FACTORS OF GENERALIZED FERMAT NUMBERS

HARVEY DUBNER AND WILFRID KELLER

ABSTRACT. Generalized Fermat numbers have the form $F_{b,\,m}=b^{2^m}+1$. Their odd prime factors are of the form $k\cdot 2^n+1$, k odd, n>m. It is shown that each prime is a factor of some $F_{b,\,m}$ for approximately 1/k bases b, independent of n. Divisors of generalized Fermat numbers of base 6, base 10, and base 12 are tabulated. Three new factors of standard Fermat numbers are included.

1. Introduction

Generalized Fermat numbers (GFNs) are of the form

(1)
$$F_{b,m} = b^{2^m} + 1, \ b \ge 2.$$

When b is even, they have many characteristics of the heavily studied standard Fermat numbers $F_m = F_{2,m}$. For example, they have no algebraic factors; they may be prime; it is easy to prove primality; for a fixed base b, they are pairwise relatively prime; all prime factors must be of the form

(2)
$$P(k, n) = k \cdot 2^n + 1, k \text{ odd}, n > m.$$

When b is odd, most of these properties are shared by the numbers $F_{b,m}/2$. In particular, all their prime factors are also of the form (2).

While investigating the generalized Fermat numbers, some interesting relationships concerning divisibility characteristics were observed and then proved. Each prime (2) is shown to be a factor of some $F_{b,m}$ for almost exactly 1/k of the bases b, independent of n. It appears that the probability of each prime dividing a standard Fermat number is also 1/k.

Divisors of generalized Fermat numbers of base 6, base 10, and base 12 are tabulated. Three new factors of standard Fermat numbers were discovered.

2. DIVISIBILITY RESULTS

There are approximately 160 known prime factors of Fermat numbers. It was natural to see if these factors were also factors of any other generalized Fermat numbers. What became immediately evident was that many of these factors were also factors of a surprisingly large number of GFNs, that is, P(k, n) divided some $F_{b,m}$ for many values of the base b. In fact, examining the data

Received by the editor August 23, 1993 and, in revised form, January 10, 1994. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11A51; Secondary 11B99, 11-04.

	Prime divisor							
$3 \cdot 2^n + 1$		5	• $2^{n} + 1$	7 •	$7 \cdot 2^n + 1$			
number			number	number				
n	of bases	n	of bases	n	of bases			
12	320	13	190	14	180			
18	319	15	196	20	135			
30	337	25	195	26	147			
36	340	39	192	50	133			
41	340	55	212	52	130			
66	331	75	204	92	157			
189	335	85	208	120	134			
201	326	127	232	174	136			
209	328	averag	e = 203.6	180	136			
276	334	999/ <i>k</i>	c = 199.8	190	129			
353	333			290	148			
408	364			320	175			
438	336			390	135			
534	332			432	149			
averag	e = 333.9			616	141			
999/	k = 333.0			830	148			
·				average	= 144.6			
				999/k	= 142.7			

TABLE 1. Divisibility frequency. Bases tested from 2 to 1000, 10 < n < 1000

led to the observation that, on average, every prime P(k, n) is a factor for 1/k of all bases, independent of n.

This is illustrated in Table 1. For k=3, 5, and 7 all the primes P(k,n) for n from 10 to 1000 were tested to see how many GFNs they divided. All bases from 2 to 1000 were tested. In each case the average number of bases for which P(k,n) is a factor is close to 1/k times the number of bases considered. Basically the same pattern occurred for all values of k and k that we tested. The theoretical reason for this is developed in the next section.

3. Divisibility theory

The following [4, pp. 129-130] is Euler's criterion for the solvability of

$$(3) b^N \equiv c \; (\operatorname{mod} M).$$

If M is any modulus with a primitive root, and (c, M) = 1, then the congruence (3) has a solution if and only if

(4)
$$c^{\varphi(M)/d} \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$$
, where $d = (N, \varphi(M))$.

Furthermore, when a solution exists, there are exactly d different solutions modulo M.

