MATHEMATICS OF COMPUTATION
VOLUME 63, NUMBER 208
OCTOBER 1994, PAGES 773–784

CLASS NUMBER PARITY FOR THE pTH CYCLOTOMIC FIELD

PETER STEVENHAGEN

ABSTRACT. We study the parity of the class number of the pth cyclotomic field for p prime. By analytic methods we derive a parity criterion in terms of polynomials over the field of 2 elements. The conjecture that the class number is odd for p a prime of the form $2q+1$, with q prime, is proved in special cases, and a heuristic argument is given in favor of the conjecture. An implementation of the criterion on a computer shows that no small counterexamples to the conjecture exist.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we will be interested in the parity of the class number h_p of the pth cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ for p a prime number. This question, and more generally the parity of abelian number fields, has been studied since Kummer introduced cyclotomic class numbers, and the literature on the subject is quite extensive. We refer to [4, 10] and the references given there for results on the parity of class numbers that will not be mentioned in the sequel.

We will not be concerned with general parity criteria for large classes of abelian fields as in [6, 7], but restrict ourselves to the special case of a cyclotomic field of prime conductor. This is the simplest example of a cyclotomic field, and it has a certain classical status ever since Kummer introduced the theory of ideal factorization for it that became the basis of algebraic number theory. Moreover, it turns out that the parity problem is the hardest for this field, since many criteria for the class number to be even, like those of Cornell and Rosen [2] that we will discuss momentarily, only apply to fields of composite conductor.

We will derive a parity criterion for h_p in the spirit of [3] and [5] in the case that p is a so-called Sophie Germain prime. This makes for easy calculation, both on a theoretical level, where we will show how it leads to elementary proofs of the known results, most notably the main result of [4], and on a computational level, where we will deal with primes p that are "small" in a special sense. We also develop a heuristic argument that shows very convincingly that we should not expect any Sophie Germain prime p to exist for which h_p is even.

We introduce some notation in order to describe our results in more detail.

For $n \geq 1$ an integer, let Cl_n denote the class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ and Cl_n^+ the class group of the real subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^+$. It is known that the natural map $\mathrm{Cl}_n^+ \to \mathrm{Cl}_n$ is injective and that the norm map $N_n\colon \mathrm{Cl}_n \to \mathrm{Cl}_n^+$ is surjective [9, pp. 82–84]. Correspondingly, we have a decomposition $h_n = h_n^- h_n^+$, where

Received by the editor September 5, 1992 and, in revised form, April 9, 1993.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R18.

h_n^+ denotes the order of Cl_n^+ and h_n^- the order of $\ker N_n$. The intersection $\mathrm{Cl}_n^+ \cap \ker N_n$ is the 2-torsion subgroup $\mathrm{Cl}_n^+[2]$ of Cl_n^+, and one sees that

$$2|h_n^+ \Rightarrow 2|h_n^- . \tag{1.1}$$

It follows that the parity of h_n can be determined by looking at h_n^- only.

The number h_n^+ is not easily computed, but its parity can be determined without a computation of the number itself. It has been shown by Cornell and Rosen [2] that h_n^+ is even when n is divisible by five or more primes, and they give an explicit lower bound on the 2-rank of Cl_n^+ that tends to infinity with the number of primes dividing n. When n is divisible by two, three, or four primes, they prove parity results for h_n^+ and h_n under additional assumptions on these primes. However, in the case that $n = p$ is prime, which is the situation we will consider in the present paper, the methods of [2] do not yield anything. It is known that the class numbers h_p^+ and h_p can be either even or odd. The smallest value of p for which h_p^- is even is $p = 29$, and the smallest value for which h_p^+ is even is $p = 163$.

We deal with the following conjecture concerning the parity of h_p for *Sophie Germain primes* p, i.e., primes p for which $q = (p-1)/2$ is prime. Note that the terminology is somewhat questionable as the prime of interest in the Sophie Germain criterion for the first case of Fermat's last theorem is q rather than p. The conjecture seems to have arisen in connection with work of Taussky [11], even though it is stated explicitly only in a paper of Davis [3]. More details on its history can be found in the introduction of [4]. A formulation of the conjecture in terms of the K_2-group of the ring of integers of the real cyclotomic field can be found in [8].

