SOLVING REAL POLYNOMIAL SYSTEMS
WITH REAL HOMOTOPIES

T. Y. LI AND XIAOSHEN WANG

Abstract. When a real homotopy is used for solving a polynomial system with
real coefficients, bifurcation of some of the homotopy paths at singular points
is inevitable. The main result of this paper shows that, generically, the solution
set of a real homotopy contains no singular point other than a finite number
of quadratic turning points. At a quadratic turning point, the bifurcation phe-
monenon is quite simple. It consists of two bifurcation branches with their
tangent vectors being perpendicular to each other.

1. Introduction

Let \( P(z) = 0 \) denote a system of polynomial equations in \( n \) unknowns.
Denoting \( P = (p_1, \ldots, p_n) \), we want to find all solutions to
\[
\begin{align*}
    p_1(z_1, \ldots, z_n) &= 0, \\
    \vdots                        \\
    p_n(z_1, \ldots, z_n) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
for \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \). The homotopy continuation method for solving
this system consists of a start system \( Q(z) = (q_1(z), \ldots, q_n(z)) \) with known
solutions, homotopy \( H(z, t) \) for transforming the start system \( Q(z) \) into the
target system \( P(z) \), and a method for tracking solution paths as the transfor-
mation proceeds.

Most practical polynomial systems in application consist of polynomials with
real coefficients, and in most cases the only desired solutions are real solutions.
Recently, the subject has received increasing attention [2, 3, 5, 6, 9]. This also
suggests the usage of real homotopies. That is, when the coefficients of the target
polynomial system \( P(z) \) we want to solve are all real, we choose the start system
\( Q(z) = 0 \) with real coefficients, making the homotopy \( H(z, t) = 0 \) to consist
of a real polynomial system for each \( t \). Thus, for a fixed \( t \), if \( z \) is a solution
of \( H(z, t) = 0 \), so is its conjugate \( \bar{z} \). Accordingly, a major advantage of the
real homotopy is that when a complex homotopy path \( (z(s), t(s)) \) is followed,
its conjugate homotopy path \( (\bar{z}, t(s)) \) can be obtained as a by-product without
any further computations. Furthermore, although the homotopy \( H(z, t) \) is a
map from \( C^n \times [0, 1] \) to \( C^n \), when a real homotopy path is traced, we may
consider \( H(z, t) \) as a map from \( R^n \times [0, 1] \) to \( R^n \). Hence, the computation
of real paths may be done in real space, and will use real arithmetic. In this
way, the amount of computation can be reduced considerably.

The regularity of the homotopy algorithm is usually achieved by random
perturbations of certain parameters of the homotopy. For obvious reasons, the
perturbation for real homotopies must be restricted to real perturbations. In
contrast to the complex perturbations used in [3, 4], the real perturbation cannot
assure complete regularity of our homotopy paths. Indeed, bifurcation of some
of the homotopy paths is inevitable. Bifurcation occurs at singular points. It
turns out that at a particular kind of singular point, quadratic turning points, the
bifurcation phenomenon is very simple. It consists of two bifurcation branches
with their tangent vectors being perpendicular to each other. The proof of this
assertion will be given in §2.

It was conjectured in [3] that, generically, the solution set of a real homotopy
contains no singular points other than a finite number of quadratic turning
points. A paper by Verlinden and Haegemans [9] asserts that this conjecture is
true but their proof has a gap, and the authors agreed this gap exists. A review
in Mathematical Reviews (MR 91e, p. 2783, #65071) reported this error.

The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of this conjecture, using a different
approach. In §3, we first characterize the quadratic turning point of \( H(z, t) = 0 \)
as a nonsingular solution of the \( n + 1 \) equations

\[
\begin{align*}
H(z, t) &= 0 \\
\det(H_z(z, t)) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

in the \( n + 1 \) variables \( z_1, \ldots, z_n, t \). From this characterization, we prove
in §5 that for certain randomly chosen real parameters in the real homotopy
\( H(z, t) \) the set \( H^{-1}(0) \) contains no singular points other than a finite number
of quadratic turning points. As an important consequence of this result, the
influence of the occurrence of the bifurcation on the efficiency of the algorithm
will be minimal.

