The abstract and the first page of the document are as follows:

**Title:** Positivity of the Weights of Extended Clenshaw-Curtis Quadrature Rules

**Authors:** Takemitsu Hasegawa, Hiroshi Sugiura, and Tatsuo Torii

**Abstract:** We prove that some extended Clenshaw-Curtis quadrature rules have all weights positive. We also present extended Filippi rules of open type having all weights positive. Conjectures on the possibility of other positive quadrature rules embedded in Clenshaw-Curtis or Filippi rule are suggested.

**1. Introduction**

In this paper we shall establish that some integration rules embedded in the Clenshaw-Curtis rule [4] (henceforth abbreviated to CC rule) proposed in [16] have all their weights positive. This is important to guarantee the numerical stability and convergence of the quadrature rules [9, p. 189], [14, p. 335]. For additional literature on positive quadrature rules, we refer to [1, 3, 5, 7, 11, 12, 20, 21, 22].

The CC rule is an interpolatory integration rule which approximates the integral $Q(f) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) \, dx$ by

$$Q_N(f) = \int_{-1}^{1} L_N(f; x) \, dx = \sum_{i=0}^{N} w_{Ni} f(x_{Ni}),$$

where $L_N(f; x)$ denotes the unique polynomial of degree less than or equal to $N$ interpolating a given smooth function $f(x)$ at $N + 1$ points $x_{Ni}$:

$$L_N(f; x_{Ni}) = f(x_{Ni}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.$$

The double prime in (1.1) denotes the summation where the first and last terms are halved.

The abscissae $x_{Ni} = \cos(\pi i / N)$, $0 \leq i \leq N$, are the zeros of an auxiliary polynomial $\omega_{N+1}(x)$ of degree $N + 1$ defined by

$$\omega_{N+1}(x) = T_{N+1}(x) - T_{N-1}(x) = 2(x^2 - 1) U_{N-1}(x).$$

In (1.3), $T_k(x)$ and $U_k(x)$ denote the Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds, respectively, and are given by $T_k(x) = \cos k \theta$ and $U_k(x) = \sin(k + 1) \theta / \sin \theta$ ($x = \cos \theta$). If the function $f(x)$ is sufficiently smooth, the approximation $Q_N(f)$ (1.1) converges rapidly as $N$ increases.
From (1.2) and (1.3) we have the Lagrange form for $L_N(f; x)$,

$$
L_N(f; x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} l_{Ni}(x) f(x_{Ni}),
$$

where $l_{Ni}(x)$ is the polynomial

$$
l_{Ni}(x) = \frac{e_i \omega_{N+1}(x)}{(x-x_{Ni})\omega_{N+1}'(x_{Ni})} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} T_k(x_{Ni}) T_k(x), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N,
$$

e_i = 1 \quad (1 \leq i < N), \quad e_0 = e_N = 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{N+1}'(x) \text{ denotes the derivative of } \omega_{N+1}(x). \quad \text{For the derivation of the second equality above, see [4, 16].}

Imhof [18] proved that the weights $w_{Ni} = \int_{-1}^{1} l_{Ni}(x) dx$ in (1.1) are all positive. Furthermore, it is well known that the sequence $\{Q_N(f)\}$ of the quadrature rules can be generated recursively by doubling $N$ and efficiently by using the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) [2, 13, 24]. In [16] we showed that it is possible to increase $N$ more slowly than doubling, thereby enhancing the economy of an automatic quadrature [6, p. 418] without sacrificing the accuracy. To be specific, the sequence of $N$ is

$$
N = 6, 8, 10, \ldots, 3 \times 2^n, 4 \times 2^n, 5 \times 2^n, \ldots, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,
$$

and the abscissae for $Q_N(f)$ are chosen so that the sequence $\{Q_N(f)\}$ is an embedded sequence [23], i.e., all points used in a rule of degree $N$ are included in the set of points for the succeeding rules of degree greater than $N$.

We now consider a family of generalized sequences including (1.6). From now on let $N$ be an even integer of the form $N = 2^n K (n = 1, 2, \ldots)$, where $K \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of positive integers, unless otherwise stated. Then, our sequences consist of $3N, 4N, 5N$, that is, $6K, 8K, 10K, \ldots, 3 \times 2^n K, 4 \times 2^n K, 5 \times 2^n K, \ldots, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, K \in \mathbb{N},$ where the case $K = 1$, in particular, coincides with the sequence (1.6). Consequently, the sequences of quadrature rules are of the form $\{Q_{3N}, Q_{4N}, Q_{5N}\}$, or equivalently $\{Q_{4N}, Q_{5N}, Q_{3 \times 2N}\}$.

For integers $m = 1, 2$, define polynomials $\Omega_{mN}(x)$ of degree $mN$ by

$$
\Omega_{mN}(x) = T_{mN}(x) - \cos\{(2 \pm 1)m\pi/8\}, \quad m = 1, 2.
$$

Let $Q_{4N}(f)$, $Q_{(4+m)N}(f)$ ($m = 1, 2$) denote extended CC rules whose abscissae are zeros of $\omega_{4N+1}(x)$ and of $\omega_{4N+1}(x) \Omega_{mN}^+(x)$, respectively. Then, $Q_{4N}(f)$ is a CC rule, and for $Q_{(4+m)N}(f)$ we will prove in §2 the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** For integers $m = 1, 2$, let $t_{mN,j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq mN - 1$, be the zeros of $\Omega_{mN}^+(x)$ (1.7), that is,

$$
t_{mN,j} = \cos\{2\pi(j + 3m/16)/(mN)\}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq mN - 1.
$$

