

Effective Irrationality Measures for Certain Algebraic Numbers

By David Easton

Abstract. A result of Chudnovsky concerning rational approximation to certain algebraic numbers is reworked to provide a quantitative result in which all constants are explicitly given. More particularly, Padé approximants to the function $(1-x)^{1/3}$ are employed to show, for certain integers a and b , that

$$|(a/b)^{1/3} - p/q| > cq^{-\kappa} \quad \text{when } q > 0.$$

Here, c and κ are given as functions of a and b only.

In 1964 Baker [1], improving a technique used by Siegel [8], was able to obtain effective irrationality measures for the function $(1-x)^{m/n}$ evaluated at certain rational points. In particular, he was able to show that for integers p, q we have

$$(1) \quad |2^{1/3} - p/q| > 10^{-6}q^{-2.955} \quad \text{when } q > 0.$$

The technique was further refined by Chudnovsky [2] whose results, when applied to $2^{1/3}$, imply that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer $q_0(\epsilon)$ such that for integers p, q we have

$$(2) \quad |2^{1/3} - p/q| > q^{-(2.429+\epsilon)} \quad \text{when } q > q_0(\epsilon).$$

Chudnovsky's result is effective in the sense that it is possible in principle to work through the proof and compute, for any particular value of ϵ , a $q_0(\epsilon)$ for which (2) holds. However, Chudnovsky does not undertake such computations.

In this article we rework Baker's proof using Chudnovsky's refinement, together with a Chebyshev-type result for primes in arithmetical progressions due to McCurley [6], and obtain the following quantitative result:

THEOREM. *Let a, b be integers with $0 < b < a$. Define d by*

$$(3) \quad d = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 3 \nmid (a-b), \\ 1 & \text{if } 3 \mid (a-b), \\ 3/2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further, define λ, κ, c and q_0 by

$$(4) \quad \lambda = (.2328)3^d(a^{1/2} - b^{1/2})^{-2},$$

Received May 9, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 10F25.

©1986 American Mathematical Society
 0025-5718/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$$(5) \quad \kappa = 1 + \log(8.591(a + b)3^{-d})(\log \lambda)^{-1},$$

$$(6) \quad c = 1.69 \times 10^{-2}(a + b)^{-1} \left[.9302(a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})^{-1}(ab^2)^{1/3}(a^{1/2} - b^{1/2}) \right]^{\kappa-1},$$

$$(7) \quad q_0 = \lambda^{300} \left[.9302(a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})^{-1}(ab^2)^{1/3}(a^{1/2} - b^{1/2}) \right].$$

Then, assuming $\lambda > 1$, we have for integers p, q

$$(8) \quad |(b/a)^{1/3} - p/q| > cq^{-\kappa} \quad \text{when } q > q_0.$$

We remark that the Theorem yields an improvement on Liouville's Theorem provided $\kappa < 3$, which occurs when

$$(158.5)(3^{-3d})(a + b)(a^{1/2} - b^{1/2})^4 < 1.$$

As a consequence of the Theorem we are able to obtain

COROLLARY. For the values of α, κ, c and q_0 given by the following table, we have, for integers p, q that

$$|\alpha - p/q| > cq^{-\kappa} \quad \text{when } q > q_0.$$

α	c	κ	q_0
$2^{1/3}$	2.2×10^{-8}	2.795	0
$6^{1/3}$	1.03×10^{-17}	2.405	10^{1976}
$10^{1/3}$	7.81×10^{-10}	2.619	0
$15^{1/3}$	4.5×10^{-7}	2.933	0
$17^{1/3}$	2.51×10^{-10}	2.3391	0
$19^{1/3}$	1.1×10^{-8}	2.473	0
$20^{1/3}$	3.84×10^{-10}	2.333	0
$22^{1/3}$	5.16×10^{-8}	2.482	0
$26^{1/3}$	7.8×10^{-7}	2.9099	0
$28^{1/3}$	7.59×10^{-7}	2.899	0
$37^{1/3}$	1.31×10^{-8}	2.427	0
$39^{1/3}$	1.46×10^{-11}	2.313	0
$42^{1/3}$	2.12×10^{-7}	2.766	0
$43^{1/3}$	1.94×10^{-8}	2.506	0

