Class Numbers of Quadratic Fields Determined by Solvability of Diophantine Equations

By R. A. Mollin*

Abstract. In the literature there has been considerable attention given to the exploration of relationships between certain diophantine equations and class numbers of quadratic fields. In this paper we provide criteria for the insolvability of certain diophantine equations. This result is then used to determine when related real quadratic fields have class number bigger than 1. Moreover, based on criteria which we find for the solvability of a certain class of diophantine equations, we are able to determine when the class number of related imaginary quadratic fields is divisible by a given integer.

Introduction. The primary aim of this paper is to investigate the relationship between solvability of diophantine equations and class numbers of quadratic fields. Most such investigations into real quadratic fields in the literature deal with Richaud-Degert (R-D)-type quadratic fields (see [4] and [14]); that is, those \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \) where \( n \) is a square-free positive integer of the form \( n = l^2 + r \) with integer \( l > 0 \), integer \( r \) dividing \( 4l \) and \(-l < r \leq l\). The seminal paper in this regard is by Ankeny, Chowla, and Hasse [1]. However, many authors have studied such fields and considered generalizations thereof. Among them are: Azuhata [2], Kutsuna [8], Lang [9], Takeuchi [15], Yokoi [16]–[18], and the author [10]–[13]. In Section 1 we investigate a larger class of real quadratic fields than the (R-D)-types. We obtain conditions for the solvability of certain diophantine equations and use the result to determine nontriviality of the class numbers of these real quadratic fields. Moreover, we obtain as immediate consequences many of the above results in the literature.

The connection between solvability of certain diophantine equations and the divisibility of the class number of imaginary quadratic fields by a given integer has been given much attention. Among such inquiries are: Cowles [3], Hongwen [7], Gross and Rohrlich [5], and the author [11] and [13]. In the second section we obtain sufficient conditions for a quadratic field (real or imaginary) to have the exponent of its class group divisible by a given integer \( t \). This result is most readily applied to imaginary quadratic fields upon which we focus. We provide sufficient conditions (in elementary arithmetic terms) for the exponent of the class group of certain
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imaginary quadratic fields to be divisible by \( t \). Furthermore, results from the literature cited above are obtained as immediate consequences.

Finally, in both sections we provide tables of examples to illustrate the above results.

1. Real Quadratic Fields and Diophantine Equations. First we need three preliminary lemmas. Before proceeding with these results we comment on notation and definitions which are used therein.

Let \( n \) be a square-free positive integer and let \( t \) be any positive integer. If \( (u,v) \) is an integer solution of the diophantine equation \( x^2 - ny^2 = \pm 4t \), then we say that \( (u,v) \) is a trivial solution when \( t = m^2 \) and \( m \) divides both \( u \) and \( v \). Otherwise, \( (u,v) \) is called nontrivial. Finally, in what follows, \( N \) denotes the norm from \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \) to \( \mathbb{Q} \).

The first lemma is a generalized Davenport-Ankeny-Hasse result which we proved in [12].

**Lemma 1.1.** Let \( n \) be a square-free positive integer and let \( t \) be any positive integer. Suppose that \( (A + B\sqrt{n})/\sigma \) is the fundamental unit of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \), where \( \sigma = 2 \) if \( n \equiv 1 \) (mod 4) and \( \sigma = 1 \) otherwise, and let \( N((A + B\sqrt{n})/\sigma) = \delta \). If there is a nontrivial solution to the diophantine equation \( x^2 - ny^2 = \pm \sigma^2 t \), then

\[
t > \frac{((2A/\sigma) - \delta - 1)/B^2}{2}.
\]

The next result is a generalized Richaud-Degert result proved in [8, Theorem 1, p. 580]. In what follows \( \text{sgn}(r) = r/|r| \) for an integer \( r \).

