

Products and Sums of Powers of Binomial Coefficients mod p and Solutions of Certain Quaternary Diophantine Systems

By Richard H. Hudson*

Abstract. In this paper we prove that certain products and sums of powers of binomial coefficients modulo $p = qf + 1$, $q = a^2 + b^2$, are determined by the parameters x occurring in distinct solutions of the quaternary quadratic partition

$$16p^\alpha = x^2 + 2qu^2 + 2qv^2 + qw^2, \quad (x, u, v, w, p) = 1, \\
 xw = av^2 - 2bw - au^2, \quad x \equiv 4 \pmod{q}, \alpha \geq 1.$$

The number of distinct solutions of this partition depends heavily on the class number of the imaginary cyclic quartic field

$$K = Q\left(i\sqrt{2q + 2a\sqrt{q}}\right),$$

as well as on the number of roots of unity in K and on the way that p splits into prime ideals in the ring of integers of the field $Q(e^{2\pi i p/q})$.

Let the four cosets of the subgroup A of quartic residues be given by $c_j = 2^j A, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and let

$$s_j = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{t \in c_j} t, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Let s_m and s_n denote the smallest and next smallest of the s_j respectively. We give new, and unexpectedly simple determinations of $\prod_{k \in c_n} kf!$ and $\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf!$, in terms of the parameters x in the above partition of $16p^\alpha$, in the complicated case that arises when the class number of K is > 1 and $s_m \neq s_n$.

1. Introduction and Summary. Throughout, p will denote a prime $= qf + 1$ with $q = a^2 + b^2 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ prime, $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $b > 0$. Quaternary quadratic representations of p^α or $16p^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 1$, such as

$$(1.1) \quad 16p^\alpha = x^2 + 2qu^2 + 2qv^2 + qw^2, \quad (x, u, v, w, p) = 1, \\
 xw = av^2 - 2bw - au^2, \quad x \equiv 4 \pmod{q},$$

have been studied by, e.g., Dickson [2], Whiteman [15], Lehmer [9], Hasse [5], Giudici, Muskat, and Robinson [4], Muskat and Zee [12], and Hudson, Williams, and Buell [7]. Determination of the number of solutions (if any) of (1.1) for an arbitrary exponent α is a deep and complex problem as it depends on the class number of the imaginary cyclic quartic field

$$(1.2) \quad K = Q\left(i\sqrt{2q + 2a\sqrt{q}}\right),$$

Received December 23, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 10C05; Secondary 12C20, 12C25.

*Research supported by National Research Council Canada Grant #A-7233.

on the number of roots of unity in K , and on the way that p splits into prime ideals in the ring of integers of the cyclotomic field $Q(e^{2\pi i p/q})$.

For $q \neq 5$, the only roots of unity in K are ± 1 (see, e.g., [6, p. 4]). However, for $q = 5$, there are 10 roots of unity in K and (as a consequence discussed in Section 3 of [1]) the appropriate system to consider in this case is the system given first by Dickson [2], namely,

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 16p^\alpha &= x^2 + 50u^2 + 50v^2 + 125w^2, & (x, u, v, w, p) &= 1, \\ xw &= v^2 - 2uv - u^2, & x &\equiv 1 \pmod{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Determination of binomial coefficients of the type $\binom{rf}{sf}$ modulo $p = qf + 1$, $1 \leq r < s \leq q - 1$, in terms of parameters in quadratic forms has been a topic of interest since the late 1820's when Gauss [3] determined $\binom{2f}{f}$ modulo $p = 4f + 1$ in terms of the parameter a in the quadratic form $p = a^2 + b^2$. For a survey of known results see [8].