Then, the weights $w_{4N,i}^{(m)}$ and $u_{mN,j}$ of the extended CC rules $Q_{(4+m)N}(f)$ of degree $(4 + m)N$, $m = 1, 2$, of closed type, given by

$$
Q_{(4+m)N}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{4N} w_{4N,i}^{(m)} f(x_{4N,i}) + \sum_{j=0}^{mN-1} u_{mN,j} f(t_{mN,j}), \quad m = 1, 2,
$$

$^1$In the first draft we assumed that $N$ is a power of 2, but the referee suggested to us that $N$ is allowed to take every even positive integer in proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 below.
are all positive, where \( x_{4N,i} = \cos\{\pi i/(4N)\}, \) \( 0 \leq i \leq 4N, \) are the zeros of \( \omega_{4N+1}(x) \) defined by (1.3). For the case \( m = 2, \) the integer \( N \) can be allowed to be any positive integer, even or not.

For the expressions of \( w_{4N,i}^{(m)} \) and \( u_{mN,j} \), see (2.2) and (2.3), respectively.

*Remark.* Theorem 1.1 holds only under the assumption that \( mN \) \((m = 1, 2)\) are even; it does not hold when \( mN \) \((m = 1, 2)\) are odd.

The rule \( Q_{5N}(f) \) of degree \( 5N \) is embedded in \( Q_{6N}(f) \), which is also an embedded rule in the CC rule \( Q_{8N}(f) \) based on the zeros of \( \omega_{8N+1}(x) \). This is easily seen from the relations (see Lemma 4.1 and (2.4) in [15]):

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_{8N+1}(x) &= 2\omega_{4N+1}(x)T_{4N}(x), \\
T_{4N}(x) &= 2\Omega_{2N}^{-}(x)\Omega_{2N}^{+}(x), \\
\Omega_{2N}^{+}(x) &= 2\Omega_{N}^{+}(x)\{T_N(x) + \cos 3\pi/8\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Omitting the endpoints \( x = 1 \) and \( x = -1 \) in the \( N+1 \) abscissae of the CC rule, namely using the zeros of \( U_{N-1}(x) \) as the abscissae, Filippi [10] proposed a quadrature rule of open type, having all positive weights. Let \( \tilde{Q}_{4N-2}(f) \) and \( \tilde{Q}_{(4+m)N-2}(f), \) \( m = 1, 2, \) denote extended Filippi rules of open type whose abscissae are the zeros of \( U_{4N-1}(x) \) and those of \( U_{4N-1}(x)\Omega_{mN}^{-}(x), \) respectively. Then \( \tilde{Q}_{4N-2}(f) \) is the Filippi rule and in §3 we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** For integers \( m = 1, 2 \) let \( \tau_{mN,j}, \) \( 0 \leq j \leq mN - 1, \) denote the zeros of \( \Omega_{mN}^{-}(x) \) (1.7), that is,

\[
\tau_{mN,j} = \cos\{2\pi(j + m/16)/(mN)\}.
\]

Then, the weights \( v_{4N,i}^{(m)} \) and \( \eta_{mN,j} \) of the extended Filippi rules \( \tilde{Q}_{(4+m)N-2}(f) \) of degree \( (4 + m)N - 2 \) of open type, given by

\[
\tilde{Q}_{(4+m)N-2}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{4N-1} v_{4N,i}^{(m)} f(x_{4N,i}) + \sum_{j=0}^{mN-1} \eta_{mN,j} f(\tau_{mN,j}), \quad m = 1, 2,
\]

are all positive, where \( x_{4N,i} \) \((1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1)\) are the same as those used in (1.9) except for \( x_{4N,0} (= 1) \) and \( x_{4N,4N} (= -1). \) For the case \( m = 2, \) the integer \( N \) can be allowed to be any positive integer.

*Remark.* Theorem 1.2 holds only under the assumption that \( mN \) \((m = 1, 2)\) are even; it does not hold when \( mN \) \((m = 1, 2)\) are odd.

If we note (1.3), (1.10), (1.11), and the relation

\[
\Omega_{2N}^{-}(x) = 2\Omega_{N}^{+}(x)\{T_N(x) + \cos \pi/8\},
\]

we can see that \( \tilde{Q}_{5N-2}(f) \) is an embedded rule in \( \tilde{Q}_{6N-2}(f) \), which is also embedded in \( \tilde{Q}_{8N-2}(f) \) based on the \( 8N-1 \) abscissae, the zeros of \( U_{8N-1}(x). \)
In §4 we conclude by suggesting a conjecture on the possibility of some other rules having positive weights.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let \( L_{(4+m)N}(f; x) \) \((m = 1, 2)\) denote the unique polynomial of degree less than or equal to \((4+m)N\) that coincides with \(f\) at the \(4N+1\) points \(x_{4N,i}\), \(0 \leq i \leq 4N\), and \(mN\) points \(t_{mN,j}\), \(0 \leq j \leq mN-1\), in (1.8). Then we have the Lagrange interpolation formula for \( L_{(4+m)N}(f; x) \):

\[
L_{(4+m)N}(f; x) = \sum_{i=0}^{4N} l_{4N,i}(x) \frac{\Omega_{mN}^+(x)}{\Omega_{mN}^+(x_{4N,i})} f(x_{4N,i})
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=0}^{mN-1} \frac{\Omega_{mN}^+(x)}{(x - t_{mN,j}) \Omega_{mN}^{+-}(t_{mN,j})} \cdot \frac{\omega_{4N+1}(x)}{\omega_{4N+1}(t_{mN,j})} f(t_{mN,j}), \quad m = 1, 2,
\]