Indeed, since the prime P(k, n) has a primitive root, we can apply the above criterion for $N = 2^m$, c = -1, and M = P(k, n). In this case $\varphi(P(k, n)) = k \cdot 2^n$ and $d = (2^m, k \cdot 2^n) = 2^m$, so the condition (4) guaranteeing the existence of a solution of

(5)
$$b^{2^m} \equiv -1 \pmod{P}, \qquad P = P(k, n)$$

becomes

(6)
$$(-1)^{k \cdot 2^{n-m}} \equiv 1 \pmod{P},$$

and this relation holds because n > m is assumed. We thus conclude that there are $d = 2^m$ solutions with b < P of (5) for each m. But (5) is the equivalent to saying that P divides $F_{b,m}$ for the base b in question (note that b = 0 and b = 1 can never occur).

As the same reasoning applies to every $m \ge 0$, and for a given b the numbers $F_{b,m}$ have no odd factors in common, the total number of different base-b GFNs divisible by P is

(7)
$$b_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} 2^m = 2^n - 1,$$

hence the proportion of bases b < P which have a GFN divisible by P is

(8)
$$\frac{b_{\text{tot}}}{P} = \frac{1 - 1/2^n}{k + 1/2^n}.$$

This is almost exactly 1/k for reasonably large n, a condition which holds for almost all P of interest. In the particular case of k=1, primes P=P(1,n) are the Fermat primes, which actually divide numbers $F_{b,m}$ for 2^n-1 of all 2^n+1 different bases modulo P.

If a prime divides GFNs for 1/k of the bases, it is reasonable to assume that the probability of dividing a GFN for a specific base is also 1/k. On average this must be true, but because of various obvious relationships between bases, and correlations between factors for different bases such as those shown by Riesel [8], one might expect that each base has to be considered separately. However, we can make a plausible argument that the probability is always 1/k, irrespective of the base b or the prime P.

First we note that divisibility of a number $F_{b,m}$ by a prime of the form (2) implies $b^{2^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$. Conversely, if this relation holds for b > 1, an integer m < n exists such that P divides $F_{b,m}$. This follows by induction from the fact that if some x satisfies $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$, then x must equal +1 or -1. Furthermore, by Fermat's little theorem, the prime P satisfies

$$(9) (b^{2^n})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$$

whenever (b, P) = 1. Here the value of b^{2^n} can only coincide with one of the k different kth roots of unity modulo P, one of which is 1. Assuming that the outcome of the computation of b^{2^n} modulo P behaves randomly, we can expect it to be 1 with probability 1/k. But as we have seen, $b^{2^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$ is equivalent to the existence of some $F_{b,m}$ divisible by P.

We decided to examine the assumption for particular bases by computer. Fortunately, extensive testing could be done because the second author maintains a comprehensive list of primes of the form P(k, n), which is machine-readable [5]. As of October 1, 1992, this list consisted of all primes with the limits on k and n given in Table 2 (next page). The list had a total of 8,963 primes, including 36 miscellaneous primes beyond these limits. By summing 1/k over the entire list the expected value for the number of factors is 67.5.

We tested each of these primes to see how many were factors of GFNs for each of the bases from 2 to 15 which are not perfect powers. In general the test results appeared to confirm the theory, since the average number of factors per

k-li	mits	<i>n</i> -limits			
from	to	from	to		
1	31	1	15000		
33	63	1	12000		
65	119	1	8000		
121	211	1	4000		
213	499	1	2500		
501	1199	1	1000		

TABLE 2. Prime table limits, October 1, 1992

base was 68.4. Testing each base required 3.1 hours, using a PC 486/33 with special-purpose number theory hardware [2].

4. Tables of factors

The procedures for finding factors of generalized Fermat numbers are identical to those that have been used for many years for finding factors of standard Fermat numbers. Modern factoring methods are used for small values of m, trial division by appropriately sieved numbers $k \cdot 2^n + 1$, not necessarily prime, is used for small and medium values of n, and division by previously determined primes P(k, n) is used for large values of n, where the residues required to decide on effective divisibility are obtained by repeated squarings modulo the possible factor (see also [6, p. 662]).

