ON THE $p$-DIVISIBILITY OF FERMAT QUOTIENTS
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Abstract. The authors carried out a numerical search for Fermat quotients $Q_a = (a^{p-1} - 1)/p$ vanishing mod $p$, for $1 \leq a \leq p - 1$, up to $p < 10^6$. This article reports on the results and surveys the associated theoretical properties of $Q_a$. The approach of fixing the prime $p$ rather than the base $a$ leads to some aspects of the theory apparently not published before.

1. Introduction

For a fixed odd prime $p$ and for $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$, the integer

$$Q_a = \frac{a^{p-1} - 1}{p}$$

is called the Fermat quotient of $a$ (or with base $a$). This quotient has been extensively studied because of its links to numerous questions in number theory. To mention just one such link underlying several important problems, let $\omega_a$, for $1 \leq a \leq p - 1$, denote the $p$-adic integer which is the $(p-1)$st root of 1 congruent to $a$ mod $p$. Then, for any $m \geq 1$, one has $\omega_a \equiv a \pmod{p^{m+1}}$ if and only if $Q_a \equiv 0 \pmod{p^m}$ (see §7).

This article reports on our computations of $Q_a$ and reviews the current state of knowledge of the associated properties of this number. The main part of the computations consisted of a systematic search, up to $p < 10^6$, for all integers $a$ in the range $1, \ldots, p-1$ satisfying $Q_a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The results on the whole seem to support the expected behavior of $Q_a$. On the other hand, several interesting details appear.

The article [3] by Brillhart, Tonascia and Weinberger reports thoroughly on the computations of $Q_a$, particularly its vanishing mod $p$, until the end of the sixties. Later computational developments will be briefly summed up below in §8. In all this previous work, $Q_a$ is considered from the point of view of a fixed base $a$, whereas we have adapted the approach of keeping $p$ fixed.

Theoretical results about $Q_a$ are scattered throughout the literature, many of them appearing in the work concerning Fermat’s equation. A first comprehensive study of $Q_a$ was published in 1905 by Lerch [20]. A chronological summary of results prior to 1918 can be found in Dickson’s history [6, Part I, Chapter IV] (see also Part II, Chapter XXVI). Many later results are surveyed in Ribenboim’s book...
Granville [10, 11], besides proving new results, provides a review of known facts and open problems.

Our discussion contains a simple result about the vanishing mod $p$, and more generally mod $p^m$, of $Q_a$ and $Q_{a+1}$ (§5, §7). There are also some other aspects and observations which may be known but to our knowledge have not been published in this form.

In modern literature, $Q_a$ is usually denoted by $q_a$. Since we are mostly concerned not with $Q_a$ but with its residue modulo $p$, we prefer to introduce the notation $q_a$ for the number defined by

$$q_a \equiv Q_a \pmod{p}, \quad 0 \leq q_a < p.$$

2. The Fermat quotient matrix

Since $q_a = q_{p^2-a}$, full information about $q_a$ can be obtained by letting $a$ run through a half-system of reduced residue classes mod $p^2$, say in the interval $0 < a < p^2/2$. The two tables below show $q_a$ in this range for $p = 11$ and $p = 13$ (in each row $a$ runs through $p-1$ consecutive integers).

| $a$ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1 |
|-----|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|     | 12 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 3 |
|     | 9 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 3 |
|     | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
|     | 7 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 5 |
|     | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 6 |

Often it is more illuminating, however, to look at $q_a$ for the whole system $\{a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z} \mid 0 < a < p^2\}$, and we invite the reader to complete the above tables, simply by reflection, into the $p \times (p-1)$ matrices corresponding to this system. Let us denote this matrix by $M_p$ and call it the Fermat quotient matrix.

From (1) it follows that

$$q_{a+kp} \equiv q_a - ka^{-1} \pmod{p}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $a^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of $a$ mod $p$. This gives the elements of each column of the matrix $M_p$ as a function of any single element in that column. The column is a permutation of $0, \ldots, p-1$ and it can be easily written down, without calculating $a^{-1}$, once one element is known.

Another basic property of $q_a$, an immediate consequence of (1), is the “logarithmic rule”

$$q_{ab} \equiv q_a + q_b \pmod{p}.$$

This together with (2) makes it possible to compile $M_p$, or its first row, with a minimal (if any) use of the computationally impractical formula (1). We will discuss this question in §8.

