

A NOTE ON A PAPER
BY G. MASTROIANNI AND G. MONEGATO

G. CRISCUOLO

ABSTRACT. Recently, Mastroianni and Monegato derived error estimates for a numerical approach to evaluate the integral

$$\int_a^b \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x, y)}{x - y} dx dy,$$

where $(a, b) \equiv (-1, 1)$ or $(a, b) \equiv (a, -1)$ or $(a, b) \equiv (1, b)$ and $f(x, y)$ is a smooth function (see G. Mastroianni and G. Monegato, *Error estimates in the numerical evaluation of some BEM singular integrals*, Math. Comp. **70** 2001, 251–267). The error bounds for the quadrature rule approximating the inner integral given in Theorems 3, 4 of that paper are not correct according to the proof. However, following a different approach, we are able to improve the pointwise error estimates given in that paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

Following a recent numerical approach, Mastroianni and Monegato have suggested approximating the integral

$$(1.1) \quad H(f; y) := \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x, y)}{x - y} dx,$$

whenever $y \in (-1, 1)$ or $y \notin (-1, 1)$ by a quadrature rule of interpolatory type based on the zeros of suitable orthogonal polynomials (see [6]). When $y \in (-1, 1)$, the integral $H(f; y)$ is defined in the Cauchy principal value sense. An accurate calculation of (1.1) may be useful for many applications, for instance, to approximate the two-dimensional integrals of type

$$(1.2) \quad \int_a^b \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x, y)}{x - y} dx dy,$$

where $(a, b) \equiv (-1, 1)$ or $(a, b) \equiv (a, -1)$ or $(a, b) \equiv (1, b)$. Such integrals arise in some applications of Galerkin boundary element methods (see also [6] and the references given therein). Furthermore, the estimate of the error in the numerical approximation of (1.1) can be used in the numerical solution of singular integral equations by a collocation method. Assuming that a symmetric Jacobi weight

Received by the editor March 22, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 41A55; Secondary 65D32, 65N38.

Key words and phrases. Singular integrals, error estimates, Lagrange operator, functions of the second kind.

function $w^\alpha(x) := (1 - x^2)^\alpha$, $\alpha > -1$, is also present, the authors of [6] consider the quadrature formula

$$(1.3) \quad H^\alpha(f; y) := \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x, y)}{x - y} w^\alpha(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{n,i}(y) f(x_{n,i}, y) + R_n^\alpha(f; y),$$

whenever $y \in (-1, 1)$ or $y \notin (-1, 1)$. It is of interpolatory type and it is obtained by replacing, for any given y , $f(x, y)$ by its Lagrange interpolation (with respect to x) polynomial $\mathcal{L}_n^\alpha(f, y; x)$ of degree $n - 1$, based on the zeros $x_{n,n} < \dots < x_{n,1}$ of the n th-degree Jacobi polynomial $P_n(w^\alpha)$ corresponding to the weight w^α .

Defining the modulus of smoothness $\omega_{I, \varphi}^r(f; \cdot)$ as in [4, (12.1.2)], where $r \geq 1$ is an integer, $I = [-1, 1]^2$ and $\varphi(t) = \sqrt{1 - t^2}$, and setting

$$E_k(f)_\infty := \inf_{p_k \in \Pi_k} \|f - p_k\|_\infty = \inf_{p_k \in \Pi_k} \sup_{[-1, 1]^2} |f(x, y) - p_k(x, y)|,$$

where Π_k denotes the set of all polynomials of degree k in each variable, Mastroianni and Monegato prove their main result on the quadrature rule (1.3) in the following

Theorem 1.1 ([6], Theorem 3). *Let $|\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $y \in (-1, 1)$. Given any $f \in C([-1, 1]^2)$, for the remainder term in (1.3) we have*

$$(1.4) \quad |R_n^\alpha(f; y)| \leq c \bar{h}_\alpha(y) \left[E_{n-1}(f)_\infty \log n + \int_0^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \frac{\omega_{I, \varphi}^r(f; u)}{u} du \right], \quad n > 1,$$

where

$$\bar{h}_\alpha(y) = \begin{cases} w^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}}(y), & \alpha < 0, \\ w^{-\frac{1}{4}}(y) \log \frac{1}{1-y^2}, & \alpha = 0, \\ w^{-\frac{1}{4}}(y), & \alpha > 0, \end{cases}$$

and $c = c(\alpha)$ depends only upon α .