It should be emphasized that in our proof certain choices must be made, which essentially correspond to fixing a value for ϵ in (2). Unfortunately, decreasing the size of ϵ , and hence of κ , causes the value of q_0 , as given by (7), to increase. Moreover, since in some of the estimates we use, we employ bounds which are not sharp, we are not able, in our proof, to take ϵ to be arbitrarily small. For example, the smallest value of κ which our proof can be made to yield in the case of $2^{1/3}$ is $\kappa = 2.4862\dots$; here $q_0 = 10^{9 \times 10^5}$ and $c = 10^{-2}$. It was our aim in making the choices we did, to obtain as small a value for κ as possible while keeping q_0 sufficiently small that it is practical, at least for most of the values of α given in the Corollary, to compute and employ continued fraction expansions to remove the restriction the Theorem places on the size of q . The continued fractions were computed at the University of Waterloo on a Honeywell DPS 8/49 using a program written in MAPLE.

Lastly, we remark that while we have here restricted our attention to cubic irrationalities, our proof can easily be modified so that, by employing McCurley [5, Theorem 1.2], we are able to obtain results similar to our Theorem for any function of the form $(1 - x)^{m/n}$, where m and n are coprime integers with $1 \leq m < n$, $n \geq 10$ and n not “exceptional” as defined in [5].

I would like to thank Professor C. L. Stewart for his encouragement and guidance in the preparation of this article.

Preliminary Results. The hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ is defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1)b(b+1) \cdots (b+n-1)z^n}{c(c+1) \cdots (c+n-1)n!}.$$

When c and a are negative integers with $c < a$, the coefficients of z^n for $n > |a|$ are understood to be zero. For r a positive integer we define $X_r(z)$, $Y_r(z)$ and $R_r(z)$ by

$$(9) \quad X_r(z) = \frac{(r+1) \cdots (2r)}{(2/3)(5/3) \cdots (r-1/3)} {}_2F_1(-r, -r-1/3; -2r; 1-z),$$

$$(10) \quad Y_r(z) = z^r X_r(z^{-1}),$$

$$(11) \quad R_r(z) = \frac{(1/3)(4/3) \cdots (r+1/3)}{(r+1)(r+2) \cdots (2r+1)} {}_2F_1(r+2/3, r+1; 2r+2; 1-z).$$

We shall employ the following Lemmas:

LEMMA 1. *Let r be a positive integer. Then for any real number z with $0 < z < 1$,*

$$(12) \quad z^{1/3} X_r(z) - Y_r(z) = (z-1)^{2r+1} R_r(z).$$

Proof. We obtain (12) from (4.2) of [2] upon noting that with $\nu = 1/3$, (9) agrees with $X_r(z)$ in (4.4) of [2], (10) agrees with $Y_r(z)$ in (4.1) of [2] and (11) agrees with (4.3) of [2].

LEMMA 2. *Let r be a positive integer, and define Δ_r to be the smallest positive integer such that $\Delta_r X_r(z)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients. Then $3 + \Delta_r$.*

Further, let a, b be integers with $0 < b < a$, and suppose d is as defined in (3). Define d_0 by

$$d_0 = \begin{cases} 3/2 + \log r / \log 3 & \text{if } d = 3/2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\Delta_r a^r 3^{d_0 - dr} X_r(b/a)$ and $\Delta_r a^r 3^{d_0 - dr} Y_r(b/a)$ are integers.

Proof. From (4.1) of [2], with $\nu = 1/3$ we have

$$(13) \quad X_r(z) = \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \frac{(3r+1)(3r+4) \cdots (3(r-l+1)+1)}{2 \cdot 5 \cdots (3l-1)} z^{r-l},$$

hence $3 + \Delta_r$.

Our proof of the second half of Lemma 2 is based on the proof of Proposition 5.1 of [2].

We first note that if $3 + (a - b)$, the result follows from the definition of Δ_r , together with the observation that $X_r(z)$ and $Y_r(z)$ are both polynomials in z of degree r .