In [10] Emma Lehmer showed that for $p = 5f + 1$ and (x, u, v, w) any of the four solutions of (1.3) with $\alpha = 1$ one has

$$(1.4) \quad \binom{2f}{f} \equiv -\frac{x}{2} + \frac{(x^2 - 125w^2)w}{8(xw + 50uw)} \pmod{p = 5f + 1},$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \binom{3f}{f} \equiv -\frac{x}{2} - \frac{(x^2 - 125w^2)w}{8(xw + 50uw)} \pmod{p = 5f + 1}.$$

For $p = 13f + 1$ and (x, u, v, w) any of the four solutions of (1.1) when $\alpha = 1$, Hudson and Williams [8, Theorem 16.1] proved that

$$(1.6) \quad \binom{4f}{f} \equiv -\frac{x}{2} + \frac{3(x^2 - 13w^2)w}{8(xw + 13uw)} \pmod{p = 13f + 1},$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \binom{7f}{2f} \equiv -\frac{x}{2} - \frac{3(x^2 - 13w^2)w}{8(xw + 13uw)} \pmod{p = 13f + 1}.$$

Results analogous to (1.4)–(1.7) have recently been obtained for all $q > 13$; see [7, Section 6]. The starting point for these results was Matthews' [11] explicit evaluation of the quartic Gauss sum and a congruence for factorials modulo p derived from the Davenport-Hasse relation in a form given by Yamamoto [16]. Using these tools and Stickelberger's theorem [14], Hudson and Williams explicitly determined $\prod k f!$ modulo $p = qf + 1$ for all $q > 5$, where k runs over any of the four cosets which may be formed with respect to the subgroup of quartic residues modulo q , in terms of parameters in systems of the type (1.1).

We begin this paper by proving that certain products and sums of powers of products of factorials modulo $p = qf + 1$ determine (and conversely are determined by) the parameters x occurring in distinct solutions of (1.1) when $\alpha > 1$. For example we show that

$$(1.8) \quad \binom{4f}{f}^3 + \binom{7f}{2f}^3 \equiv x_{3,1} \pmod{p = 13f + 1},$$

$$(1.9) \quad \binom{4f}{f} \binom{7f}{2f}^2 \equiv x_{3,2} \pmod{p = 13f + 1},$$

$$(1.10) \quad \binom{4f}{f}^2 \binom{7f}{2f} \equiv x_{3,3} \pmod{p = 13f + 1},$$

where the $x_{k,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, denote from this point on the solution(s) of (1.1) when $\alpha > 1$. (The subscripts will be dropped when there is no ambiguity (as when, e.g., $\alpha = 1$.)

Let the four cosets of the subgroup A of quartic residues be given by $c_j = 2^j A$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and let

$$(1.11) \quad s_j = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{t \in c_j} t, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Define h to be the odd positive integer given by

$$(1.12) \quad h = \max(|s_0 - s_2|, |s_1 - s_3|).$$

When (1.1) is solvable for $\alpha = 1$, exactly four of the solutions $(x_{3,i}, u_{3,i}, v_{3,i}, w_{3,i})$ for each α satisfy $x_{3,i}^2 - qw_{3,i}^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and it is convenient to let this value of i be 1. Using Stickelberger's theorem [14], Hudson and Williams [7] have shown that (1.1) is always solvable for $\alpha = h$. If α_0 denotes the exponent such that (1.1) is solvable for α_0 but not for $\alpha < \alpha_0$, we would expect to find $4\alpha/\alpha_0$ solutions to (1.1) for each α a multiple of α_0 and no solutions for α not a multiple of α_0 . This appears to be the case whenever $|s_0 - s_2| = |s_1 - s_3|$ and so, certainly, for all $q < 101$ (as then the class number of K is 1—see [6], [13]). Moreover, this is the case for all numerical examples which may be computed by direct search techniques. A major point in this paper appears in Section 4 where we show that the unexpected does occur (and frequently). Indeed, whenever $|s_0 - s_2| \neq |s_1 - s_3|$ (which will always be the case when the class number is not a perfect square) and $\alpha_0 = h$, we show that there are only $4\alpha_0$ solutions to (1.1) when $\alpha = 2\alpha_0$. More significantly and surprisingly, the "missing" $4\alpha_0$ solutions (these fail to be genuine solutions as they do not satisfy $(x_{2,2}, u_{2,2}, v_{2,2}, w_{2,2}, p) = 1$) turn out, upon division by a certain power of p to be solutions of (1.1) for α not a multiple of α_0 .