Unfortunately, the error bound of the Theorem 1.1 is not correct according to the proof given in [6]. For instance, to bound $R_n^\alpha(f; y)$ the authors of [6] have to bound

$$(1.5) \quad A_n(w^\alpha; y) = A_n^*(w^\alpha; y) \mathcal{L}_n^\alpha(e_m, y; y)$$

with

$$(1.6) \quad A_n^*(w^\alpha; y) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w^\alpha(x)}{x - y} dx - \sum_{i=1, i \neq i_c}^n \frac{\lambda_{n,i}}{x_{n,i} - y},$$

where $\lambda_{n,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are the Christoffel constants corresponding to the weight w^α , x_{n,i_c} is the closest node to y , and $e_m = f - p_m$ with p_m the best uniform approximation polynomial of degree $m - 1$ with respect to each variable. By using a known result on $A_n^*(w^\alpha; y)$ and a weighted bound for the Lagrange operator (see [2, 5], respectively), Mastroianni and Monegato deduce an estimate for $A_n(w^\alpha; y)$ from which (1.4) does not follow for $\alpha > 0$. Following the proof in [6], in order to deduce (1.4), it is necessary to define $\bar{h}_\alpha(y) = w^{-\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}}(y)$, for $\alpha > 0$.

The boundedness of $R_n^I(f; y)$ when $y \notin (-1, 1)$ is also studied in [6]. We remark that the related result given in Theorem 4 of [6] trivially fails because in the proof the authors make use of the bound $|f(x, y)| \leq \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{[-1, 1]^2} |f(x, y)|$ while $y \notin (-1, 1)$ as in the assumptions of that theorem.

We shall improve and generalize the above results.

2. MAIN RESULT

In order to bound $R_n^\alpha(f; y)$, the authors of [6] examine the boundedness of the operators H^α and \mathcal{L}_n^α in unrelated ways. For instance, an estimate for $A_n(w^\alpha; y)$ defined in (1.5) is required to bound $R_n^\alpha(f; y)$. As we shall see, it is possible to improve and generalize the results of [6] following the standard technique to deal with interpolation rules; particularly, we shall make use of good bounds on the functions of the second kind.

Defining the amplification coefficient

$$K_n^\alpha(y) := \sum_{i=1}^n |w_{n,i}(y)|,$$

whenever $y \in (-1, 1)$ or $y \notin (-1, 1)$, the following Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 give an accurate estimate of $K_n^\alpha(y)$.

Theorem 2.1. *When $\alpha > -1$ and $y \in (-1, 1)$, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad K_n^\alpha(y) \leq c \log n \bar{h}_\alpha(y), \quad n > 1,$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \bar{h}_\alpha(y) = \begin{cases} w^\alpha(y), & \alpha < -\frac{1}{2}, \\ w^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}(y), & 0 < |\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ w^{-\frac{1}{4}}(y) \log \frac{1}{1-y^2}, & \alpha = 0, \\ 1, & \alpha > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

and $c = c(\alpha)$ depends only upon α .

To derive this result, some preliminary lemmas are needed.