The integers $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $p^2$ may be uniquely represented in the form

$$a \equiv r^u(1 + p)^v \pmod{p^2}, \quad u \equiv p-1, \quad v \equiv p, \quad r \equiv \text{a fixed primitive root of } p \text{ such that } q_r = 0.$$

In fact, $r$ and $1 + p$ mod $p^2$ generate the cyclic subgroups of order $p-1$ and $p$, respectively, of $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times$ (see [12, Part I, Chapter 4]). For any $a$ satisfying (4),

$$q_a \equiv uq_r + vq_{1+p} \equiv -v \pmod{p}.$$
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This shows, once again, that every number 0, . . . , p − 1 occurs p − 1 times in \( M_p \). Note that all the residue classes \( a \mod p^2 \) with \( qa = 0 \) constitute the subgroup of order \( p − 1 \) in \( G \), while those with \( qa = t \), for each \( t \in \{1, \ldots, p − 1\} \), form a coset of this subgroup.

The following proposition and its proof show that \( qa \) can be characterized, up to a constant factor, by (3) and the periodicity modulo \( p^2 \).

**Proposition 1.** If the function \( \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \), \( a \mapsto x_a \) satisfies the conditions

\[
x_a = x_{a+p^2}, \quad x_{ab} = x_a + x_b, \quad x_{1+p} = -1 + p\mathbb{Z},
\]

then \( x_a = qa + p\mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** Firstly, \( x_1 = 0 \). Consider \( a \mod p^2 \) in the form (4). Since \( r^{p−1} \equiv 1 \mod p^2 \), we have \(-x_r = x_1 = 0\) and, moreover,

\[
x_a = vx_{1+p} = -v + p\mathbb{Z} = qa + p\mathbb{Z}. \quad \square
\]

3. **First row of the matrix**

Problems related to \( qa \) most typically concern the zeros in the first row of the matrix \( M_p \), that is, for \( a \in I_p = \{1, \ldots, p−1\} \). More generally, it is natural to ask about the distribution of the values of \( qa \) for \( a \in I_p \).

The equal elements in the first row of \( M_p \) carry information about all the zeros in \( M_p \) in the following sense. Let \( a, b \in I_p \) with

\[
qa = qb, \quad (a, b) = 1;
\]

note that the last condition is no actual restriction. Then, for any integer \( k \equiv \frac{a}{b} \mod p^2 \), we have \( qk = 0 \) and \( q−k = 0 \). Conversely, for any integer \( k \) prime to \( p \) with \( qk = 0 \) there exist \( a, b \in I_p \) satisfying (5) such that

\[
k \equiv \frac{a}{b} \quad \text{or} \quad k \equiv -\frac{a}{b} \mod p^2.
\]

This was observed by Vandiver [31]. A nice proof can be obtained from Minkowski’s theorem on linear forms (consider the forms \( p^2 x + ky \) and \( y \)).

As a first consequence it follows (see [31]) that the number of different elements in the first row of \( M_p \) is at most \( \left[p - \sqrt{(p−1)/2}\right] \) and at least \( \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor \), where the brackets denote the greatest integer function.

For a given \( k \) prime to \( p \), the pair of coprime integers \( a, b \in I_p \) satisfying \( k \equiv \frac{a}{b} \mod p^2 \) is necessarily unique. To see this, simply note that a congruence mod \( p^2 \) between two positive integers less than \( p^2 \) must be an equality.

It follows that, given \( k \), there are at most two pairs \( a, b \in I_p \), with \( (a, b) = 1 \), such that (6) is true. As remarked by Coppersmith [4], there is only one such pair if one adds the condition \( a^2 + b^2 < p^2 \). We point out that this is an immediate consequence of Lagrange’s identity

\[
(ab' + a'b)^2 = (a^2 + b^2)(a'^2 + b'^2) - (aa' - bb')^2.
\]

Since the total number of zeros in \( M_p \) equals \( p − 1 \), one concludes from the last mentioned results the following: the number of primes \( l \in I_p \) with a constant value of \( qa \) is less than \( \sqrt{p} \), and in the range \( 1 < l \leq \lfloor p/\sqrt{2} \rfloor \) this number is even less than \( \sqrt{p}/2 \).
Leaving out the assumption about the primality of \( l \) we have
\[
\text{card}\{a \in I_p \mid q_a = t\} \ll p^{1 + \frac{1}{\log \log p}} \quad (t = 0, \ldots, p - 1),
\]
where the constant implied by \( \ll \) is absolute. A proof can be found in Fouché’s article \[8\]; it combines a variant of the above argument with the prime number theorem.