2. Bifurcation at a quadratic turning point

In this section, we shall discuss quadratic turning points in a more general
setting. For an analytic function \( R(z, t) : C^n \times R^1 \) (or \( C^n \times C^1 \)) \( \rightarrow C^n \)
denote the partial derivatives of \( R \) with respect to \( z \) and \( t \) by \( D_z R \) and \( D_t R \),
respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** A point \( (z^0, t^0) \in C^n \times R^1 \) (or \( C^n \times C^1 \)) is a quadratic turning
point of \( R(z, t) = 0 \) if

(a) \( R(z^0, t^0) = 0 \);

(b) \( \text{rank } D_z R(z^0, t^0) = n - 1 \);

(c) \( D_t R(z^0, t^0) \) is not in the range of \( D_z R(z^0, t^0) \); i.e., \( DR = [D_z R, D_t R] \)
is of real rank \( 2n - 1 \) at \( (z^0, t^0) \) (or \( [D_z R, D_t R] \) is of rank \( n \) if \( (z^0, t^0) \in C^n \times C^1 \)).
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(d) For $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\Psi^* D_z R(z^0, t^0) = 0$ and $D_z R(z^0, t^0) \Phi = 0$,

$$\Psi^* D_{zz} R(z^0, t^0) \Phi \Phi \neq 0,$$

where $\Psi^*$ represents the transpose of the complex conjugate of $\Psi$.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $R: \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be analytic and $(z^0, t^0) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^1$ be a quadratic turning point of $R(z, t) = 0$. Then,

(i) there are exactly two solution paths $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ passing through $(z^0, t^0)$;

(ii) if $\phi$ is the tangent vector of $\Gamma_1$ at $(z^0, t^0)$, then $i \phi$ is the tangent vector of $\Gamma_2$ at $(z^0, t^0)$. That is, at $(z^0, t^0)$ the tangent vectors of $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ are perpendicular to each other;

(iii) the second derivatives $\ddot{t}$ of the component $t$ of $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ have different sign at $(z^0, t^0)$. That is, $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ lie on opposite sides of $(z^0, t^0)$ with respect to the $t$-direction (see Figure 1).

To prove this proposition, we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $R: \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^1 \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be analytic and $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^1$ be a solution of $R(z, \lambda) = 0$ satisfying conditions (a)-(d) in Definition 2.1. Then in a neighborhood of $(0, 0)$, solutions $(z, \lambda)$ of $R(z, \lambda) = 0$ can be parametrized in the form

$$z = z(u), \quad \lambda = u^2 g(u),$$

where $g(0) \neq 0$.

**Proof.** Denote $A = D_z R(0, 0)$. Let $\mathcal{N}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ be the kernel and the range of $A$, respectively. Normalize $\Phi, \Psi$ in Definition 2.1 in such a way that $\Psi^* \Psi = I, \Phi^* \Phi = I$. Choose linearly independent vectors $\Phi_2, \ldots, \Phi_n$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which are orthogonal to $\Phi$. Let $N = [\Phi_2, \ldots, \Phi_n]$. Write

$$z = y_1 \Phi + Ny_2 = [\Phi, N] \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \equiv Py, \quad \text{where} \quad P = [\Phi, N] \quad \text{and} \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $A \Phi_2, \ldots, A \Phi_n$ are linearly independent and are orthogonal to $\Psi$, the matrix $Q = [\Psi, A \Phi_2, \ldots, A \Phi_n] = [\Psi, AN]$ is nonsingular. It is easy to see that the first row of $Q^{-1}$ is $\Psi^*$. Write

$$Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi^* \\ K \end{bmatrix},$$
where $K$ is an $(n-1) \times n$ matrix for which $KAN = I_{n-1}$. Let

$$H(y, \lambda) = Q^{-1}R(Py, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi^* \\ K \end{bmatrix} R(y_1\Phi + Ny_2, \lambda)$$

(2)

Then we have

$$R(z, \lambda) = 0 \leftrightarrow H(y, \lambda) = 0,$$

(3)

$$D_yH(0, 0) = Q^{-1}D_zR(0, 0)P,$$

(4)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \Psi^* \\ K \end{bmatrix} A[\Phi, N] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $H_2(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and

(6) \quad $D_{y_1}H_2(0, 0, 0) = KD_zR(0, 0)N = KAN = I_{n-1},$

by the Implicit Function Theorem, in a neighborhood of $(0, 0, 0)$ there exists $y_2 = F(y_1, \lambda)$ such that $F(0, 0) = 0$ and

$$H_2(y_1, F(y_1, \lambda), \lambda) \equiv 0.$$  

(7)