If we define the function

$$(2.3) \quad S_n^\rho(y) := \sum_{i=1, i \neq i_c}^n \frac{(1-x_{n,i}^2)^\rho}{n|y-x_{n,i}|}, \quad y \in (-1, 1),$$

where $x_{n,i}, i = 1, \dots, n$, are the Jacobi zeros corresponding to the weight $w^\alpha(x) = (1-x^2)^\alpha, \alpha > -1$, i_c denotes the index corresponding to the closest node to $y \in (-1, 1)$ and ρ is a real number, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *For every $y \in (-1, 1)$, we have*

$$S_n^\rho(y) \leq c \log n \begin{cases} w^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}}(y), & |\rho| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1, & \rho > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where $c = c(\rho)$ depends only upon ρ .

For the proof see Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 in [1].

Next we define the functions of the second kind Q_n^α associated with the weight w^α by

$$Q_n^\alpha(y) := \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_n^\alpha(x)}{x-y} w^\alpha(x) dx, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where

$$P_n^\alpha(x) = P_n(w^\alpha; x) = \gamma_n x^n + \text{lower degree terms},$$

is the n th-degree Jacobi orthonormal polynomial.

The following propositions are the key to proving the main result of this paper.

Lemma 2.2. *For every $y \in (-1, 1)$, we have*

$$|Q_n^\alpha(y)| \leq c \begin{cases} w^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}(y), & -1 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, \alpha \neq 0, \\ w^{-\frac{1}{4}}(y) \log \frac{1}{1-y^2}, & \alpha = 0, \\ 1, & \alpha > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where $c = c(\alpha)$ depends only upon α .

Lemma 2.3. *For every $y \in (-1, 1)$, we have*

$$|A_n^*(w^\alpha; y)| \leq c h_\alpha(y),$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad h_\alpha(y) = \begin{cases} w^\alpha(y), & \alpha < 0, \\ \log \frac{1}{1-y^2}, & \alpha = 0, \\ 1, & \alpha > 0, \end{cases}$$

$A_n^*(w^\alpha; y)$ is the function defined in (1.6) and $c = c(\alpha)$ depends only upon α .

Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 are particular cases of more general results (see [3], Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.2, respectively).

We remark that we have for the coefficients of (1.3)

$$(2.5) \quad w_{n,i}(y) = \frac{Q_n^\alpha(y)}{P_n'(w^\alpha; x_{n,i})(y - x_{n,i})} - \frac{\lambda_{n,i}}{y - x_{n,i}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We also derive

$$(2.6) \quad w_{n,i_c}(y) = A_n^*(w^\alpha; y)\ell_{n,i_c}(y) + \frac{\ell_{n,i_c}(y)}{y - x_{n,i_c}}\lambda_{n,i_c}, \quad y \neq x_{n,i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$(2.7) \quad w_{n,i_c}(x_{n,i_c}) = A_n^*(w^\alpha; x_{n,i_c}) + \ell'_{n,i_c}(x_{n,i_c})\lambda_{n,i_c},$$

where x_{n,i_c} is the closest node to y , and

$$\ell_{n,i_c}(y) = \frac{P_n(w^\alpha; y)}{P_n'(w^\alpha; x_{n,i_c})(y - x_{n,i_c})},$$

(see [2], (2.9)–(2.11)).

Lemma 2.4. *For every $y \in (-1, 1)$, we have*

$$(2.8) \quad |w_{n,i_c}(y)| \leq c h_\alpha(y),$$

where h_α is the function defined in (2.4) and i_c denotes the index corresponding to the closest node to y and $c = c(\alpha)$ depends only upon α .

Proof. For simplicity we examine only (2.6), since the case (2.7) is very similar. We recall that

$$(2.9) \quad |\ell_{n,i_c}(y)| \sim 1,$$

where $A \sim B$ means that there exist two positive constants c_1, c_2 such that $|A^{-1}B| \leq c_1$ and $|AB^{-1}| \leq c_2$ (see [7], proof of Theorem 33, p. 171). Thus, by applying Lemma 2.3,

$$(2.10) \quad |A_n^*(w^\alpha; y)\ell_{n,i_c}(y)| \leq c h_\alpha(y).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\left| \frac{\ell_{n,i_c}(y)}{y - x_{n,i_c}} \right| = |\ell'_{n,i_c}(\xi_{i_c})| \leq c n(1 - \xi_{i_c})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |y - \xi_{i_c}| < |x_{n,i_c} - y|,$$

having used the Bernstein inequality and $|\ell_{n,i_c}(\xi_{i_c})| \sim 1$, with x_{n,i_c} the node closest to ξ_{i_c} . Now, if $|y| \leq (1 + x_{n,1})/2$, then $1 \pm \xi_{i_c} \sim 1 \pm x_{n,i_c} \sim 1 \pm y$. Thus, recalling that

