

Gaussian Quadrature of Integrands Involving the Error Function

By J. P. Vigneron and Ph. Lambin

Abstract. Orthogonal polynomials corresponding to the weight function $1 - \operatorname{erf}(x)$ and defined on the positive real axis are constructed. Abscissas and weight factors for the associated Gaussian quadrature are then deduced (up to 12-point formulas). The stability of the algorithm used for this particular computation is discussed. An example is provided to test the efficiency of the new Gaussian rule.

1. Introduction. In recent years, the field of automatic quadrature has achieved important progress. For tabulated functions with arbitrary grid spacing, cubic spline integrators supply an efficient way to obtain an approximation to a definite integral [1]. In the case where very little is known about the integrand, adaptive quadrature methods [2] can be used with a high probability of success. When possible, however, Gaussian formulas [3] remain extremely interesting, regarding the few integrand evaluations needed. This advantage is especially desirable when the integrand computation is very time consuming. Rather few weight functions and intervals of integration have been considered so far [3]–[5]. In the present paper, a Gaussian quadrature formula is derived for computing expressions of the form

$$(1) \quad I = \int_0^{\infty} \operatorname{erfc}(x)f(x) dx,$$

where

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{erfc}(x) = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$$

is the complementary error function [4], and $f(x)$ is a regular function.

To determine the abscissas x_i and weight factors w_i appearing in the Gaussian expression

$$(3) \quad I \approx \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i),$$

it is necessary to obtain the set of orthogonal polynomials $p_k(x)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, corresponding to the following scalar product

$$(4) \quad (f, g) = \int_0^{\infty} \operatorname{erfc}(x)f(x)g(x) dx.$$

Received July 25, 1979.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 65A05.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society
0025-5718/80/0000-0171/\$03.25

The construction of these polynomials and the derivation of the integration formula is treated in Section 2.

2. Orthogonal Polynomials and Gaussian Formula. The Schmidt orthogonalization procedure can be used to generate these polynomials from the set of nonorthogonal functions

$$(5) \quad 1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n.$$

This procedure is described in [6] and amounts to determining recursively the polynomials $p_k(x)$ through the following relation

$$(6) \quad p_0(x) = 1,$$

$$(7) \quad p_k(x) = x^k - \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{\int_0^\infty \operatorname{erfc}(t)t^k p_n(t) dt}{\int_0^\infty \operatorname{erfc}(t)[p_n(t)]^2 dt} p_n(x),$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

If the explicit expression for these polynomials

$$(8) \quad p_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k p_j^k x^j$$

is introduced in (6) and (7), the following relation is obtained

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^k p_n^k x^k = x^k - \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n p_i^n \mu_{i+k}}{\sum_{i=0}^n p_i^n \sum_{i=0}^n p_i^n \mu_{i+1}} \sum_{j=0}^n p_j^n x^j,$$

where the quantities μ_p are the moments of the weight function

$$(10) \quad \mu_p = \int_0^\infty \operatorname{erfc}(x)x^p dx = \frac{\Gamma(p/2 + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}(p + 1)}.$$

In Eq. (9), the right-hand side can be put under an explicit polynomial form, by re-ordering the summation on n and j . This leads to the following recursion relations for computing the coefficients p_j^k of the orthogonal polynomials

$$(11) \quad p_k^k = 1,$$

and, for $n \neq k$,

$$(12) \quad p_n^k = - \sum_{j=n}^{k-1} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^j p_i^j \mu_{i+k}}{\sum_{i=0}^j p_i^j \sum_{i=0}^j p_i^j \mu_{i+1}} p_n^j.$$

3. Discussion. A large amount of comments exists in literature on the ill-conditioned character of the problem of determining the zeros and weights for Gaussian rules [7]–[10]. It is not obvious, in a general case, to forecast the stability of relation (12). This question has in fact two different aspects. If one considers the

moments μ_k as exactly known quantities, the recursion scheme can propagate the truncation error that affects the coefficients p_j^k at each stage of the recursive process. On the other hand, the polynomial coefficients may be sensitive to errors introduced in computing the moments μ_p . Both effects act to progressively reduce the accuracy of the computed coefficients. Furthermore, the evaluation of the zeros of the polynomials and the subsequent computation of the weight factors will introduce further errors. For this reason, an arithmetic of about 35 figures has been used in performing the computation realized here and a check on the μ -wise sensitivity of the polynomial coefficients, as well as the abscissas and weight factors, has been completed.