Differentiating (7) with respect to $y_1$ at $(0, 0, 0)$ yields

$$D_{y_1}H_2(0, 0, 0) + D_{y_2}H_2(0, 0, 0)D_{y_1}F(0, 0) = 0.$$  

Since

(8) \quad $D_{y_1}H_2(0, 0, 0) = KD_zR(0, 0)\Phi = K\Phi = 0,$

it follows from (6) that $D_{y_1}F(0, 0) = 0$. Substituting $y_2 = F(y_1, \lambda)$ into $H_1(y_1, y_2, \lambda)$, we have

$$E(y_1, \lambda) \equiv H_1(y_1, F(y_1, \lambda), \lambda) = \Psi^* R(y_1\Phi + NF(y_1, \lambda), \lambda).$$

Now, in a neighborhood of $(0, 0, 0)$,

$$E(y_1, \lambda) = E(0, 0) + D_{y_1}E(0, 0)y_1 + D_\lambda E(0, 0)\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(D_{y_1}E(0, 0)y_1^2 + 2D_{y_1}\lambda E(0, 0)y_1\lambda + D_{\lambda\lambda} E(0, 0)\lambda^2) + \cdots.$$  

First of all, $E(0, 0) = \Psi^* R(0, 0) = 0$. Next,

(9) \quad $D_{y_1}E(0, 0) = \Psi^* D_\lambda R(0, 0) \Phi + ND_{y_1}F(0, 0)) = \Psi^* A\Phi = 0,$

(10) \quad $D_\lambda E(0, 0) = \Psi^* D_\lambda R(0, 0) \equiv b \neq 0$ (by Definition 2.1),

$$D_{y_1}\lambda E(0, 0) = \Psi^*[D_{\lambda\lambda} R(0, 0) \Phi + ND_{y_1}F(0, 0))]\Phi + ND_{y_1}F(0, 0))$$

(11) \quad $+ D_\lambda R(0, 0)(ND_{y_1}\lambda F(0, 0)) = \Psi^* D_{\lambda\lambda} R(0, 0)\Phi \Phi \equiv a \neq 0$ (by Definition 2.1).

Hence,

$$E(y_1, \lambda) = b\lambda + \frac{1}{2}a y_1^2 + D_{y_1}E(0, 0)y_1\lambda + \frac{1}{2}D_{\lambda\lambda} E(0, 0)\lambda^2 + \cdots.$$  

Further, since $E(0, 0) = 0$ and $D_\lambda E(0, 0) = b \neq 0$, by the Implicit Function Theorem again, in a neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ there exists an analytic function $\lambda(y_1)$ such that $\lambda(0) = 0$ and
Let 
\[(13) \lambda(y) = c_0 + c_1 y + c_2 y^2 + \cdots.\]
Since \(\lambda(0) = 0\), we have \(c_0 = 0\). Substituting (13) into (12) yields
\[
E(y, \lambda(y)) = b(c_1 y + c_2 y^2 + \cdots) + \frac{1}{a} y^2 + D_{\lambda\lambda}E(0, 0)(c_1 y + c_2 y^2 + \cdots) + \frac{1}{2} D_{\lambda\lambda}E(0, 0)(c_1 y + c_2 y^2 + \cdots)^2 + \text{higher-order terms} = 0.
\]
Comparing the coefficients of the powers of \(y\) on both sides, we have
\[c_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = -\frac{a}{2b} \neq 0.\]
Thus,
\[
\lambda(y) = -\frac{a}{2b} y^2 + c_3 y^3 + c_4 y^4 + \cdots = y^2 g(y),
\]
with \(g(y) = -\frac{a}{2b} + c_3 y + c_4 y^2 + \cdots\), and \(g(0) = -\frac{a}{2b} \neq 0\).

On the other hand, since \(z = y_1 \Phi + N y_2\), in a neighborhood of \((0, 0)\) the \(z\)-component of solutions \((z, \lambda)\) of \(R(z, \lambda) = 0\) can be written as
\[
z = y_1 \Phi + NF(y_1, \lambda(y_1)).
\]
Thus, \(z = z(y_1)\), the \(z\)-component can also be parametrized by \(y_1\).