1.2. **Conjecture.** *If p is a Sophie Germain prime, then h_p is odd.*

It has not been proved that the number of Sophie Germain primes is infinite, but this is what one expects. The heuristic argument in [1] can be applied in our special case to estimate the number $P(N)$ of positive integers $x < N$ for which both x and $2x+1$ are prime, and it leads to

$$P(N) \sim C \int_2^N \frac{dt}{\log^2 t} \quad \text{for } N \to \infty ,$$

where the constant C is defined by

$$C = 2 \prod_{p>2 \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) .$$

This constant is known as the twin prime constant because exactly the same heuristics apply to the case of twin primes, in which one looks at primes x for which $x+2$ is also prime. The numerical value of C is close to 1.32032. These heuristics are in reasonable accordance with numerical observations, as Table 1 shows. In any case, we can feel confident that the number $P(N)$ does tend to infinity with N.

In this paper, we will derive the following criterion for the parity of h_p for p a Sophie Germain prime.

TABLE 1

N	$C\int_2^N \frac{dt}{\log^2(t)}$	$P(N)$
100000	1248.4	1171
200000	2181.4	2058
300000	3037.0	2848
400000	3847.6	3589
500000	4626.9	4324
1000000	8246.0	7746

1.3. **Theorem.** *Let $q > 2$ and $p = 2q + 1$ be prime numbers, and denote by ϕ some isomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*/\langle -1\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. Then the polynomial $F_q = \sum_{i=1}^{(p-3)/4} X^{\phi(i)} \in \mathbf{F}_2[X]$ is well defined modulo the cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_q = (X^q - 1)/(X - 1)$, and one has*

$$h_q \text{ is odd } \Rightarrow \gcd(F_q, \Phi_q) = 1 \in \mathbf{F}_2[X].$$

We will see in §2 how this criterion can be used to prove Conjecture 1.2 for special classes of p, and in our final §3 we will apply it to furnish a heuristic argument that shows that counterexamples to Conjecture 1.2 are highly unlikely to occur. More precisely, we show that under the assumption that the polynomial F_q behaves like a random element in $\mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q$, the expected number of counterexamples to 1.2 is finite and very small. Some numerical data are presented to show that counterexamples that are small in a sense to be defined do not exist. For instance, one obtains the following theorem that excludes the existence of small values of p contradicting 1.2.

1.4. **Theorem.** *Suppose that p is a Sophie Germain prime for which h_p is even. Then h_p is divisible by 2^{95}.*

The exponent 95 can be replaced by a higher value M if the gcd in Criterion 1.3 is found to be equal to 1 for the finite number of Sophie Germain primes $q = 2p + 1$ for which the multiplicative order of 2 modulo p is bounded by M. For instance, the exponent can be replaced by 100 after the verification of the single case $p = 841557503$. We obtained the exponent 95 by checking the criterion on a computer for 19 values of p that are listed in Table 4.3 at the end of §3.

2. THE PARITY CRITERION

We will now give the proof of Theorem 1.3, which is based on the analytic class number formula for relative class numbers [9, Theorem 3.2]. It states that for a totally complex abelian extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$ with maximal real subfield K^+, the relative class number $h_K^- = h_K/h_{K^+}$ can be written as

$$h_K^- = Q_K w_K \prod_{\chi} (-\tfrac{1}{2} B_{1,\chi}), \tag{2.1}$$

where w_K is the order of the group Z_K of roots of unity in K and $Q_K = [E_K : Z_K E_{K^+}] \in \{1, 2\}$ is the unit index. The product ranges over all odd characters χ: $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{C}^*$, and $B_{1,\chi}$ is a generalized Bernoulli number.

Proof of 1.3. We apply (2.1) with $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and note that the Bernoulli number corresponding to the quadratic character $\chi = (\frac{\cdot}{p})$ is, again by (2.1), equal to minus the class number of the quadratic subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$. It is an easily verified and well-known fact that this class number is odd. Using the implication (1.1), we see that h_p is odd if and only if the integer $h^* = h_p^-/h_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})}$ is. Apart from the quadratic character, all odd characters modulo p have order $2q$, and they are transitively permuted by the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}$ that acts naturally on their image. We obtain

$$h^* = \frac{Q_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)} w_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}}{Q_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})} w_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})}} \prod_{\mathrm{ord}(\chi)=2q}^{\zeta} \left\{ \frac{-1}{2p} \sum_{x=1}^{2q} x\chi(x) \right\}$$

$$= p^{2-q} N_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbb{Q}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{2q} x\chi(x) \right).$$

In the last expression, we can take any fixed character χ modulo p of order $2q$. It follows that h^* is odd if and only if for this χ the element $w_\chi = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x=1}^{2q} x\chi(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ is odd; i.e., if its residue class in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]/2\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ is a unit.