TABLE 1

Sensitivity of the 12th-degree orthogonal polynomial coefficients to a slight variation of the moments μ_p . A relative increase of $3 \cdot 10^{-33}$ of all moments has been performed. $\Delta p_{12}^i / p_{12}^i$ is the relative change of the polynomial coefficients, $\Delta x_i / x_i$ is the relative change of its zeros, and $\Delta w_i / w_i$ the corresponding relative change in the weight factor.

i	$\left \frac{\Delta p_{12}^i}{p_{12}^i} \right $	$\left \frac{\Delta x_i}{x_i} \right $	$\left \frac{\Delta w_i}{w_i} \right $
1	(-16) 3.4	(-17) 3.7	(-17) 3.6
2	(-16) 3.0	(-17) 3.7	(-17) 2.9
3	(-16) 2.7	(-17) 3.6	(-17) 1.4
4	(-16) 2.4	(-17) 3.3	(-17) 1.1
5	(-16) 2.1	(-17) 3.1	(-17) 4.7
6	(-16) 1.8	(-17) 2.9	(-17) 9.9
7	(-16) 1.6	(-17) 2.7	(-16) 1.7
8	(-16) 1.2	(-17) 2.5	(-16) 2.5
9	(-16) 1.0	(-17) 2.4	(-16) 3.5
10	(-17) 7.3	(-17) 2.2	(-16) 4.8
11	(-17) 4.8	(-17) 2.1	(-16) 6.6
12	(-17) 2.4	(-17) 2.0	(-16) 8.6
13	0.0		

TABLE 2

First sixteen polynomials orthogonal with respect to the scalar product $(f, g) = \int_0^\infty \operatorname{erfc}(x)f(x)g(x) dx$. The coefficients are ordered following the decreasing powers of x . For example, the second-degree polynomial is approximately $p_2(x) = x^2 - 1.35x + 0.264$.

degree 0		degree 7
1.00000 00000 00000		(-1)-1.59280 57293 12968
		4.05662 89966 23660
degree 1		(1)-2.39403 18949 32827
(-1)-4.43113 46272 63790		(1) 5.59214 87631 00272
1.00000 00000 00000		(1)-6.22839 73346 99218
		(1) 3.50528 71260 54658
		-9.56516 01678 28539
		1.00000 00000 00000
degree 2		degree 8
(-1) 2.63907 45846 91510		(-1) 1.85050 52867 50136
-1.34782 81344 19103		-5.64460 02821 45842
1.00000 00000 00000		(1) 4.04596 33619 27969
		(2)-1.17503 25457 81872
degree 3		(2) 1.68875 47149 73991
(-1)-1.90171 23800 42575		(2)-1.30437 09256 21547
1.60432 84210 02063		(1) 5.48253 01848 02072
-2.55919 01430 63285		(1)-1.17484 67323 09489
1.00000 00000 00000		1.00000 00000 00000
		degree 9
degree 4		(-1)-2.27856 44262 46158
(-1) 1.57384 90122 88715		8.15920 09750 53598
-1.91636 80353 98978		(1)-6.93607 45469 76045
4.87950 48762 39656		(2) 2.42876 44333 11118
-4.01618 14460 37398		(2)-4.31508 05870 25540
1.00000 00000 00000		(2) 4.28075 72282 38953
		(2)-2.45982 69604 81033
degree 5		(1) 8.09256 91094 27278
(-1)-1.45181 12915 76531		(1)-1.40787 40810 91919
2.36388 84058 09562		1.00000 00000 00000
-8.47579 47416 00099		degree 10
(1) 1.09608 53386 21661		(-1) 2.95562 02971 09199
-5.68398 20904 56470		(1)-1.22276 58537 98924
1.00000 00000 00000		(2) 1.21022 50265 56238
		(2)-4.99433 98195 12650
degree 6		(3) 1.06450 41977 91601
(-1) 1.46418 52508 81862		(3)-1.30010 77830 84340
-3.03400 78209 90483		(2) 9.56226 19830 23607
(1) 1.42776 47509 63747		(2)-4.28809 32823 86113
(1)-2.56745 06962 56243		(2) 1.14238 01788 47078
(1) 2.07253 25849 80429		(1)-1.65473 39858 57488
-7.53930 25678 37419		1.00000 00000 00000
1.00000 00000 00000		

