

# Quasi-Optimal Estimates for Finite Element Approximations Using Orlicz Norms\*

By Ricardo G. Durán

**Abstract.** We consider the approximation by linear finite elements of the solution of the Dirichlet problem  $-\Delta u = f$ . We obtain a relation between the error in the infinite norm and the error in some Orlicz spaces. As a consequence, we get quasi-optimal uniform estimates when  $u$  has second derivatives in the Orlicz space associated with the exponential function. This estimate contains, in particular, the case where  $f$  belongs to  $L^\infty$  and the boundary of the domain is regular. We also show that optimal order estimates are valid for the error in this Orlicz space provided that  $u$  be regular enough.

**1. Introduction.** Consider the problem of finding  $u$  such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain contained in  $R^n$  and  $f$  is a given function.

We shall use standard notation for the Sobolev spaces  $W_p^k(\Omega)$  and  $H^k(\Omega) = W_2^k$  with the norms

$$\|f\|_{k,p,\Omega} = \sum_{j \leq k} |f|_{j,p,\Omega},$$

where

$$|f|_{j,p,\Omega} = \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

We shall write  $\|f\|_{k,p} = \|f\|_{k,p,\Omega}$  and  $|f|_{k,p} = |f|_{k,p,\Omega}$  when there is no confusion.

The letter  $C$  will denote a constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

For simplicity we will consider  $\Omega$  to be a convex polyhedral domain, but the results are valid in more general domains as in [9].

Let  $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}$  be a quasi-regular family of triangulations of  $\Omega$  and denote by  $u_h$  the  $H_0^1$ -projection of  $u$  into the space of piecewise linear functions  $M_h \subset H_0^1$ , that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_h \nabla v_h \, dx = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx, \quad v_h \in M_h.$$

It is well known (see [1]) that

$$|u - u_h|_{0,2} \leq Ch^2 |u|_{2,2} \quad \text{and} \quad |u - u_h|_{1,2} \leq Ch |u|_{2,2}.$$

---

Received September 16, 1985; revised May 15, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 65N30.

\*Supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas de Argentina.

Many authors have studied estimates for  $u - u_h$  in  $W_p^1$ -norms and  $L^p$ -norms. In [8] the following optimal estimate for the gradient of the error in  $L^p$  is obtained,

$$|u - u_h|_{1,p} \leq Ch\|u\|_{2,p} \quad \text{for } 1 < p \leq \infty.$$

Then by the usual duality argument (see [1]) they get

$$|u - u_h|_{0,p} \leq Ch^2\|u\|_{2,p} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq p < \infty,$$

provided that  $\Omega$  is a convex polygonal domain or  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth.

As is known, this duality argument cannot be applied for  $p = \infty$ .

A quasi-optimal estimate for the error in  $L^\infty$  was obtained in [9], where it is proved that

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \leq Ch^2 \log \frac{1}{h} \|u\|_{2,\infty}.$$

Moreover, in [4] an example is given that shows that the logarithm in this estimate cannot be removed.

We will work here with Orlicz spaces defined in the following way. Given a convex function  $\phi: R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ ,  $\phi(0) = 0$ , let

$$L^\phi(\Omega) = \left\{ f \mid \exists b > 0 \mid \int_\Omega \phi\left(\frac{|f|}{b}\right) dx < \infty \right\}.$$

$L^\phi$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^\phi} = \inf \left\{ b > 0 \mid \int_\Omega \phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{b}\right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We will call  $W_\phi^k$  the space of functions in  $L^\phi$  with derivatives up to the order  $k$  in  $L^\phi$ , and we will use analogous notation as in the  $L^p$  case for the norms and seminorms.

When the boundary of  $\Omega$  is regular and  $1 < p < \infty$  [3],

$$\|u\|_{2,p} \leq C|f|_{0,p},$$

and consequently,

$$|u - u_h|_{0,p} \leq Ch^2|f|_{0,p}.$$

As is well known, the regularity result mentioned above is not true for  $p = \infty$ , but if  $f \in L^\infty$  the solution  $u \in W_{\phi_1}^2$ , where  $\phi_1(t) = e^t - t - 1$ . Moreover, the second derivatives of  $u$  are in the space of functions with bounded mean oscillation BMO (same proof as in the  $L^p$  case [3], using the result of [6]) and this space is contained in  $L^{\phi_1}$  when the domain is bounded, [5]. Then it is natural to seek an estimate for  $|u - u_h|_{0,\infty}$  when  $u$  has second derivatives in  $L^{\phi_1}$ .