**Lemma 1.2.** Let \( n \) be a square-free positive integer and let \( v \) be the least positive integer such that \( v^2 n = l^2 + r \) with integer \( r \in (-l,l] \) and \( 4l \equiv 0 \) (mod \( r \)). Then the fundamental unit \( e_n \) of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \) is of the following form:

(i) \( e_n = l + v\sqrt{n} \) and \( N(e_n) = -\text{sgn}(r) \) for \( |r| = 1 \) (except for \( (n,v) = (5,1) \)).

(ii) \( e_n = (l + v\sqrt{n})/2 \) and \( N(e_n) = -\text{sgn}(r) \) for \( |r| = 4 \).

(iii) \( e_n = [(2l^2 + r) + 2lv\sqrt{n}]/|r| \) and \( N(e_n) = 1 \) for \( |r| \neq 1 \) or 4.

The final lemma generalizes [12, Theorem 1.1].

**Lemma 1.3.** Let \( n \) be a square-free positive integer, \( t \) any positive integer, and \( v \) the least positive integer such that \( v^2 n = l^2 + r \) with integer \( r \in (-l,l] \) and \( 4l \equiv 0 \) (mod \( r \)). If \( x^2 - ny^2 = \pm \sigma^2 t \) has a nontrivial solution in integers \( (x,y) \), where \( \sigma = 2 \) if \( n \equiv 1 \) (mod 4) and \( \sigma = 1 \) otherwise, then

(i) If \( r = 1 \) and \( (n,v) \neq (5,1) \) then \( t\sigma^2 v^2 \geq 2l \).

(ii) If \( r = -1 \) then \( t\sigma^2 v^2 \geq 2(l - 1) \).

(iii) If \( r = 4 \) then \( t\sigma^2 v^2 \geq l \).

(iv) If \( r = -4 \) then \( t\sigma^2 v^2 \geq l - 2 \).

(v) If \( |r| \neq 1 \) or 4 then \( t\sigma^2 v^2 \geq |r| \).

**Proof.** Let \( \delta \) be as defined in Lemma 1.1.

(i) If \( r = 1 \) then \( \delta = -1 \). If \( l \) is even then \( \sigma = 2 \), and if \( (n,v) \neq (5,1) \) then \( A = 2l \) and \( B = 2v \), by Lemma 1.2. Therefore, from Lemma 1.1 we have: \( t \geq l/2v^2 \); that is, \( \sigma^2 v^2 t \geq 2l \). If \( l \) is odd then by Lemma 1.2, \( \sigma = 1 \), \( A = l \) and \( B = v \), provided \( (n,v) \neq (5,1) \). Therefore, by Lemma 1.1: \( t \geq 2l/v^2 \); that is, \( tw^2 \geq 2l \).
(ii) If \( r = -1 \) then \( \sigma = \delta = 1 \). By Lemma 1.2, \( A = l \) and \( B = v \). Therefore, by Lemma 1.1: \( t \geq \frac{(2l - 2)/v^2}{\beta} \); that is, \( v^2 \geq 2(l - 1) \).

(iii)–(iv) If \( |r| = 4 \) then \( \sigma = 2, \delta = -\text{sgn}(r), A = l \) and \( B = v \) by Lemma 1.2. Therefore, by Lemma 1.1: If \( r = 4 \) then \( \delta = -1 \) and \( t \geq \frac{(2l/2)/v^2}{\beta} \); that is, \( v^2 \geq l \). If \( r = -4 \) then \( \delta = 1 \) and \( t \geq \frac{(2l/2 - 2)/v^2}{\beta} \); that is, \( v^2 \geq l - 2 \).