TABLE 2 (continued)

degree 11	degree 12
(-1)-4.01902 15677 85816	(-1) 5.70573 59772 00071
(1) 1.89609 50515 51237	(1) -3.03656 33418 48806
(2)-2.15292 50464 52882	(2) 3.90795 17623 25917
(3) 1.02876 29396 70655	(3)-2.13200 37901 17855
(3)-2.57278 58022 54351	(3) 6.14953 08660 11039
(3) 3.75586 15079 64427	(4)-1.04987 70018 11033
(3)-3.39034 95535 63455	(4) 1.12961 43871 16176
(3) 1.94082 76197 81112	(3)-7.91723 32167 89054
(2)-7.03145 11053 27266	(3) 3.65382 64468 93299
(2) 1.55646 93976 48508	(3)-1.09783 83048 90957
(1)-1.91469 83574 97391	(2) 2.06037 64014 77419
1.00000 00000 00000	(1)-2.18714 28498 95518
	1.00000 00000 00000

TABLE 3

Abscissas and weight factors for the 2-point to 12-point Gaussian integration of $\int_0^\infty \operatorname{erfc}(x)f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i)$.

2-point formula

x_i	w_i
(-1) 2.37734 38919 5	(-1) 4.31362 74656 8
1.11009 37452 2	(-1) 1.32826 83697 3

3-point formula

x_i	w_i
(-1) 1.54164 78808 7	(-1) 3.18951 01760 6
(-1) 7.41558 72890 8	(-1) 2.24661 47274 2
1.66346 66260 7	(-2) 2.05770 93199 8

4-point formula

x_i	w_i
(-1) 1.10435 23644 6	(-1) 2.43861 10764 2
(-1) 5.44173 73334 6	(-1) 2.52484 36824 2
1.22674 99482 9	(-2) 6.51504 63867 3
2.13482 25279 6	(-3) 2.69364 37970 1

5-point formula

x_i	w_i
(-2) 8.41744 61816 4	(-1) 1.93037 95728 9
(-1) 4.21632 83009 9	(-1) 2.48263 05150 5
(-1) 9.63742 64731 8	(-1) 1.08110 44734 5
1.66474 17939 5	(-2) 1.44584 86503 9
2.54969 03572 7	(-4) 3.19640 90482 3

TABLE 3 (continued)

6-point formula

x_i	w_i
(-2) 6.69431 49652 0	(-1) 1.57287 34770 0
(-1) 3.39112 56023 9	(-1) 2.31713 66654 3
(-1) 7.84935 94275 0	(-1) 1.38151 69364 9
1.36357 52199 6	(-2) 3.42845 52679 5
2.06126 69281 1	(-3) 2.71682 53055 5
2.92346 87671 3	(-5) 3.54976 70462 2

7-point formula

x_i	w_i
(-2) 5.49123 05061 0	(-1) 1.31182 57034 8
(-1) 2.80385 45936 9	(-1) 2.11756 76314 2
(-1) 6.55644 77802 3	(-1) 1.55184 07675 1
1.14781 30451 2	(-2) 5.66734 24306 1
1.73629 77397 3	(-3) 8.93478 26639 1
2.42423 12979 4	(-4) 4.54210 81438 1
3.26587 55425 8	(-6) 3.75552 25977 5