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda_{n,i} \sim \frac{\sqrt{1 - x_{n,i}^2}}{n} w^\alpha(x_{n,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

(see [7], Theorem 6.3.28, p. 120), we have

$$(2.12) \quad \lambda_{n,i_c} \left| \frac{\ell_{n,i_c}(y)}{y - x_{n,i_c}} \right| \leq c w^\alpha(y), \quad |y| \leq \frac{1 + x_{n,1}}{2}.$$

In the case $(1 + x_{n,1})/2 < |y| < 1$, recalling that $1 - x_{n,1} \sim n^{-2}$ (see [7], Theorem 9.22, p. 166) and the symmetry of the nodes $x_{n,i}$ with respect to 0, we have

$$\left| \frac{\ell_{n,i_c}(y)}{y - x_{n,i_c}} \right| \leq c n^2 |\ell_{n,i_c}(y)|.$$

So, by (2.9) and (2.11)

$$(2.13) \quad \lambda_{n,i_c} \left| \frac{\ell_{n,i_c}(y)}{y - x_{n,i_c}} \right| \leq c w^\alpha(x_{n,1}) \leq c \begin{cases} w^\alpha(y), & \alpha < 0, \\ 1, & \alpha \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad \frac{1 + x_{n,1}}{2} < |y| < 1.$$

Combining (2.10), (2.12) and (2.13), we finally obtain (2.8). □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. To bound $K_n^\alpha(y)$, we remark that

$$K_n^\alpha(y) \leq c \left\{ |Q_n^\alpha(y)| \sum_{i=1, i \neq i_c}^n \frac{1}{|P_n'(w^\alpha; x_{n,i})| |y - x_{n,i}|} + \sum_{i=1, i \neq i_c}^n \frac{\lambda_{n,i}}{|y - x_{n,i}|} + h_\alpha(y) \right\},$$

having used (2.5)–(2.7) and Lemma 2.4. Then, taking into account that

$$[P_n'(w^\alpha; x_{n,i})]^{-1} = \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} \lambda_{n,i} P_{n-1}^\alpha(x_{n,i}) \sim \frac{1}{n} (1 - x_{n,i}^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3}{4}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

(see [7], Theorem 9.31, p. 170), by (2.11) we deduce

$$K_n^\alpha(y) \leq c \left\{ |Q_n^\alpha(y)| S_n^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3}{4}}(y) + S_n^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}(y) + h_\alpha(y) \right\},$$

where $S_n^\rho(y)$, $\rho \in \{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3}{4}, \alpha + \frac{1}{2}\}$ are the functions defined by (2.3). So, by applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we deduce (2.1). □

The following theorem generalizes and improves the corresponding result by Mastroianni and Monegato in [6] about the boundedness of the remainder term $R_n^\alpha(f; y)$ of (1.3).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\alpha > -1$ and $y \in (-1, 1)$. Given any $f \in C([-1, 1]^2)$, for the remainder term in (1.3) we have*

$$(2.14) \quad |R_n^\alpha(f; y)| \leq c \overline{\overline{h}}_\alpha(y) \left[E_{n-1}(f)_\infty \log n + \int_0^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \frac{\omega_{I,\varphi}^r(f; u)}{u} du \right], \quad n > 1,$$

where $\overline{\overline{h}}_\alpha(y)$ is defined as in (2.2) and $c = c(\alpha)$ depends only upon α .