In order to determine whether w_χ is odd, we may replace it by mw_χ for any odd multiplier $m \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$. As $\chi(2)$ is a root of unity of order q or $2q$, we can take $m = \overline{\chi}(2) - 1$ and use the substitution $x \mapsto 2x - p[2x/p]$ for $x = 1, 2, \ldots, 2q = p - 1$ to obtain

$$mw_\chi = (\overline{\chi}(2) - 1)\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x=1}^{2q} x(\chi)x = \sum_{x=1}^{2q} (x/2)\chi(x/2) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x=1}^{2q} x\chi(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x=1}^{2q} x\chi(x) - \frac{1}{2}p \sum_{x=q+1}^{2q} \chi(x)$$

(*)

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{x=1}^{q} \{x\chi(x) + (p-x)\chi(p-x)\} + \frac{1}{2}p\sum_{x=1}^{q}\chi(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x=1}^{q} x\chi(x) + \frac{1}{2}p\sum_{x=1}^{q}(\chi(-x) + \chi(x)) = \sum_{x=1}^{q} x\chi(x).$$

Modulo the ideal $(2) \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$, the character χ coincides with the even character $\psi = \chi \cdot (\frac{\cdot}{p})$, and using the identity $\sum_{x=1}^{q} \psi(x) = 0$, we obtain

$$mw_\chi = \sum_{x=1}^{q} x\chi(x) \overset{\text{mod } 2}{\equiv} \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ x \text{ odd}}}^{q} \psi(x) = \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ x \text{ even}}}^{q} \psi(x) = \psi(2) \sum_{x=1}^{(q-1)/2} \psi(x).$$

We conclude that h_p^- is odd if and only if $\sum_{x=1}^{(q-1)/2} \psi(x)$ is odd in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$. We can write $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]/2\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q] \cong \mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q(X)$, and choosing ϕ as stated in the theorem, we have $\psi(x) = \zeta_q^{\phi(x)}$ for some choice of the root of unity ζ_q. Obviously, an element $\sum a_i \zeta_q^i$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]/2\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ if and only if the polynomial $\sum a_i X^i$ is coprime to Φ_q in $\mathbf{F}_2[X]$. The theorem follows. □

2.2. *Remark.* One can use multipliers different from $m = \overline{\chi}(2) - 1$ in the preceding proof to obtain versions of Theorem 1.3 in which other polynomials

play the role of F_q. For instance, it is immediate from equation $(*)$ in the preceding proof that the choice $m = \overline{\chi}(2) - 2$ leads to $mw_\chi = \frac{1}{2}p\sum_{x=1}^{q}\chi(x)$. Adding $\frac{1}{2}p\sum_{x=1}^{q}\psi(x) = 0$ to this element, it follows that we may replace F_q in Theorem 1.3 by

$$F_q' = \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ (\frac{x}{p})=1}}^{q} X^{\phi(x)}.$$

As a direct consequence of the Criterion 1.3, we see that Conjecture 1.2 holds for the following class of Sophie Germain primes. This result appears already in [3].

2.3. **Corollary.** *Suppose that $p = 2q + 1$ is a Sophie Germain prime such that 2 is a primitive root modulo q. Then h_p is odd.*

Proof. Under the assumption, Φ_q is irreducible in $\mathbf{F}_2[X]$, so the greatest common divisor in the criterion must be 1. □

The proof of Theorem 1.3 describes the factor h^* of h_p^- as the norm of an element in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$. If this norm is even, it is obviously divisible by $2^{f(q)}$, where $f(q)$ is the residue class degree of the primes over 2 in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$, i.e., the order of 2 mod q in $\mathbf{F}_q^*$. We have obtained the following corollary.

2.4. **Corollary.** *Suppose $p = 2q + 1$ is a Sophie Germain prime for which h_p is even. Then h_p^- is divisible by $2^{f(q)}$, where $f(q)$ is the multiplicative order of 2 modulo q.*

We will now use Theorem 1.3 to prove Conjecture 1.2 for a class of primes that fails to meet the conditions of Corollary 2.3, but is still "sufficiently close" to these conditions. This result is due to Estes [4], who gave a rather involved proof that makes extensive use of the properties of Dedekind sums. Our proof is somewhat similar in the sense that it also points out a nonzero coefficient in a certain polynomial, but it is completely elementary.