**Proof of Proposition 2.1.** First we complexify \(R\) by letting \(\lambda = t + is\), which yields \(R(z, \lambda): \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^1 \to \mathbb{C}^n\), and at \((z^0, t^0)\), we have \(\lambda^0 = t^0 + is^0\) with \(s^0 = 0\). It follows from Lemma 2.2 that in a neighborhood of \((z^0, \lambda^0)\) solutions \((z, \lambda)\) of \(R(z, \lambda) = 0\) can be parametrized in the form
\[
z(u) = z^0 + h(u), \quad \lambda(u) = \lambda^0 + u^2 g(u),
\]
where \(g(u)\) is an analytic function such that \(g(0) \neq 0\). Since \(g(0) \neq 0\), there exists an analytic function \(f(u)\) defined in a neighborhood of \(u = 0\) such that \(g(u) = f^2(u)\) and
\[
\lambda(u) = \lambda^0 + (uf(u))^2.
\]
Let \(v = uf(u)\); then
\[
\frac{dv}{du}(0) = f(0) = \sqrt{g(0)} \neq 0.
\]
So, in a neighborhood \(O\) of \(0\), there is a one-to-one correspondence \(u = k(v)\) between \(u\) and \(v\), and hence we may parametrize \((z, \lambda)\) by \(v\). That is,
\[
z(v) = z^0 + h(k(v)), \quad \lambda(v) = \lambda^0 + v^2, \quad v \in O.
\]
For the original equation \(R(z, t) = 0\), \(t\) is real; thus, we are only interested in real values of \(\lambda\). Since \(\lambda^0 = t^0\) is real, \(\lambda(v)\) will be real if and only if we choose \(v\) either on the real axis or on the imaginary axis. To be more precise, for real \(r\), let
\[
v_1(r) = ri \quad \text{and} \quad v_2(r) = r.
\]
Then, two branches of \(R(z, t): \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{C}^n\) in the neighborhood of \((z^0, t^0)\) are obtained, that is,
Γ₁: \[
\begin{align*}
  z &= z(ri), \\
  t &= λ^0 + v_1^2 = t^0 - r^2,
\end{align*}
\]
Γ₂: \[
\begin{align*}
  z &= z(r), \\
  t &= λ^0 + v_2^2 = t^0 + r^2.
\end{align*}
\]
Obviously, on Γ₁, \( t \leq t^0 \), and on Γ₂, \( t \geq t^0 \). The conclusions (ii) and (iii) follow easily. □

Remark 2.2. When one of the solution paths Γ₁ and Γ₂ is a real path, the assertion (ii) of this proposition was proved in [1, 7]. The proof given here covers the more general case where both Γ₁ and Γ₂ may be complex solution paths.

3. A CHARACTERIZATION OF A QUADRATIC TURNING POINT

Let \((z^0, t^0)\) be a solution of \(R(z, t) = 0\). Without loss of generality we may assume \((z^0, t^0) = (0, 0)\). The following proposition provides a characterization of a quadratic turning point, which is essential in proving our main result in §5.

**Proposition 3.1.** The point \((0, 0)\) is a quadratic turning point of \(R(z, t) = 0\) if and only if \((0, 0)\) is a nonsingular solution of

\[
(14) \quad \bar{R}(z, t) = \begin{cases} R(z, t) = 0, \\ \det(D_zR) = 0. \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** \((⇒)\) With \( t \) real in \( R(z, t) = 0 \), we can still use the same notations and development as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Namely, with \( z = y_1\Phi + Ny_2 = Py \), where \( P = [\Phi, N] \) and \( y = (y_1, y_2)^T \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
  Q^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} \Psi^* & K \\ K \\ H(y, t) = Q^{-1}R(Py, t), \end{bmatrix}, \\
  H(y, t) &= Q^{-1}R(Py, t), \\
  det(DyH) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

we have \( D_yH = Q^{-1}(D_zR)P \). Hence, \( det(D_zR) = 0 \) if and only if

\[
\text{det}(D_yH(y, t)) = 0.
\]

Therefore, we may replace the system (14) by

\[
(15) \quad \bar{H}(y, t) = \begin{cases} H(y, t) = 0, \\ \det(D_yH(y, t)) = 0. \end{cases}
\]

More precisely, from (2),

\[
\bar{H}(y, t) = \begin{cases} H_1(y_1, y_2, t) = \Psi^*R(y_1\Phi + Ny_2, t) = 0, \\ H_2(y_1, y_2, t) = KR(y_1\Phi + Ny_2, t) = 0, \\ \det(D_yH) = \begin{vmatrix} D_{y_1}H_1 & D_{y_2}H_1 \\ D_{y_1}H_2 & D_{y_2}H_2 \end{vmatrix} = 0. \end{cases}
\]