The division-by-prime method is particularly advantageous since any large primes, discovered while testing for factors for a particular base, can be added to the prime list [5] and are immediately available for testing other bases.

As a result of work done for this paper the prime list has been extended considerably. The search limits are shown in Table 3, and the largest primes, found for $3 \le k \le 31$, are presented in Table 4. The lower bounds for the searched ranges were suggested by previous work reported in the second part of [6]. The entire prime list consists of 133,253 primes, 8,476 of which have n > 1000. Since it took many thousands of hours over many years to find these primes, the usefulness of the prime list is obvious. It takes about 17.5 hours to determine which of these primes are factors for a particular base.

The expected value for the number of factors is about 91.3, and the real frequencies for the bases tested are shown in Table 5. Here the agreement between the expected value and the average number of factors is even more pronounced. The standard Fermat numbers (base 2), in particular, behave like GFNs for any other specific base. This observation can be of assistance to those searching for factors of Fermat numbers.

TABLE 3. New prime table limits

k-l	imits	n-limits			
from	to	from	to		
1	31	1	40000		
33	63	1	12000		
65	119	1	10000		
121	219	ì	8000		
221	1199	1	4000		
1201	2245	1	2000		
2247	19999	1	1200		

TABLE 4. Large new primes P(k, n)

	n-li	mits			Pr	mes four	nd		
k	from	to				n			
3	21000	40000	34350						
5	26000	40000	26607						
7	16000	40000	16696,	22386					
9	15000	40000	22603,	24422,	39186				
11	15000	40000	15329,	18759,	28277				
13	20000	40000	28280,	38008					
15	15000	40000	19219,	21445,	21550,	24105,	24995,	34224,	34260
17	20000	40000					ŕ	ŕ	
19	15000	40000	17034,	23290					
21	15000	40000	17524,	27124,	29769				
23	20000	40000							
25	15000	40000							
27	15000	40000	19360,	30500,	38770				
29	15000	40000	25723						
31	20000	40000			• •				

TABLE 5. Divisibility frequency for individual bases b

	
	number
.	of factors
2	78
3	100
5	106
6	74
′ 7	94
10	104
11	88
12	96
13	85
14	74
15	102
average =	91.0
expected =	91.3

TABLE 6. Numbers $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ tested by trial division for bases 6, 10, 12

n-li	mits	
from	to	k-limits
10	39	20000000
40	50	10000000
51	100	5000000
.101	200	1000000
201	300	200000
-301	400	100000
401	1000	20000

Tables 7, 8, and 9 (see pp. 402-404) are tabulations of the prime factors of base-6, base-10, and base-12 generalized Fermat numbers. The trial division limits are shown in Table 6. Unfortunately, all the trial divisions must be repeated for each base, but for these "small" divisors trial division still seems to be the most efficient procedure. The total CPU time used on a Siemens 7.890-F computer for the trial divisions (three bases) was about 780 hours.

28 193

26 37

27 1137

28 4725

24 2426623

m k k m C prime (7) prime (37) \mathbf{C} C prime (1297) C 4 6175 C 5 11 10 4599 \mathbf{C} 6 43 C 7 224638962477005164271 7 C 642 15295 8 2983 664 891 26-digits 11 9 10 79 11 447425285 13 45903

Table 7. Prime factors $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ of base-6 Fermat numbers $6^{2^m} + 1$

Note: C means GFN is completely factored

Some of the factors for small m were taken from [1]. All the base-6 and base-10 factors in Riesel's paper [9] were rediscovered.

197 199

127 5

127 11

188 13

9431 9

22385 22386 7

The total number of factors contained in Tables 7, 8, and 9 is 365. From the considerations leading to (7) the approximate frequencies of the differences n-m occurring in a randomly chosen sample of 365 GFN factors can be predicted. The following is a comparison of the expected and actually counted frequencies:

n-m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Expected	183	91	46	23	11	6	3	1	1
Counted	199	72	50	17	14	8	4		