In this paper we obtain a relation between the error in  $L^\infty$  and the error in some Orlicz spaces that implies in particular the following quasi-optimal estimate,

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \leq Ch^2 \left( \log \frac{1}{h} \right)^2 \|u\|_{2,\phi_1}.$$

This estimate contains as a particular case the following one proved in [9],

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \leq Ch^2 \left( \log \frac{1}{h} \right)^2 |f|_{0,\infty}.$$

A similar estimate was obtained also in [7] but with a higher power of the logarithm and with the BMO norm of the second derivatives in the right-hand side.

Our result is more general because BMO is strictly contained in  $L^{\phi_1}$  (for example, in  $\Omega = (-1, 1)$  the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \log x, & x > 0, \\ 0, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$

is in  $L^{\phi_1}$  but not in BMO).

Error estimates for problems where  $u$  has other kinds of singularities can be obtained by our theorem. As examples, consider  $\Omega = \{x \in R^2 \mid |x| < 1/e\}$  and

$$u(x) = |x|^2 \left( \log \frac{1}{|x|} \right)^{1/n} - 1/e^2, \quad n \in N.$$

In this case,  $D^\alpha u \in L^\phi(\Omega)$  for  $|\alpha| = 2$ , where  $\phi(t) = e^{t^n} - t^n - 1$ , and then we will get the following estimate,

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \leq Ch^2 \left( \log \frac{1}{h} \right)^{1+1/n} \|u\|_{2,\phi}.$$

Finally, we show in the two-dimensional case that

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\phi_1} \leq Ch^2 \|u\|_{2,\infty},$$

provided that  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth or  $\Omega$  is a Lipschitz convex domain. In this way we show that the logarithm factor can be removed if we replace the  $L^\infty$ -norm on the left by a slightly weaker Orlicz norm.

## 2. Error Estimates.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $v \in M_h$  the following inverse inequality holds,*

$$(1) \quad |v|_{0,\infty} \leq C\phi^{-1}(1/h^n)|v|_{0,\phi}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$  such that  $|v|_{0,\infty,T} = |v|_{0,\infty}$ . By usual scaling arguments one can see that

$$|v|_{0,\infty,T} \leq C(1/h^n) \int_T |v(x)| dx.$$

Let  $\psi$  be the complementary function of  $\phi$ ; then we can apply the Hölder inequality for Orlicz spaces, and we have

$$(2) \quad |v|_{0,\infty,T} \leq C(1/h^n)|v|_{0,\phi}\chi|_{0,\psi},$$

where  $\chi$  is the characteristic function of  $T$ . But  $|\chi|_{0,\psi} = b$ , where  $b$  satisfies

$$\int_T \psi(1/b) dx = 1,$$

so  $b = 1/\psi^{-1}(1/|T|)$  and then, using the inequality  $t \leq \phi^{-1}(t)\psi^{-1}(t)$ , we get

$$(3) \quad b \leq |T|\phi^{-1}(1/|T|) \leq Ch^n\phi^{-1}(1/h^n),$$

and (2) and (3) imply (1).  $\square$

The result of the following lemma is proved in [2] but we give here a more direct proof.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $g$  be a continuous function such that  $\partial g/\partial x_j \in L^\phi(Q)$ , where  $Q \subset R^n$  is an open set with Lipschitz boundary. Assume that*

$$\mu(t) = \int_0^t \phi^{-1}(1/s^n) ds$$

*is finite. Then,*

$$(4) \quad |g(x+y) - g(x)| \leq C|g|_{1,\phi,Q} \mu(|y|).$$

*Proof.* Taking an extension, we can assume that  $g$  is in  $W_\phi^1(R^n)$ . Let  $\eta \in C_0^\infty$  such that  $\int \eta = 1$  and  $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$ ,  $\eta_t(x) = t^{-n}\eta(x/t)$  and  $v(x, t) = g * \eta_t(x)$ ; then

$$(\partial v/\partial x_j)(x, t) = \int (\partial g/\partial x_j)(y) \eta_t(x-y) dy,$$

and applying the Hölder inequality, we have

$$(5) \quad |(\partial v/\partial x_j)(x, t)| \leq 2|\partial g/\partial x_j|_{0,\phi} |\eta_t|_{0,\psi}.$$