If $d \geq 1$, we write $a - b = 3^h g$, where $\gcd(3, g) = 1$. It follows from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_r X_r(b/a) &= \frac{\Delta_r \cdot r!}{(2/3)(5/3) \cdots (r-1/3)} \binom{2r}{r} \\
 &\quad \times {}_2F_1(-r, -r-1/3; -2r; 3^h g/a) \\
 (14) \qquad &= \frac{\Delta_r 3^r r!}{2 \cdot 5 \cdots (3r-1)} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{2r-i}{r} \left(\prod_{k=r-i+1}^r (3k+1) \right) (i!)^{-1} (-g/a)^i 3^{(h-1)i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $d = 1$, we observe that $h = 1$ and that

$$r! \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{2r-i}{r} \left(\prod_{k=r-i+1}^r (3k+1) \right) (i!)^{-1} x^i$$

is a polynomial of degree r with integer coefficients. Hence, since $3 + \Delta_r$, $3^{-r} a^r \Delta_r X_r(b/a)$ is an integer.

If $d = 3/2$, we note that $h \geq 2$ and apply Lemma 4.1 of [2] with $n = 3$, $s = 1$ and see that

$$\sum_{i=0}^r \binom{2r-i}{r} \left(\prod_{k=r-i+1}^r (3k+1) \right) (i!)^{-1} 3^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} x^i$$

is a polynomial of degree r with integer coefficients. Hence the sum on the right side of (14) is a polynomial in $(-g/a)$ with integer coefficients. Thus, since $3 + \Delta_r$, and since the exponent to which 3 divides $r!$ is given by

$$\lfloor r/3 \rfloor + \lfloor r/9 \rfloor + \lfloor r/27 \rfloor + \cdots \geq \frac{r}{2} - \left(\frac{\log r}{\log 3} + \frac{3}{2} \right),$$

we see that $3^{d_0 - dr} a^r \Delta_r X_r(b/a)$ is an integer.

We conclude the proof by noting that the above argument shows that $b^r \Delta_r 3^{d_0 - dr} X_r(a/b)$ is an integer, and hence that

$$a^r \Delta_r 3^{d_0 - dr} Y_r(b/a) = \Delta_r 3^{d_0 - dr} a^r \left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^r X_r(a/b)$$

is an integer.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose r is a positive integer. Then*

$$(15) \qquad \Delta_r \mid \frac{2 \cdot 5 \cdots (3r-1)}{r!} 3^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}.$$

Further, if $\Delta_{r,l}$ denotes the contribution to Δ_r of all primes $p > (3r)^{1/2}$, then

$$(16) \qquad \Delta_{r,l} < \exp \left\{ r \sum_{A \geq 0} \sum' \log p \right\},$$

where the inner sum is taken over all primes $p \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ satisfying

$$r/(A + 1/3) \geq p > r/(A + 2/3).$$

Proof. We verify (15) by noting that from Lemma 4.1 of [2] with $n = 3$, $a = 1$, $s = -1$, $2 \cdot 5 \cdots (3r-1) 3^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} (r!)^{-1}$ is an integer, and moreover, from Lemma 4.2 of [2] with $n = 3$, $s = 1$, $2 \cdot 5 \cdots (3r-1) 3^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor} (r!)^{-1} X_r(z)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients.

To verify (16) we turn to Theorem 4.3 of [2]. In the proof of this theorem, Chudnovsky considers $\Delta_r^{(2)}$, the contribution to Δ_r of all primes $p > 3r^{1/2}$. Putting $n = 3$, and $s = 1$ in [2], we see that if $p|\Delta_r^{(2)}$ we must have $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, as is clear from the remarks made following (4.22). Moreover, the remarks made just prior to (4.20) show that $p^2 + \Delta_r^{(2)}$; and from (4.22) we see that for some integer A , we must have $r/(A + 1/3) \geq p > r/(A + 2/3)$. This suffices to show (16) with $\Delta_r^{(2)}$ in place of $\Delta_{r,t}$. Our result follows upon observing that Chudnovsky's arguments are not affected by considering primes in the extended range $p > (3r)^{1/2}$.

