

## $p^k$ -TORSION OF GENUS TWO CURVES OVER $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$

MICHAEL E. ZIEVE

ABSTRACT. We determine the isogeny classes of abelian surfaces over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  whose group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points has order divisible by  $q^2$ . We also solve the same problem for Jacobians of genus-2 curves.

In a recent paper [4], Ravnshøj proved: if  $C$  is a genus-2 curve over a prime field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and if one assumes that the endomorphism ring of the Jacobian  $J$  of  $C$  is the ring of integers in a primitive quartic CM-field, and that the Frobenius endomorphism of  $J$  has a certain special form, then  $p^2 \nmid \#J(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Our purpose here is to deduce this conclusion under less restrictive hypotheses. We write  $q = p^m$ , where  $p$  is prime, and for any abelian variety  $J$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  we let  $P_J$  denote the *Weil polynomial* of  $J$ , namely the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius endomorphism  $\pi_J$  of  $J$ . As shown by Tate [6, Thm. 1], two abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are isogenous if and only if their Weil polynomials are identical. Thus, the following result describes the isogeny classes of abelian surfaces  $J$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for which  $q^2 \mid \#J(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The Weil polynomials of abelian surfaces  $J$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  satisfying  $q^2 \mid \#J(\mathbb{F}_q)$  are as follows:*

- (1.1)  $X^4 + X^3 - (q + 2)X^2 + qX + q^2$  (if  $q$  is odd and  $q > 8$ );
- (1.2)  $X^4 - X^2 + q^2$ ;
- (1.3)  $X^4 - X^3 + qX^2 - qX + q^2$  (if  $m$  is odd or  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ );
- (1.4)  $X^4 - 2X^3 + (2q + 1)X^2 - 2qX + q^2$ ;
- (1.5)  $X^4 + aX^3 + bX^2 + aqX + q^2$ , where  $(a, b)$  occurs in the same row as  $q$  in the following table:

$q$	$(a, b)$
13	(9, 42)
9	(6, 20)
7	(4, 16)
5	(3, 6) or (8, 26)
4	(2, 5), (4, 11), or (6, 17)
3	(1, 4), (3, 5), or (4, 10)
2	(0, 3), (1, 0), (1, 4), (2, 5), or (3, 6)

The special form required of the Frobenius endomorphism in [4] has an immediate consequence for the shape of its characteristic polynomial, and by inspection the above polynomials do not have the required shape. Thus the main result of [4] follows from the above result.

---

Received by the editor May 29, 2007 and, in revised form, August 30, 2008.  
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14H40.

©2010 American Mathematical Society  
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

Our proof of Theorem 1 relies on the classical results of Tate ([6, Thm. 1] and [8, Thm. 8]) and Honda [2] describing the Weil polynomials of abelian varieties over finite fields. An explicit version of their results in the case of simple abelian surfaces was given by Rück [5, Thm. 1.1]; together with the analogous results of Waterhouse [7, Thm. 4.1] for elliptic curves, this yields the following:

**Lemma 2.** *The Weil polynomials of abelian surfaces over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are precisely the polynomials  $X^4 + aX^3 + bX^2 + aqX + q^2$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy  $|a| \leq 4\sqrt{q}$  and  $2|a|\sqrt{q} - 2q \leq b \leq \frac{a^2}{4} + 2q$ , and where  $a, b$ , and the values  $\Delta := a^2 - 4(b - 2q)$  and  $\delta := (b + 2q)^2 - 4qa^2$  satisfy one of the conditions (2.1)–(2.4) below:*

- (2.1)  $v_p(b) = 0$ ;
- (2.2)  $v_p(b) \geq m/2$  and  $v_p(a) = 0$ , and either  $\delta = 0$  or  $\delta$  is a non-square in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $p$ -adic integers;
- (2.3)  $v_p(b) \geq m$  and  $v_p(a) \geq m/2$  and  $\Delta$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and if  $q$  is a square and we write  $a = \sqrt{q}a'$  and  $b = qb'$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
 p &\not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} && \text{if } b' = 2, \\
 p &\not\equiv 1 \pmod{3} && \text{if } a' \not\equiv b' \pmod{2};
 \end{aligned}$$