TABLE 8. Prime factors $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ of base-10 Fermat numbers $10^{2^m} + 1$

m		n	k	m	n	k	m	n	k
0	С	1	5 prime (11)	29	31	135	226	227	1707
1	C	2	25 prime (101)	35	39	5	243	244	2661
2	С	3	9	37	38	287443	260	262	19887
	_	3	17	39	40	52731	270	271	177
3	C	4	1	40	41	21	284	291	701
		4	367647		42	115	324	325	1283
4	C	5	11	41	42	39	380	381	23
		6	7	48	52	25	388	389	101
		7	5	50	51	849	461	462	4963
		7	11	54	57	35535	550	552	9103
		5	2183		57	3397839	615	616	7
5	C	7	155	58	60	45	625	626	63
		6	15253	62	63	9	749	750	459
		6	96679	64	65	63	842	844	1273
		7	6518964113895	66	67	9	892	894	627
6	С	7	9882899	68	69	15533	990	993	95
		8	59934250737848194603	69	70	21573	1104	1105	1551
		7	31-digits	72	75	5	1147	1148	67
7	C	8	1	80	83	1155045	1190	1191	299
		10	15	81	82	13	1286	1287	207
		8	1771	88	89	14603	1139	1141	1055
		11	113-digits	91	93	4695	1370	1373	935
8	C	9	21	93	94	1718239	1402	1403	539
		9	16121	99	100	3957	1628	1631	65
		13	1162719	102	104	43	1676	1677	123
		9	142913093		105	460745	1919	1921	89
		9	222-digits	122	125	755	1944	1947	5
9		10	1479	124	127	5	1960	1961	23
		10	294999	142	143	29	2686	2687	647
11		13	13050269	143	144	841	2731	2732	97
		12	936342025557		149	3125	3306	3313	5
		12	2203924854324541	146	147	17	3353	3354	9
12		13	56021	157	158	43	3473	3474	273
		13	88886432331741	1.68	171	285	5147	5152	25
15		16	1	179	180	7	6612	6614	7
		19	11	181	183	679731	6837	6838	19
16		17	63	182	183	227	6903	6905	95
17		19	335	183	188	13	7926	7927	29
18		21	305	185	187	21	7966	7967	9
19		20	67	190	191	1637	9960	9961	113
		21	101439	195	201	154865	23467	23473	5
		20	12838857	200	202	267	28276	28277	11
20		25	5	206	207	87	38005	38008	13
22		24	6061953	208	209	3	44684	44685	3
26		27	17	215	216	143277			
29		30	49	222	225	64619			

Note: C means GFN is completely factored

During this investigation the first author discovered three new prime factors of standard Fermat numbers:

 $P(145, 7312) \mid F_{7309}, \quad P(11, 18759) \mid F_{18749}, \quad P(19, 23290) \mid F_{23288}.$

A list of presently known factors is available from the second author.

TABLE 9. Prime factors $k \cdot 2^n + 1$ of base-12 Fermat numbers $12^{2^m} + 1$

m		n	k	m	n	k	m	n	<u>k</u>		
0	С	2	3 prime (13)	26	30	327	408	409	113		
1	C	2	1	29	30	49	485	486	283		
		2	7	30	32	63591	513	517	15		
2	C	3	11	38	41	3	516	518	39		
		3	29	39	41	21	529	534	597		
3	C	4	1 .	40	44	15	556	557	6965		
		5	3		46	123	622	623	5525		
		4	16297		43	318471	639	642	13245		
4	C	5	4811	42	43	11	713	716	1233		
		5	37528551509	51	52	7	765	768	17031		
5	C	8	3	56	57	6071	837	839	861		
		8	30-digits	58	60	1125	966	972	957		
6	C	8	141	63	64	26923	1010	1011	695		
		7	635	64	67	9	1052	1053	29		
		7	543905		65	215735	1178	1179	299		
		10	71669658783177	66	70	1254537	1243	1245	609		
		8	33-digits	68	69	4398833	1310	1312	57		
7	C	8	1	86	89	81		1313	1053		
		8	134-digits	87	90	135	1348	1349	1781		
8		9	16121	91	92	7	1540	1541	113		
		9	576716099	97	98	817399	1803	1804	7		
10		11	3187781	99	100	200041	2288	2290	69		
11		12	421	126	127	5	2731	2733	21		
		12	1111		127	1031	2811	2816	3		
		13	19473	127	129	158721	2814	2817	129		
12		13	5	129	133	22839	2872	2875	15		
		15	345	136	140	30153	3158	3165	129		
		13	9479	143	144	43	4343	4344	43		
14		15	5		146	8019	4726	4727	29		
15		16	1	185	187	21	5946	5947	5		
16		18	1537305	202	204	2655	6999	7000	145		
18		19	11	204	211	9	7926	7927	29		
		19	41	207	209	3	8410	8411	41		
		20	141	215	216	31	9429	9431	9		
19		20	13	226	231	207	20906	20909	3		
		20	151	237	238	817	22601	22603	9		
		21	13011	307	308	13	26606	26607	5		
21		25	51	319	320	7	34222	34224	15		
		23	1140867	334	335	2495	42663	42665	3		
23		26	491997	351	353	3					