Henceforth, s_m denotes the smallest and s_n the next smallest of the s_j . In the closing section of this paper, Section 5, we give new, simple, and unexpected determinations of $\prod_{k \in c_n} kf!$ and $\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf!$ modulo p in the most complicated case treated in [7], namely, the case that $s_m \neq s_n$.

2. Explicit Binomial Coefficient Theorems When $\alpha = 2h$ and $s_m = s_n$. Let P_r be a prime ideal divisor of p in the ring of integers of $Q(e^{2\pi i p/q})$. It follows from (5.33) and (5.59) of [7] that

$$(2.1) \quad \prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf! \equiv (1)^{s_{m+2}} \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{w}{2} \sqrt{q} \right) \pmod{P_r}, \quad r \in c_{2-(m+2)},$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \equiv (-1)^{s_{n+2}} \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{w}{2} \sqrt{q} \right) \pmod{P_r}, \quad r \in c_{2-(n+2)}.$$

However, we have assumed $s_m = s_n$ in this section so we have that (having interpreted \sqrt{q} as a rational expression (mod p) and finding that \sqrt{q} differs by a sign in (2.1), (2.2)—see (5.3), (5.4) of [7]),

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf! \right)^2 + \left(\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \right)^2 \equiv \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{qw^2}{2} \pmod{p}.$$

Using Theorem 4.1 of [1] we now prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *There exist four solutions of (1.1) with*

$$\alpha = h = \max(|s_0 - s_2|, |s_1 - s_3|),$$

namely $(x_{h,1}, u_{h,1}, v_{h,1}, w_{h,1}), (x_{h,1}, -u_{h,1}, -v_{h,1}, w_{h,1}), (x_{h,1}, v_{h,1}, -u_{h,1}, -w_{h,1}), (x_{h,1}, -v_{h,1}, u_{h,1}, -w_{h,1})$ such that $p \nmid (x_{h,1} - qw_{h,1}^2), p \nmid (bx_{h,1}w_{h,1} + qu_{h,1}v_{h,1})$ provided $s_m = s_n$. Let $\alpha = 2h$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \left(\prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf! \right)^2 + \left(\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \right)^2 \equiv x_{2h,1} \pmod{p}$$

for four solutions of (1.1) which satisfy $p \nmid (x_{2h,1}^2 - qw_{h,1}^2)$ and

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf! \right) \left(\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \right) \equiv x_{2h,2} \pmod{p}$$

for four solutions of (1.1) which satisfy $p^{2(s_n - s_m)} \parallel (x_{2h,2}^2 - qw_{h,2}^2)$.

Proof. For brevity let $(x_{h,1}, u_{h,1}, v_{h,1}, w_{h,1}) = (x, u, v, w)$. Then by Theorem 4.1 of [1] we have

$$(2.6) \quad x_{2h,1} = \frac{1}{4}(x^2 - 2qu^2 - 2qv^2 - qw^2).$$

Clearly,

$$x^2 + qw^2 \equiv -2qu^2 - 2qv^2 \pmod{p}$$

so that

$$(2.7) \quad x_{2h,1} \equiv \frac{x^2 + qw^2}{2} \pmod{p}$$

and (2.4) follows immediately from (2.3). Applying the transformation $u \rightarrow v, v \rightarrow -u, w \rightarrow -w$, and then using (2.6) we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad x_{2h,2} = \frac{x^2 - 2quv + 2quw - qw^2}{4} = \frac{x^2 - qw^2}{4}.$$

Now (2.5) follows at once as

$$\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{w}{2}\sqrt{q} \right) \left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{w}{2}\sqrt{q} \right) = \frac{x^2 - qw^2}{4}.$$

After easy simplifications we have

$$(2.9) \quad w_{2h,1} = xw \quad \text{and} \quad w_{2h,2} = -\frac{1}{2}(bv^2 + 2auw - bu^2).$$