2.5. **Theorem.** *Let $p = 2q + 1$ be a Sophie Germain prime with $q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, and suppose that 2 mod q generates the subgroup of squares in $\mathbf{F}_q^*$. Then h_p is odd.*

Proof. Under our hypothesis on q, the group $\mathbf{F}_q^*$ is generated by 2 and -1. The cyclotomic polynomial Φ_q then factors over $\mathbf{F}_2[X]$ as the product of an irreducible polynomial of degree $(q-1)/2$ and the reciprocal of this polynomial. We have to verify that the polynomial F_q from 1.3 is not divisible by one of these factors in $\mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q(X)$, or, equivalently, that we have the relation $G(X) \overset{\text{def}}{=} F_q(X)F_q(X^{-1}) \neq 0 \in \mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q(X)$. We can write G explicitly as $G = \sum_{z=1}^{(p-3)/2} c(z, p)X^{\phi(z)}$ with coefficients given by

$$(2.6) \qquad c(z, p) = \#\{(x, y)\colon 1 \leq x, y < p/4 \text{ and } x \equiv \pm yz \bmod p\} \bmod 2,$$

and we have to show that the coefficients $c(z, p)$ do not all have the same parity. This is true in the following generality.

2.7. **Lemma.** *Let $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ be an arbitrary prime number, and define the numbers $c(z, p) \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for $z = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$ by (2.6). Then these numbers are not all equal.*

Proof. In order to compute the numbers $c(z, p)$, we have to count how many of the numbers yz, with $1 \leq y < p/4$, lie in an interval of the form $(kp - p/4, kp + p/4) = ((4k-1)\frac{p}{4}, (4k+1)\frac{p}{4})$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using square brackets to denote the entier function, we can write the number of multiples of an integer z in an interval (a, b) with a and b not integral multiples of z as $[b/z] - [a/z] \equiv [a/z] + [b/z] \bmod 2$. Setting $l = [z/4]$, we can thus express $c(z, p)$ as

$$c(z, p) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{2l} \left[\frac{(2i+1)p}{4z}\right] \bmod 2 & \text{if } 4 \nmid z, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{2l-1} \left[\frac{(2i+1)p}{4z}\right] + \left[\frac{p}{4}\right] \bmod 2 & \text{if } 4 \mid z. \end{cases}$$

(In case $z = 4l$, the largest z-multiple $[\frac{p}{4}]z$ lies in the interval $((4l-1)\frac{p}{4}, (4l+1)\frac{p}{4})$, so our last endpoint has to be taken as $z\frac{p}{4}$.)

This formula makes sense for any pair of nonzero integers, and it shows that apart from the obvious relation $c(z_1, p) = c(z_2, p)$ for $z_1 \equiv z_2 \bmod p$ we also have $c(z, p_1) = c(z, p_2)$ whenever $p_1 \equiv p_2 \bmod 8z$. If $4 \mid z$, the last conclusion already holds when we have $p_1 \equiv p_2 \bmod 4z$. If a and b are coprime to p and $z = ab^{-1} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$, we write $c(a/b, p)$ for $c(z, p)$. In this case there is a similar argument showing that $c(a/b, p_1) = c(a/b, p_2)$ when $p_2 \equiv p_1 \bmod 8ab$ (or $p_2 \equiv p_2 \bmod 4ab$ when ab is even).

We have $c(1, p) = [\frac{p}{4}]$ and $c(2, p) = [\frac{p}{8}]$, so the first two coefficients are both $0 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ if $p \equiv 3 \bmod 16$ and both $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ when $p \equiv -1 \bmod 16$. In other cases they have different parity and we are done.

Assume first that $p \equiv 3 \bmod 16$, and write $p = u2^m + 3$ with u odd and $m \geq 4$. We claim that $c(z, p) = 1$ for $z = 2^{m-1}$. Indeed, we have $4 \mid z$ and $p \equiv 2^m + 3 \bmod 4z$, so $c(2^{m-1}, p) = c(2^{m-1}, 2^m + 3) = c(-3/2, 2^m + 3)$. The value of the last symbol only depends on the residue class of $2m + 3$ modulo $8 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 48$, which is either 19 or 35 mod 48 depending on the parity of m. In either case we find $c(z, p) = 1$ as $c(-3/2, 35) = c(11, 35) = 1$ and $c(-3/2, 19) = c(7, 19) = 1$.

Assume now that $p \equiv -1 \bmod 16$. In this case we want an element $c(z, p) = 0$, and this time no power of 2 seems to work for z. We can however work with powers of 3, a phenomenon that already occurs in Estes's proof of this result. Observe first that $c(3, p) = [\frac{p}{12}]$, so $c(3, p) = 0$ when $p \equiv 31 \bmod 48$. We may therefore assume that $p \equiv -1 \bmod 48$. Write $p = u \cdot 3^m - 1$ with u divisible by 16 but not by 3 and $m \geq 1$. We claim that $c(z, p) = 0$ for $z = 2 \cdot 3^{m+1}$. This time $p \bmod 8z = 2^4 3^{m+1}$ depends on $u \bmod 3$, so we distinguish two cases.