Set  $b = t^{-n}/\psi^{-1}(t^{-n})$ ; then, since  $\eta(x/t) \leq 1$  and  $\psi$  is convex, we have

$$\int \psi(t^{-n}\eta(x/t)/b) dx = \int \psi(\psi^{-1}(t^{-n})\eta(x/t)) dx \leq \int \eta(x/t) t^{-n} dx = 1.$$

Consequently,

$$|\eta_t|_{0,\psi} \leq t^{-n}/\psi^{-1}(t^{-n}) \leq \phi^{-1}(t^{-n}),$$

and by (5),

$$|(\partial v/\partial x_j)(x, t)| \leq 2|\partial g/\partial x_j|_{0,\phi} \phi^{-1}(t^{-n}).$$

A similar estimate for  $\partial v/\partial t$  can be obtained in the following way. First observe that

$$\partial \eta_t / \partial t = - \sum_{i=1}^n \partial(x_i \eta)_t / \partial x_i;$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial v/\partial t)(x, t) &= (g * \partial \eta_t / \partial t)(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^n (g * \partial(x_i \eta)_t / \partial x_i) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \partial g/\partial x_i * (x_i \eta)_t, \end{aligned}$$

and now we are in the same situation as before, with  $\eta$  replaced by  $x_i \eta$ . In the same way we can prove that

$$|(x_i \eta)_t|_{0,\psi} \leq \phi^{-1}(t^{-n}) \max\{\|x_i \eta\|_{L^1}, \|x_i \eta\|_{L^\infty}\}$$

and then,

$$|(\partial v/\partial t)(x, t)| \leq C|g|_{1,\phi} \phi^{-1}(t^{-n}),$$

where  $C$  depends on  $\eta$ .

Now (4) follows easily, writing

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) - g(x) &= [g(x+y) - v(x+y, |y|)] + [v(x+y, |y|) - v(x, |y|)] \\ &\quad + [v(x, |y|) - g(x)] \end{aligned}$$

and estimating each summand separately.  $\square$

Now we restrict ourselves to functions of the form  $\phi(t) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j t^j$  with  $a_j \geq 0$ , because our main example is of this form. For this class of functions it is easy to prove results about the error for Lagrange interpolation in the  $\phi$ -norm. In fact, using the known estimates for  $L^p$ -norms and the series expansion of  $\phi$ , we get the following result,

$$|u - I_h u|_{j,\phi} \leq Ch^{2-j} \|u\|_{2,\phi}, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

where  $I_h u$  is the Lagrange interpolation of  $u$ . Then we can state the following corollary of Lemma 2.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $\phi(t) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j t^j$ ,  $a_j \geq 0$ , be an Orlicz function; then*

$$|u - I_h u|_{0,\infty} \leq Ch\mu(h) \|u\|_{2,\phi}.$$

We can now give a theorem which compares the error in  $L^\infty$ - and  $L^\phi$ -norms.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\phi$  satisfies the condition of Corollary 1 and  $\mu$  is the function associated with  $\phi$  in Lemma 2, then there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \leq Ch\mu(h) \left[ \|u\|_{2,\phi} + \frac{|u - u_h|_{0,\phi}}{h^2} \right].$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u - u_h|_{0,\infty} &\leq |u - I_h u|_{0,\infty} + |I_h u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \\ &\leq C \left[ h\mu(h) \|u\|_{2,\phi} + \phi^{-1}(1/h^n) |I_h u - u_h|_{0,\phi} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

But  $|I_h u - u|_{0,\phi} \leq Ch^2 \|u\|_{2,\phi}$  and then,

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \leq C \left[ h\mu(h) \|u\|_{2,\phi} + h^2 \phi^{-1}(h^{-n}) \|u\|_{2,\phi} + \phi^{-1}(h^{-n}) |u - u_h|_{0,\phi} \right].$$

Noting that  $h\phi^{-1}(h^{-n}) \leq \mu(h)$ , we obtain the result.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *There exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(6) \quad |u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \leq Ch(\log h^{-1})\mu(h) \|u\|_{2,\phi}$$

and, in particular,

$$(7) \quad |u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \leq Ch^2 (\log h^{-1})^2 \|u\|_{2,\phi}.$$

*Proof.* By the known estimates [9], [1]

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\infty} \leq Ch^2 \log h^{-1} \|u\|_{2,\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad |u - u_h|_{0,2} \leq Ch^2 \|u\|_{2,2}$$

we get by interpolation

$$|u - u_h|_{0,p} \leq Ch^2 \log h^{-1} \|u\|_{2,p} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq p < \infty,$$

with  $C$  independent of  $p$ . Using the expansion in power series of  $\phi$ , we get

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\phi} \leq Ch^2 \log h^{-1} \|u\|_{2,\phi},$$

hence, by Theorem 1, we get (6).