LEMMA 4. *Let r be a positive integer. If $\pi(r)$ denotes the number of primes less than r , we have*

$$(17) \quad \pi(r) < (1.001)r(\log r)^{-1}.$$

Further, if we put $\theta(r, 3, 2) = \sum_{p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}; p \leq r} \log p$, we have

$$(18) \quad (.4075)r < \theta(r, 3, 2) < (.5094)r \quad \text{for } r \geq 47$$

and

$$(19) \quad (.4539)r < \theta(r, 3, 2) < (.5094)r \quad \text{for } r \geq 233.$$

Proof. We obtain (17) from (5.1) of [7]. The right-hand inequalities of (18) and (19) follow from Theorem 5.1 of [6], while the left-hand inequalities follow from Theorem 5.3 of [6].

LEMMA 5. *Let a , b and r be positive integers with $0 < b < a$. Then if $X_r(z)$, $Y_r(z)$ and $R_r(z)$ are given by (9), (10) and (11), respectively,*

$$(20) \quad X_r(b/a)Y_{r+1}(b/a) \neq X_{r+1}(b/a)Y_r(b/a),$$

$$(21) \quad R_r(b/a) = \frac{(1/3)(4/3) \cdots (r + 1/3)}{r!} \times \int_0^1 t^r(1-t)^r(1-t(a-b)/a)^{-r-2/3} dt.$$

Proof. The proof of (20) is standard; see for instance the proof of (16) in [1]. We obtain (21) from (11) and (1.6.6) of [9].

Technical Lemmas. In this section we establish several estimates which we shall employ in the proof of the Theorem.

LEMMA 6. *Let r be an integer with $r \geq 300$. Then*

$$(22) \quad \Delta_r < \exp\{(1.4266)r\}.$$

Proof. The proof is divided into two parts. First, we estimate the contribution to Δ_r of those primes $p \leq (3r)^{1/2}$. We then estimate the contribution of those primes $p > (3r)^{1/2}$.

To obtain the first estimate, we begin by recalling from Lemma 2 that $3 + \Delta_r$.

We now proceed as Chudnovsky does in obtaining his upper bound for $\Delta_r^{(1)}$ in the proof of Theorem 4.3 of [2]. First, we note that from (15), if $p \leq (3r)^{1/2}$, p can contribute to Δ_r at most

$$p^{\lfloor \log 3r / \log p \rfloor} \leq 3r.$$

Hence, if we denote the contribution to Δ_r of those primes $p \leq (3r)^{1/2}$ by $\Delta_{r,s}$, we have

$$\Delta_{r,s} \leq (3r)^{\pi((3r)^{1/2})}.$$

Thus, from (17),

$$\Delta_{r,s} < \exp\{2.002(3r)^{1/2}\},$$

and since $r \geq 300$, we have

$$(23) \quad \Delta_{r,s} < \exp\{.2002r\}.$$

Denote, as in Lemma 3, the contribution to Δ_r of all primes $p > (3r)^{1/2}$ by $\Delta_{r,l}$. We have from (16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{r,l} &< \exp\left\{\sum_{A=0}^{\infty} \theta(r/(A+1/3), 3, 2) - \theta(r/(A+2/3), 3, 2)\right\} \\ &< \exp\left\{\sum_{A=0}^5 (\theta(r/(A+1/3), 3, 2) - \theta(r/(A+2/3), 3, 2)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \theta(r/(6+1/3), 3, 2)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $3r/2 > 233$, we have from (18) and (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{r,l} &\leq \exp\left\{(.5094)\left(\sum_{A=0}^6 3r/(3A+1)\right) \right. \\ (24) \quad &\quad \left. - (.4539)(3r/2) - (.4075)\sum_{A=1}^5 3r/(3A+2)\right\} \\ &< \exp\{(1.2264)r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (23) and (24),

$$\Delta_r = \Delta_{r,s}\Delta_{r,l} < \exp\{(1.4266)r\}.$$

LEMMA 7. Let a , b and r be integers with $0 < b < a$ and $r \geq 300$. Let d be given by (3), and let d_0 and Δ_r be as defined in Lemma 2. Put

$$(25) \quad q_r = \Delta_r a^r 3^{d_0 - dr} X_r(b/a); \quad p_r = \Delta_r a^r 3^{d_0 - dr} Y_r(b/a).$$

Then p_r and q_r are integers with

$$(26) \quad 0 < q_r < 3.434(8.591 \cdot 3^{-d}(a+b))^r.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2, p_r and q_r are both integers.