where \( l_{4N,i}(x) \) is given by (1.5). Integrating \( L_{(4+m)N}(f; x) \) on the range \([-1, 1]\) yields the integration rule \( Q_{(4+m)N}(f) = \int_{-1}^{1} L_{(4+m)N}(f; x) \, dx \) in (1.9), where the weights \( w_{4N,i}^{(m)} \) and \( u_{mN,j} \) are given by

\[
w_{4N,i}^{(m)} = \int_{-1}^{1} l_{4N,i}(x) \frac{\Omega_{mN}^+(x)}{\Omega_{mN}^+(x_{4N,i})} \, dx, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 4N,
\]

\[
u_{mN,j} = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\Omega_{mN}^+(x)}{(x - t_{mN,j}) \Omega_{mN}^{+-}(t_{mN,j})} \cdot \frac{\omega_{4N+1}(x)}{\omega_{4N+1}(t_{mN,j})} \, dx, \quad 0 \leq j \leq mN-1, \quad m = 1, 2.
\]

2.1. Positivity of \( w_{4N,i}^{(m)} \). First, we prove that the weights \( w_{4N,i}^{(m)} \), \(0 \leq i \leq 4N\), in (1.9) and given by (2.2) are all positive for the case \( m = 1 \).

Lemma 2.1. Let \( l_{4N,i}(x) \) and \( \Omega_{N}^+(x) \) be the polynomials defined by (1.5) and (1.7), respectively. Then we have

\[
4N l_{4N,i}(x) \Omega_{N}^+(x) = 2 \Omega_{N}^+(x_{4N,i}) \sum_{k=0}^{4N} T_k(x_{4N,i}) T_k(x)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=0}^{N} T_{3N+k}(x_{4N,i}) \{ T_{4N+k}(x) - T_{4N-k}(x) \},
\]

where \( x_{4N,i} \) are the zeros of \( \omega_{4N+1}(x) \) (1.3).

Proof. Using the relation

\[
2 T_k(x) T_n(x) = T_{k+n}(x) + T_{|k-n|}(x), \quad k, n \geq 0,
\]
from (1.5) and (1.7) we have

\[ 4N l_{4N,i}(x) \Omega^+_N(x) \]

\[ = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{4N} T_k(x_{4N,i}) T_k(x) \{ T_N(x) - \cos 3\pi/8 \} \]

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{4N} T_k(x_{4N,i}) \{ T_{N+k}(x) + T_{|N-k|}(x) - 2 \cos(3\pi/8) T_k(x) \} \]

(2.6)

\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{4N} \{ T_{N+k}(x_{4N,i}) + T_{|N-k|}(x_{4N,i}) - 2 \cos(3\pi/8) T_k(x_{4N,i}) \} T_k(x) \]

\[ + \sum_{k=0}^{4N} \{ T_{3N+k}(x_{4N,i}) T_{4N+k}(x) - T_{4N+k}(x_{4N,i}) T_{3N+k}(x) \}. \]

If we again use (2.5) on the far right of (2.6) and note that

(2.7) \quad T_{8N-k}(x_{4N,i}) = T_k(x_{4N,i}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq 8N,

it is easy to verify (2.4). \hfill \Box

Substituting (2.4) into (2.2) yields the expression for the weights \( w^{(1)}_{4N,i} \):

\[ 4N w^{(1)}_{4N,i} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{4N} T_k(x_{4N,i}) \mu(k) + \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{T_{3N+k}(x_{4N,i})}{\Omega^+_N(x_{4N,i})} \cdot \phi_N(k), \]

where \( \mu(k) \) and \( \phi_N(k) \) are defined by

(2.9) \quad \mu(k) = \int_{-1}^{1} T_k(x) \, dx = \begin{cases} 2/(1-k^2) & \text{if } k \text{ is even}, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}

(2.10) \quad \phi_N(k) = \mu(4N+k) - \mu(4N-k), \quad k \geq 0.

For real \( x \geq 2 \), let \( \mu(x) \) be defined by

(2.11) \quad \mu(x) = 2/(1-x^2), \quad x \geq 2,

instead of (2.9) and let \( \phi_N(x) \) be defined by (2.10) with \( \mu(x) \) given by (2.11). Then \( \mu(x) \) is negative and monotone increasing for \( x \geq 2 \) and \( \phi_N(x) \) is also a monotone increasing function of \( x \) when \( 0 \leq x \leq N \). We will take advantage of these properties in proving the positivity of weights of our extended CC rules.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( x_{4N,i}, 0 \leq i \leq 4N \), be the zeros of \( \omega_{4N+1}(x) \) (1.3) and \( \Omega_N^+(x) \) be defined by (1.7). Then we have

\[ \{2 \Omega^+_N(x_{4N,i})\}^{-1} = T_N(x_{4N,i}) + 2 \cos(3\pi/8) T_{2N}(x_{4N,i}) \]

\[ + (1 + 2 \cos 3\pi/4) T_3(x_{4N,i}) \]

\[ + 2 \cos(3\pi/4) \cos(3\pi/8) T_4(x_{4N,i}), \]

\[ 0 \leq i \leq 4N. \]

**Proof.** From (1.11) and (1.12) and the relation \( \{T_{4N}(x_{4N,i})\}^2 = 1 \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq 4N \), it follows that

(2.13) \quad 1/\Omega^+_N(x_{4N,i}) = 4 \{ T_N(x_{4N,i}) + \cos 3\pi/8 \} \Omega^-_{2N}(x_{4N,i}) T_{4N}(x_{4N,i}).
In (2.13), using (2.5), (2.7) and the relation \( \Omega_{2N}(x) = T_{2N}(x) + \cos(3\pi/4) \) (see (1.7)) establishes (2.12). □