If $u \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, we have $c(2 \cdot 3^{m+1}, p) = c(2 \cdot 3^{m+1}, 16 \cdot 3^m - 1) = c(3/8, 16 \cdot 3^m - 1)$. This reduces for odd m to $c(3/8, 47) = c(13, 47) = 0$ and for even m to $c(3/8, 143) = c(45, 143) = 0$.

If $u \equiv -1 \bmod 3$, we have $c(2 \cdot 3^{m+1}, p) = c(2 \cdot 3^{m+1}, 32 \cdot 3^m - 1) = c(3/16, 32 \cdot 3^m - 1)$. This reduces for odd m to $c(3/16, 95) = c(18, 95) = 0$ and for even m to $c(3/16, 287) = c(54, 287) = 0$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.7 and of Theorem 2.5. □

Criteria in the spirit of our Theorem 1.3 can also be derived by studying the signatures of the cyclotomic units in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. One uses the analytic fact that h_p^+ is the index of the group of cyclotomic units in the full unit group of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_p]$ and works inside the real cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$. We will indicate this approach, which is more common in the literature [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] in the rest of this section and compare the results obtained to ours.

For parity questions, one has first of all the following equivalences.

2.8. **Lemma.** *The following are equivalent for a prime number* p:

(i) h_p *is odd*;
(ii) h_p^- *is odd*;
(iii) $h_{p,\text{narrow}}^+$ *is odd.*

Proof. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from implication (1.1) in the introduction.

For (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) we use arguments as in 2.1. As any abelian extension $F/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$ that is unramified at all finite primes and of even degree gives rise to an unramified extension $F(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ that is totally unramified of the same degree, we see that $h_{p,\text{narrow}}^+$ divides h_p, so h_p is even if $h_{p,\text{narrow}}^+$ is even. Conversely, if h_p is even there is an unramified abelian extension $F/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ of 2-power degree such that $F/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$ is Galois, say with group H. Let $I \subset H$ be an inertia group of a prime of F lying over p. As the 2-group H is solvable, it has a normal subgroup N of index 2 that contains the subgroup I of order 2. The fixed field of N is a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$ that is unramified at all finite primes, so it follows that $h_{p,\text{narrow}}^+$ is even. □

The last condition in the preceding lemma gives rise to parity conditions in terms of cyclotomic units. We need some additional notation in order to state them.

Let E be the unit group of the ring of integers $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$. Then $\langle\zeta_p\rangle \cdot E$ is the unit group in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, and we call a unit in this group *cyclotomic* if it is in the subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^*$ generated by ζ_p and the nonzero elements of the form $1-\zeta_p^i$. The group of cyclotomic units is generated by ζ_p and the group C of real cyclotomic units. As abelian groups, both E and C can be written as the product of their torsion subgroup $\langle -1\rangle$ and a free abelian group on $q-1$ generators, with $q=(p-1)/2$ the degree of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The analytic class number formula for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$ states [9, Theorem 5.1] that the index $[E:C]$ is equal to the class number h_p^+.

In order to study the parity of $h_p^+ = \#(E/C)$, we define a signature map on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$ that respects the action of $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\text{sgn}\colon \mathbb{R}^* \to \mathbf{F}_2$ be the signature map with values in the additive group $\mathbf{F}_2$ rather than in $\langle -1\rangle$. From now on, we fix an embedding $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \subset \mathbb{C}$ by taking $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$. Then $\text{sgn}(x) \in \mathbf{F}_2$ is well defined for any nonzero $x \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$, and we have the G-homomorphism

$$S\colon (\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+)^* \to \mathbf{F}_2[G]$$
$$x \mapsto \sum_{g\in G} \text{sgn}(g^{-1}(x))\cdot g\,.$$

For parity questions, one studies the values of S on E and C. From the structure of the abelian group E one sees that the signature map $S\colon E \to \mathbf{F}_2[G]$

is surjective if and only if the subgroup $E^+ = \ker S|_E$ of totally positive units coincides with the subgroup E^2 of squares in E. An analogous remark applies to the group C.