(2.4) the conditions in one of the rows of the following table are satisfied:

$(a, b)$	Conditions on $p$ and $q$
$(0, 0)$	$q$ is a square and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , or $q$ is a non-square and $p \neq 2$
$(0, -q)$	$q$ is a square and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ , or $q$ is a non-square and $p \neq 3$
$(0, q)$	$q$ is a non-square
$(0, -2q)$	$q$ is a non-square
$(0, 2q)$	$q$ is a square and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$
$(\pm\sqrt{q}, q)$	$q$ is a square and $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$
$(\pm\sqrt{2q}, q)$	$q$ is a non-square and $p = 2$
$(\pm 2\sqrt{q}, 3q)$	$q$ is a square and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
$(\pm\sqrt{5q}, 3q)$	$q$ is a non-square and $p = 5$

Moreover, the surface  $J$  is simple if and only if either

- $\Delta$  is a non-square in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; or
- $(a, b) = (0, 2q)$  and  $q$  is a square and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ; or
- $(a, b) = (\pm 2\sqrt{q}, 3q)$  and  $q$  is a square and  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

The  $p$ -rank of  $J$  (namely, the rank of the  $p$ -torsion subgroup of  $J(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ ) is 2 in (2.1), 1 in (2.2), and 0 in (2.3) and (2.4).

*Proof of Theorem 1.* As shown by Weil [9], for any abelian surface  $J$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , the Weil polynomial  $P_J$  is a monic quartic in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  whose complex roots have absolute value  $\sqrt{q}$ . In particular,  $\#J(\mathbb{F}_q) = \deg(\pi_J - 1) = P_J(1) \leq (\sqrt{q} + 1)^4$ , so if  $\#J(\mathbb{F}_q) = cq^2$  with  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $c \leq (1 + q^{-1/2})^4$ . It follows that  $c = 1$  unless  $q \leq 27$ . In light of the above lemma, there are just finitely many cases to consider with  $c > 1$ ; we treated these cases using the computer program presented at the end of this paper, which gave rise to precisely the solutions in (1.5). Henceforth assume  $c = 1$ .

The Weil polynomials of abelian surfaces over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  are the polynomials  $P(X) := X^4 + aX^3 + bX^2 + aqX + q^2$  occurring in the above lemma. We must determine which of these polynomials satisfy  $P(1) = q^2$ , or equivalently,  $b = -1 - a(q + 1)$ . The inequality  $-1 - a(q + 1) = b \leq a^2/4 + 2q$  says that  $q^2 \leq (a/2 + q + 1)^2$ , and since  $a/2 + q + 1 \geq -2\sqrt{q} + q + 1 > 0$ , this is equivalent to  $q \leq a/2 + q + 1$ , or in other words  $-2 \leq a$ . The inequality  $2|a|\sqrt{q} - 2q \leq b = -1 - a(q + 1)$  always holds if  $a \in \{0, -1, -2\}$ , and if  $a \geq 1$  it is equivalent to  $a(\sqrt{q} + 1)^2 \leq 2q - 1$ ; since  $2q - 1 < 2q < 2(\sqrt{q} + 1)^2$ , this implies  $a = 1$ , in which case  $(\sqrt{q} + 1)^2 \leq 2q - 1$  is equivalent to  $q \geq 8$ .

Condition (2.1) holds if and only if  $a \not\equiv -1 \pmod p$ , or equivalently either  $a \in \{0, -2\}$  or both  $a = 1$  and  $p \neq 2$ . This accounts for (1.1), (1.2), and (1.4).

Condition (2.3) cannot hold, since  $p \mid a$  implies  $b \equiv -1 \pmod p$ .