The proof we shall give of (26) is essentially the proof of Lemma 3 of [1]. We begin by noting that from (13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a^r X_r(b/a) &= a^r \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \frac{(r+1/3) \cdots (r-l+4/3)}{(2/3)(5/3) \cdots (l-1/3)} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{r-l} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^r (k-1/3)^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} \prod_{k=r-l+1}^r (k+1/3) \prod_{k=l+1}^r (k-1/3) (a^l b^{r-l}). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (25), gives the left-hand inequality of (26). Using the estimates

$$\prod_{k=r-l+1}^r (k + 1/3) \prod_{k=l+1}^r (k - 1/3) \leq \prod_{k=r-l+1}^r (k + 1) \prod_{k=l+1}^r k = r! \binom{r+l}{l} \leq r! 2^{r+1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad a^r X_r(b/a) &\leq r! \left(\prod_{k=1}^r (k - 1/3) \right)^{-1} 2^{r+1} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l} a^l b^{r-l} \\ &\leq 2(r!) \left(\prod_{k=1}^r (k - 1/3) \right)^{-1} (2(a + b))^r. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} r! \left(\prod_{k=1}^r (k - 1/3) \right)^{-1} &= \frac{3}{2} \prod_{k=2}^r \frac{3k}{3k - 1} = \frac{3}{2} \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^r \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{3k - 1} \right) \right\} \\ &< \frac{3}{2} \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^r \frac{1}{3k - 1} \right\} < \frac{3}{2} \exp \left\{ \int_1^r \frac{1}{3x - 1} dx \right\} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \log(3r - 1) - \frac{1}{3} \log 2 \right\} < 1.717r^{1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r \geq 300$,

$$(28) \quad r! \left(\prod_{k=1}^r (k - 1/3) \right)^{-1} < 1.717(1.0064)^r.$$

Further, since $r \geq 300$, $d_0 = 3/2 + \log r / \log 3 < (.02231)r$ and

$$(29) \quad 3^{d_0 - dr} \leq 3^{(.02231 - d)r}.$$

The result follows from (22), (27), (28) and (29).

LEMMA 8. Let a, b and r be integers with $0 < b < a$ and $r \geq 300$. Then,

$$(30) \quad 0 < |(b/a)^{1/3} - p_r/q_r| < \frac{(.4445)(a - b)}{(ab^2)^{1/3} q_r} \left\{ \frac{4.296}{3^d} (a^{1/2} - b^{1/2})^2 \right\}^r$$

and

$$(31) \quad p_r q_{r+1} \neq p_{r+1} q_r.$$

Proof. It is clear that (31) follows from (25) and (20). To verify (30), we first substitute $z = b/a$ in (12). Since from (26) $q_r \neq 0$, we have from (12), (21) and (25) that

$$\begin{aligned} (32) \quad |(b/a)^{1/3} - p_r/q_r| &= \frac{\Delta_r a^r 3^{d_0 - dr}}{q_r} \left(1 - \frac{b}{a} \right)^{2r+1} \frac{(1/3)(4/3) \cdots (r + 1/3)}{r!} \\ &\times \left| \int_0^1 t^r (1 - t)^r \left(1 - \frac{(a - b)}{a} t \right)^{-r - 2/3} dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now the left-hand inequality of (30) follows upon observing that the integrand on the right side of (32) is positive for $0 < t < 1$. To obtain the right-hand inequality of (30) we first note that $(1 - t(a - b)/a)^{-2/3} \leq (a/b)^{2/3}$ if $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Moreover, the function $t(1 - t)(1 - t(a - b)/a)^{-1}$ obtains a maximum value of $a(a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})^{-2}$ on the range $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Hence

$$(33) \quad \left| \int_0^1 t^r (1 - t)^r (1 - t(a - b)/a)^{-r-2/3} dt \right| \leq (a/b)^{2/3} (a(a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})^{-2})^r.$$