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( w_{4N,i}^{(1)} \), \( 0 \leq i \leq 4N \), denote the weights given by (1.9) and \( \mu(k) \) and \( \phi_N(k) \) be defined by (2.9) and (2.10), respectively. Define \( A_{Nl}(k) \), \( 0 \leq l \leq 3 \), by

\[
A_{N0}(k) = 2\mu(k) + (1 + 2\cos(3\pi/4))\phi_N(k) + 4\cos(3\pi/4)\cos(3\pi/8)\phi_N(N-k),
\]

(2.14)

\[
A_{N1}(k) = 2\mu(N+k) + 2\cos(3\pi/8)\phi_N(k) + (1 + 2\cos(3\pi/4))\phi_N(N-k),
\]

(2.15)

\[
A_{N2}(k) = 2\mu(2N+k) + \phi_N(k) + 2\cos(3\pi/8)\phi_N(N-k),
\]

(2.16)

\[
A_{N3}(k) = 2\mu(3N+k) + \phi_N(N-k), \quad 0 \leq k \leq N.
\]

Then we have

\[
w_{4N,i}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{3} A_{Nl}(k) T_{lN+k}(x_{4N,i}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq 4N,
\]

(2.18)

and \( A_{Nl}(k) \), \( 0 \leq l \leq 3 \), are all negative except for \( A_{N0}(0) \).

**Proof.** Using (2.5), (2.7) and (2.12) in (2.8) establishes (2.18). We make use of the fact that \( \mu(k) < 0 \) for \( k > 0 \) and \( \phi_N(k) \geq 0 \) \( (0 \leq k \leq N) \) to show that \( A_{Nl}(k) \) \( (0 \leq l \leq 3, 0 \leq k \leq N) \) are negative except for \( A_{N0}(0) \). Noting that the coefficients of \( \phi_N(k) \) and \( \phi_N(N-k) \) in (2.14) are both negative, we can see that \( A_{N0}(0) < 0 \) \( (0 < k \leq N) \). It can be easily seen from (2.10) and (2.17) that \( A_{N3}(k) = \mu(3N+k) + \mu(5N-k) < 0 \) \( (0 \leq k \leq N) \). If we note in (2.15) that \( \mu(N+k) - \cos(3\pi/8)\mu(4N-k) \) is negative for \( 0 \leq k \leq N \), we can see that \( A_{N1}(k) < 0 \) \( (0 \leq k \leq N) \). To verify that \( A_{N2}(k) < 0 \), it suffices to use in (2.16) the definitions (2.9) and (2.10) and the relation

\[
2\mu(2N+k) - 2\cos(3\pi/8)\mu(3N+k) - \mu(4N-k) \\
\leq \{2 - 2\cos(3\pi/8) - 1\} \mu(3N) < 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N. \quad \square
\]

Noting that \( T_l(x_{4N,i}) < 1, 1 \leq i \leq 4N-1 \), and \( T_l(x_{4N,0}) = 1 \), we have from Theorem 2.3 that

\[
4N w_{4N,i}^{(1)} \geq \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{3} A_{Nl}(k)
\]

\[
= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{4N} \mu(k) + 4 \left(1 + \cos \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) \left(1 + \cos \frac{3\pi}{8}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{N} \phi_N(k) > 0,
\]

where, in the last inequality above, we have used the relation

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{4N} \mu(k) = 4N/(4N^2 - 1) > 0.
\]

(2.19)

Thus, \( w_{4N,i}^{(1)} \), \( 0 \leq i \leq 4N \), have been verified to be all positive. Verification of the positivity of \( w_{4N,i}^{(2)} \) \( (0 \leq i \leq 4N) \) can be accomplished similarly, in fact more easily, but we omit the details.
2.2. Positivity of \( u_{mN,j} \). We begin by proving that the weights \( u_{mN,j} \), \( 0 \leq j \leq N-1 \), in (1.9) and given by (2.3) are all positive when \( m = 1 \). It is similar and more easy to prove the positivity of \( u_{2N,j} \), \( 0 \leq j \leq 2N-1 \), but we omit the details.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( \Omega_N^\pm(x) \) be the polynomial defined by (1.7) and \( t_{Nj} \), \( 0 \leq j \leq N-1 \), be the zeros of \( \Omega_N^\pm(x) \), given by (1.8). Then we have

\[
\Omega_N^+(x) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{N} T_{N-k}(t_{Nj}) U_{k-1}(x),
\]

where we define \( U_{-1}(x) = 0 \).

**Proof.** From the assumption, it follows that

\[
\Omega_N^+(x) = \Omega_N^+(x) - \Omega_N^-(t_{Nj}) = \frac{T_N(x) - T_N(t_{Nj})}{x - t_{Nj}}.
\]

Elliott [8] gives the identity

\[
T_n(x) - T_n(y) = 2(x - y) \sum_{k=0}^{n} T_{n-k}(y) U_{k-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0.
\]

Using (2.22) in (2.21) establishes (2.20). \( \Box \)

**Lemma 2.5.** For the weights \( u_{Nj} \), \( 0 \leq j < N \), given by (1.9) and (2.3), we have

\[
N \sin(3\pi/8) u_{Nj} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} T_{N-k}(t_{Nj}) \phi_N(k)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{N/2} \cos(2k \xi_{Nj}) \phi_N(N-2k),
\]

where \( \phi_N(k) \) is given by (2.10) and \( \xi_{Nj} \) is defined by

\[
t_{Nj} = \cos \xi_{Nj}, \quad \xi_{Nj} = 2\pi(j + 3/16)/N, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N-1.
\]