(v) If \( |r| \neq 1 \) or 4 then \( \delta = 1, A = 2l^2 + r)/|r|, \) and \( B = 2v^2/|r| \) by Lemma 1.2. Thus from Lemma 1.1: \( t \geq \frac{(2(2l^2 + r)/|r| - 2)/((4l^2v^2|r|)/r^2)}{\beta} \). Thus: \( t \geq \frac{(2l^2|r| + r|r| - r^2)/2l^2v^2}{2l^2v^2} \). If \( r > 0 \) then \( t \geq r/v^2 \); that is, \( v^2 \geq r \). If \( r < 0 \) then \( t \geq -(l^2 + r^2)/l^2v^2 \); that is, \( v^2 > -(l^2 + r^2)/l^2 \). Now, if \( v^2 > -r - 1 \) then \( -r - 1 > -(l^2 + r^2)/l^2 \) whence \( l^2 < r^2 \), contradicting the hypothesis. Hence \( v^2 \geq -r = |r| \). □

Lemma 1.3 has, as immediate consequences, several results in the literature. Among these are: Ankeny, Chowla, and Hasse [1, Lemma, p. 218] and S. D. Lang [9, Lemma, p. 70].

Now we are in a position to prove the first main result which generalizes [12, Theorem 1.2].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( n > 5 \) be a square-free integer and let \( v \) be the least positive integer such that \( v^2n = l^2 + r \) with integer \( r \in (-l, l] \) and \( 4l \equiv 0 \) (mod \( r \)) and let the following conditions be satisfied:

(i) \( l = st \) where \( s > 0 \) and \( t > 1 \) are integers with g.c.d. \((t, r) = 1\).

(ii) \( r \) divides \( 4s \) with \(-2s < r \leq 2s\).

(iii) If \( n \equiv 1 \) (mod 4) then \( |r| = 1 \) or 4.

(iv) If \( r = 1 \) and \( t \) is even then \( s > 2v^2 \).

(v) If \( r = 1 \) and \( t \) is odd then \( 2s > v^2 \).

(vi) If \( r = -1 \) then \( t > 2l - 1 \).

(vii) If \( r = 4 \) then \( s > v^2 \).

(viii) If \( r = -4 \) then \( t(s - v^2) > 2 \).

(ix) If \( |r| \neq 1 \) or 4 and \( v > 1 \) then \( t > |r| \).

Furthermore, let \( \sigma = 2 \) if \( n \equiv 1 \) (mod 4) and \( \sigma = 1 \) otherwise. If \( x^2 - ny^2 = \pm \sigma^2t \) has a nontrivial integer solution \((x, y)\), and if \((x, y) = (u_0, v_0)\) is the minimal solution (that is, \( u_0 > 0 \) and \( v_0 > 0 \) is smallest), then \( |r| \notin \{1, 4\} \) and either:

(a) \( v_0 < v^2 \) and if \( v_0 = v \) then \( v > 1 \) or

(b) \( v_0(2 - |r|) \geq v^2(1 - |r|) \) if \( |r| \neq 2 \) and \( v_0 > 1 \) and

(c) \( v > 1 \) if \( r = 2 \) and

(d) either \( v > 1 \) or both \( v_0 = 1 \) and if \( r = -2 \) then \( l = 3 \).

In particular, if \( v = 1 \) then \( x^2 - ny^2 = \pm \sigma^2t \) has a nontrivial solution if and only if \( n = 7 \) and \( t = 3 \); that is, \( x^2 - 7y^2 = -3 \) (in fact: \( l = 3, \ r = -2, \ u_0 = 2 \) and \( v_0 = 1 \)).

**Proof.** Hypotheses (iv)–(viii) imply, by Lemma 1.3(i)–(iv), that when \( |r| \notin \{1, 4\} \) then \( x^2 - ny^2 = \pm \sigma^2t \) cannot have an integer solution. Henceforth we assume \( |r| \notin \{1, 4\} \), which implies by hypothesis (iii) that \( \sigma = 1 \). We now prove the result by contradiction. Thus we assume

(1) \( v_0 > v^2 \) or \( v_0 = v = 1 \)

and

(2) \( v_0(2 - |r|) < v^2(1 - |r|) \) if \( |r| \neq 2 \) and \( v_0 > 1 \).
or

(3) \[ v = 1 \quad \text{if } r = 2, \]

or

(4) \[ v = 1 \text{ and either } v_0 \geq 2 \text{ or } l \neq 3 \quad \text{if } r = -2. \]