Further, in the same way as we obtained (28), we find that

$$(34) \quad \frac{(1/3)(4/3) \cdots (r + 1/3)}{r!} = 4/9 \prod_{k=2}^r \frac{k + 1/3}{k} < 4/9 \exp \left\{ 1/3 \int_1^r \frac{dx}{x} \right\} < 4/9(1.0064)^r.$$

This, together with (29), (32), (33), and (22), implies (30).

Proof of Theorem. Let λ be given by (4) and let p, q be integers with q satisfying

$$(35) \quad \lambda^r \leq \frac{(1.076)(a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})q}{(ab^2)^{1/3}(a^{1/2} - b^{1/2})} < \lambda^{r+1}$$

for some integer $r \geq 300$. Choose $R = r$ or $r + 1$ so that $pq_R \neq p_Rq$, as is possible in light of (31). Further, note that from (5)

$$(36) \quad \lambda^{\kappa-1} = (8.591)3^{-d}(a + b).$$

This, together with (26) and the left-hand inequality of (35), yields

$$(37) \quad q_R < 3.434((8.591)3^{-d}(a + b))^{r+1} \leq 3.434\lambda^{(\kappa-1)(r+1)} < 3.434 \left(\frac{1.076(a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})\lambda}{(ab^2)^{1/3}(a^{1/2} - b^{1/2})} \right)^{\kappa-1} q^{\kappa-1}.$$

From the right side of (35), together with (4) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < |(b/a)^{1/3} - p_R/q_R| &< \frac{(.4445)(a - b)}{(ab^2)^{1/3}q_R\lambda^r} \\ &< (.4131) \frac{(a - b)\lambda(a^{1/2} - b^{1/2})}{(a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})qq_R} < \frac{(.0962)3^d}{qq_R}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d \leq 3/2$, we have

$$(38) \quad |(b/a)^{1/3} - p_R/q_R| < \frac{1}{2qq_R}.$$

From (37) and (38) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(b/a)^{1/3} - p/q| &\geq |p/q - p_R/q_R| - |(b/a)^{1/3} - p_R/q_R| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{qq_R} - \frac{1}{2qq_R} = \frac{1}{2qq_R} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{q^\kappa} \left\{ .1456 \left(\frac{(ab^2)^{1/3}(a^{1/2} - b^{1/2})}{1.076(a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})\lambda} \right)^{\kappa-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (36) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} |(b/a)^{1/3} - p/q| &> \frac{1}{q^\kappa} \left\{ 1.69 \times 10^{-2} (a+b)^{-1} \left(\frac{(ab^2)^{1/3} (a^{1/2} - b^{1/2})}{1.076(a^{1/2} + b^{1/2})} \right)^{\kappa-1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{c}{q^\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Corollary. To prove the corollary for $\alpha = 2^{1/3}$, we apply the Theorem with $a = 128, b = 125$ to rationals of the form $5q/(4p)$ to obtain

$$\frac{5}{4} |2^{-1/3} - q/p| > \frac{3.4 \times 10^{-5}}{(4p)^{2.795}} \quad \text{when } 4p > 10^{478}.$$

Since it suffices to consider q/p in the range $1 < p/q < 1.3$, we have

$$(39) \quad |2^{1/3} - p/q| > \frac{3.4 \times 10^{-7}}{q^{2.795}} \quad \text{when } q > 10^{478}.$$