Granville \[10\] added some more sophisticated reasoning to prove that
\[
\text{card}\left\{l < p^{1/u} \mid l \text{ prime}, q_l = 0\right\} < u^{p^{1/2u}},
\]
where \( u = 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( u^{2u} < p \).

In our computation we found all the zeros in the first row of \( M_p \) for every \( p \) below \( 10^6 \) and also for a few primes above this limit. For a fixed \( p \), let \( z \) denote the number of these zeros. As expected, \( z \) is much less than \( \sqrt{p} \) (except for \( p = 11 \)). In the range \( p < 10^6 \) the values of \( z \) vary from 1 to 9, except that \( z = 11 \) for \( p = 1093 \) and \( z = 12 \) for \( p = 3511 \). The latter primes are the two Wieferich primes, i.e., primes with \( q_2 = 0 \).

Table I lists the primes \( p < 2^{32} \) having a zero in the range 1 < \( a \leq 10 \), for \( p \) below \( 10^6 \) and also for a few primes above this limit. For a fixed \( p \), let \( z \) denote the number of these zeros. As expected, \( z \) is much less than \( \sqrt{p} \) (except for \( p = 11 \)). In the range \( p < 10^6 \) the values of \( z \) vary from 1 to 9, except that \( z = 11 \) for \( p = 1093 \) and \( z = 12 \) for \( p = 3511 \). The latter primes are the two Wieferich primes, i.e., primes with \( q_2 = 0 \).

Table I lists the primes \( p < 2^{32} \) having a zero in the range 1 < \( a \leq 10 \), for \( p \) below \( 10^6 \) and also for a few primes above this limit. For a fixed \( p \), let \( z \) denote the number of these zeros. As expected, \( z \) is much less than \( \sqrt{p} \) (except for \( p = 11 \)). In the range \( p < 10^6 \) the values of \( z \) vary from 1 to 9, except that \( z = 11 \) for \( p = 1093 \) and \( z = 12 \) for \( p = 3511 \). The latter primes are the two Wieferich primes, i.e., primes with \( q_2 = 0 \).

Table I. Vanishing \( q_a \), with some \( a \) in 2, \ldots, 10, for \( p < 2^{32} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( Z )</th>
<th>( z )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>( 3^n, n = 0, 1, 2 )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>487</td>
<td>( 10^n, n = 0, 1, 2 )</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1093</td>
<td>( 2^n, n = 0, \ldots, 10 )</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3511</td>
<td>( 2^n, n = 0, \ldots, 11 )</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20771</td>
<td>( 5^n, n = 0, \ldots, 6 )</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40487</td>
<td>( 5^n, n = 0, \ldots, 6 )</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66161</td>
<td>( 6^n, n = 0, \ldots, 6 )</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>491531</td>
<td>( 7^n, n = 0, \ldots, 6 )</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>534851</td>
<td>( 6^n, n = 0, \ldots, 7 )</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006003</td>
<td>( 3^n, n = 0, \ldots, 12 )</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3152573</td>
<td>( 6^n, n = 0, \ldots, 8 )</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5347161</td>
<td>( 5^n, n = 0, \ldots, 11 )</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56598313</td>
<td>( 10^n, n = 0, \ldots, 7 )</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1645333507</td>
<td>( 5^n, n = 0, \ldots, 13 )</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Call a subset \( Y \) of \( Z \setminus \{1\} \) independent if there is no relation \( q_a = 0 \) with \( a \in Y \) following by the logarithmic rule from the other relations \( q_a = 0, a \in Y \). The largest independent set found by us occurs for \( p = 728,471 \). For this prime, \( z = 9 \)
and the whole set

\[ Z \setminus \{1\} = \{36709, 159316 = 2^2 \cdot 39829, 241830 = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 2687, 288664 \\
= 2^3 \cdot 36083, 418571 = 223 \cdot 1877, 443653 = 7 \cdot 61 \cdot 1039, 653451 \\
= 3 \cdot 67 \cdot 3251, 679977 = 3^2 \cdot 75553\} \]

is independent.

On the other hand, for a set \( Y \) not to be independent, the most frequent reason is that some element is a power of another. There is only one prime below \( 10^6 \) providing a different example: for \( p = 40, 487 \) one may take \( Y = \{5, 4492, 5 \cdot 4492\} \) (see Table I).