We have \( \pm w^2 = (u_0v)^2 - (l^2 + r)v_0^2. \) For the sake of convenience, we let \( w = \pm w^2. \) Let \( a = |u_0v - lv_0| > 0 \) and \( b = u_0v + lv_0 > 0 \) whence \( w + rv_0^2 = (u_0v - lv_0)(u_0v + lv_0). \) Set \( \alpha = 1 \) if \( w > -rv^2 \) and \( \alpha = -1 \) otherwise, whence \((a - 1)(b + \alpha) = ab + a\alpha - b - \alpha \geq 0; \) that is, \( ab - \alpha \geq b - a\alpha. \) Therefore, by hypothesis (i),

\[
0 \leq |w|(s - 1) = w^2 - |w| = \left( \frac{(b - a\alpha)/2v_0}{v_0} \right)^2 - ab + arv_0^2 \\
= \left( \frac{(b - a\alpha)v^2 - 2v_0ab + 2arv_0^3}{2v_0} \right)/2v_0 \\
\leq \left( \frac{(ab - \alpha)v^2 - 2v_0ab + 2arv_0^3}{2v_0} \right)/2v_0 \\
= -\left( \frac{\alpha(v^2 - 2rv^3) + ab(2v_0 - v^2))}{2v_0} \right)/2v_0, 
\]

which by (1) is less than zero if \( ra < 0. \) Thus we assume henceforth that \( ra > 0. \)

Furthermore, from the above inequality we get

(5) \[ ab \leq -\alpha(v^2 - 2rv^3)/(2v_0 - v^2). \]

Let \( A = (2l^2 + r)/|r| \) and \( B = 2lv/|r| \) whence \( A + B\sqrt{n} \) is the fundamental unit of \( Q(\sqrt{n}) \) by Lemma 1.2(iii). It is straightforward to check (using the methodology of [12, Lemma 1.1] for example) that \((u_0A - nv_0B, u_0B - v_0A)\) is a nontrivial solution of \( x^2 - ny^2 = w. \) Therefore, by the minimality of \( v_0 \) we get

\[ |u_0B - v_0A| = \left| (2u_0lv - v_0(2l^2 + r))/|r| \right| \geq v_0, \]

whence either

(6) \[ 2l(u_0v - v_0l) \geq v_0(r + |r|) \]

or

(7) \[ 2l(u_0v - v_0l) \leq v_0(r - |r|) \]

**Case I:** \( \alpha = -1 \text{ and } r < 0. \) If (6) holds then \( u_0v \geq v_0l. \) Thus,

\[ -rv_0^2 > w = (u_0v)^2 - (l^2 + r)v_0^2 = (u_0l)^2 - (l^2 + r)v_0^2 = -rv_0^2, \]

a contradiction.

Thus (7) holds; that is, \( u_0lv \leq v_0(l^2 + r). \) Thus,

\[ l^2w = l^2(u_0v)^2 - l^2(l^2 + r)v_0^2 \leq (l^2 + r)^2v_0^2 - l^2(l^2 + r)v_0^2 = r(l^2 + r)v_0^2, \]

whence

(8) \[ l^2w \leq r(l^2 + r)v_0^2. \]

If \( w > 0 \) then by (8) we have \( 0 < l^2w \leq r(l^2 + r)v_0^2 < 0, \) a contradiction. Therefore, we assume for the remainder of Case I that \( w < 0. \)

Assume \( v_0 \geq -w. \) Therefore, from (8),

\[ l^2v_0 \geq l^2w \geq r(l^2 + r)v_0^2, \]

whence

\[ r^2v_0^2 \geq l^2(1 + rv_0)v_0. \]
Thus,
\[ r^2v_0^2v^4 \geq -(lv^2)(1 + rv_0)v_0 \geq -w^2(1 + rv_0)v_0, \]
whence
\[ w^2 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{r^2v_0^2v^4}{(1 + rv_0)v_0} \right\rfloor < v^4(1 - r), \]
contradicting hypothesis (ix), if \( v > 1 \), and Lemma 1.3(v), if \( v = 1 \).