2.9. **Lemma.** *Let p be a prime number. Then h_p is odd if and only if S maps the group of real cyclotomic units C surjectively to the signature space $\mathbf{F}_2[G]$.*

Proof. By the preceding lemma, h_p is odd if and only $h_{p,\text{narrow}}^+$ is odd. As $h_{p,\text{narrow}}^+ = [\mathbf{F}_2[G] : S[E]] \cdot h_p^+$, we conclude that h_p is odd if and only if $S[E] = \mathbf{F}_2[G]$ and $h_p^+ = [E : C]$ is odd. If these two conditions are satisfied, then $S[C] = S[E] = \mathbf{F}_2[G]$ because the index $[S[E] : S[C]]$ is odd and divides $|\mathbf{F}_2[G]| = 2^q$. Conversely, if $S[C] = \mathbf{F}_2[G]$, then we have $S[E] = \mathbf{F}_2[G]$ and $E = C \cdot \ker S|_E = CE^2$, which implies that the order h_p^+ of E/C is odd. □

The subspace $S[C] \subset \mathbf{F}_2[G]$ can be described explicitly, as we know C explicitly. Let σ denote a generator of G, and set $\eta_i = (\zeta_p - \zeta_p^{-1})^{(\sigma^i - 1)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\eta_q = -1$ and that $\eta_{i+q} = -\eta_i$. The group C is generated by the elements η_i with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$. From the relation $\eta_{i+k} = \sigma^k(\eta_i)\eta_k$ it follows inductively that η_1 generates $C/\langle -1 \rangle$ over the group ring. As S maps -1 to $N_G = \sum_{g \in G} g$, we have an induced homomorphism between cyclic $\mathbf{F}_2[G]$-modules:

$$\overline{S}\colon \overline{C} = C/\langle -1 \rangle \to \mathbf{F}_2[G]/N_G \cong \mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q(X).$$

Under the last isomorphism, the generator σ of G corresponds to X. The image of $\overline{C}$ in $\mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q(X)$ is the ideal generated by $\overline{S}(\eta_1)$, so the class number h_p is odd if and only if $\overline{S}(\eta_1)$ is a unit in $\mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q(X)$.

We have obtained a criterion analogous to our Theorem 1.3. It immediately yields 2.3 by observing that $\overline{S}$ is not the zero map for $p > 3$—it suffices to note that $\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}$ cannot be in the kernel—and also 2.4 with h_p^- replaced by h_p.

One can give $\overline{S}(\eta_1)$ explicitly as a polynomial. An element $\sigma_i \in G$ acts on $\eta_1 = \eta_1/\eta_0$ by shifting the indices over i places. Writing $s_i = \operatorname{sgn}(\eta_{-i}) \in \mathbf{F}_2$ and $H = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} s_i X^i$, we obtain $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma^{-i}(\eta_1)) = s_{i-1} + s_i$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{S}(\eta_1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{q} (s_{i-1} + s_i) X^i = S_q X^q + (X+1) \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} s_i X^i + s_0 \\ &= 1 + (X+1)H(X) \in \mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q.\end{aligned}$$

In order to compute s_i, one picks a generator $t \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. From the definition of $\eta_i = (\zeta_p^{t^i} - \zeta_p^{-t^i})/(\zeta_p - \zeta_p^{-1})$ it is immediate that $\operatorname{sgn}(\eta_i) = 0$ if and only if $(t^i \bmod p)$ is in one of the residue classes $(a \bmod p)$ with $a \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$. We have reproved the main theorem of Davis's paper [3], which can be stated as follows. Note that our argument avoids most of the explicit computations in [3] by exploiting the G-action on C.

2.10. **Theorem.** *Let $p = 2q + 1$ be a prime number and t a primitive root modulo p. Define $s_i \in \mathbf{F}_2$ by setting $s_i = 0$ if and only if $t^i = a \bmod p$ for some $a \in \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$, and let $H = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} s_i X^i$. Then h_p is divisible by the index of the ideal generated by $1 + (X+1)H(X)$ in $\mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q$.*

The criterion obtained is similar to ours, as the coefficients c_i of the polynomial $F_q = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} c_i X^i$ from 1.3 can be defined by letting $c_i = 1$ if and only if $t^i = \pm a \bmod p$ for some $a \in \{1, 2, \ldots, (q-1)/2\}$.

3. Heuristics

In this section we will show that under certain assumptions of randomness, the number of Sophie Germain primes for which h_p is even is a finite number whose expected value is very close to zero.