### 4. Equidistribution of Fermat Quotients

In a recent work [13], Heath-Brown obtains a result about the distribution of the values of \( q_a \). The idea is to consider the Dirichlet character \( \chi \mod p^2 \) given by \( \chi(a) = e^{2\pi i a/p} \) (for \( p \nmid a \)) and to estimate the sum \( \sum_{M < a \leq M+N} \chi(b) \), where \( p \nmid b \). By using Burgess’ estimate, Heath-Brown proves that

\[ \sum_{M < a \leq M+N} \chi(b) \ll N^{1/2} p^{3/8} \]

uniformly for \( M, N \geq 1 \). By Weyl’s criterion this implies, on summing over primes \( p \leq X \), that the sequence of the numbers \( q_a/p \) with increasing \( p \) and \( a = 1, \ldots, p - 1 \) is uniformly distributed mod 1. The preceding upper bound can also be generalized to \( N^{(s-1)/sp^{(s+1)/2s^2}} \), with any fixed integer \( s \geq 2 \) (personal communication). Letting \( s \to \infty \) one concludes that the finite set

\[ \{q_a/p \mid 1 < a \leq N\}, \]

for any fixed prime \( p \), is approximately uniformly distributed mod 1 if \( N \geq p^{4+\delta} \) (with \( \delta > 0 \)), the distribution tending closer to uniform as \( p \to \infty \).

This means that a set of values of \( q_a \), for \( 1 < a \leq N \), behaves approximately like a set in which each number is randomly distributed in the range \( 0, \ldots, p - 1 \) (for \( N \geq p^{4+\delta} \)). In particular, the effect of single relations between various \( q_a \) is on a large scale negligible.

Let \( w_p(n) \) denote the probability that exactly \( n \) of \( p - 2 \) independent random choices of integers in \( \{0, 1, \ldots, p - 1\} \) turn out to be 0. Thus \( w_p(n) \) is given by the binomial distribution:

\[ w_p(n) = \binom{p-2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{p} \right)^n \left( \frac{p-1}{p} \right)^{p-2-n}, \]

and approaches \( e^{-1}/n! \) as \( p \to \infty \). This is the Poisson distribution with mean 1. Now consider \( z - 1 = \text{card}\{a \in I_p \mid a > 1, q_a = 0\} \). Thinking of the numbers \( q_a \) as “random” one may thus conjecture that, for each fixed \( n \), the density of primes \( p \) with \( z - 1 = n \) is \( e^{-1}/n! \). In Table II we compare this conjecture with our computations up to \( 10^6 \). The second column of the table gives the number of primes \( p \) with a fixed \( z \), denoted by \( m_z \). The total number of odd primes less than \( 10^6 \) is 78,497. The observed and expected frequencies are seen to agree excellently. (By excluding the primes below some small bound one could come up with yet slightly better figures.)

The last column in Table II indicates the least \( p \) belonging to a given \( z \).
Table II. Distribution of primes $p < 10^6$ according to the number of vanishing $q_a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$z = n + 1$</th>
<th>$m_z$</th>
<th>$m_z/78497$</th>
<th>$1/n\ell$</th>
<th>$p_{\text{min}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28949</td>
<td>.3687...</td>
<td>.3678...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28936</td>
<td>.3686...</td>
<td>.3678...</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14387</td>
<td>.1832...</td>
<td>.1839...</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4763</td>
<td>.0606...</td>
<td>.0613...</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>.0150...</td>
<td>.0153...</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>.0027...</td>
<td>.0030...</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>.0007...</td>
<td>.0005...</td>
<td>5107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Vanishing $q_a$ with $1 < a < \sqrt{p}$ and $1 < a < 3\sqrt{p}$, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$j$</th>
<th>$g_j$</th>
<th>$(p_{\text{max}}, a)$</th>
<th>$(p, a)$</th>
<th>$(p, a)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>91303, 172</td>
<td>1093, 2</td>
<td>29131, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>192047, 141</td>
<td>1093, 4</td>
<td>33923, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>291721, 323</td>
<td>1093, 8</td>
<td>40487, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>383951, 92</td>
<td>2693, 12</td>
<td>40487, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>497557, 525</td>
<td>3511, 2</td>
<td>46021, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>598967, 574</td>
<td>3511, 4</td>
<td>46457, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>691409, 471</td>
<td>3511, 8</td>
<td>47441, 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>795071, 465</td>
<td>20771, 5</td>
<td>48947, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>880751, 672</td>
<td>20771, 25</td>
<td>66161, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>993913, 675</td>
<td>25633, 24</td>
<td>66161, 36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our range $p < 10^6$ we recorded the particular cases of $q_a = 0$ with $1 < a < \sqrt{p}$, and also those with $1 < a < 3\sqrt{p}$. Data about these zeros are shown in Table III. There are 172 primes for which an $a$ of the former kind exists; the first table shows their number, denoted by $g_j$, in each subinterval $\Delta_j : (j - 1)10^5 < p < j \cdot 10^5$ ($j = 1, \ldots, 10$). The third column exhibits, as a sample, the largest $p$ in each $\Delta_j$ together with the corresponding $a$ (which happens to be unique).