**Proof.** From (1.3) and the definition of \( U_k(x) \) it follows that

\[
\omega_{4N+1}(x) U_{k-1}(x) = T_{4N+k}(x) - T_{4N-k}(x).
\]

From (1.8), (2.25) and the relation

\[
\Omega_N^{\pm}(x) = N U_{N-1}(x)
\]

we have

\[
\Omega_N^{\pm}(t_{Nj}) \omega_{4N+1}(t_{Nj}) = N \{ T_{SN}(t_{Nj}) - T_{3N}(t_{Nj}) \}
\]

\[
= -2 N \sin \frac{3\pi}{2} \sin \frac{3\pi}{8} = 2 N \sin \frac{3\pi}{8}.
\]

Using (2.20), (2.25) and (2.27) in (2.3) and noting (2.9) and (2.10) establishes (2.23). \( \Box \)

The Poisson Summation formula [17, p. 270], [19] is helpful in proving that \( u_{Nj} > 0 \) when \( 0 \leq j < N \).
Lemma 2.6 (Poisson summation formula). Let \( \psi(x) \) be an integrable function defined on the real positive line and \( \Psi(\omega) \) be the Fourier cosine transform of \( \psi(x) \),

\[
\Psi(\omega) = \int_0^\infty \psi(x) \cos \omega x \, dx.
\]

Then for any positive \( \zeta \) and real \( x \) we have the formula

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{\Psi(k \zeta + x) + \Psi(k \zeta - x)\} = \frac{2\pi}{\zeta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi \left( \frac{2\pi k x}{\zeta} \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi k x}{\zeta} \right),
\]

where the prime denotes the summation whose first term is halved.

Define \( \psi(x) \) by

\[
\psi(x) = \phi_N(N - 2x) = 2/\{1 - (5N - 2x)^2\} - 2/\{1 - (3N + 2x)^2\}
\]

for \( 0 \leq x \leq N/2 \), and by \( \psi(x) = 0 \) otherwise. Then, making use of the formula (2.29) in (2.23), we have

\[
N \sin(3\pi/8) u_{Nj} = \sum_{k=0}^{N/2} \cos(2k \xi_{Nj}) \psi(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \cos(2k \xi_{Nj}) \psi(k)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{\Phi(2\pi k + 2\xi_{Nj}) + \Phi(2\pi k - 2\xi_{Nj})\},
\]

where \( \Phi(\omega) \) is given by

\[
\Phi(\omega) = \int_0^{N/2} \phi_N(N - 2x) \cos \omega x \, dx.
\]

In order to prove that \( u_{Nj} > 0 \) in (2.31), it suffices to show that \( \Phi(\omega) > 0 \) for any real \( \omega \). From (2.30), \( \phi_N(x) \) is found to be an odd function and can be expanded as follows:

\[
\phi_N(x) = 2/\{1 - (4N + x)^2\} - 2/\{1 - (4N - x)^2\}
\]

\[
= 2x/\{(4N - 1)^2 - x^2\} - 2x/\{(4N + 1)^2 - x^2\}
\]

\[
= 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_l x^l, \quad 0 \leq x \leq N,
\]

where \( c_l \) for odd \( l \) is defined by

\[
c_l = (4N - 1)^{-l-1} - (4N + 1)^{-l-1} > 0,
\]

and \( c_l \) vanishes when \( l \) is an even integer. Substituting the expansion (2.33) into the right-hand side of (2.32) gives

\[
\Phi(\omega) = 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} c_l \Theta_l(\omega),
\]

where \( \Theta_l(\omega) \) is defined by

\[
\Theta_l(\omega) = \int_0^{N/2} (N - 2x)^l \cos \omega x \, dx, \quad l \geq 1.
\]
It remains to show that $\Theta_I(\omega)$ is positive, since then $\Phi(\omega)$ is positive by (2.34) and (2.35).

To this end, we define $\rho(x) = N - 2|x|$ when $|x| \leq N/2$, and $\rho(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\Theta_I(\omega)$ (2.36) can be rewritten as

$$\Theta_I(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{\rho(x)\}^l e^{i\omega x} \, dx$$

(2.37)

$$= \pi^{l-1} \Theta_1 \ast \Theta_1 \ast \cdots \ast \Theta_1(\omega), \quad l \geq 1,$$

where $g \ast h(\omega)$ denotes convolution defined by

$$g \ast h(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\omega-t) h(t) \, dt.$$ Since $\Theta_1(\omega) = 2\{1 - \cos(\omega N/2)\}/\omega^2 \geq 0$, it follows from (2.37) that $\Theta_I(\omega) > 0$ for $l \geq 2$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $h_{N_i}(x)$ be defined by

$$h_{N_i}(x) = \frac{U_{N-1}(x)}{(x - x_{N_i}) U'_{N-1}(x_{N_i})}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N - 1,$$

where $x_{N_i}, \ 1 \leq i \leq N - 1$, are the zeros of $U_{N-1}(x) = 2 \omega_{N+1}(x)/(x^2 - 1)$. Then for the weights $\nu_{4N,i}^{(m)}$ and $\eta_{mN,j}$ of the quadrature rules $\tilde{Q}_{(4+m)N-2}(f)$ (1.14), we have, similarly to (2.2) and (2.3),

$$\nu_{4N,i}^{(m)} = \int_{-1}^{1} h_{4N,i}(x) \frac{\Omega_{mN}(x)}{\Omega_{mN}(x_{4N,i})} \, dx, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1,$$