As before, we denote for a Sophie Germain prime $p > 5$ the prime number $(p-1)/2$ by q. Let $f(q)$ be the order of 2 in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$. Our parity Criterion 1.3 states that h_p is even if and only if the element F_q is not a unit in the finite algebra

$$\mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q(X) \cong (\mathbf{F}_{2^{f(q)}})^{(q-1)/f(q)}.$$

A random element in this algebra is a nonunit with probability

$$\pi(q) = 1 - (1 - 2^{-f(q)})^{(q-1)/f(q)}.$$

Since we are unable to prove very much about F_q, we will base our heuristic analysis on the assumption that F_q behaves like a random element in $\mathbf{F}_2[X]/\Phi_q(X)$ when q ranges over the primes $q > 2$ for which $p = 2q + 1$ is prime. Under this assumption, we expect $\sum_q \pi(q)$ Sophie Germain primes p for which h_p is even. We prove the following result.

3.1. **Theorem.** *The sum $\sum_q \pi(q)$ over all primes $q > 2$ for which $2q + 1$ is prime is finite.*

Proof. From the inequality $(1 - 2^{-f})^{(q-1)/f} \geq 1 - (q-1)/(f\,2^f)$ it follows that the terms of our sum satisfy

$$\pi(q) = 1 - (1 - 2^{-f(q)})^{(q-1)/f(q)} \leq \frac{q-1}{f(q)2^{f(q)}}.$$

Ordering the values of q over which the sum is taken by the size of the corresponding value of $f(q)$, we rewrite the sum as

$$\sum_{f=2}^{\infty} w_f \quad \text{with } w_f = \sum_{q\,:\,f(q)=f} \pi(q),$$

with q ranging over the odd primes for which $2q + 1$ is prime, and estimate each of the terms w_f.

For given f, all primes q with $f(q) = f$ are divisors of $2^f - 1$, so the number of such q cannot exceed f. For the primes q that satisfy $q < 2^{f/2}$ one has

$$\pi(q) \leq \frac{q-1}{f\,2^f} \leq \frac{1}{f2^{f/2}},$$

so the contribution of these q of w_f does not exceed $2^{-f/2}$. If $2^f - 1$ has a prime divisor $q > 2^{f/2}$, then this prime divisor is obviously unique. For such q, we will obtain two different estimates for $\pi(q)$, depending on whether f is prime or composite.

If f is composite, it has a divisor $d \geq \sqrt{f}$, and the definition of $f(q)$ shows that q divides $(2^f - 1)/(2^d - 1) \leq (2^f - 1)/(2^{\sqrt{f}} - 1)$, so in this case

we have

$$\pi(q) \leq \frac{q-1}{f2^f} < \frac{1}{f(2\sqrt{f}-1)}.$$

If $f > 2$ is prime, then $q \neq 2^f - 1$ for the q that contribute to w_f, as equality would imply that $p = 2q + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. All prime factors of $2^f - 1$ are congruent to $1 \bmod f$, so they are bounded from below by $2f + 1$. It follows that $q \leq (2^f - 1)/(2f + 1)$, and consequently

$$\pi(q) \leq \frac{q-1}{f2^f} < \frac{1}{2f^2}.$$

We conclude that

$$w_f < \frac{1}{2^{f/2}} + \frac{1}{f(2\sqrt{f}-1)} + \frac{1}{2f^2} \tag{3.2}$$

for every $f > 2$. In particular, we obtain a convergent sum when summing over f. □

Our heuristic approach suggests that counterexamples to Conjecture 1.2, if they exist, should be found for small values of $f(q)$ rather than for small values of q itself. Table 3.3 lists all values $q > 2$ for which $p = 2q + 1$ is prime and $f(q) \leq 100$. In the column "h_p odd?" we list 2.3 and 2.5 if the class number is odd, because the hypotheses for these theorems are satisfied. For the remaining 20 values of p we have attempted the numerical verification of the criterion given in Theorem 1.3. For the 12 values of p below 2500 this could be done on the Pari-calculator, and these cases have been marked P. All other primes in the table except for the largest prime $p = 841557503$ were dealt with by Bert Ruitenburg, who used Maple (M) in six cases and a special-purpose C-program for $p = 26529059$.

In the larger cases one can reduce the time needed for the computation by running the algorithm on parallel machines. This is due to the fact that one knows in principle how the cyclotomic polynomial Φ_q factors over the field $\mathbf{F}_2$. Thus, once one has computed the polynomial F_q in 1.3, one can check the criterion by verifying that F_q does not vanish on any primitive qth root of unity in $\mathbf{F}_{2^{f(q)}}$. It is easy to find one such root of unity ζ_p once one has "constructed" $\mathbf{F}_{2^{f(q)}}$ by exhibiting an irreducible polynomial of degree $f(q)$ over $\mathbf{F}_2$. The algorithm then reduces to $(q-1)/f(q)$ independent verifications showing that $F_q(\zeta_q^a) \neq 0$ for all $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*/\langle 2 \rangle$, so it is easily run in parallel.

