \alpha]$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $f$  be a function with bounded variation  $V(f)$  in  $[0, 1]$ . Then*

$$\left| \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} f(x_i) - \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{c}{Q} V(f),$$

where  $c = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $m = 2$  and  $4$ ,  $c = 1$  for  $m = p^s$ , and  $c = 2$  for  $m = 2p^s$ .

Finally, we apply an inequality given by the present author in [4]: If  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}$  is a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  with discrepancy  $D_N$  and  $f$  is continuous in  $[0, 1]$  with modulus of continuity  $\omega$ , then

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(a_i) - \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right| \leq \omega(D_N).$$

For the convenience of the reader, we include the short proof. We may assume without loss of generality that  $0 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{N-1} \leq 1$ . We know then from [5, Eq. (4)], [6, Theorem 1] that  $D_N$  is also given by

$$D_N = \max_{i=0, \dots, N-1} \max \left( \left| a_i - \frac{i}{N} \right|, \left| a_i - \frac{i+1}{N} \right| \right).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(x) dx &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{i/N}^{(i+1)/N} f(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N} f(\xi_i) \text{ with } \frac{i}{N} < \xi_i < \frac{i+1}{N} \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq N-1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(a_i) - \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (f(a_i) - f(\xi_i)).$$

But  $|a_i - \xi_i| < \max(|a_i - i/N|, |a_i - (i+1)/N|) \leq D_N$  for  $0 \leq i \leq N-1$ , hence  $|f(a_i) - f(\xi_i)| \leq \omega(D_N)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq N-1$ , and we are done.

Using the fact that  $\omega$  is a nondecreasing function, we arrive at the following consequence.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function in  $[0, 1]$  with modulus of continuity  $\omega$ . Then*

$$\left| \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{i=0}^{Q-1} f(x_i) - \int_0^1 f(x) dx \right| \leq \omega\left(\frac{c}{Q}\right),$$

where  $c$  has the same meaning as in Corollary 1.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  
Urbana, Illinois 61801

1. U. DIETER, "Statistical interdependence of pseudo-random numbers generated by the linear congruential method," *Proc. Sympos. on Applications of Number Theory to Numerical Analysis* (Montreal, 1971), Academic Press, New York, 1972. (To appear.)

2. D. L. JAGERMAN, "Some theorems concerning pseudo-random numbers," *Math. Comp.*, v. 19, 1965, pp. 418-426. MR 32 #1877.

3. J. F. KOKSMA, "A general theorem from the theory of uniform distribution modulo 1," *Mathematica Zutphen. B.*, v. 11, 1942, pp. 7-11. (Dutch) MR 7, 370.

4. H. NIEDERREITER, "Methods for estimating discrepancy," *Proc. Sympos. on Applications of Number Theory to Numerical Analysis* (Montreal, 1971), Academic Press, New York, 1972. (To appear.)

5. H. NIEDERREITER, "Almost-arithmetic progressions and uniform distribution," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 161, 1971, pp. 283-292.

6. H. NIEDERREITER, "Discrepancy and convex programming," *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 1972. (To appear.)