(3.2)

$$\eta_{mN,j} = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\Omega_{mN}(x)}{(x - \tau_{mN,j}) \Omega'_{mN}(\tau_{mN,j})} \cdot \frac{U_{4N-1}(x)}{U_{4N-1}(\tau_{mN,j})} \, dx,$$

(3.3)

$$0 \leq j \leq mN - 1, \quad m = 1, 2.$$

We remark that by using $h_{N_i}(x)$ (3.1), the Filippi rule [10] $\tilde{Q}_{N-2}(f)$ based on the points $x_{N_i}, \ 1 \leq i \leq N - 1$, can be written as

$$\tilde{Q}_{N-2}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_{-1}^{1} h_{N_i}(x) \, dx \cdot f(x_{N_i}).$$

(3.4)

3.1. Positivity of $\nu_{4N,i}^{(m)}$. Here we prove that $\nu_{4N,i}^{(1)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1$ (3.2), are all positive. The positivity of $\nu_{4N,i}^{(2)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1$, is more easily verified, but we omit the details.

Filippi (see [10, equation (11)]) shows that $h_{4N,i}(x)$ in (3.1) and (3.4) can be expressed as follows:

$$4N h_{4N,i}(x) = 2(1 - x_{4N,i}^2) \sum_{k=0}^{4N-2} U_k(x_{4N,i}) U_k(x)$$

(3.5)

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{4N-1} \{ T_{k-1}(x_{4N,i}) - T_{k+1}(x_{4N,i}) \} U_k(x).$$
Using (2.7) and the relation

\[ U_k(x) - U_{k-2}(x) = 2T_k(x), \]

where we define \( U_{-k}(x) = -U_{k-2}(x) \) and \( T_{-k}(x) = T_k(x) \) for \( k \geq 0 \), we have from (3.5)

\[ 4N h_{4N,i}(x) = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{4N} T_k(x) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1. \]

Further, similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we have

\[ 4N \sum_{k=0}^{4N} T_k(x) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1. \]

**Lemma 3.1.** For the weights \( v_{4N,i}^{(1)} \) given by (3.2) of the quadrature rule (1.14), we have

\[ 4N v_{4N,i}^{(1)} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{4N} T_k(x) \mu(k) + \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{T_{3N+k}(x)}{\Omega_N(x)} \cdot \phi_N(k), \]

where \( \mu(k) \) and \( \phi_N(k) \) are defined by (2.9) and (2.10), respectively. In (3.9), \( B_N \) is a constant defined by

\[ B_N = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \{U_n(x) + U_{n-2}(x)\} \, dx, \]

and \( \nu(n) \) is defined by

\[ \nu(n) = \begin{cases} 1/(n + 1) + 1/(n - 1) & \text{if } n \text{ even}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** Using (1.7), (3.7) and (3.8) in (3.2) and noting that \( \int_{-1}^{1} U_k(x) \, dx = 0 \) for \( k \) odd, and

\[ 2 T_l(x) U_m(x) = U_{l+m}(x) + U_{m-l}(x), \]

we can easily verify (3.9). \( \square \)

We remark that \( B_N \) in (3.10) is positive. The following lemma is established along the lines of the proof of Lemma 2.2.
Lemma 3.2. Let $x_{4N,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1$, be the zeros of $U_{4N-1}(x)$ and $\Omega_N^{-1}(x)$ be defined by (1.7). Then we have

$$\{2 \Omega_N^{-1}(x_{4N,i})\}^{-1} = T_N(x_{4N,i}) + 2 \cos(\pi/8) T_{2N}(x_{4N,i}) + (1 + 2 \cos\pi/4) T_{3N}(x_{4N,i}) + 2 \cos(\pi/4) \cos(\pi/8) T_{4N}(x_{4N,i}),$$

$$1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1.$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $v_{4N,i}^{(1)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1$, denote the weights in (1.14) and $\mu(k)$, $\phi(N)$ and the constant $B_N$ be defined by (2.9), (2.10), and (3.10), respectively. Let $A_{Nl}(k)$, $0 \leq l \leq 3$, denote the constants given by (2.14)–(2.17) with $\cos 3\pi/4$ and $\cos 3\pi/8$ replaced by $\cos \pi/4$ and $\cos \pi/8$, respectively. Then we have

$$v_{4N,i}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4N} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{3} A_{Nl}(k) T_{lN+k}(x_{4N,i}) - \frac{1}{4N} \cdot \frac{T_{4N}(x_{4N,i}) B_N}{\Omega_N^{-1}(x_{4N,i})}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 4N,$$

and $A_{Nl}(k)$, $0 \leq l \leq 3$, are all negative except for $A_{N0}(0)$.

Proof. Verification of (3.14) is accomplished along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.3. We have already verified in the proof of Theorem 2.3 that $A_{N3}(k) < 0$. We make use of the fact that $\mu(k)$ and $\phi(N)$ vanish when $k$ is odd and are monotone increasing functions for even integers $k$ satisfying $2 \leq k \leq N$ to show that

$$A_{N0}(k) = 2 \mu(k) + (1 + 2 \cos \pi/4) \phi(N) + 4 \cos(\pi/4) \cos(\pi/8) \phi(N - k) \leq 2 \mu(N) + c \phi(N) = 2 \mu(N) - c \mu(3N) + c \mu(5N), \quad 2 \leq k \leq N,$$

where we put $c = 1 + 2 \cos(\pi/4)(1 + 2 \cos(\pi/8)) = 5.027\ldots$. It is easy to verify that $2 \mu(N) - c \mu(3N) < 0$ for $N \geq 2$. Thus, it is seen that $A_{N0}(k) < 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq N$ because $\mu(k) < 0$ for $k \geq 2$. Similarly, we have

$$A_{N1}(k) = 2 \mu(N + k) + 2 \cos(\pi/8) \phi(N) + (1 + 2 \cos\pi/4) \phi(N - k) \leq 2 \mu(2N) + b \phi(N) < 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq N,$$

where we have put $b = 1 + 2(\cos\pi/4 + \cos\pi/8) = 4.261\ldots$.