Combining the results in the table with Corollary 2.4, we immediately obtain a proof of Theorem 1.4 stated in the introduction. It is also clear that the exponent in this theorem can be increased by extending the range of our table and performing the necessary computations.

As another consequence of our numerical work, we can bound the number of expected counterexamples to Conjecture 1.2 rather drastically by considering in the sum $\sum_q \pi(q)$ from Theorem 3.1 only those q for which $f(q) \geq 95$. Using the rough estimate from (3.2), one expects to find at most $\sum_{f \geq 95} w_f < .01$ counterexamples. As Table 3.3 already suggests, the exact value of this sum is much smaller than .01. This heuristic argument convinces us that Conjecture 1.2 must be true "for lack of counterexamples".

3.3. **Table. Sophie Germain primes $p = 2q + 1$ with $f(q) \leq 100$.**

$f(q)$	q	p	$\pi(q)$	h_p odd?
2	3	7	.25	2.3
4	5	11	.0625	2.3
10	11	23	.0009765625	2.3
11	23	47	.0009763241	2.5
	89	179	.0038995808	P
20	41	83	.0000019073	P
22	683	1367	.0000073909	P
28	29	59	.37253 E − 8	2.3
	113	227	.14901 E − 7	P
29	233	467	.14901 E − 7	P
	1103	2207	.70781 E − 7	P
34	43691	87383	.74797 E − 7	M
43	431	863	.11369 E − 11	P
46	2796203	5592407	.86384 E − 9	M
47	2351	4703	.35527 E − 12	P
	13264529	26529059	.20053 E − 8	C
52	53	107	.22204 E − 15	2.3
53	69431	138863	.14544 E − 12	M
58	59	119	.34694 E − 17	2.3
64	641	1283	.54210 E − 18	P
68	953	1907	.47434 E − 19	P
70	281	563	.33881 E − 20	P
	86171	172343	.10427 E − 17	M
71	228479	456959	.13629 E − 17	M
82	83	167	.20680 E − 24	2.3
92	1013	2027	.22214 E − 26	P
	30269	60539	.66441 E − 25	M
95	191	383	.50487 E − 28	2.5
	420778751	841557503	.11181 E − 21	?

Acknowledgments

I am indebted to Hendrik Lenstra for many useful discussions during the preparation of this paper, and to the Institute of Advanced Study for its hospitality during the same period. Bibliographical help was kindly provided by Carl Pomerance and Georges Gras, and Bert Ruitenburg performed the computations for several large primes in Table 3.3. I thank Jurgen Hurrelbrink for bringing the conjecture on "Sophie Germain primes" to my attention, and Pieter Moree for pointing out that this terminology is actually inappropriate.

Bibliography

1. P. T. Bateman and R. A. Horn, *A heuristic asymptotic formula concerning the distribution of prime numbers*, Math. Comp. **16** (1962), 363–367.
2. G. Cornell and M. I. Rosen, *The l-rank of the real class group of cyclotomic fields*, Compositio Math. **53** (1984), 133–141.

3. D. Davis, *Computing the number of totally positive circular units which are squares*, J. Number Theory **10** (1978), 1–9.
4. D. R. Estes, *On the parity of the class number of the field of q-th roots of unity*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **19** (1989), 675–682.
5. K. Feng, *An elementary criterion on parity of class number of cyclic number field*, Scientia Sinica Ser. A **25** (1982), 1032–1041.
6. G. Gras, *Parité du nombre de classes et unités cyclotomiques*, Astérisque, no. 24–25, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1975, pp. 37–45.
7. ———, *Nombre de ϕ-classes invariantes. Application aux classes des corps abéliens*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **106** (1978), 337–264.
8. J. Hurrelbrink, *Class numbers, units, and K_2*, Algebraic K-theory: Connections with Geometry and Topology (Lake Louise, AB, 1987), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 279, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1989, pp. 87–102.
9. S. Lang, *Cyclotomic fields*. I & II, combined 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Math., vol 121, Springer, New York, 1990.
10. ———, *Units and class groups in number theory and algebraic geometry*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **6** (1982), 253–316.
11. O. Taussky, *Unimodular integral circulants*, Math. Z. **63** (1955), 286–298.

FACULTEIT WISKUNDE EN INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM, PLANTAGE MUIDERGRACHT 24, 1018 TV AMSTERDAM, NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: psh@fwi.uva.nl