8-point formula

x_i	w_i
(-2) 4.61168 15858 0	(-1) 1.11498 80399 6
(-1) 2.36840 20722 2	(-1) 1.92042 52854 2
(-1) 5.58296 24892 3	(-1) 1.62489 71991 7
(-1) 9.84661 14109 2	(-2) 7.71608 17817 4
1.49562 40201 2	(-2) 1.89093 96565 5
2.08349 43372 2	(-3) 2.01837 26775 5
2.76002 10216 3	(-5) 6.95612 94614 4
3.58341 35310 3	(-7) 3.82737 13411 6

9-point formula

x_i	w_i
(-2) 3.94542 34273 95065	(-2) 9.62504 34631 75948
(-1) 2.03501 98233 97209	(-1) 1.73913 52569 73502
(-1) 4.82772 14616 51686	(-1) 1.63368 15056 63357
(-1) 8.57033 87015 59237	(-2) 9.36339 79723 28827
1.30815 76394 50268	(-2) 3.12025 34366 38391
1.82534 42453 62237	(-3) 5.40257 21407 42783
2.40835 42904 71411	(-4) 4.08403 26025 89112
3.07346 65214 18331	(-6) 9.94530 47663 76975
3.88065 58812 82185	(-8) 3.78568 70502 76278

10-point formula

x_i	w_i
(-2) 3.42628 01138 86749	(-2) 8.41668 63665 35992
(-1) 1.77312 18994 41196	(-1) 1.57737 80598 10978
(-1) 4.22781 07835 69875	(-1) 1.60300 15054 20744
(-1) 7.54733 44456 28952	(-1) 1.05673 96969 32892
1.15757 30911 38683	(-2) 4.41063 95402 82426
1.62004 57105 40419	(-2) 1.07617 84774 27428
2.13749 28265 08512	(-3) 1.36559 15712 75019
2.71396 59924 26909	(-5) 7.56732 98220 39683
3.36822 21668 40246	(-6) 1.34496 57738 44262
4.16095 05571 17240	(-9) 3.65356 71368 48986

TABLE 3 (continued)

11-point formula							
x_i				w_i			
(-2)	3.01235	67809	91510	(-2)	7.44055	09878	89769
(-1)	1.56296	82886	65323	(-1)	1.43487	70531	10929
(-1)	3.74198	70530	56710	(-1)	1.54963	63216	15209
(-1)	6.71167	50305	64438	(-1)	1.13709	41476	46168
	1.03398	56465	21831	(-2)	5.62965	55142	88725
	1.45196	45969	91976	(-2)	1.77366	27598	88245
	1.91877	38247	88675	(-3)	3.26453	10661	40002
	2.43357	98552	23858	(-4)	3.12389	65808	86007
	3.00296	80277	35909	(-5)	1.30439	25358	55835
	3.64709	52982	26328	(-7)	1.73694	86390	16921
	4.42682	97204	46769	(-10)	3.45407	07142	18236
12-point formula							
x_i				w_i			
(-2)	2.67596	38529	66870	(-2)	6.63896	49104	55207
(-1)	1.39130	82411	60834	(-1)	1.30989	46211	29896
(-1)	3.34211	81025	64531	(-1)	1.48437	89982	53906
(-1)	6.01843	95516	62340	(-1)	1.18464	93278	90080
(-1)	9.30884	38305	86759	(-2)	6.69879	22078	42853
	1.31158	64866	34759	(-2)	2.56988	20629	53840
	1.73714	04275	97650	(-3)	6.26273	84099	13355
	2.20451	49081	77997	(-4)	8.90261	21073	45358
	2.71527	22992	95223	(-5)	6.57595	07884	67420
	3.27754	09264	30984	(-6)	2.11627	18607	02677
	3.91228	24599	57907	(-8)	2.15753	71144	04678
	4.68026	03797	33544	(-11)	3.20845	69902	36600

This check is summarized in Table 1. It gives the relative change of the coefficients of the 12th-degree polynomial when a relative increase of 3.10^{-33} is applied to the moments μ_p used in the computation. The relative change of the zeros and weights is also displayed. This table shows that the recursion scheme (12) is not a stable computation process. However, since a full precision of about 33 digits can be easily obtained in computing the moments μ_p for this particular problem, an error is likely to appear in the 16th place of the computed abscissas and weights for the 12-point formula. For shorter formulas, a better precision is reached.