Now assume \( v_0v^2(-r - 1) \geq -w > v_0 \). (Observe that, by Theorem 1.1(v), either \( v_0 > 1 \) or \( v > 1 \).) Therefore, from (8) we have
\[ l^2v_0^2(-r - 1) \geq -l^2w \geq -r(l^2 + r)v_0^2, \]
whence
\[ r^2v_0^2 \geq l^2(v^2v_0(r + 1) - rv_0^2). \]
Therefore,
\[ r^2v_0^2v^4 \geq (lv^2)^2(v_0^2v_0(r + 1) - rv_0^2) \geq w^2(v_0^2v_0(r + 1) - rv_0^2). \]
It follows from (1) that \( v_0^2v_0(r + 1) - rv_0^2 > 0 \). Therefore,
\[ w^2 \leq r^2v_0^2v^4/(v^2(r + 1) - rv_0). \]
Hence by (1), \( w^2 < r^2v^4 \), contradicting hypothesis (ix), if \( v > 1 \), and Lemma 1.3(v), if \( v = 1 \).

Now assume \( -w > v_0v^2(-r - 1) \). From (5) we have
\[ ab \leq \left( v^2 - 2rv_0^3 \right)/(2v_0 - v^2), \]
whence
\[-w = ab + rv_0^2 \leq \left( (v^2 - 2rv_0^3)/(2v_0 - v^2) \right) + rv_0^2 \]
\[ = v^2(1 - rv_0^2)/(2v_0 - v^2). \]
Thus,
\[ (9) \quad -w \leq v_0v^2(-r - 1) + (v_0^2(r + 2)v^2 - v_0v_0(r + 1)v^4 + v^2)/(2v_0 - v^2). \]
If \( v_0 > 1 \) and \( r \neq -2 \) then by (2), \( v_0(r + 2) < (r + 1)v^2 \), whence by (1),
\[ v_0^2(r + 2)v^2 - v_0v_0(r + 1)v^4 + v^2 < v_0 < 2v_0 - v^2. \]
Therefore, from (9) we may conclude
\[ v_0v^2(-r - 1) < -w < v_0v^2(-r - 1) + 1, \]
a contradiction. Hence \( v_0 = 1 \) or \( r = -2 \).

If \( v_0 = 1 \) then by (1), \( v = 1 \). If \( r \neq -2 \) then from (9), \( -r - 1 < -w \leq -r + 1 \). By hypothesis (i), \( -w \neq -r \), whence \(-w = t = -r + 1 \). Therefore \( u_0^2 - n = r - 1 \); that is, \( u_0^2 - l^2 = 2r - 1 \). Recall that \( u_0 + l = b > 0 \) and \( l - u_0 = a > 0 \). Thus \( -r = (ab - 1)/2 \) and \( s = (a + b)/(ab + 1) \). By hypothesis (ii), \((4s/(-r))\) must be an integer; that is, \( 8(a + b)/(ab^2 - 1) \) is an integer. In particular, we must have \( 8(a + b) \geq (ab^2 - 1) \). Since \( b > a \) then \( 16b > 8(a + b) \geq (ab^2 - 1) \); that is, \( 1 > b(a^2b - 16) \), whence \( a = 1 \) and \( b \leq 16 \), since \( a \) and \( b \) are odd. Therefore \( b \in S = \{3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15\} \). It is easy to check that \( 8(a + b)/(ab^2 - 1) \) is an integer for only \( b = 3 \), 5, 9 of \( S \). If \( b = 9 \) then \( r = -4 \), a contradiction. If \( b = 5 \) then \( r = -2 \), contradicting our assumption. If \( b = 3 \) then \( r = -1 \), a contradiction.
Now if \( v_0 = 1 \) and \( r = -2 \) then \( v = 1 \) and \( l \neq 3 \) by (4). By (9), \( 1 < -w \leq 3 \). Therefore, by hypothesis (i), \( t = 3 \), whence \( u_0^2 - l^2 = -5 \), forcing \( l = 3 \), a contradiction. Hence \( v_0 \geq 2 \) and \( r = -2 \). Therefore, by (4), \( v = 1 \). By (9),
\[
v_0 < -w \leq (2v_0 + 1)/(2v_0 - 1) < 2,
\]
a contradiction.