When  $\phi = \phi_1$  it is easily shown that  $\mu_1(h) \leq Ch \log h^{-1}$  for small  $h$  and this proves (7).  $\square$

We will show in the following theorem that as a consequence of the estimates for  $|u - u_h|_{1,\infty}$  [8] we have optimal-order estimates in the  $\phi_1$ -norm if  $u \in W_\infty^2$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset R^2$  be such that  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth or  $\Omega$  is convex with Lipschitz boundary. Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$|u - u_h|_{0,\phi_1} \leq Ch^2 \|u\|_{2,\infty}.$$

*Proof.* In [8] it is proved that

$$|u - u_h|_{1,p} \leq Ch \|u\|_{2,p}, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

On the other hand, if  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $-\Delta v = g$ ,

$$(8) \quad \|v\|_{2,q} \leq \frac{C}{q-1} \|g\|_q \quad \text{for } 1 < q \leq 2.$$

In fact, if  $\Omega$  has a smooth boundary (for instance  $C^{1,1}$ ), (8) can be shown by the classical proof [3], examining carefully the constants involved. In the case of a Lipschitz convex domain this result was proven recently by T. Wolff in unpublished work. Indeed, he has proven a weak type inequality for  $L^1$  that, together with the known result for  $q = 2$ , implies (8) by usual interpolation methods.

By the known duality argument of Aubin-Nitsche [1], and using (8), we get

$$|u - u_h|_{0,p} \leq Cph^2 \|u\|_{2,p}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty,$$

with  $C$  independent of  $p$ .

But, in general, if we have two functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  such that

$$|g_1|_{0,p} \leq C_1 p |g_2|_{0,p}, \quad 2 \leq p < \infty,$$

then,

$$|g_1|_{0,\phi_1} \leq C_1 C_2 |g_2|_{0,\infty},$$

where  $C_2$  depends only on  $\Omega$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \phi_1 \left( \frac{|g_1(x)|}{K |g_2|_{0,\infty}} \right) dx &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{|g_1(x)|^j}{K^j |g_2|_{0,\infty}^j} \frac{1}{j!} dx \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j! K^j |g_2|_{0,\infty}^j} \int_{\Omega} |g_1(x)|^j dx \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{C_1^j j^j |g_2|_{0,j}^j}{j! K^j |g_2|_{0,\infty}^j} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{C_1}{K} \right)^j \frac{j^j}{j!} |\Omega|, \end{aligned}$$

and the last series is convergent and less than 1 if we choose  $K = C_1 C_2$  with  $C_2$  sufficiently large, depending only on  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

Department of Mathematics  
University of Chicago  
Chicago, Illinois 60637

1. P. G. CIARLET, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
2. T. K. DONALDSON & N. S. TRUDINGER, "Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and imbedding theorems," *J. Funct. Anal.*, v. 8, 1971, pp. 52-75.

3. D. GILBARG & N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1983.
4. R. HAVERKAMP, "Eine Aussage zur  $L^\infty$ -Stabilität und zur genauen Konvergenzordnung der  $H_0^1$ -Projektionen," preprint 508, Sonderforschungsbereich 72, Approximation und Optimierung, Universität Bonn, 1983.
5. F. JOHN & L. NIRENBERG, "On functions of bounded mean oscillation," *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, v. 14, 1961, pp. 415–426.
6. J. PEETRE, "On convolution operators leaving  $L^{p,\lambda}$  invariant," *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, v. 72, 1966, pp. 295–304.
7. R. RANNACHER, "Zur  $L^\infty$ -Konvergenz linearer finiter Elemente beim Dirichlet-Problem," *Math. Z.*, v. 149, 1976, pp. 69–77.
8. R. RANNACHER & R. SCOTT, "Some optimal error estimates for piecewise linear finite element approximations," *Math. Comp.*, v. 38, 1982, pp. 437–445.
9. A. H. SCHATZ & L. B. WAHLBIN, "On the quasi-optimality in  $L_\infty$  of the  $H^1$ -projection into finite element spaces," *Math. Comp.*, v. 38, 1982, pp. 1–22.