From (6) and (8), \( D_{y_1}H_2(0, 0, 0) = I_{n-1} \) and \( D_{y_1}H_2(0, 0, 0) = 0 \). Carrying out the same calculations as in (9), (10), and (11), we have \( D_{y_1}H_1(0, 0, 0) = 0, D_{y_1}H_1(0, 0, 0) = \Psi^*D_{y}R(0, 0) = b \) and \( D_{y_1}H_1(0, 0, 0) = 0 \). On the other hand, since \( D_{y_1}H_2(0, 0, 0) = I_{n-1} \), in a neighborhood of \((0, 0, 0)\), \( D_{y_1}H_2 \) is invertible. Thus,
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\frac{(Dy_2H_1)(Dy_2H_2)^{-1}}{I_{n-1}} \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
D_yH(y, t) \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\frac{(Dy_2H_1)(Dy_2H_2)^{-1}}{I_{n-1}} \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
D_y_1H_1 & D_y_2H_1 \\
D_y_1H_2 & D_y_2H_2
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
D_y_1H_1 - \frac{(Dy_2H_1)(Dy_2H_2)^{-1}(Dy_1H_2)}{Dy_2H_2}
D_y_1H_2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

It follows that
\[
\det(D_yH(y, t)) = [D_y_1H_1 - \frac{(Dy_2H_1)(Dy_2H_2)^{-1}(Dy_1H_2)}{Dy_2H_2}] \cdot \det(Dy_2H_2)
\]
and
\[
D_{y_1}(\det(D_yH(y, t)))
= (D_{y_1}[D_y_1H_1 - \frac{(Dy_2H_1)(Dy_2H_2)^{-1}(Dy_1H_2)}{Dy_2H_2}]) \det(Dy_2H_2)
+ [D_y_1H_1 - \frac{(Dy_2H_1)(Dy_2H_2)^{-1}(Dy_1H_2)}{Dy_2H_2}] \cdot D_{y_1}(\det(Dy_2H_2)).
\]

Now, since \(D_y_1H_1(0, 0, 0) = 0, D_y_1H_2(0, 0, 0) = 0, D_y_2H_1(0, 0, 0) = 0\) and \(D_y_2H_2(0, 0, 0) = I_{n-1}\), we have, at \((y, t) = (0, 0)\), \(D_{y_1}(\det(D_yH(y, t))) = D_{y_1}(\det(D_yH(y, t))) = \Psi^*R_{zz}(0, 0)\Phi^* \equiv a \neq 0\) as in Lemma 2.2. Thus,
\[
D_{\tilde{H}}(0, 0) = \begin{bmatrix}
D_y_1H_1 & D_y_2H_1 & D_tH_1 \\
D_y_1H_2 & D_y_2H_2 & D_tH_2 \\
D_{y_1}(\det(D_yH)) & D_{y_2}(\det(D_yH)) & D_t(\det(D_yH))
\end{bmatrix}_{y=0, t=0}
\]
\[(16)
= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & b \\
0 & I_{n-1} & * \\
a & * & *
\end{bmatrix}
\]
is nonsingular, since \(b = \Psi^*D_tH(0, 0) \neq 0\). Hence, \((0, 0)\) is a nonsingular solution of (14).

\((\Leftarrow)\) If \((0, 0)\) is a nonsingular solution of the system (14), then the rank of the matrix \(D_zR(0, 0) = A\) is at least \(n - 1\). Since \(\det(D_zR(0, 0)) = 0\), we have \(\text{rank}(D_zR(0, 0)) = n - 1\). Since \(D_{zR}(0, 0)\) has full rank, \(D_zR(0, 0) \notin \mathcal{R}(A)\). Thus, we can define \(\tilde{H}\) and \(\tilde{H}\) and follow the same development as in the proof of \((\Rightarrow)\) part. Since \(D_{\tilde{H}}\) is nonsingular, it follows from (16) that \(\Psi^*D_{zz}R(0, 0)\Phi^* \neq 0\). \(\square\)

4. A stronger Parametrized Sard's Theorem

Let \(f: \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^q\) be a smooth map. A point \(y \in \mathbb{R}^q\) is called a regular value of \(f\) if for any \(x \in f^{-1}(y)\) the Jacobian \(Df(x)\) is of full rank. For a polynomial map \(P: \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^q\), we say \(y \in \mathbb{C}^q\) is a regular value of \(P\) if \(y \in \mathbb{R}^{2q}\) is a regular value of \(P\) when \(P\) is considered as a real map \(P: \mathbb{R}^{2p} \to \mathbb{R}^{2q}\).