Note: C means GFN is completely factored

5. Future studies

As is very often the case, work done during the preparation of this article suggests related areas of research which should be pursued. Many noticeable deviations from statistical behavior have been observed empirically. For example, all the primes with k=3 (except the smallest one, P(3,1)=7) divide a base-8 GFN, as is easily shown to be generally true. Other less evident regularities, like the following, should be investigated theoretically. Three-quarters of the known primes with k=3 (actually, 19 out of 26) divide a base-3 GFN. Also, about half the primes with k=5 (8 out of 18) divide a base-2 GFN and two-thirds of them (12 of the 18) divide a base-5 GFN.

Riesel in 1969 [8] cleverly derived a method for using factors of generalized Fermat numbers of one base to find factors for another base. For example, he shows that for k=5, if a prime divides a base-2 GFN, it also divides a determined base-10 GFN. This work should be extended to obtain more stringent relationships.

In general, not enough attention has been paid to GFNs with odd bases. Although there has been some systematic searches for large GFN primes with even bases [3], very little has been done to find primes of the form $F_{b,m}/2$ for odd bases [7]. Also, finding factors of GFNs with odd bases is at least as interesting as finding factors of GFNs with even bases.

It is obvious that the existence of an extensive list of primes of the form (2) made the research for this paper practical. With the large and expanding number of high-performance workstations and PCs that are available to the academic community, it seems that a world-wide organized effort to expand this list would be a logical project.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We wish to thank Jeff Young for supplying us with new large primes, some of which are included as GFN factors.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- J. Brillhart, D. H. Lehmer, J. L. Selfridge, B. Tuckerman, and S. S. Wagstaff, Jr., Factorizations of bⁿ ± 1, b = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 up to high powers, 2nd ed., Contemp. Math., vol. 22, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- 2. C. Caldwell, Review of the Cruncher PC plug-in board, J. Recreational Math. 25 (1993), 56-57.
- 3. H. Dubner, Generalized Fermat primes, J. Recreational Math. 18 (1985-86), 279-280.
- 4. H. Griffin, Elementary theory of numbers, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
- 5. W. Keller, Table of primes of the form $k \cdot 2^n + 1$, k odd, Hamburg, 1993 (unpublished).
- 6. _____, Factors of Fermat numbers and large primes of the form $k \cdot 2^n + 1$, Math. Comp. 41 (1983), 661-673; II (Preprint 27 September 1992).
- 7. M. Morimoto, On prime numbers of Fermat type, Sûgaku 38 (1986), 350-354. (Japanese)
- 8. H. Riesel, Common prime factors of the numbers $A_n = a^{2^n} + 1$, BIT 9 (1969), 264–269.
- 9. _____, Some factors of the numbers $G_n = 6^{2^n} + 1$ and $H_n = 10^{2^n} + 1$, Math. Comp. 23 (1969), 413-415.

449 Beverly Road, Ridgewood, New Jersey 07450 E-mail address: 70327.1170@compuserve.com

REGIONALES RECHENZENTRUM DER UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG, 20146 HAMBURG, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

E-mail address: keller@mailhost.rrz.uni-hamburg.de