Appealing to (1.1) with $\alpha = h$ (see (5.42) of [7]) we note that

$$(x^2 - qw^2)^2 = 256p^{2h} - 64qp^h(u^2 + v^2) + 4q(bv^2 + 2auw - bu^2)^2$$

and it follows that (see (5.40) of [7])

$$(2.10) \quad p^{2(s_n - s_m)} \parallel (x_{2h,2}^2 - qw_{h,2}^2).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\left(\frac{x^2 + qw^2}{2}\right)^2 - q(xw)^2 = \frac{(x^2 - qw^2)^2}{4}$$

from which it follows that

$$p \nmid (x_{2h,1}^2 - qw_{2h,1}^2)$$

as $p^{s_n - s_m} \parallel bv^2 + 2aw - bu^2$ and by assumption $s_n = s_m$. Note that in [7] the signs of a and b are fixed to allow for a positive or negative choice of sign for b in contrast to [1]. The different notations will in some cases imply a switching of roles of u and v in applying formulae from [7] but will not otherwise present a problem here.

Example 1. Let $q = 13$ so that $s_m = s_n = 1$. Then

$$\prod_{k \in c_2} kf! \equiv 4f!10f!12f! \equiv \binom{4f}{f} \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\prod_{k \in c_3} kf! \equiv 7f!8f!11f! \equiv \binom{7f}{2f} \pmod{p}.$$

Let $p = 53 = 4q + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{16}{4}^2 + \binom{28}{8}^2 &\equiv 18^2 + 26^2 \equiv 6 + 40 \equiv 46 \pmod{53}, \\ \binom{16}{4} \binom{28}{8} &\equiv 9 \pmod{53}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked from (2.6) and (2.8) that $x_{2h,1} = -113 \equiv 46 \pmod{53}$ and $x_{2h,2} = 9 \equiv 9 \pmod{53}$.

Example 2. Let $q = 149$ so that the class number of K is 9 and $s_m = s_n = 17$ (see [6], [7]). A solution of (1.1) with $\alpha = h = 3$ is $(-2380, 2744, 8824, -3392)$. Direct computation yields for $p = 1193 = 1499 \cdot 8 + 1$,

$$(2.11) \quad \prod_{k \in c_2} kf! \equiv 509(1193), \quad \prod_{k \in c_3} kf! \equiv 690 \pmod{1193}.$$

From (2.6) and (2.8) we have

$$x_{6,1} = -5931740060 \equiv 293 \pmod{1193}, \quad x_{6,2} = -427169884 \equiv 486 \pmod{1193}$$

and it is easily checked that

$$(509)^2 + (690)^2 \equiv 293 \pmod{1193}, \quad (509)(690) \equiv 486 \pmod{1193}.$$

Finally,

$$p^{2(13-12)} = 1193^2 = 1423249 \mid (427169884^2 - 149 \cdot 521158592^2).$$

3. Explicit Binomial Coefficient Theorems When $\alpha = 3$ and $s_m = s_n$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $s_m = s_n$ and let $\alpha = 3h$ in (1.1). Then four solutions of (1.1) satisfy*

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf!\right)^3 + \left(\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf!\right)^3 \equiv x_{3h,1} \pmod{p},$$

four more satisfy

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf!\right) \left(\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf!\right)^2 \equiv x_{3h,2} \pmod{p},$$

and the remaining four solutions all have

$$(3.3) \quad \left(\prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf! \right)^2 \left(\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \right) \equiv x_{3h,3} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. We first establish (3.1). By the binomial theorem we have

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{w}{2}\sqrt{q} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{x}{2} - \frac{w}{2}\sqrt{q} \right)^3 = \frac{x^3}{4} + \frac{3qxw^2}{4}.$$

Next for (x, u, v, w) a solution of (1.1) when $\alpha = h$ we have from [1] that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{3h,1} &= \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{x}{4} (x^2 - 2qu^2 - 2qv^2 + qw^2) - \frac{2qu}{4} (2xu + 2bv w + 2auw) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2qv}{4} (2xv + 2buw - 2avw) + qw(xw) \right] \\ &= \frac{x^3}{16} - \frac{qxu^2}{8} - \frac{q xv^2}{16} + \frac{qxw^2}{16} - \frac{qxu^2}{4} - \frac{qbuw}{4} - \frac{qau^2w}{4} \\ &\quad - \frac{q xv^2}{4} - \frac{qbuw}{4} + \frac{qav^2w}{4} + \frac{qxw^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

as $w_{2,1} = \frac{1}{4}(2xw - 2au^2 + 2av^2 - 4buw) = xw$ by (1.1).