Next, we show that $A_{N2}(k) < 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq N$. We can write $A_{N2}(k)$ in the form

$$A_{N2}(k) = 2 \mu(2N + k) + 2 \cos(\pi/8) \phi(N - k) + \phi(k)$$

$$= 2 \{\mu(2N + k) - \cos(\pi/8) \mu(3N + k)\} + \mu(4N + k) + \{2 \cos(\pi/8) \mu(5N - k) - \mu(4N - k)\}.$$

It is trivial that the first and second terms on the far right of (3.15) are negative. Close inspection reveals that the third term is also negative. Thus we have that $A_{N2}(k) < 0$. \qed
Noting that $T_i(x_{4N}, i) < 1$, $1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1$, and $T_i(x_{4N}, 0) = 1$, from (3.13) and Theorem 3.3 we have

\begin{equation}
4Nv_{4N,i}^{(1)} > \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{3} A_{Nl}(k) - 4B_N \left(1 + \cos \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \left(1 + \cos \frac{\pi}{8}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N} \phi_N(k) - B_N\right).
\end{equation}

Using the relation (2.19), that is,

\begin{equation}
\sum_{k=0}^{4N} = H(4N),
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
2 \sum_{k=0}^{N} \phi_N(k) = \nu(3N) + \nu(5N) - 2\nu(4N),
\end{equation}

we have from (3.10) and (3.16)

\begin{equation}
4Nv_{4N,i}^{(1)} > \nu(4N) + 4 \left(1 + \cos \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \left(1 + \cos \frac{\pi}{8}\right) \left(\cos \frac{\pi}{8} - 1\right) \nu(4N) = 0.
\end{equation}

Thus, the weights $v_{4N,i}^{(1)}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4N - 1$, are all positive. □

3.2. Positivity of $\eta_{mN,j}$. We prove that the weights $\eta_{Nj}$, $0 \leq j \leq N - 1$, in (1.14) are all positive. It is similar and more easy to verify the positivity of $\eta_{2N,j}$ ($0 \leq j \leq 2N - 1$), but we omit details.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $\Omega_N(x)$ be the polynomial defined by (1.7) and $\tau_{Nj}$, $0 \leq j \leq N - 1$, be the zeros of $\Omega_N^-(x)$ given by (1.13). Then we have

\begin{equation}
\frac{\Omega_N(x)}{x - \tau_{Nj}} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{N} U_{N-1-k}(\tau_{Nj}) T_k(x).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Identity (3.19) is established along the lines of the proof of Lemma 2.4. □

**Lemma 3.5.** For the weights $\eta_{Nj}$ in (1.14) and (3.3), we have

\begin{equation}
N \sin(\pi/8) \eta_{Nj} = B_N - \sum_{k=0}^{N} T_{N-k}(\tau_{Nj}) \phi_N(k), \quad 0 \leq j \leq N - 1,
\end{equation}

where $B_N$ is given by (3.10).
Proof. Using (3.12) and (3.19), we have

\[
2(\tau_{Nj}^2 - 1) \frac{U_{4N-1}(x)}{x - \tau_{Nj}} \Omega_N^-(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \{ T_{N+1-k}(\tau_{Nj}) - T_{N-1-k}(\tau_{Nj}) \} \{ U_{4N+k-1}(x) + U_{4N-k-1}(x) \}
\]

(3.21)

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} T_{N-k}(\tau_{Nj}) \{ U_{4N+k}(x) + U_{4N-k-2}(x) \}
\]

\[
- \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} T_{N-k}(\tau_{Nj}) \{ U_{4N-k}(x) + U_{4N+k-2}(x) \}.
\]

On the other hand, using (1.13), (2.25) and (2.26), we have

\[
2(\tau_{Nj}^2 - 1) U_{4N-1}(\tau_{Nj}) \Omega_N^-(\tau_{Nj}) = N \omega_{4N+1}(\tau_{Nj}) U_{N-1}(\tau_{Nj})
\]

\[
= N \{ T_{5N}(\tau_{Nj}) - T_{3N}(\tau_{Nj}) \} = -2N \sin(\pi/8).
\]

Substituting (3.21) and (3.22) into (3.3) and using (3.6) yields (3.20). □

From (3.10), (3.17) and (3.20) and the fact that the \( \phi_N(k) \) are positive, we have

\[
N \sin(\pi/8) \eta_{Nj} \geq B_N - \sum_{k=0}^{N} \phi_N(k)
\]

\[
= 2 \{ 1 - \cos(\pi/8) \} \nu(4N) > 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N - 1.
\]

Thus, the weights \( \eta_{Nj}, \ 0 \leq j \leq N - 1 \), are all positive. □

4. CONJECTURE ON THE POSSIBILITY OF OTHER POSITIVE QUADRATURE RULES

Before we give some conjectures on the possibility of other extended CC rules having all positive weights, we briefly review the sequence of uniform distribution on \((0, 1)\) \([15, 16]\).