The condition  $v_p(b) \geq m/2$  says that  $a \equiv -1 \pmod{p^{\lceil m/2 \rceil}}$ , or equivalently  $a = -1$ . In this case,  $b = q$  and  $\delta = 9q^2 - 4q$ , so  $\delta \neq 0$ . If  $q$  is odd, then  $\delta$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if  $\delta$  is a square modulo  $pq$ , or equivalently,  $m$  is even and  $-4$  is a square modulo  $p$ , which means that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ . If  $q$  is even, then  $\delta$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , since for  $q \leq 8$  we have  $\delta \in \{28, 128, 544\}$ , and for  $q > 8$  we have  $\delta \equiv -4q \pmod{16q}$ . Thus (2.2) gives rise to (1.3).

Finally, if  $a = -2$  then  $b = 2q + 1$ , and if  $a = 0$  then  $b = -1$ , so in either case  $q \nmid b$ . Thus (2.4) cannot hold, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Next we determine which of the Weil polynomials in (1.1)–(1.5) occur for Jacobians. We use the classification of Weil polynomials of Jacobians of genus-2 curves. This classification was achieved by the combined efforts of many mathematicians, culminating in the following result [3, Thm. 1.2]:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $P_J = X^4 + aX^3 + bX^2 + aqX + q^2$  be the Weil polynomial of an abelian surface  $J$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

- (1) *If  $J$  is simple, then  $J$  is not isogenous to a Jacobian if and only if the conditions in one of the rows of the following table are met:*

Condition on $p$ and $q$	Conditions on $a$ and $b$
—	$a^2 - b = q$ and $b < 0$ and all prime divisors of $b$ are $1 \pmod 3$
—	$a = 0$ and $b = 1 - 2q$
$p > 2$	$a = 0$ and $b = 2 - 2q$
$p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ and $q$ square	$a = 0$ and $b = -q$
$p = 3$ and $q$ square	$a = 0$ and $b = -q$
$p = 2$ and $q$ non-square	$a = 0$ and $b = -q$
$q = 2$ or $q = 3$	$a = 0$ and $b = -2q$

- (2) *If  $J$  is not simple, then there are integers  $s, t$  such that  $P_J = (X^2 - sX + q)(X^2 - tX + q)$ , and  $s$  and  $t$  are unique if we require that  $|s| \geq |t|$  and that if  $s = -t$  then  $s \geq 0$ . For such  $s$  and  $t$ ,  $J$  is not isogenous to a Jacobian if and only if the conditions in one of the rows of the following table are met:*

$p$ -rank of $J$	Condition on $p$ and $q$	Conditions on $s$ and $t$
—	—	$ s - t  = 1$
2	—	$s = t$ and $t^2 - 4q \in \{-3, -4, -7\}$
	$q = 2$	$s = 1$ and $t = -1$
1	$q$ square	$s^2 = 4q$ and $s - t$ squarefree
0	$p > 3$	$s^2 \neq t^2$
	$p = 3$ and $q$ non-square	$s^2 = t^2 = 3q$
	$p = 3$ and $q$ square	$s - t$ is not divisible by $3\sqrt{q}$
	$p = 2$	$s^2 - t^2$ is not divisible by $2q$
	$q = 2$ or $q = 3$	$s = t$
	$q = 4$ or $q = 9$	$s^2 = t^2 = 4q$

**Theorem 4.** *The polynomials in (1.1)–(1.5) which are not Weil polynomials of Jacobians are precisely the polynomials  $X^4 + aX^3 + bX^2 + aqX + q^2$ , where  $q$  and  $(a, b)$  satisfy the conditions in one of the rows of the following table:*

$q$	$(a, b)$
5	(8, 26)
4	(6, 17)
2	(-2, 5), (0, 3), (1, 4), (2, 5), or (3, 6)

*Proof.* Let  $J$  be an abelian surface over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  whose Weil polynomial  $P_J = X^4 + aX^3 + bX^2 + aqX + q^2$  satisfies one of (1.1)–(1.5). In each case,  $a^2 - b \neq q$ , and if  $a = 0$  then  $b \in \{-1, 3\}$ , so if  $J$  is simple then Lemma 3 implies  $J$  is isogenous to a Jacobian.