However, we clearly have

$$-\frac{3qxu^2}{8} - \frac{3q xv^2}{8} = \frac{3x^2}{16} + \frac{3qxw^2}{16} - 16p^h$$

and

$$\frac{qav^2w}{4} - \frac{qbuw}{2} - \frac{qau^2w}{4} = \frac{qxw^2}{4}.$$

Thus, the above equation simplifies to

$$x_{3h,1} = \frac{x^3}{16} + \frac{5qxw^2}{16} + \frac{qxw^2}{4} + \frac{3x^3}{16} + \frac{3qxw^2}{16} - 16p^h,$$

that is,

$$(3.5) \quad x_{3h,1} = \frac{x^3}{4} + \frac{3qxw^2}{4} - 16p^h.$$

The result (3.1) is now immediate from (2.1), (2.2), (3.4) (as again we note that \sqrt{q} differs by a sign in (2.1) and (2.2) when interpreted as a rational expression mod p).

Next applying the same formulae, but after first performing the transformation $u \rightarrow v, v \rightarrow -u, w \rightarrow -w$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_{3h,2} &= \frac{x(x^2 - qw^2)}{16} - \frac{qxu^2}{8} + \frac{qbuw}{8} + \frac{qbu^2w}{8} + \frac{qav^2w}{8} - \frac{qauw}{8} \\ &\quad - \frac{qxw}{8} + \frac{qxw}{8} - \frac{qbv^2w}{8} + \frac{qbuw}{8} - \frac{qauw}{8} - \frac{qav^2w}{8} - \frac{qxv^2}{8} \\ &\quad + \frac{qbu^2w}{8} - \frac{qauw}{4} - \frac{qbv^2w}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

But by (1.1) we have

$$(3.6) \quad -\frac{qxw^2}{8} = -\frac{qav^2w}{8} + \frac{2qbuw}{8} + \frac{qau^2w}{8}.$$

Moreover, by (5.53) of [7] we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{qbu^2w}{4} - \frac{qauvw}{2} - \frac{qbv^2w}{4} \equiv \pm \frac{x^2w\sqrt{q}}{8} \pm \frac{qw^3\sqrt{q}}{8} \pmod{p}$$

with the sign ambiguity resulting from the two possible sign choices for \sqrt{q} . Corresponding to the plus and minus choices of sign we have from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$(3.8) \quad x_{3h,2} \equiv \frac{x^3}{8} - \frac{qwx^2}{8} - \frac{x^2w\sqrt{q}}{8} - \frac{qw^3\sqrt{q}}{8} \pmod{p}$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad x_{3h,3} \equiv \frac{x^3}{8} - \frac{qwx^2}{8} + \frac{x^2w\sqrt{q}}{8} - \frac{qw^3\sqrt{q}}{8} \pmod{p}.$$

(Verification of (3.9) using Theorem 4.1 is straightforward and left to the reader.)

The rest of the theorem now follows at once from (2.1), (2.2), upon noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{x}{2} \mp \frac{w}{2}\sqrt{q}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2} \mp \frac{w}{2}\sqrt{q}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2} \pm \frac{w}{2}\sqrt{q}\right) \\ &= \frac{x^3}{8} \mp \frac{x^2w\sqrt{q}}{8} - \frac{qwx^2}{8} \pm \frac{qw^2\sqrt{q}}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY.

$$(3.10) \quad x_{3h,2} - x_{3h,3} = \frac{1}{2}qw(bu^2 - 2auw - bv^2).$$

Proof. The expressions for $x_{3h,2}$ and $x_{3h,3}$ differ precisely by a change of sign in the expression on the left-hand side of (3.7).