The second table lists the pairs $(p, a)$ for which $q_a = 0$ and $1 < a < \sqrt{p}$.

The tendency of a decreasing frequency of primes $p$ in Table III can be explained simply by the fact that the share of these short ranges in the whole $I_p$ tends to zero. In fact we conjecture that for any exponent $\kappa$, with $0 < \kappa < 1$, the density of primes $p$ for which there is some vanishing $q_a$ in the range $1 < a < p^\kappa$ is zero. This conjecture is supported by the Poisson model discussed above in connection with Table II and Heath-Brown’s equidistribution results.

A main motivation for the study of small $a \in I_p$ with $q_a = 0$ has traditionally been the Fermat equation $x^p + y^p = z^p$. It had been shown that the existence of a solution $(x, y, z)$ with $p \nmid xyz$ would imply that $q_l = 0$ for all primes $l = 2, 3, \ldots, L$. 

where the value of $L$ was gradually increased. The latest result, due to Suzuki [28], has $L = 113$.

After this, it is natural to ask what can be said about the least $a > 1$, say $a = d_p$, such that $q_a$ does not vanish. Note that $d_p$ is necessarily prime. Granville [10, 11] proved, as a slight improvement upon a result by Lenstra [19], that $d_p < \log^2 p$. The main argument in both authors’ works is again the simple fact that the total number of zeros in the matrix $M_p$ is known; in addition, some analytical results about the distribution of prime numbers are required.

Since any $t \in \{0, \ldots, p - 1\}$ occurs $p - 1$ times in $M_p$, one expects a similar estimate for the number $l_p(t) = \min\{l \mid l$ prime, $q_l \neq t\}$. Fouché [8] has indeed proved that $l_p(t) \leq (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{2}{3} \log p\right)^2 (p \to \infty)$.

For $d_p$ one could obtain the weaker estimate $d_p < \sqrt{p}$ just by looking at elementary properties of $M_p$. One proof for this inequality, under the restriction $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, is presented in [30].

It is likely that the true values of $d_p$ are much smaller than $\log^2 p$. A computation by Crandall, Dilcher and Pomerance [5] shows that $d_p \leq 3$ up to $p < 4 \cdot 10^{12}$; more precisely, $d_p = 2$ in this whole range apart from the primes 1093 and 3511.

Note also that Johnson [15] derives various conditions on $p$ and $a$ which ensure that $q_a \neq 0$. This gives him an easy way to generate a set of primes for which $q_2 \neq 0$. The largest prime found by him exceeds 22 million. Unfortunately, it is not known whether one could by this method produce infinitely many such primes.

5. Close zeros

If $d_p > 2$, the first row of the matrix $M_p$ begins with two zeros. One may ask, more generally, what are the possibilities for consecutive zeros, or zeros “close” to each other, in the rows of $M_p$. For a connection between the existence of consecutive zeros (in the first row $I_p$ of $M_p$) and the theory of cyclotomic units, see [14].

Our computations reveal that for $p < 10^6$ there are no consecutive zeros in $I_p$ other than those with $a = 1$ and $a = 2$ for the two Wieferich primes. For just thirteen primes in this range there are two zeros, say $a$ and $a'$, with $0 < a' - a < 10$. Nine of those primes can be found in Table I. The remaining four primes, together with the corresponding $a$ and $a'$, are the following:

\begin{itemize}
    \item (269 : 171, 180), (797 : 440, 446), (24337 : 20248, 20254), (56909 : 10032, 10040).
\end{itemize}

Looking at the entire matrix $M_p$ rather than at its first row, we find that $M_p$ certainly contains consecutive zeros for infinitely many primes. Indeed, we prove that such zeros exist whenever $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. This result will be generalized to $Q_a \pmod{p^n}$ in §7.