Henceforth assume  $J$  is not simple, so  $P_J = (X^2 - sX + q)(X^2 - tX + q)$  where  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; we may assume that  $|s| \geq |t|$ , and that  $s \geq 0$  if  $s = -t$ . Note that  $a = -s - t$  and  $b = 2q + st$ , so  $(X - s)(X - t) = X^2 + aX + b - 2q$ . In particular,  $\Delta := a^2 - 4(b - 2q)$  is a square, say  $\Delta = z^2$  with  $z \geq 0$ .

Suppose  $P_J$  satisfies (1.1), so  $\Delta = 12q + 9$ . Then  $(z - 3)(z + 3) = 12q$  is even, so  $z - 3$  and  $z + 3$  are even and incongruent mod 4, whence their product is divisible by 8, so  $q$  is even, a contradiction.

Now suppose  $P_J$  satisfies (1.2), so  $\Delta = 8q + 4$ . Then  $(z - 2)(z + 2) = 8q$ , so at least one of  $z - 2$  and  $z + 2$  is divisible by 4; but these numbers differ by 4, so they are both divisible by 4, whence their product is divisible by 16, so  $q$  is even. Thus  $8q$  is a power of 2 which is the product of two positive integers that differ by 4, so  $q = 4$ . In this case,  $(q, a, b, s, t) = (4, 0, -1, 3, -3)$ , which indeed satisfies (1.2). Moreover, (2.1) holds, so Lemma 2 implies  $J$  has  $p$ -rank 2. Since  $|s - t| = 6 \notin \{0, 1\}$  and  $q \neq 2$ , Lemma 3 implies  $J$  is isogenous to a Jacobian.

Now suppose  $P_J$  satisfies (1.3), so  $\Delta = 4q + 1$ . Then  $(z - 1)(z + 1) = 4q$ , so  $z - 1$  and  $z + 1$  are even and incongruent mod 4, whence their product is divisible by 8, so  $q$  is even. Thus  $4q$  is a power of 2 which is the product of two positive integers that differ by 2, so  $q = 2$ . In this case,  $(q, a, b, s, t) = (2, -1, 2, 2, -1)$ , which indeed satisfies (1.3). Moreover, (2.2) holds, so Lemma 2 implies  $J$  has  $p$ -rank 1. Since  $|s - t| = 3 \neq 1$  and  $q$  is a non-square, Lemma 3 implies  $J$  is isogenous to a Jacobian.

Now suppose  $P_J$  satisfies (1.4), so  $\Delta = 0$  and  $a \notin \{0, \pm 2\sqrt{q}\}$ , and thus Lemma 3 implies  $J$  is non-simple. Here  $(a, b, s, t) = (-2, 2q + 1, 1, 1)$ , so Lemma 2 implies  $J$  has  $p$ -rank 2. Since  $s = t = 1$ , Lemma 3 implies  $J$  is isogenous to a Jacobian if

and only if  $1 - 4q \notin \{-3, -4, -7\}$ , or equivalently  $q \neq 2$ . This gives rise to the first entry in the last line of the table.

Finally, if  $P_J$  satisfies (1.5), then the result follows from Lemma 3 and Lemma 2 via a straightforward computation.  $\square$

*Remark 5.* The result announced in the abstract of [4] is false, since its hypotheses are satisfied by every two-dimensional Jacobian over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . This is because the abstract of [4] does not mention the various hypotheses assumed in the theorems of that paper.