Example 3. Let $q = 149$ so that $a = 7, b = 10, s_m = s_n = 17$, and a solution of (1.1) with $\alpha = h = 3$ is $(-2380, 2744, 8824, -3392)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_{9,1} &\equiv \frac{(-2380)^3}{4} + \frac{3(149)(-2380)(3392)^2}{4} \\ &\equiv (509)^3 + (690)^3 \equiv 143 \pmod{1193}, \end{aligned}$$

in agreement with Theorem 3.1 in view of (2.11). Moreover, appealing to (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{9,2} &\equiv \frac{(-2380)^3}{8} - \frac{149(-2380)(3392)^2}{8} + \frac{149(10)(2744)^2(-3392)}{4} \\ &\quad - \frac{(149)(7)(2744)(8824)(-3392)}{2} - \frac{149(10)(8824)^2(-3392)}{4} \\ &= 27 + 184 - 228 - 671 + 151 = 805 \equiv (509)(509)(690) \pmod{1193}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{9,3} &\equiv 805 - \frac{1}{2}(149)(-3392)(10)(2744)^2 - (2)(7)(2744)(8824) - 10(8824)^2 \\ &\equiv 805 + 981(358 - 185 - 415) \equiv 810 \equiv (690)(690)(509) \pmod{1193}. \end{aligned}$$

4. The Number of Solutions of (1.1) When $\alpha = 2h$ and $s_m \neq s_n$. It is exceedingly difficult to obtain numerical data giving solutions of (1.1) with $\alpha = 2h, s_m \neq s_n$. The smallest value of q with $s_m \neq s_n$ is $q = 101$ and the smallest prime $p = 101f + 1$ is

607. A direct search for solutions of

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 16(607)^\alpha &= x^2 + 202u^2 + 202v^2 + 101w^2, \\ xw &= v^2 - 20uv - u^2, \quad x \equiv 4 \pmod{101}, (x, u, v, w, p) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

is already very time consuming for $\alpha = h = 3$ and appears to be hopeless for $\alpha > 3$. Making use of theorems in [1] and [7], Buell and Hudson showed that

$$(8185, -966, 1971, 5013)$$

is a solution of (4.1) when $\alpha = 3$ (there are no solutions when $\alpha = 1$ or 2). Applying Theorem 4.1 of [1] one finds the solution

$$(4.2) \quad (407976475, 43028481, -21086784, 41031405)$$

for $\alpha = 6$ and we note that

$$(4.3) \quad \left(\prod_{k \in c_n} kf! \right)^2 \equiv (294)^2 \equiv 242 \equiv 407976475 \pmod{607}.$$

However, when one applies Theorem 4.1 of [1] after applying the transformation $u \rightarrow v, v \rightarrow -u, w \rightarrow -w$ (or any of the other possible transformations) one does *not* obtain a solution to (1.1). Indeed in general, it follows from (2.8), (2.9) and (5.39), (5.40) of [7] that $p^{s_n - s_m} \parallel x_{2h,2}$ and $p^{s_n - s_m} \parallel w_{2h,2}$. But

$$p^{2(s_n - s_m)} \parallel (x_{2h,2}^2 + qw_{2h,2}^2) \Rightarrow p^{2(s_n - s_m)} \parallel (u_{2h,2}^2 + v_{2h,2}^2)$$

and

$$p^{s_n - s_m} \mid (bx_{2h,2}w_{2h,2} + 2qu_{2h,2}v_{2h,2})$$

by (5.40) of [7]. Together these clearly imply that

$$p^{s_n - s_m} \mid (x_{2h,2}, u_{2h,2}, v_{2h,2}, w_{2h,2})$$

so that $(x_{2h,2}, u_{2h,2}, v_{2h,2}, w_{2h,2}, p) \neq 1$ if $s_n > s_m$ (that is the four-tuple obtained is not a solution of (1.1) when $\alpha = 6$ in view of the restriction in (1.1) that a solution be relatively prime to p). Nonetheless, it is clear that the difficulty arises precisely because the parameters in the four-tuple have precisely $s_n - s_m$ too many p 's as factors. From

$$p^{2(s_n - s_m)} \parallel (x_{2h,2}^2 + 2qu_{2h,2}^2 + 2qv_{2h,2}^2 + qw_{2h,2}^2)$$

we see at once that

$$\frac{1}{p^{s_n - s_m}} (x_{2h,2}, u_{2h,2}, v_{2h,2}, w_{2h,2})$$

is a solution of (1.1) for $\alpha = 2h - 2(s_n - s_m)$. By (2.4) of [7] we have $2(s_n - s_m) < h$. Thus we have established that for $s_n \neq s_m$, the system (1.1) is not only solvable for $\alpha = h$ [7, Section 4], but also for a value of α that is not a multiple of h , namely $\alpha = 2h - 2(s_n - s_m)$.