Case II: \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( r > 0 \). If (7) holds then \( u_0v \leq v_0l \). Therefore,
\[
-rv_0^2 < w = (u_0v)^2 - (l^2 + r)v_0^2 \leq (v_0l)^2 - (l^2 + r)v_0^2 = -rv_0^2,
\]
a contradiction. Hence (6) holds; that is, \( u_0vl \geq v_0(l^2 + r) \). Thus,
\[
l^2w = (lu_0v)^2 - l^2(l^2 + r)v_0^2 \geq v_0^2(l^2 + r^2) - l^2(l^2 + r)v_0^2 = r(l^2 + r)v_0^2.
\]
Hence,
\[(10) \quad l^2w \geq r(l^2 + r)v_0^2.\]

If \( w < 0 \) then \( 0 > l^2w \geq r(l^2 + r)v_0^2 > 0 \), a contradiction. Henceforth, \( w > 0 \).

From (5),
\[
ab < (2rv_0^3 - v^2)/(2v_0 - v^2).
\]
Thus,
\[
w = ab - rv_0^2 \leq [(2rv_0^3 - v^2)/(2v_0 - v^2)] - rv_0^2
\]
\[
= v^2(r^2 - 1)/(2v_0 - v^2)
\]
\[
= v^2(r - 1)v_0 + (v_0^2(2 - r)v^2 + v_0(r - 1)v^4 - v^2)/(2v_0 - v^2).
\]
By (1)–(3),
\[
(v_0^2(2 - r)v^2 + v_0(r - 1)v^4 - v^2)/(2v_0 - v^2) < 1.
\]
If \( w > v^2(r - 1)v_0 \) then \( v^2(r - 1)v_0 < w < v^2(r - 1)v_0 + 1 \), a contradiction. Hence,\( 0 < w < v^2(r - 1)v_0 \); that is, \( v_0 > w/(r - 1)v^2 > 0 \). Thus from (10),
\[
l^2w \geq r(l^2 + r)v_0^2 \geq (r(l^2 + r)v_0w)/(r - 1)v^2 > (l^2 + r)w,
\]
where the last inequality follows from (1). However, \( r > 0 \), so we have a contradiction. \( \square \)

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 is Yokoi [16, Theorem 2, p. 153].

We now apply Theorem 1.1 to the determination of nontrivial class numbers of real quadratic fields. The following result generalizes [12, Theorem 2.1].

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( n > 7 \) be a square-free integer and let \( v \) be the least positive integer such that \( v^2n = l^2 + r \), where either \( v = 1 \) and \( r \in (-l, l) \), \( 4l \equiv 0 \) (mod 4) and \( n \not\equiv 1 \) (mod 4), or \( |r| = 1, 4 \). Let \( q \) be a prime dividing \( l \) such that: if \( r = 1 \) and \( l \) is even then \( l > 2qv^2 \); if \( r = 1 \) and \( l \) is odd then \( 2l > v^2q \); if \( r = -1 \) then \( qv^2 < 2(l - 1) \); if \( r = 4 \) then \( l > qv^2 \); and if \( r = -4 \) then \( l > 2 + qv^2 \). Then \( h(n) > 1 \) if any of the following conditions hold:

(i) \( \text{g.c.d.}(q, r) = 1 \), \( q > 2 \) and \( (r/q) = 1 \), where \( (r/q) \) denotes the Legendre symbol.
(ii) $q = 2$ and $r \neq 1$ is odd.
(iii) $q = 2$, $r = 1$ and $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.
(iv) $q$ divides $r$ and $|r| > q$.
(v) $|r| = q > 2$.