To remove the restriction on q_0 , we utilize the first 2000 terms in the continued fraction expansion for $2^{1/3}$. We begin by supposing that q_i is the denominator of the i th convergent to $2^{1/3}$, and that for some integers p, q with $q_i \leq q < q_{i+1}$,

$$(40) \quad |2^{1/3} - p/q| < \frac{3.4 \times 10^{-7}}{q^{2.795}}.$$

Now if a_i is the i th partial quotient, we have the following well-known identities (the first follows from Theorem 9.6 of [4]; for the second see Theorem 182 of [3]):

$$\frac{1}{(a_{i+2} + 2)q_{i+1}^2} < \left| 2^{1/3} - \frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} \right|$$

and

$$|q_{i+1}2^{1/3} - p_{i+1}| < |q2^{1/3} - p|.$$

These, together with (40), imply

$$\frac{1}{(a_{i+1} + 2)q_{i+1}} < |q2^{1/3} - p| < \frac{3.4 \times 10^{-7}}{q^{1.795}} < \frac{3.4 \times 10^{-7}}{q_i^{1.795}}.$$

Hence,

$$(41) \quad \frac{2.9 \times 10^6}{(a_{i+2} + 2)q_{i+1}} q_i^{1.795} < 1.$$

Employing the identity $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}$, we have

$$\frac{q_i}{q_{i+1}} = \frac{q_i}{a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}} > \frac{1}{(a_{i+1} + 1)},$$

and hence from (41),

$$\frac{2.9 \times 10^6 q_i^{.795}}{(a_{i+2} + 2)(a_{i+1} + 1)} < 1.$$

This, together with the observations that $q_i \geq \prod_{j=0}^i a_j$ and $\prod_{j=0}^{2000} a_j > 10^{478}$, enables us to readily verify that for all integers p, q

$$(42) \quad |2^{1/3} - p/q| > \frac{3.4 \times 10^{-7}}{q^{2.795}} \quad \text{when } 0 < q \leq 10^{478}.$$

Hence, from (39) and (42), we have Corollary 1 for $\alpha = 2^{1/3}$.

The rest of the Corollary is proved in a similar manner. We conclude by listing, for each value of α , the values for a and b with which we obtain the result. We also list the values obtained for q_0 .

α	a	b	q_0
$6^{1/3}$	467^3	$6 \cdot 257^3$	10^{1976}
$10^{1/3}$	$5 \cdot 13^3$	$2^2 \cdot 14^3$	10^{846}
$15^{1/3}$	5^2	$3 \cdot 2^3$	10^{408}
$17^{1/3}$	18^3	$17 \cdot 7^3$	10^{1117}
$19^{1/3}$	$19 \cdot 3^3$	8^3	10^{802}
$20^{1/3}$	$20 \cdot 7^3$	19^3	10^{1141}
$22^{1/3}$	$11 \cdot 5^3$	$2^2 \cdot 7^3$	10^{789}
$26^{1/3}$	3^3	26	10^{417}
$28^{1/3}$	28	3^3	10^{422}
$37^{1/3}$	10^3	$37 \cdot 3^3$	10^{890}
$39^{1/3}$	$39^2 \cdot 2^3$	23^3	10^{1216}
$42^{1/3}$	7^2	$6 \cdot 2^3$	10^{498}
$43^{1/3}$	$43 \cdot 2^3$	7^3	10^{751}

The continued fraction expansions for the above values of α are available from the author upon request.

Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada

1. A. BAKER, "Rational approximation to $2^{1/3}$ and other algebraic numbers," *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, v. 15, 1964, pp. 375–383.
2. G. V. CHUDNOVSKY, "On the method of Thue-Siegel," *Ann. of Math.*, v. 117, 1983, pp. 325–382.
3. G. H. HARDY & E. M. WRIGHT, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th Ed., Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1960.
4. W. J. LEVEQUE, *Topics in Number Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1956.
5. K. S. MCCURLEY, "Explicit estimates for the error term in the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions," *Math. Comp.*, v. 42, 1984, pp. 265–286.
6. K. S. MCCURLEY, "Explicit estimates for $\theta(x; 3; l)$ and $\psi(x; 3; l)$," *Math. Comp.*, v. 42, 1984, pp. 287–296.
7. J. B. ROSSER & L. SCHOENFELD, "Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$," *Math. Comp.*, v. 29, 1975, pp. 243–269.
8. C. L. STEGEL, "Die Gleichung $ax^n - by^n = c$," *Math. Ann.*, v. 114, 1937, pp. 57–68.
9. L. J. SLATER, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1966.