Example 4. For $q = 101, p = 607$, we have $s_m = 11, s_n = 12$ and in contrast to the case $s_m = s_n$ there appears to be only one solution to (1.1) when $\alpha = 6$, namely the solution given by (4.2). However, the four-tuple

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_{2h,2}, u_{2h,2}, v_{2h,2}, w_{2h,2}) \\ &= (-617788211, 6857886, -44077305, -12854439) \end{aligned}$$

satisfies all the conditions of (1.1) except that each parameter is divisible by $p^{s_n - s_m} = p = 607$. Consequently, the four-tuple

$$(1017773, -11298, 72615, 21177)$$

is a solution of (1.1) when $\alpha = 2h - 2(s_n - s_m) = 6 - 2 = 4$.

5. A New Determination of Certain Products of Factorials mod $p = qf + 1$. Extending work of Cauchy and Jacobi (who treated the quadratic case), Hudson and Williams determined in [7] the four products of factorials modulo $p = qf + 1$, $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8} > 5$ (a fixed $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $b \equiv -(q - 1)/2!a \pmod{q}$), given by $\prod_k kf!$ where k runs through the four cosets which may be formed with respect to the subgroup of quartic residues modulo q . In particular, they showed that for $s_m \neq s_n$ (Case B in [7]) there are four solutions of (1.1) when $\alpha = h$ such that (with signs of a, b fixed as above, and $x \equiv -4 \pmod{q}$) one has

$$(5.1) \quad \prod_{k \in c_m} kf! \equiv \frac{(-1)^{s_m+1}}{x} \pmod{p},$$

$$(5.2) \quad \prod_{k \in c_n} kf! \equiv \frac{4(-1)^{s_n+1}}{\left(2x + \frac{(-1)^{(b-2(m-n))/4}abw(x^2 - qw^2)}{b^2xw + 2|b|quv}\right)} \pmod{p},$$

$$(5.3) \quad \prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf! \equiv (-1)^{s_m} x \pmod{p},$$

$$(5.4) \quad \prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \equiv \frac{(-1)^{s_n}}{4p^{s_n - s_m}} \left(2x + \frac{(-1)^{(b-2(m-n))/4}abw(x^2 - qw^2)}{b^2xw + 2|b|quv}\right) \pmod{p}.$$

Obviously, the congruences (5.2) and (5.4) are rather unwieldy. As an easy consequence of the arguments in Section 2 and Section 4 of this paper we have

$$\left(\prod_{k \in c_n} kf!\right) \left(\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf!\right) p^{s_n - s_m} \equiv x_{2h,2} \pmod{p}$$

for four solutions of (1.1) with $\alpha = 2h$ and this yields alternative determinations which are much neater as exhibited in the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.1. *There are four solutions of (1.1) when $\alpha = h$, any one of which we denote by (x, u, v, w) , and four solutions with $\alpha = 2h - 2(s_n - s_m)$ which we denote by (x', u', v', w') such that for any of these 8 solutions we have*

$$(5.5) \quad \prod_{k \in c_m} kf! \equiv \frac{(-1)^{s_m}}{x} \pmod{p},$$

$$(5.6) \quad \prod_{k \in c_n} kf! \equiv \frac{(-1)^{s_m} x}{x'} \pmod{p},$$

$$(5.7) \quad \prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf! \equiv (-1)^{s_m+1} x \pmod{p},$$

$$(5.8) \quad \prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \equiv \frac{(-1)^{s_m+1} x'}{x} \pmod{p}.$$

Example 5. Let $q = 101$, $p = 607$ so that

$$(x, u, v, w) = (8185, -966, 1971, 5013) \equiv (294, 248, 150, 157) \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (x', u', v', w') &= (-1017773, 11298, 72615, 21177) \\ &\equiv (166, 372, 382, 539) \pmod{607}. \end{aligned}$$

From Example 7.1 of [7] we have

$$(-1)^{s_m+1} \prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf! \equiv 294 \pmod{607}$$

and

$$(-1)^{s_m+1} \prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \equiv 302 \pmod{607}.$$

These congruences are clearly in agreement with (5.6) and (5.8) as $(-1)^{11+1}166/294 \equiv 302 \pmod{607}$ and (5.6) follows as a consequence of (5.59) of [7].