Proof. If $v = 1$ then the result is [12, Theorem 2.1]. If $v \neq 1$ then $|r| = 1$ or 4 by hypothesis. Suppose $h(n) = 1$. Therefore, there exist integers $(x, y)$ such that:

(a) In cases (i) and (iii), $x^2 - ny^2 = \pm \sigma^2 q$, where $\sigma = 2$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\sigma = 1$ otherwise, since $q$ splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$.
(b) In case (ii) with $r = -1$, $x^2 - ny^2 = \pm 2$, since 2 ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$.
(a)–(b) contradict Theorem 1.1.

The following table provides an application of Theorem 1.2. The entries are all of the integers less than 100 available by this method. Note that of the 22 integers $n$ less than 100 with $h(n) > 1$ we miss only four by this method, namely 55, 66, 70, and 91.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$v$</th>
<th>$l$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$h(n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Imaginary Quadratic Fields and Diophantine Equations. The first main result actually holds for real or imaginary quadratic fields. However, the theorem is more readily applied to imaginary quadratic fields as its corollary illustrates. Moreover, the following generalizes [11, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2]. In what follows, $\mathcal{C}_K$ denotes the class group of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$. Moreover, by a primitive element $(x + y\sqrt{n}) \in \mathcal{O}_K$, the ring of integers of $K$, we mean that $\gcd(ax, ay) = a$, where $\sigma = 2$ if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\sigma = 1$ otherwise. Finally, for a prime $p$ and an integer $m$, $p^a = |m|_p$ denotes the fact that $p^a$ divides $m$ but $p^{a+1}$ does not.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $n$ be a square-free integer and let $m > 1$, $t > 1$ be integers such that

(i) $\pm m^t$ is the norm of a primitive element from $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$;
(ii) \( \pm m^c \) is not the norm of a primitive element from \( K \) for all \( c \) properly dividing \( t \), and

(iii) if \( t = |m|^2 = 2 \) then \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \).

Then \( t \) divides the exponent of \( \mathcal{O}_K \).

Proof. By (i) there are relatively prime integers \( x_0 \) and \( y_0 \) such that \( x_0^2 - ny_0^2 = \pm \sigma^2 m^t \), where \( \sigma = 2 \) if \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) and \( \sigma = 1 \) otherwise. Let \( m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r} \), where the \( p_i \)'s are distinct rational primes and the \( \alpha_i \)'s are positive integers. We claim that \( p_i\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{Q}_i \) for distinct \( \mathcal{O}_K \) primes \( \mathcal{P}_i \) and \( \mathcal{Q}_i \), with \( i \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \). If \( p_i > 2 \), then \( (n/p_i) = (n y_0^2/p_i) = (x_0^2 - \sigma^2 m^t/p_i) = (x_0^2/p_i) = 1 \). If \( p_i = 2 \), then by hypothesis (iii), \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \), and the claim follows.

Note that if \( z_1 = [x_0 + y_0\sqrt{n}]/\sigma \) and \( z_2 = [x_0 - y_0\sqrt{n}]/\sigma \), then

\[
(m)^t = (z_1)(z_2) = [\mathcal{P}_1^{\alpha_1}\mathcal{Q}_1^{\alpha_1}]^t \cdots [\mathcal{P}_r^{\alpha_r}\mathcal{Q}_r^{\alpha_r}]^t.
\]

