# The Problem of Sierpinski Concerning $k \cdot 2^{\boldsymbol{n}}+1$ 

By Robert Baillie, G. Cormack and H. C. Williams


#### Abstract

Let $k_{0}$ be the least odd value of $k$ such that $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is composite for all $n>1$. In this note, we present the results of some extensive computations which restrict the value of $k_{0}$ to one of 119 numbers between 3061 and 78557 inclusive. Some new large primes are also given.


We assume throughout that $k$ is odd, positive and that $n \geqslant 1$. Sierpiński proved [5], [6] that there are infinitely many values of $k$ such that $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is composite for all $n$, by showing that, for

$$
k \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod \left(2^{32}-1\right) \cdot 641\right) \quad \text { and } k \equiv-1 \quad(\bmod 6700417)
$$

every integer in the sequence $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is divisible by at least one of the primes in the "covering set" $\{3,5,17,257,641,65537,6700417\}$. There are smaller values of $k$ than those in Sierpiński's arithmetic progression, which still have this covering set. The least of these is $k=201446503145165177$. For this $k$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{cccl}
k \cdot 2^{2 n}+1 \equiv 0 & (\bmod 3) & k \cdot 2^{16 n+7}+1 \equiv 0 & (\bmod 257) \\
k \cdot 2^{4 n+1}+1 \equiv 0 & (\bmod 5) & k \cdot 2^{32 n+31}+1 \equiv 0 & (\bmod 65537) \\
k \cdot 2^{8 n+3}+1 \equiv 0 & (\bmod 17) & k \cdot 2^{64 n+47}+1 \equiv 0 & (\bmod 641) \\
k \cdot 2^{64 n+15}+1 \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6700417) .
\end{array}
$$

Sierpiński also points out that one of the primes $3,5,7,13,17,241$ will divide $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ for certain other values of $k$. The least of these is 271129 . Finally, he mentions that the problem of determining the least value $k_{0}$ of $k$ such that $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is always composite is unsolved. This problem was posed again by Guy [3].

We have not considered the possibility that $k$ be even here because any power of 2 which divides $k$ can be absorbed into the $2^{n}$ part of the expression $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$. Hence, we would only need to consider $k=2^{r}$ and $k 2^{n}+1=2^{n+r}+1$. The only primes of this form are the Fermat primes $2^{2^{m}}+1$. If $r<16$, we know that there is a prime of the form $2^{n+r}+1$; however, if $r=16(k=65536)$, it is not known whether or not there is a prime of this form. Certainly, there is none with $n<10^{6}$. In this case there is no finite covering, but it may well be true that there are no primes.

In 1962, J. L. Selfridge (unpublished) discovered that one of the primes 3, 5, 7, 13, 19, 37, 73 always divides $78557 \cdot 2^{n}+1$. He also remarks [4] that there exists a prime of the form $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ for any $k<383$ and that $383 \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is composite for all $n<2313$. In 1976, N. S. Mendelsohn and B. Wolk (unpublished) found that

[^0]$383 \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is composite for all $n \leqslant 4017$. Thus, at that time it was known that $k_{0}$ exists and that $383<k_{0}<78557$.

If $K(x)$ is the number of odd $k \leqslant x$ such that $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is prime for some $n$, then Sierpiński's proof [5] implies that $K(x)<x / 2$ for all sufficiently large $x$. Erdös and Odlyzko [2] show that there is a positive constant $c$ such that $K(x)>c x$ for $x>1$.

In this note, we report on some extensive calculations which have further restricted the possible value of $k_{0}$. These calculations, which required several hundred hours of CPU time, were performed on the AMDAHL 470-V7 computer at the University of Manitoba and the CDC 6500 at the Computer-Based Education Research Laboratory at the University of Illinois.

For each odd value of $k(383<k<78557)$, we attempted to find a prime of the form $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$. When $k<10000$, we searched for such a prime with $n$ up to at least 8000 . For $k>10000$, we searched for such a prime with $n<2000$. For large values of $n$, we often used the methods of Cormack and Williams [1] to find these primes. We summarize our results for $k<10000$ in Table 1. The eight values of $k$ here are the only ones less than 10000 for which no prime of the form $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is known. Also, for these values of $k$, no prime of this form exists for $n<B$.

Table 1

| $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3061 | 16000 | 5897 | 8170 |
| 4847 | 8102 | 7013 | 8105 |
| 5297 | 8070 | 7651 | 8080 |
| 5359 | 8109 | 8423 | 8000 |

During these computations, we found some rather large primes. We give those with $n>3000$ in Table 2 . For each $k$, the value of $n$ given is the least $n$ such that $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is prime.

## Table 2

| $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{n}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 383 | 6393 | 7957 | 5064 |
| 2897 | 9715 | 8543 | 5793 |
| 6313 | 4606 | 9323 | 8313 |
| 7493 | 5249 |  |  |

In Table 3, we give all the 110 values of $k(10000<k<78557)$ such that $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is composite for all $n<2000$.

Table 3

| 10223, | 10583, | 10967, | 12527, | 13787, | 14027, | 16519, | 16817, | 16987, | 17597, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17701, | 18107, | 18203, | 19021, | 19249, | 20851, | 21167, | 21181, | 22699, | 23779, |
| 24151, | 24737, | 25171, | 25339, | 25819, | 25861, | 27653, | 27923, | 28433, | 30091, |
| 31951, | 32161, | 32393, | 33661, | 34565, | 34711, | 34999, | 35987, | 36781, | 36983, |
| 37561, | 38029, | 39079, | 39781, | 40547, | 42257, | 43429, | 44131, | 44903, | 45737, |
| 46157, | 46159, | 46187, | 46403, | 46471, | 47179, | 47897, | 47911, | 48833, | 49219, |
| 50693, | 51617, | 51917, | 52771, | 52909, | 53941, | 54001, | 54739, | 54767, | 55459, |
| 56543, | 57503, | 59569, | 60443, | 60541, | 60829, | 62093, | 62761, | 63017, | 63379, |
| 64007, | 64039, | 65057, | 65477, | 65567, | 65791, | 67193, | 67607, | 67759, | 67913, |
| 70261, | 71417, | 71671, | 71869, | 72197, | 73189, | 73253, | 74191, | 74221, | 74269, |
| 74959, | 75841, | 76261, | 76759, | 76969, | 77267, | 77341, | 77521, | 77899, | 78181 |

There are only 118 values of $k<78557$ that need to be tested further. There does not appear to be any reason to believe that any of these produce only composite values of $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$. Unlike the values of $k$ where $k \cdot 2^{n}+1$ is known to always be composite, these $k$ seem to have no small covering set. For these $k$, it may just be that the density of primes in the sequence $\left\{k \cdot 2^{n}+1\right\}$ is small so that they must be searched up to large values of $n$. It would be interesting to see how many of these $118 k$ values could be eliminated by a large, fast computer like the CRAY-1.
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