**Proposition 2.** If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 < a < p^2 - 1$, such that

\begin{equation}
(a, p) = (a + 1, p) = 1, \quad q_a = q_{a+1} = 0.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Let $r \pmod{p^2}$ denote a generator of the cyclic subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\times$ consisting of the solutions of the congruence $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ (see §2). Choose $a$ so that

\begin{equation}
a \equiv r^{(p-1)/3} \pmod{p^2}, \quad 1 \leq a < p^2.
\end{equation}
Then $a$ is prime to $p$ and $q_a = 0$. Also it follows that $a^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ and $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. Therefore, $1 < a < p^2 - 1$. Moreover, $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, since otherwise the condition $q_a = 0$ would imply that $a = 1$.

From the decomposition $a^3 - 1 = (a - 1)(a^2 + a + 1)$ we find that
\[(8) \quad a^2 + a + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Thus, $a + 1$ is prime to $p$ and $q_{a+1} = q_{-a^2} = q_{a^2} = 0$.

Unfortunately, we have $a > p$. Indeed, from $a \leq p - 1$ it would follow that $a^2 + a + 1 < p^2$, which contradicts (8).

Along with $a$, also $p^2 - a - 1$ satisfies (7) and (8).

The equations $q_a = q_{a+1} = 0$ also imply that $q_c = q_{c+1} = 0$, where $c$ is the multiplicative inverse of $a$ mod $p^2$. This gives us a method for finding new pairs of consecutive zeros: on obtaining the pair $(c, c + 1)$, normalized between 0 and $p^2$, go to $(p^2 - c - 1, p^2 - c)$ and take again the inverse. It is likely that $a$ satisfies some condition making this procedure terminate quickly. For example, if $a$ is the number of the preceding proof, then $c = p^2 - a - 1$ and so no new pairs will turn up.

6. Fermat quotients and Catalan’s equation

It has long been known that Fermat quotients are related to the existence of solutions of Catalan’s equation
\[(9) \quad x^p - y^q = 1 \quad (p \text{ and } q \text{ odd primes}).
\]

We refer to [25] for a nice treatment of Catalan’s equation. A result by Schwarz [27]—in fact, the latest step in a series of similar results—asserts that (9) has no nontrivial integral solution if $p^{q-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q^2}$ and if there is an imaginary subfield of the $p$th cyclotomic field whose relative class number is prime to $q$.

Since the roles of $p$ and $q$ can be interchanged, the “hardest” case occurs when $p$ and $q$ satisfy the simultaneous congruences
\[(10) \quad p^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q^2}, \quad q^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}.
\]

Our search shows that there are exactly three such pairs $(p, q)$ with $p$ and $q$ below $10^6$:
\[(4871, 83), \quad (18787, 2903), \quad (318917, 911).\]

The first pair was found by Aaltonen and Inkeri [1], the two other pairs by Mignotte and Roy [21, 22].

In addition to these examples, the pairs $(1006003, 3)$ and $(164533507, 5)$ are known to satisfy (10). These were observed in [1] and [23], respectively. Allowing the case $q = 2$ we have one further example in $(1093, 2)$.

It follows from Tijdeman’s famous theorem [29] that any possible solution of (9) necessarily has $x^p$ and $y^q$ below an efficiently computable constant $C$. The currently known value of $C$ is so large, however, that it is of no use in practical calculations.
7. Fermat quotients modulo higher powers of $p$

For any $m \geq 1$, the congruence

$$x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+1}}$$

has $p - 1$ incongruent roots, and these roots are incongruent even mod $p$. In fact, the roots are $x \equiv a + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}p^{i} \pmod{p^{m+1}}$, with $1 \leq a \leq p - 1$, where

$$\omega_{a} = a + a_{1}p + a_{2}p^{2} + \cdots \quad (0 \leq a_{i} \leq p - 1)$$

is the unique $p$-adic integer congruent to $a$ mod $p$ and satisfying $\omega_{a}^{p-1} \equiv 1$.

Consequently, there are exactly $p - 1$ integers $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ in the range $0 < a < p^{m+1}$ such that $Q_{a} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m}}$.

The numbers $\omega_{a}$ are important in many applications of $p$-adic numbers, particularly in the theory of cyclotomic fields. The next proposition states one basic property of these numbers.

**Proposition 3.** Let $1 \leq a \leq p - 1$. Then $\omega_{a} \equiv a \pmod{p^{m+1}}$ if and only if $Q_{a} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m}}$. In particular, $a_{1} \equiv aQ_{a} \pmod{p}$.