**Theorem 4.1 (Parametrized Sard's Theorem).** Let \(\tilde{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m\) and \(\tilde{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p\) be open sets, and \(P: \tilde{U} \times \tilde{V} \to \mathbb{R}^q\) be a smooth map. If \(0 \in \mathbb{R}^q\) is a regular value of \(P\), then for almost all \(c \in \tilde{V}, 0\) is a regular value of \(P^c(\cdot) \equiv P(\cdot, c)\).

For the purpose of proving our main result in the next section, a stronger version of the Parametrized Sard's Theorem is presented here.
Definition 4.1. A set $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called a closed Zariski set or a closed algebraic set if it is the zero set of a finite number of polynomials. An open Zariski set is the complement of a closed Zariski set. A set $A \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be constructible if it is a disjoint union $T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k$, where for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$ there holds $T_i = T'_i - T''_i$, with $T'_i$ a closed algebraic set and $T''_i$ a smaller closed algebraic set.

Proposition 4.2 [8, p. 37]. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and $V \subset \mathbb{C}^p$ be Zariski open sets and $A \subset U \times V$ be a constructible set. Then $\pi_2(A) \subset V$ is constructible, where $\pi_2$ is the natural projection of the second component, that is, $\pi_2(u, v) = v$.

Proposition 4.3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and $V \subset \mathbb{C}^p$, and $P : U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^q$ be a polynomial map. If $0$ is a regular value of $P$, then there exists a Zariski closed proper subset $S \subset \mathbb{C}^p$ such that for all $c \in V - S$, the point $0$ is a regular value of $P^c(\cdot) = P(\cdot, c)$.

Proof. Let

$$A = \{(z, c) \in U \times V | P(z, c) = 0 \text{ and } D_z P(z, c) \text{ is not of full rank}\}.$$  

Then $A$ is a constructible set, since deficiency in the rank of $D_z P(z, c)$ can be characterized by polynomial equations. By Proposition 4.2, $\pi_2(A)$ is also a constructible set. Thus, $\pi_2(A) = T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k$, where $T_i = T'_i - T''_i$, with $T'_i$ a closed algebraic set and $T''_i$ a smaller closed algebraic set. Regarding $P$ as a real map, $P : \mathbb{R}^{2m} \times \mathbb{R}^{2p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2q}$, one derives from the classical Parametrized Sard's Theorem that $\pi_2(A)$ is of measure 0. Therefore, each $T_i$ is of measure 0 and so is $T'_i$. Let $S = T'_1 \cup \cdots \cup T'_k$. Then $S$ is a Zariski closed proper subset, since it is of measure zero. □

Notice that $S \cap \mathbb{R}^p$ is a proper algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^p$, since every complex polynomial vanishing identically over $\mathbb{R}^p$ vanishes identically over $\mathbb{C}^p$. Thus, we have the following

Corollary 4.4. Under the assumption of the above proposition, if $0$ is a regular value of $P$, then there exists a proper algebraic subset $S' \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ with measure 0 such that for all $c \in V \cap \mathbb{R}^p - S'$, $0$ is a regular value of $P^c(\cdot) = P(\cdot, c)$.

5. Quadratic turning point is generic

In this section, we shall prove our main result. That is, generically, the solution set of a real homotopy for solving a polynomial system with real coefficients contains no singular points other than a finite number of quadratic turning points.

Let $P(z) = (p_1(z), \ldots, p_n(z))$ be a polynomial system with real coefficients and $\deg p_j = d_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, where $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We shall consider its homogenization $\tilde{P}(z) = (\tilde{p}_1(z), \ldots, \tilde{p}_n(z))$ with $z = (z_0, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n$, where

$$\tilde{p}_j(z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_n) = z_0^{d_j} p_j \left( \frac{z_1}{z_0}, \ldots, \frac{z_n}{z_0} \right), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Here, the complex projective $n$-space $\mathbb{P}^n$ is defined as

$$\mathbb{P}^n = (z_0, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0)/\sim, \text{ where the equivalent relation } \sim \text{ is given by } z \sim y \text{ if } z = cy \text{ for some nonzero } c \in \mathbb{C}. \text{ Let } T_i \text{ be the set of points } z \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^n \text{ with } z_i = 0. \text{ Then } \mathbb{P}^n - T_i \text{ is naturally isomorphic to } \mathbb{C}^n \text{ by the map }$

$$(z_0, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto (z_0/z_i, \ldots, z_{i-1}/z_i, z_{i+1}/z_i, \ldots, z_n/z_i).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{P}^n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n (\mathbb{P}^n - T_i).$$

Thus, $\mathbb{P}^n$ is a complex analytic manifold of complex dimension $n$ with affine charts $\{\mathbb{P}^n - T_i\}$.

