Modular Multiplication Without Trial Division

By Peter L. Montgomery

Abstract. Let N > 1. We present a method for multiplying two integers (called *N-residues*) modulo N while avoiding division by N. N-residues are represented in a nonstandard way, so this method is useful only if several computations are done modulo one N. The addition and subtraction algorithms are unchanged.

1. Description. Some algorithms [1], [2], [4], [5] require extensive modular arithmetic. We propose a representation of residue classes so as to speed modular multiplication without affecting the modular addition and subtraction algorithms.

Other recent algorithms for modular arithmetic appear in [3], [6].

Fix N > 1. Define an *N*-residue to be a residue class modulo N. Select a radix R coprime to N (possibly the machine word size or a power thereof) such that R > N and such that computations modulo R are inexpensive to process. Let R^{-1} and N' be integers satisfying $0 < R^{-1} < N$ and 0 < N' < R and $RR^{-1} - NN' = 1$.

For $0 \le i < N$, let *i* represent the residue class containing $iR^{-1} \mod N$. This is a complete residue system. The rationale behind this selection is our ability to quickly compute $TR^{-1} \mod N$ from *T* if $0 \le T < RN$, as shown in Algorithm REDC:

function REDC(T)

```
m \leftarrow (T \mod R)N' \mod R [\text{so } 0 \le m < R]

t \leftarrow (T + mN)/R

if t \ge N then return t - N else return t = 0
```

To validate REDC, observe $mN \equiv TN'N \equiv -T \mod R$, so t is an integer. Also, $tR \equiv T \mod N$ so $t \equiv TR^{-1} \mod N$. Thirdly, $0 \leqslant T + mN < RN + RN$, so $0 \leqslant t < 2N$.

If R and N are large, then T + mN may exceed the largest double-precision value. One can circumvent this by adjusting m so $-R < m \le 0$.

Given two numbers x and y between 0 and N-1 inclusive, let z = REDC(xy). Then $z \equiv (xy)R^{-1} \mod N$, so $(xR^{-1})(yR^{-1}) \equiv zR^{-1} \mod N$. Also, $0 \le z < N$, so z is the product of x and y in this representation.

Other algorithms for operating on N-residues in this representation can be derived from the algorithms normally used. The addition algorithm is unchanged, since $xR^{-1} + yR^{-1} \equiv zR^{-1} \mod N$ if and only if $x + y \equiv z \mod N$. Also unchanged are

Received December 19, 1983.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 10A30; Secondary 68C05.

Key words and phrases. Modular arithmetic, multiplication.

the algorithms for subtraction, negation, equality/inequality test, multiplication by an integer, and greatest common divisor with N.

To convert an integer x to an N-residue, compute $xR \mod N$. Equivalently, compute REDC(($x \mod N$)($R^2 \mod N$)). Constants and inputs should be converted once, at the start of an algorithm. To convert an N-residue to an integer, pad it with leading zeros and apply Algorithm REDC (thereby multiplying it by $R^{-1} \mod N$).

To invert an N-residue, observe $(xR^{-1})^{-1} \equiv zR^{-1} \mod N$ if and only if $z \equiv R^2x^{-1} \mod N$. For modular division, observe $(xR^{-1})(yR^{-1})^{-1} \equiv zR^{-1} \mod N$ if and only if $z \equiv x(\text{REDC}(y))^{-1} \mod N$.

The Jacobi symbol algorithm needs an extra negation if (R/N) = -1, since $(xR^{-1}/N) = (x/N)(R/N)$.

Let M|N. A change of modulus from N (using R = R(N)) to M (using R = R(M)) proceeds normally if R(M) = R(N). If $R(M) \neq R(N)$, multiply each N-residue by $(R(N)/R(M))^{-1}$ mod M during the conversion.

2. Multiprecision Case. If N and R are multiprecision, then the computations of m and mN within REDC involve multiprecision arithmetic. Let b be the base used for multiprecision arithmetic, and assume $R = b^n$, where n > 0. Let $T = (T_{2n-1}T_{2n-2} \cdots T_0)_b$ satisfy $0 \le T < RN$. We can compute $TR^{-1} \mod N$ with n single-precision multiplications modulo R, n multiplications of single-precision integers by N, and some additions:

```
\begin{array}{l} c \leftarrow 0 \\ \textbf{for } i \coloneqq 0 \ \textbf{step 1 to } n-1 \ \textbf{do} \\ (dT_{i+n-1} \cdots T_i)_b \leftarrow (0T_{i+n-1} \cdots T_i)_b + N*(T_iN' \ \text{mod } R) \\ (cT_{i+n})_b \leftarrow c + d + T_{i+n} \\ [T \ \text{is a multiple of } b^{i+1}] \\ [T + cb^{i+n+1} \ \text{is congruent mod } N \ \text{to the original } T] \\ [0 \leqslant T < (R+b^i)N] \\ \textbf{end for} \\ \textbf{if } (cT_{2n-1} \cdots T_n)_b \geqslant N \ \textbf{then} \\ \textbf{return } (cT_{2n-1} \cdots T_n)_b - N \\ \textbf{else} \\ \textbf{return } (T_{2n-1} \cdots T_n)_b \\ \textbf{end if} \end{array}
```

Here variable c represents a delayed carry—it will always be 0 or 1.

3. Hardware Implementation. This algorithm is suitable for hardware or software. A hardware implementation can use a variation of these ideas to overlap the multiplication and reduction phases. Suppose $R = 2^n$ and N is odd. Let $x = (x_{n-1}x_{n-2} \cdots x_0)_2$, where each x_i is 0 or 1. Let $0 \le y < N$. To compute $xyR^{-1} \mod N$, set $S_0 = 0$ and S_{i+1} to $(S_i + x_iy)/2$ or $(S_i + x_iy + N)/2$, whichever is an integer, for i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n - 1. By induction, $2^iS_i \equiv (x_{i-1} \cdots x_0)y \mod N$ and $0 \le S_i < N + y < 2N$. Therefore $xyR^{-1} \mod N$ is either S_n or $S_n - N$.

- 1. J. M. POLLARD, "Theorems on factorization and primality testing," *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, v. 76, 1974, pp. 521-528.
 - 2. J. M. Pollard, "A Monte Carlo method for factorization," BIT, v. 15, 1975, p. 331-334.
- 3. George B. Purdy, "A carry-free algorithm for finding the greatest common divisor of two integers," *Comput. Math. Appl.* v. 9, 1983, pp. 311–316.
- 4. R. L. RIVEST, A. SHAMIR & L. ADLEMAN, "A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems," *Comm. ACM*, v. 21, 1978, pp. 120–126; reprinted in *Comm. ACM*, v. 26, 1983, pp. 96–99.
- 5. J. T. SCHWARTZ, "Fast probabilistic algorithms for verification of polynomial identities," *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, v. 27, 1980, pp. 701–717.
- 6. Gustavus J. Simmons, "A redundant number system that speeds up modular arithmetic," Abstract 801-10-427, Abstracts Amer. Math. Soc., v. 4, 1983, p. 27.