**Proof.** Since $\omega_{a} \equiv a \pmod{p}$ and $\omega_{a}^{p} = \omega_{a}$, we have

$$\omega_{a} \equiv a^{p^{m}} \pmod{p^{m+1}}.$$  

Thus it suffices to prove that the congruences $a^{p^{m}} \equiv a \pmod{p^{m+1}}$ and $a^{p^{m+1}} \equiv a \pmod{p^{m+1}}$ are equivalent. That the latter congruence implies the former, is obvious. The converse implication is verified by raising the former congruence to the $p$th power and noting that $a^{p^{m+1} - p^{m}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+1}}$.

The last assertion of the proposition follows from (12) for $m = 1$.

The following result, quoted for $m = 1$ in §2, is a consequence of the structure of the group $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^{m+1}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. The integers $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $p^{m+1}$ may be uniquely represented in the form

$$a \equiv r^{u}(1 + p)^{v} \pmod{p^{m+1}}, \quad u \mod{p - 1}, \quad v \mod{p^{m}},$$

where $r$ is a fixed primitive root of $p$ such that $Q_{r} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m}}$. Here $r$ and $1 + p$ mod $p^{m+1}$ generate the cyclic subgroups of order $p - 1$ and $p^{m}$, respectively, of $G$.

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, the group generated by $r$ mod $p^{m+1}$ contains a subgroup of order 3. Let $a \mod{p^{m+1}}$, with $1 < a < p^{m+1}$, be a generator of this subgroup. Since $a$ is a root of (11), we have $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and an argument similar to that in the proof of Proposition 2 yields

$$Q_{a} \equiv Q_{a+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m}}.$$  

We point out that for $m > 1$ it may happen that this particular $a$ can be chosen from the range $1 < a < p^{m}$. For instance, if $p = 7$ and $m = 2$, the residue class of 18 mod 343 is such a generator.

Specializing to the case $m = 2$ we have the question – interesting in view of Proposition 3, for example – about the solutions of

$$Q_{a} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2}}, \quad 1 < a < p.$$
Table IV. Pairs \((p, a)\) satisfying (14) with \(|k| \leq 5\) or (15) with \(0 \leq b \leq 6\), respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>((p, a, k))</th>
<th>((p, a, k))</th>
<th>((p, a, k))</th>
<th>((p, a, b))</th>
<th>((p, a, b))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11, 3, 4</td>
<td>131, 111, −5</td>
<td>1489, 1211, −2</td>
<td>11, 3, 1</td>
<td>1847, 189, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11, 9, −3</td>
<td>211, 165, 5</td>
<td>2777, 59, 4</td>
<td>11, 9, 6</td>
<td>2693, 12, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43, 19, −5</td>
<td>241, 94, −3</td>
<td>3889, 1004, 2</td>
<td>29, 14, 6</td>
<td>102451, 22174, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71, 26, −2</td>
<td>577, 427, −5</td>
<td>5857, 3114, 5</td>
<td>113, 68, 0</td>
<td>252209, 210571, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103, 43, 4</td>
<td>641, 340, −3</td>
<td>16091, 7560, −5</td>
<td>1601, 1420, 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113, 68, 0</td>
<td>997, 252, 5</td>
<td>63533, 27864, 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131, 58, 1</td>
<td>1291, 1148, −1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Lenstra [19] one should expect that for a fixed \(a\) there be only finitely many primes \(p\) satisfying this congruence. Anyway, the occurrences of (13) seem extremely rare. An old example is \(p = 113, a = 68\). Our computation shows that there are no further examples in the range \(p < 10^6\).

Montgomery [23], searching through \(a = 2, \ldots, 99\) up to \(p < 2^{32}\), did not find any new example either.

We extended our numerical study to two kinds of congruences “close” to (13). The first is the congruence

\[ Q_a \equiv kp \pmod{p^2} \]

with \(1 < a < p\) and with small \(|k|\). For \(p < 10^6\), twenty pairs \((p, a)\) satisfying this congruence for \(|k| \leq 5\) were found, the largest \(p\) being 63,533. These are listed in Table IV (first table). It is no surprise that the number of examples is strongly declining as \(p\) increases.

Our second study is related to the solutions of the congruence \(x^{p−1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}\), where \(1 < a < p\) and \(q_a = 0\). By the last assertion of Proposition 3, such solutions are of the form \(x \equiv a + bp^2 \pmod{p^3}\). One verifies easily that \(b \equiv aQ_a/p \pmod{p}\). If \(b\) can be taken a small positive number, we thus have a “small” positive solution of this special type for our congruence. This motivation leads us to search for pairs \((p, a)\) such that

\[ aQ_a \equiv bp \pmod{p^2}, \]

where \(b \geq 0\) is small. All the examples of this congruence for \(b \leq 6\) and \(p\) below \(10^6\) are presented in Table IV (second table).