Given a starting homogeneous polynomial system $\tilde{Q}(z) = (\tilde{q}_1(z), \ldots, \tilde{q}_n(z))$ with real coefficients, where $z \in \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\deg \tilde{q}_j = d_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, consider the homotopy $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{h}_1, \ldots, \tilde{h}_n)$ defined by

$$(17) \quad \tilde{h}_j(a, b, z, t) = (1-t)\tilde{q}_j + t\tilde{p}_j + t(1-t)\tilde{r}_j, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where

$$\tilde{r}_j(a, b, z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^j z_i^{d_j} + \sum_{i,k=0}^{i \neq k} b_{ik}^j z_i z_k^{d_j - 1}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

with $a = (a_i^j) \in \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)}$, $b = (b_{ik}^j) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where

$$m = n(n+1)(n - n_1 - n_2/2).$$

Here, $n_1$ and $n_2$ are the numbers of $j$ such that $d_j = 1$ and $d_j = 2$, respectively. We assume $\tilde{Q}(z) = 0$ has $d_1 \cdots d_n$ nonsingular zeros. Since $z \in \mathbb{P}^n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n (\mathbb{P}^n - T_i)$, and each $\mathbb{P}^n - T_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$, we shall consider $\tilde{H}$ locally as a map from $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \times (0, 1)$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Namely, for $z = (z_0, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n - T_i$, $\tilde{H}$ will be taken as a system in the variables $a$, $b$, $t$ and $z_0, \ldots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_n$ by setting $z_i = 1$ in $\tilde{H}$, and the Jacobian matrix $D_z \tilde{H}$ at $z$ is understood as the $n \times n$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_1}{\partial z_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_1}{\partial z_{i-1}} & \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_1}{\partial z_{i+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_1}{\partial z_n} \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_n}{\partial z_0} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_n}{\partial z_{i-1}} & \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_n}{\partial z_{i+1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_n}{\partial z_n}
\end{pmatrix}.$$
evaluated at \((z_0/z_1, \ldots, z_{i-1}/z_i, z_{i+1}/z_i, \ldots, z_n/z_i)\). Thus, for instance, by a quadratic turning point \((z^*, t^*)\) of \(\tilde{H}(a, b)(z, t) = \tilde{H}(a, b)(z, t) = 0\) for fixed \((a, b)\), we mean \((z^*, t^*)\) is a quadratic turning point of \(\tilde{H}(a, b)(z, t) = 0\) when \(\tilde{H}(a, b)\) is considered locally in a chart \(P^n - T^i\) to which \(z^*\) belongs. Since the charts are diffeomorphic to each other, the study of \(\tilde{H}(a, b) = 0\) is independent of the choice of the chart. We say \(0\) is a regular value of \(\tilde{H}(a, b)\) if \(0\) is a regular value of \(\tilde{H}(a, b)\) in every chart \(P^n - T^i\).

Let \(S(a, b, z, t) = \det(D_z \tilde{H}(a, b, z, t))\) and \(S(a, b)(z, t) = S(a, b, z, t)\) for fixed \((a, b)\). From Proposition 3.1, a quadratic turning point \((z^*, t^*)\) of \(\tilde{H}(a, b)(z, t) = 0\) for fixed \((a, b)\) must be a nonsingular solution of

\[
\tilde{H}(a, b)(z, t) = \begin{cases} 
\tilde{H}(a, b)(z, t) = 0, \\
S(a, b)(z, t) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(18)

Here, \(\tilde{H}(a, b)\) is considered locally (in a chart \(P^n - T^i\) to which \(z^*\) belongs). On the other hand, if \(0\) is a regular value of \(\tilde{H}(a, b)\) when \(\tilde{H}(a, b)\) is considered locally as a polynomial system of \(n + 1\) equations in \(n + 1\) variables, then any solution \((z, t)\) in any chart \((P^n - T^i) \times C^1\) of (18) is nonsingular. And there are at most finitely many nonsingular solutions. Among them, there are at most finitely many points with the \(t\)-component lying in \((0, 1)\). Therefore, our main result that generically the homotopy \(H(a, b)(z, t) = 0\) has no singular solution other than a finite number of quadratic turning points can be alternatively stated as follows:

**Theorem 5.1.** There exists an open dense subset \(D\) of \(R^{n(n+1)} \times R^m\) with full measure such that, if \((a, b) \in D\), then \(0\) is a regular value of \(\tilde{H}(a, b)(z, t)\) in (18).