Now, if \( \mathcal{P}_i \) divides both \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \), then \( z_1 + z_2 = x_0 \) and \( (z_1 - z_2)^2 = y_0^2n \) are in \( \mathcal{P}_i \). However, \( \text{g.c.d.}(x_0, n) = 1 \), since \( t > 1 \) and \( n \) is square-free. Moreover, \( \text{g.c.d.}(x_0, y_0) = 1 \), whence \( 1 \in \mathcal{P}_i \), a contradiction. Hence, for a suitable choice of \( \mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}_i \), we have \( (x + y\sqrt{n}]/\sigma) = (\mathcal{P}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathcal{P}_r^{\alpha_r})^t = \mathcal{A}^t \), say. Let \( g = \text{g.c.d.}(t, h(n)) \). Then there are integers \( u \) and \( v \) such that \( tu + vh(n)v = g \). Hence \( \mathcal{A}^t = \mathcal{A}^{tu} + k(n)v = (\mathcal{A}^t)^u(\mathcal{A}^{k(n)})^v \) is principal. Therefore, \( \mathcal{A}^t \) yields a primitive element of which \( \pm m^t \) must be a norm. By (ii), \( g = t \); that is, \( \mathcal{A} \) is an element of order \( t \) in \( \mathcal{O}_K \), so \( t \) divides the exponent of \( \mathcal{O}_K \). □

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 is Cowles [3, Theorem, p. 113].

The following is an application of Theorem 2.1 to imaginary quadratic fields and generalizes [11, Corollaries 2.4 and 2.6].

**Corollary 2.1.** Let \( n \) be a square-free negative integer and \( m > 1 \), \( t > 1 \) any integers such that \( m^t \) is the norm of a primitive element of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \). Let \( x_0^2 - ny_0^2 = \sigma^2 m^t \) (with \( \sigma = 2 \) if \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \) and \( \sigma = 1 \) otherwise) be a solution such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. \( x_0^2 \leq \sigma^2 m^{t-1}(m-1) \).
2. \( y_0 \leq b \) for all positive integers \( b \) which satisfy \( nb^2 = a^2 - 4mc \) for some \( c \) properly dividing \( t \) and some integer \( a > 0 \) relatively prime to \( b \).
3. \( t = |m|^2 = 2 \) then \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \).

Then \( t \) divides the exponent of \( \mathcal{O}_K \).

Proof. Suppose there is a proper divisor \( c \) of \( t \) and relatively prime integers \( a \) and \( b \) such that \( 4m^c = a^2 - nb^2 \). Therefore, \( 4m^c > -nb^2 = - (x_0^2 - 4m^t)b^2/y_0^2 \), whence

\[
(y_0^2/b^2 m^{t-c-1}) + (x_0^2/(4m^{t-1}) > m.
\]

However, \( (x_0^2)/(4m^{t-1}) \leq (x_0^2/m^{t-1}\sigma^2) \leq m - 1 \) and \( y_0^2/b^2 \leq 1 \) by (2), whence \( y_0^2/b^2 m^{t-c-1} \leq 1/m^{t-c-1} \leq 1 \). Therefore,

\[
1 + (m - 1) > (y_0^2/b^2 m^{t-c-1}) + (x_0^2/\sigma^2 m^{t-1}) > m,
\]

a contradiction. □

Immediate consequences of Corollary 2.1 are Gross and Rohrlich [5, Theorem 5.3, p. 222] and Hongwen [7, Theorem 6, p. 1277]. Both of the above dealt only with the case \( x_0 = y_0 = 1 \).
The following table illustrates Corollary 2.1 by providing 15 examples of certain values available by this method. Note that for $y_0 = 1$, condition (2) of Corollary 2.1 is vacuous, and in fact for small values of $y_0$ the result is easy to apply. Few values of $n$ are unavailable by this method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$s$</th>
<th>$-n$</th>
<th>$h(n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values in the above table are taken from "Groupe des classes des corps quadratiques imaginaires $Q(\sqrt{-a})$, $a < 10,000$" by Bernard Oriat of Faculté des Sciences de Besançon.
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