Let any positive integer \( l \) be written in radix-2 notation as

\[
l = l_m l_{m-1} \cdots l_2 l_1 = l_1 + l_2 \cdot 2 + \cdots + l_m \cdot 2^{m-1},
\]

where \( m = \lceil \log_2 l \rceil + 1, \ l_i = 0 \ or \ 1 \ (1 \leq i \leq m - 1), \) and always \( l_m = 1 \). Define fractions \( \beta_l \) and \( \alpha_l \) by

\[
\beta_l = l_1 \cdot 2^{-1} + l_2 \cdot 2^{-2} + \cdots + l_{m-1} \cdot 2^{-m+1} + l_m \cdot 2^{-m} + 1 \cdot 2^{-m-1}
\]

and \( \alpha_l = \beta_l - 2^{-m} \). Then \( \beta_l \) (and \( \alpha_l \)) can be seen to satisfy the recurrence relations

\[
\beta_{2l} = \beta_l/2, \quad \beta_{2l+1} = \beta_{2l} + 1/2, \quad l \geq 1,
\]

with the starting value \( \beta_1 = 3/4 \) (and \( \alpha_1 = 1/4 \)).

The sequence \( \{ \beta_l \} \) is the so-called Van der Corput sequence \([15]\), which is uniformly distributed on \((0, 1)\), so that the sequence \( \{ \beta_l \} \) and \( \{ \alpha_l \} \) are also uniformly distributed on \((0, 1)\).

Defining \( \beta_{-1} = 0 \) and \( \beta_0 = 1/2 \), we use two sets, \( \{ \cos 2\pi \beta_i \} \) \((-1 \leq i < l)\) and \( \{ \cos 2\pi \alpha_i \} \) \((1 \leq i < l)\), as the sample points for interpolatory integration rules of closed type and open type, respectively.
Lemma 4.1. Let \( N \) be a power of 2, \( N = 2^n \), and put \( x_k = \cos 2\pi\alpha_k \) (or \( \cos 2\pi\beta_k \)). Then for a positive integer \( I \) we have

\[
2^{N-1} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} (x - x_k) = U_{N-1}(x), \quad \beta_{N-1} = 1 - \alpha_{N/2},
\]

\[
2^{N-1} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (x - x_{l N+k}) = T_N(x) - x_l, \quad \alpha_{N-1} = 1 - \beta_{N/2}.
\]

Corollary. For \( N = 2^n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), we have

\[
2^N \prod_{k=-1}^{N-1} (x - \cos 2\pi\beta_k) = T_{N+1}(x) - T_{N-1}(x) = \omega_{N+1}(x).
\]

Remark. Lemma 4.1 and its Corollary indicate that the set of the first \( 2^n + 1 \) \((2^n - 1)\) sample points \( \{\cos 2\pi\beta_l\}, -1 \leq l \leq 2^n - 1 \) \( \{\cos 2\pi\alpha_l\}, 1 \leq l \leq 2^n - 1 \), coincides with that used in the CC rule (Filippi rule).

Numerical tests suggest the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1. Let the integers \( N \) and \( M \) be powers of 2 such that \( N = 2^n \) and \( M = 2^m \), where \( 1 \leq m \leq n - 1 \) for \( n \geq 2 \). Then the interpolatory integration rules of degree \( N + M \) of closed type based on the set of sample points \( \{\cos 2\pi\beta_l\}, -1 \leq l \leq N + M - 1 \), have all positive weights.

We note [15, 16] that the set of the first \( N + M + 1 \) sample points \( \{\cos 2\pi\beta_l\}, -1 \leq l \leq N + M + 1 \), coincides with the zeros of the polynomial

\[
\{T_{N+1}(x) - T_{N-1}(x)\} \{(T_M(x) - \cos 3\pi M/(2N))\}.
\]

In §2 we have verified special cases of Conjecture 1, namely the cases \( M = N/2 \) and \( M = N/4 \).

Conjecture 2. Let the integers \( N \) and \( M \) be powers of 2 such that \( N = 2^n \) and \( M = 2^m \), where \( 1 \leq m \leq n - 2 \) for \( n \geq 3 \). Then the interpolatory integration rules of degree \( N - M \) of closed type based on the set of sample points \( \{\cos 2\pi\beta_l\}, -1 \leq l \leq N - M - 1 \), have all positive weights.

Remark. The set of sample points \( \{\cos 2\pi\beta_l\}, -1 \leq l \leq N - M - 1 \), coincides with the zeros of the polynomial

\[
\{T_{N+1}(x) - T_{N-1}(x)\}/\{T_M(x) - \cos \pi M/N\}.
\]

Conjecture 3. Under the same assumption as in Conjecture 1, the interpolatory integration rules of degree \( N + M - 2 \) of open type based on the set of sample points \( \{\cos 2\pi\alpha_l\}, 1 \leq l \leq N + M - 1 \), have all positive weights.

Remark. The set of sample points \( \{\cos 2\pi\alpha_l\}, 1 \leq l \leq N + M - 1 \), coincides with the zeros of the polynomial

\[
U_{N-1}(x) \{T_M(x) - \cos \pi M/(2N)\}.
\]

In §3 we have verified special cases of Conjecture 3, namely the cases \( M = N/2 \) and \( M = N/4 \).

Some numerical experiments disprove a conjecture on the open-type quadrature analogous to Conjecture 2 for closed-type rules. Specifically, the set of
sample points \( \{ \cos 2\pi \alpha_l \} \), \( 1 \leq l \leq 2^n - 2^m - 1 \), where \( 1 \leq m \leq n - 2 \), may yield no positive quadrature rule of open type.
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