To prove Theorem 5.1, we first consider the assertion of the theorem locally.

**Lemma 5.2.** For \(0 \leq i \leq n\), there exists an open dense subset \(G_i\) of \(R^{n(n+1)} \times R^m\) with full measure such that, if \((a, b) \in G_i\), then \(0\) is a regular value of \(\tilde{H}(a, b)(z, t)\) in (18) considered locally in \((P^n - T_i) \times (C^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})\).

**Proof.** We prove the result for \(i = 0\). The rest of the cases follows by exactly the same arguments. We shall consider \(\tilde{H}\) in (17) and \(\tilde{H}(a, b)\) in (18) locally in \(R^{n(n+1)} \times R^m \times (P^n - T_0) \times (C^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})\) and \((P^n - T_0) \times (C^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})\), respectively, by setting \(z_0 = 1\) in \(\tilde{H}\) and \(\tilde{H}(a, b)\).

First of all, let us take \(a, b\) as complex vectors and \(t \in C^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}\). It is easy to see that \(\text{rank}(D_a \tilde{H}) = n\), so \(0\) is a regular value of \(\tilde{H}\). By Proposition 4.3 there exists a Zariski open set \(N \subset C^{n(n+1)} \times C^m\) such that for fixed \((a, b) \in N\), the point \(0\) is a regular value of \(\tilde{H}(a, b)\), and hence, for any solution \((z, t)\) of \(\tilde{H}(a, b) = 0\) in (18), \(\text{rank}(D_z \tilde{H}(a, b)) = n - 1\).

For notational simplicity, write \(b_i^j = b_{i,0}^j\) in (17). Now, consider \(S(a, b, z, t)\)
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defined on $N \times \mathbb{C}^n \times (\mathbb{C}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i^j} S(a, b, z, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial b_i^j} \begin{vmatrix}
\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial z_n} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial z_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial z_n}
\end{vmatrix}
= \frac{\partial}{\partial h_i^j} S(a, b, z, t)
$$

$$
= (-1)^{i+j} t(1-t)
$$

Since $D_z \tilde{H}(a, b)$ is of rank $n-1$, one of the $w(i, j)$'s must be nonzero. Assume, without loss, $\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i^j} S(a, b, z, t) \equiv \beta \neq 0$. Then, for $(a, b) \in N$ and

$$
\bar{H}(a, b, z, t) = \left\{ \tilde{H}(a, b, z, t), \quad S(a, b, z, t) \right\},
$$

$D\bar{H}$ has a submatrix of the form

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial a_0^1} \tilde{h}_1 & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial a_0^i} \tilde{h}_1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial a_n^1} \tilde{h}_1 & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial a_n^i} \tilde{h}_1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial b_1^1} \tilde{h}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial b_1^n} \tilde{h}_1
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial b_1^1} \tilde{h}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial b_1^n} \tilde{h}_n
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
t(1-t)I \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

which is obviously of rank $n+1$. Thus, 0 is a regular value of $\bar{H}(a, b, z, t)$. By Corollary 4.4, there exists an open dense set $G_0 \subset N \cap \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ such that 0 is a regular value of $\bar{H}(a, b)$ for $(a, b) \in G_0$. □
Proof of Theorem 5.1. For the \( G_i \)'s in Lemma 5.2, let \( D = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} G_i \). Then, \( G \) is still an open dense set with full measure and for \( (a, b) \in G \), 0 is a regular value of \( H^{(a, b)}(z, t) \) in (18).

As a consequence of Theorem 5.1 and the properties of the quadratic turning points we have developed, for fixed \( (a, b) \in D \), a homotopy curve of \( \tilde{H}^{(a, b)}(z, t) = 0 \) in (17), starting from a nonsingular solution of \( \tilde{Q} \), may continue, through bifurcation at, at most finitely many, quadratic turning points, over \( (0, 1) \). And, by the degree argument in [4], any isolated solution can be reached by one of those homotopy curves.
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