Example 6. Let $q = 157$, $p = 1571$. Among the 12 solutions of (1.1) with $\alpha = h = 3$ we have

$$(23868, 3254, 8570, 14948) \equiv (303, 112, 715, 809) \pmod{1571}.$$

Now $((23868)^2 - 157(14948)^2)/4p^{s_n-s_m} \equiv 360 \pmod{1571}$ as $s_0 = 19$, $s_1 = 18$, $s_2 = 20$, $s_3 = 21$ (see [7, Example 2]). Moreover,

$$\prod_{k \in c_{m+2}} kf! \equiv -303 \pmod{1571} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \equiv 1090 \pmod{1571}.$$

By Theorem 5.1 we should have

$$\prod_{k \in c_{n+2}} kf! \equiv \frac{(-1)^{19}360}{-303} \equiv 1090 \pmod{1571},$$

and this is easily verified.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina 29208

1. DUNCAN A. BUELL & RICHARD H. HUDSON, "Solutions of certain quaternary quadratic systems," *Pacific J. Math.*, v. 114, 1984, pp. 23–45.

2. L. E. DICKSON, "Cyclotomy and trinomial congruences," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 37, 1935, pp. 363–380.

3. C. F. GAUSS, "Theoria residuorum biquadraticorum, Comment. I," *Comment. soc. reg. sci. Göttingensis rec.*, v. 6, 1828, p. 27. (Werke vol. 2, p.90.)

4. REINALDO E. GIUDICI, JOSEPH B. MUSKAT & STANLEY F. ROBINSON, "On the evaluation of Brewer's character sums," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 171, 1972, pp. 317–347.

5. HELMUT HASSE, "Der 2ⁿ-te Potenzcharakter von 2 im Körper der 2ⁿ-ten Einheitswurzeln," *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2), v. 7, 1958, pp. 185–244.

6. RICHARD H. HUDSON & KENNETH S. WILLIAMS, *A Class Number Formula for Certain Quartic Fields*, Carleton Mathematical Series No. 174, Carleton University, Ottawa, 1981.

7. RICHARD H. HUDSON, KENNETH S. WILLIAMS & DUNCAN A. BUELL, "Extension of a theorem of Cauchy and Jacobi," *J. Number Theory* (To appear.)

8. RICHARD H. HUDSON & KENNETH S. WILLIAMS, "Binomial coefficients and Jacobi sums," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 281, 1984, pp. 431–505.

9. EMMA LEHMER, "The quintic character of 2 and 3," *Duke Math. J.*, v. 18, 1951, pp. 11–18.

10. EMMA LEHMER, "On Euler's criterion," *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, v. 1, 1959, pp. 64–70.

11. C. R. MATTHEWS, "Gauss sums and elliptic functions II. The quartic sums," *Invent. Math.*, v. 54, 1979, pp. 23–52.
12. JOSEPH B. MUSKAT & YUN-CHENG ZEE, "On the uniqueness of solutions of certain Diophantine equations," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 49, 1975, pp. 13–19.
13. BENNETT SETZER, "The determination of all imaginary, quartic, Abelian number fields with class number 1," *Math. Comp.*, v. 35, 1980, pp. 1383–1386.
14. LOTHAR STICKELBERGER, "Ueber eine Verallgemeinerung der Kreisteilung," *Math. Ann.*, v. 37, 1890, pp. 321–367.
15. ALBERT LEON WHITEMAN, "Theorems analogous to Jacobsthal's theorem," *Duke Math. J.*, v. 16, 1949, pp. 619–626.
16. KOICHI YAMAMOTO, "On a conjecture of Hasse concerning multiplicative relation of Gaussian sums," *J. Combin. Theory*, v. 1, 1966, pp. 476–489.