Proof. Since $R_n^\alpha(f; y) = 0$ whenever f is a polynomial of degree $\leq n - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n^\alpha(f; y)| &\leq \left| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x, y) - p_{n-1}(x, y)}{x - y} w^\alpha(x) dx \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n |w_{n,i}(y)| |f(x_{n,i}, y) - p_{n-1}(x_{n,i}, y)| \\ (2.15) \qquad &=: I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where p_{n-1} is the best uniform approximation polynomial of degree $n - 1$. By Theorem 2 in [6] we immediately derive

$$(2.16) \quad I_1 \leq c h_\alpha(y) \left[E_{n-1}(f)_\infty \log n + \int_0^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \frac{\omega_{I,\varphi}^r(f, u)}{u} du \right], \quad y \in (-1, 1),$$

where $h_\alpha(y)$ is the function defined in (2.4). To bound I_2 , we remark that

$$(2.17) \quad I_2 \leq c E_{n-1}(f)_\infty K_n^\alpha(y) \leq c E_{n-1}(f)_\infty \bar{h}_\alpha(y) \log n,$$

having used Theorem 2.1. Combining (2.16), (2.17) with (2.15), we deduce (2.14). □

Next we examine the situation $|y| > 1$. The derivation of the corresponding results is fairly simple. As we have already remarked, the proof of the Theorem 4 in [6] is incorrect. In any case, following the technique used in [6], it is possible to derive

$$(2.18) \quad K_n^\alpha(y) \leq c \log n \begin{cases} (y^2 - 1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{1}{4}}, & -\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \log \frac{1}{y^2 - 1}, & \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1 & \alpha > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases} \quad |y| \rightarrow 1 + 0.$$

Estimate (2.18) can be obtained making use again of a weighted bound for the Lagrange operator as in [6]. The next theorem proves that (2.18) can be improved.

Theorem 2.3. *When $\alpha > -1$ and $|y| \rightarrow 1 + 0$, we have*

$$(2.19) \quad K_n^\alpha(y) \leq c \begin{cases} (y^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{4}} \log n, & \alpha < -\frac{1}{2}, \\ (y^2 - 1)^\alpha \log n, & -\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 0, \\ \log \frac{1}{y^2 - 1} \log n, & \alpha = 0, \\ 1 & \alpha > 0, \end{cases}$$

and $c = c(\alpha)$ depends only upon α .

To derive this result, some other preliminary lemmas are needed.

If we define the function

$$(2.20) \quad \bar{S}_n^\rho(y) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - x_{n,i}^2)^\rho}{n|y - x_{n,i}|}, \quad y \notin (-1, 1),$$

where $x_{n,i}, i = 1, \dots, n$, are the Jacobi zeros corresponding to the weight $w^\alpha(x) = (1 - x^2)^\alpha, \alpha > -1$, and ρ is a real number, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.5. *For every $y \notin (-1, 1)$, we have*

$$(2.21) \quad \bar{S}_n^\rho(y) \leq c \begin{cases} (y^2 - 1)^{\rho - \frac{1}{2}} \log n, & |\rho| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1, & \rho > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where $c = c(\rho)$ depends only upon ρ .

Proof. Let $y > 1$, since the case $y < -1$ is very similar. First assume that $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$. Taking into account that $y - x_{n,i} > 1 - x_{n,i}$ and recalling that $1 - x_{n,i} \sim \frac{i^2}{n^2}$, $1 + x_{n,i} \sim \frac{(n-i+1)^2}{n^2}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we deduce

$$\overline{S}_n^\rho(y) \leq c n^{1-4\rho} \sum_{i=1, i \neq n+1}^{n+2} i^{2\rho-2} |i - (n+1)|^{2\rho}.$$