Among the few results about \(Q_a \pmod{p^m}\) with \(m > 1\) appearing in the literature, we would like to quote the following. Granville [9] finds a result about the congruences in (13), for a fixed \(a\) (prime) and for varying \(p\), under some strong conditions imposed on the corresponding congruences \(\pmod{p}\). E. Lehmer’s article [18] relates \(Q_a \pmod{p^2}\) to Bernoulli numbers by several congruences, with applications. Johnson [16] presents an algorithm for determining the exact power of \(p\) dividing \(Q_a\).

8. The Computations

The computations were carried out on a Convex C3840 computer at CSC, the Center for Scientific Computing in Finland. The main part of the work, the location (and prime factorization) of all \(a\) in the range \(2, \ldots, p−1\) with \(q_a = 0\), for \(p < 10^6\), took about 28 hours CPU time. No use was made of the parallel computing
feature of the machine. The programs were written in the language C. A table containing the complete results is available by anonymous FTP from ftp.utu.fi in the directory pub/fermquot.

We calculated $q_a$ for every $a = 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$, recording only the cases with either $q_a = 0$ or $q_{p-a} = 0$. Note that by (2) the latter condition is equivalent to the congruence $aq_a \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. To minimize the amount of computation mod $p^2$ the program proceeded in cycles, passing from $q_a$ to $q_{2a}$ or, if $2a > \frac{p-1}{2}$, to $q_{p-2a}$. Hence, a computation mod $p^2$ was required for just the first $q_a$ in each cycle. The number of cycles remains relatively small: it is $\frac{p-1}{d}$ or $\frac{p+1}{2d}$, where $d$ is the order of 2 mod $p$. We had earlier developed and programmed this method of computing $q_a$, without explicitly recording the results, as an intermediate step in a computation of cyclotomic invariants. This is described in [7], and the method has been subsequently applied in a computation extending to higher values of $p$ (see [2] for work to $p < 4 \cdot 10^6$).

For the few single primes between $10^6$ and $10^8$ in Table I, the running time ranged from a few seconds to about an hour. For the largest prime, 1,645,333,507, the computation was arranged slightly differently. It required about 50 minutes, the number of cycles being three.

As a check we verified, for each $p > 3$, the congruence $48 \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} a^2 q_a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. This known formula follows easily via Bernoulli numbers $B_n$: first compute

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} a^2 q_a \equiv \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} (a^{p+1} - a^2) \equiv B_{p+1} - B_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} B_2 \equiv -\frac{1}{12} \pmod{p},$$

where use was made of the Kummer congruences mod $p$ for $B_n$, and then pass to the half-sum by using (2).

Once the table of the zeros of $q_a$ was finished, it did not take more than some seconds of machine time to find the “Catalan pairs” $(p, q)$ and the special pairs $(p, a)$ related to the behavior of $Q_a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

For a reader interested in computing by hand the Fermat quotient matrix for $p$ we point out that the above cycle method can then be much improved by a more efficient use of the logarithmic rule. For many primes there is no need at all for computation mod $p^2$, if one employs the information provided by the first and last columns of $M_p$, known a priori.

There exist numerous previous works tabulating zeros of $q_a$. The tables typically have a fixed upper bound for $a$, whereas $p$ may occasionally be very large. The table in [3] covers most of its predecessors; it extends over the range $a < 100$, the upper bound for $p$ varying from $10^6$ to $3 \cdot 10^9$. Montgomery [23] completes this table up to $p < 2^{32}$. A part of the new data given by him was earlier found by Keller [17]. For $a \leq 150$, there is a table by Riesel [26] extending to $p < 10^4$. A recent work by S. Shepherd settles the range $100 \leq a \leq 1000$, $p < 10^5$ (personal communication). Aaltonen and Inkeri performed computations for $a < 10^4$, $p < 10^4$, restricting to prime values of $a$; their article [1] tabulates the results for $a$ below 1000 (the table for $100 < a < 1000$ is reprinted in [24, pp. 348–349]).

When writing the present paper we learned that Mignotte and Roy had computed $q^{p-1} \pmod{p^2}$ for large sets of primes $q$ and $p$. Part of their results appears in [21]. Currently they are extending the computation for all primes $q < 10^5$ and for $p < M(q)$, where $M(q)$ depends on $q$ and is anyway to exceed 1000q.
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