We used the following Magma [1] program in the proof of Theorem 1.

```

for q in [2..27] do if IsPrimePower(q) then
Q:=Floor(4*Sqrt(q)); M:=Floor((Sqrt(q)+1)^4/q^2);
for c in [2..M] do
for a in [-Q..Q] do b:=-1-a*(q+1)+(c-1)*q^2;
if b le (a^2/4)+2*q and 2*Abs(a)*Sqrt(q)-2*q le b then
p:=Factorization(q)[1,1]; m:=Factorization(q)[1,2];
Delta:=a^2-4*(b-2*q); delta:=(b+2*q)^2-4*q*a^2;
  if GCD(b,p) eq 1 then <q,a,b,c>;
  elif GCD(b,q) ge Sqrt(q) and GCD(a,p) eq 1 and
    (delta eq 0 or not IsSquare(pAdicRing(p)!delta)) then
    <q,a,b,c>;
  elif IsDivisibleBy(b,q) and GCD(a,q) ge Sqrt(q) and
    IsSquare(Delta) then
    if not IsSquare(q) then <q,a,b,c>;
    else sq:=p^(m div 2); ap:=a div sq; bp:=b div q;
      if not ((bp eq 2 and IsDivisibleBy(p-1,4)) or
        (IsDivisibleBy(ap-bp,2) and IsDivisibleBy(p-1,3)))
        then <q,a,b,c>;
      end if;
    end if;
  elif (a eq 0 and b eq 0) then
    if ((IsSquare(q) and not IsDivisibleBy(p-1,8)) or
      (not IsSquare(q) and p ne 2)) then <q,a,b,c>;
    end if;
  elif (a eq 0 and b eq -q) then
    if ((IsSquare(q) and not IsDivisibleBy(p-1,12)) or
      (not IsSquare(q) and p ne 3)) then <q,a,b,c>;
    end if;
  elif a eq 0 and b in {q,-2*q} and not IsSquare(q) then
    <q,a,b,c>;
  elif a eq 0 and b eq 2*q and IsSquare(q) and
    IsDivisibleBy(p-1,4) then <q,a,b,c>;
  elif Abs(a) eq p^(m div 2) and b eq q and IsSquare(q) and
    not IsDivisibleBy(p-1,5) then <q,a,b,c>;
  elif Abs(a) eq p^((m+1) div 2) and b eq q and
    not IsSquare(q) and p eq 2 then <q,a,b,c>;
  elif Abs(a) eq 2*p^(m div 2) and b eq 3*q and IsSquare(q)
    and IsDivisibleBy(p-1,3) then <q,a,b,c>;

```

```

    elif Abs(a) eq p^((m+1) div 2) and b eq 3*q and
      not IsSquare(q) and p eq 5 then <q,a,b,c>;
    end if;
end if;
end for;
end for;
end if;
end for;

```

## REFERENCES

1. W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), 235–265. MR1484478
2. T. Honda, *Isogeny classes of abelian varieties over finite fields*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **20** (1968), 83–95. MR0229642 (37:5216)
3. E. W. Howe, E. Nart and C. Ritzenthaler, *Jacobians in isogeny classes of abelian surfaces over finite fields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **59** (2009), 239–289, arXiv:math/0607515v3 [math.NT]. MR2514865 (2010b:11064)
4. C. R. Ravnshøj, *p-torsion of genus two curves over prime fields of characteristic p*, arXiv:0705.3537v1 [math.AG], 24 May 2007.
5. H.-G. Rück, *Abelian surfaces and Jacobian varieties over finite fields*, Compositio Math. **76** (1990), 351–366. MR1080007 (92e:14016)
6. J. Tate, *Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **2** (1966), 134–144. MR0206004 (34:5829)
7. W. C. Waterhouse, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **2** (1969), 521–560. MR0265369 (42:279)
8. W. C. Waterhouse and J. S. Milne, *Abelian varieties over finite fields*, pp. 53–64 in: 1969 Number Theory Institute AMS, Providence, 1971. MR0314847 (47:3397)
9. A. Weil, *Variétés Abéliennes et Courbes Algébriques*, Hermann, Paris, 1948. MR0029522 (10:621d)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HILL CENTER–BUSCH CAMPUS, RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATAWAY, NEW JERSEY 08854–8019

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1043

*E-mail address:* zieve@umich.edu

*URL:* [www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~zieve/](http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~zieve/)