Applying Lemma 9 in [7, p. 109], we obtain (2.21). Now, if $|\rho| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{S}_n^\rho(y) &= n^{-1}(y-1)^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y-1}{y-x_{n,i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\rho} \frac{(1-x_{n,i}^2)^\rho}{(y-x_{n,i})^{\rho+\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq n^{-1}(y-1)^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1-x_{n,i}^2)^\rho}{(y-x_{n,i})^{\rho+\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq n^{-1}(y-1)^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n (1-x_{n,i})^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+x_{n,i})^\rho \\ &\leq c n^{-2\rho}(y-1)^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1, i \neq n+1}^{n+2} i^{-1} |i - (n+1)|^{2\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

since $y - 1 < y - x_{n,i}$ and $\frac{1}{2} - \rho > 0$. Again, by the Lemma 9 of [7], we obtain (2.21) also in this case. \square

Lemma 2.6. For $|y| \rightarrow 1 + 0$, we have

$$Q_n^\alpha(y) \leq c \begin{cases} (y^2 - 1)^\alpha, & \alpha < 0, \\ \log(y^2 - 1), & \alpha = 0, \\ 1, & \alpha > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $c = c(\alpha)$ depends only upon α .

The above lemma follows from a well-known result on the behaviour of the functions of the second kind Q_n^α (see [8], Theorem 4.62.1, p. 77).

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Proceeding as in the proof of the Theorem 2.1, by (2.5) we have

$$K_n^\alpha(y) \leq c \left\{ |Q_n^\alpha(y)| \overline{S}_n^{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3}{4}}(y) + \overline{S}_n^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}(y) \right\},$$

where $\overline{S}_n^\rho(y)$, $\rho \in \{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{3}{4}, \alpha + \frac{1}{2}\}$, are the functions defined by (2.20). So, by applying Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we deduce (2.19). \square

Finally, we remark that proceeding as in the proof of the Theorem 2.2, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w^\alpha(x)}{|x-y|} dx &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 \frac{w^\alpha(x)}{x-y} dx \right| \\ &= |Q_0(y)| \leq c \begin{cases} (y^2 - 1)^\alpha, & \alpha < 0, \\ \log(y^2 - 1), & \alpha = 0, \\ 1, & \alpha > 0, \end{cases} \quad |y| \rightarrow 1 + 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $c = c(\alpha)$ depends only upon α (see [8], (4.62.2), p. 76), we can deduce the behaviour of $R_n^\alpha(f; y)$, $y \notin (-1, 1)$, depending on the best uniform approximation error defined on the domain of $f(x, y)$.

REFERENCES

1. G. Criscuolo, A new algorithm for Cauchy principal value and Hadamard finite-part integrals, *J. Comp. and Appl. Math.* 78, 1997, pp.255-275. MR **98b**:65025
2. G. Criscuolo, G. Mastroianni, Mean and uniform convergence of quadrature rules for evaluating the finite Hilbert transform, in: *Progress In Approximation Theory* (P. Nevai, A. Pinkus, eds.), Academic Press, Boston, 1991, pp.141-175. MR **92f**:65035
3. G. Criscuolo, G. Mastroianni, P. Nevai, Associated generalized Jacobi functions and polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.* 158, 1991, pp.15-34. MR **92h**:33018
4. Z. Ditzian, V. Totik, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1987. MR **89h**:41002
5. G. Mastroianni, M.R. Russo, Lagrange interpolation in some weighted uniform spaces, *Facta Universitatis, ser. Math. Inform.* 12, 1997, pp.185-201. MR **99m**:41004
6. G. Mastroianni, G. Monegato, Error estimates in the numerical evaluation of some BEM singular integrals, *Math. Comp.* 70, 2001, pp.251-267. MR **2002e**:65208
7. P. Nevai, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18, n°. 213, 1979. MR **80k**:42025
8. G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 23, Providence, R.I., 1975. MR **51**:8724

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI "FEDERICO II", COMPLESSO MONTE SANT'ANGELO, EDIFICIO T, VIA CINTIA, 80126 NAPOLI, ITALY
E-mail address: `criscuo@matna2.dma.unina.it`