Though quite general from an algebraic point of view, the method just described is then not obviously applicable to generate high-precision Gaussian rules. For the special case considered here, Table 2 gives the coefficients of the first 12 polynomials orthogonal with respect to the scalar product (4).

The zeros of these polynomials have been computed by means of the Bairstow iteration method [11] and the corresponding weight factors have been deduced. These values are reported in Table 3.

The efficiency of the formula can be checked on the following example

$$(13) \quad I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} \operatorname{erfc}(x) e^{-\alpha^2 x^2} dx = \frac{\operatorname{arctg} \alpha}{\alpha \sqrt{\pi}}.$$

TABLE 4
 Comparison between the result obtained from the Gaussian formula and the exact value of the integral $\int_0^\infty \operatorname{erfc}(x)e^{-\alpha^2 x^2} dx$.

α	Exact		Gaussian formula (3)			
	$n = 8$	$n = 12$	Relative Error	Relative Error	Relative Error	Relative Error
0.5	0.52317 03028 70155 5	0.52317 03028 7025	(-13) 2.	0.52317 03028 70155 5	(-18) 6.	
1.0	0.44311 34627 26379 0	0.44311 34616	(-9) 2.	0.44311 34627 24	(-12) 5.	
1.5	0.36965 46542 88	0.36965 53	(-6) 2.	0.36965 4657	(-9) 6.	
2.0	0.31232 0887	0.31230	(-5) 6.	0.31232 07	(-7) 3.	
2.5	0.26861 968	0.26862 0	(-5) 2.	0.26862 0	(-6) 4.	

The function $\exp(-\alpha^2 x^2)$ is easily approximated by a low-degree polynomial, especially when α is small. Table 4 gives some points of comparison between the exact and the approximate integral. It can be seen that a good accuracy (at least 5 places) is readily obtained with the 8-point formula for α less than 2.5.

Acknowledgments. The authors are very grateful to the Belgian Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique for financial support.

Institut de Physique, B5
 Université de Liège
 B-4000 Sart Tilman/Liège 1, Belgium

1. J. H. AHLBERG, E. N. NILSON & J. L. WALSH, *The Theory of Splines and Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1967.
2. G. E. FORSYTHE, M. A. MALCOLM & C. B. MOLER, *Computer Methods for Mathematical Computation*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1977, p. 92.
3. A. H. STROUD & D. SECREST, *Gaussian Quadrature Formulas*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1966.
4. M. ABRAMOWITZ & I. A. STEGUN, (Eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables*, Appl. Math. Series No. 55, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.
5. PH. LAMBIN & J. P. VIGNERON, "Tables for the Gaussian computation of $\int_0^\infty x^\alpha \exp(-x)f(x) dx$ for values of α varying continuously between -1 and $+1$," *Math. Comp.*, v. 33, 1979, pp. 805–811.
6. M. M. MORSE & H. FESHBACH, *Methods of Theoretical Physics*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953, Part I, Chap. 8, p. 928.
7. W. GAUTSCHI, "Construction of Gauss-Christoffel quadrature problems," *Math. Comp.*, v. 22, 1968, pp. 251–270.
8. W. GAUTSCHI, "On the construction of Gaussian quadrature rules from modified moments," *Math. Comp.*, v. 24, 1970, pp. 245–260.
9. W. GAUTSCHI, "Algorithms 3311 Gaussian quadrature methods," *Comm. ACM*, v. 11, 1968, pp. 432–436.
10. G. H. GOLUB & J. H. WELSCH, "Calculation of Gauss quadrature rules," *Math. Comp.*, v. 23, 1969, pp. 221–230.
11. J. H. WILKINSON, "The evaluation of the zeros of ill-conditioned polynomials," Parts 1 and 2, *Numer. Math.*, v. 1, 1955, pp. 150–180.