DIVIDED DIFFERENCES OF IMPLICIT FUNCTIONS

GEORG MUNTINGH AND MICHAEL FLOATER

Abstract. Under general conditions, the equation $g(x, y) = 0$ implicitly defines $y$ locally as a function of $x$. In this article, we express divided differences of $y$ in terms of bivariate divided differences of $g$, generalizing a recent result on divided differences of inverse functions.

1. Introduction

Divided differences can be viewed as a discrete analogue of derivatives and are commonly used in approximation theory; see [1] for a survey.

Recently, the second author and Lyche established two univariate chain rules for divided differences [2], both of which can be viewed as analogous to Faà di Bruno’s formula for differentiating composite functions [6], [7]. One of these formulas was simultaneously discovered by Wang and Xu [5]. In a follow-up preprint, the other chain rule was generalized to the composition of vector-valued functions of several variables [4], yielding a formula analogous to a multivariate version of Faà di Bruno’s formula [8].

In [3], the univariate chain rule was applied to find a formula for divided differences of the inverse of a function. In Theorem 1, the Main Theorem of this paper, we use the multivariate chain rule to prove a similar formula for divided differences of implicitly defined functions. Equation 16 shows that the formula for divided differences of inverse functions in [3] follows as a special case.

More precisely, let $y$ be a function that is defined implicitly by a function $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ via $g(x, y(x)) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y(x)) \neq 0$, for every $x$ in an open interval $U \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then the Main Theorem states that for any

$$x_0, \ldots, x_n \in U, \quad y_0 := y(x_0), \ldots, y_n := y(x_n) \in y(U)$$

we can express $[x_0, \ldots, x_n]y$ as a sum of terms involving the divided differences $[x_{i_0}, \ldots, x_{i_r}; y_{i_1}, \ldots, y_{i_r}]g$, with $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n$.

In Section 2 we define these divided differences and explain our notation. In Section 3 we apply the multivariate chain rule to derive a formula that recursively expresses divided differences of $y$ in terms of divided differences of $g$ and lower order divided differences of $y$. Finally, in Section 4 we solve this recursive formula to obtain a formula that expresses divided differences of $y$ solely in terms of divided differences of $g$. We end the section with applying the Main Theorem in some special cases.
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2. Divided differences

Let \([x_0, \ldots, x_n]f\) denote the divided difference of a function \(f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}\) at the points \((x_0, \ldots, x_n)\), with \(a < x_0 \leq \cdots \leq x_n < b\). If all inequalities are strict, this notion is recursively defined by \([x_0]f := f(x_0)\) and

\[
[x_0, \ldots, x_n]f = \frac{[x_1, \ldots, x_n]f - [x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}]f}{x_n - x_0} \quad \text{if } n > 0.
\]

If some of the \(\{x_i\}\) coincide, we define \([x_0, \ldots, x_n]f\) as the limit of this formula when the distances between these \(\{x_i\}\) become arbitrarily small, provided \(f\) is sufficiently smooth there. In particular, when \(x_0 = \cdots = x_n\), one can show that \([x_0, \ldots, x_n]f = f^{(n)}(x_0)/n!\). For a given \(i = (i_0, \ldots, i_k)\) satisfying \(i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k\), we shall sometimes shorten the notation to

\[
[i_0 i_1 \cdots i_k]f := [x_{i_0}, x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}]f.
\]

The above definitions generalize to bivariate divided differences as follows. Let \(f : U \to \mathbb{R}\) be defined on some 2-dimensional interval

\[U = (a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^2.\]

Suppose we are given \(m, n \geq 0\) and points \(x_0, \ldots, x_m \in (a_1, b_1)\) satisfying \(x_0 < \cdots < x_m\) and \(y_0, \ldots, y_n \in (a_2, b_2)\) satisfying \(y_0 < \cdots < y_n\). The Cartesian product

\[\{x_0, \ldots, x_m\} \times \{y_0, \ldots, y_n\}\]

defines a rectangular grid of points in \(U\). The (bivariate) divided difference of \(f\) at this grid, denoted by

\[
[x_0, \ldots, x_m; y_0, \ldots, y_n]f,
\]

can be defined recursively as follows. If \(m = n = 0\), the grid consists of only one point \((x_0, y_0)\), and we define \([x_0; y_0]f := f(x_0, y_0)\) as the value of \(f\) at this point. In the case \(m > 0\), we can define \(\Box\) as

\[
[x_1, \ldots, x_m; y_0, \ldots, y_n]f - [x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}; y_0, \ldots, y_n]f
\]

\[
\frac{x_m - x_0}{x_m - x_0},
\]

or if \(n > 0\), as

\[
[x_0, \ldots, x_m; y_1, \ldots, y_n]f - [x_0, \ldots, x_m; y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1}]f
\]

\[
\frac{y_n - y_0}{y_n - y_0}.
\]

If both \(m > 0\) and \(n > 0\), the divided difference \(\Box\) is uniquely defined by either recursion formula.

As for univariate divided differences, we can let some of the points coalesce by taking limits, as long as \(f\) is sufficiently smooth. In particular, when \(x_0 = \cdots = x_m\) and \(y_0 = \cdots = y_n\), one has

\[
[x_0, \ldots, x_m; y_0, \ldots, y_n]f = \frac{1}{m!n!} \frac{\partial^{m+n}f}{\partial x^m \partial y^n}(x_0, y_0).
\]

Similarly to Equation \(\Box\) we shall more often than not shorten the notation for bivariate divided differences to

\[
[i_0 i_1 \cdots i_m; j_0 j_1 \cdots j_n]f := [x_{i_0}, x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m}; y_{j_0}, y_{j_1}, \ldots, y_{j_n}]f,
\]

sometimes even leaving out the reference to the function \(f\).
3. A recursive formula for implicit functions

Let \( y \) be a function implicitly defined by \( g(x, y(x)) = 0 \) as in Section 1. The first step in expressing divided differences of \( y \) in terms of those of \( g \) is to express those of \( g \) in terms of those of \( y \). This link is provided by a special case of the multivariate chain rule of H. Let \( \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a composition of sufficiently smooth functions \( f = (\phi, \psi) \) and \( g \). In this case, the formula of H for \( n \geq 1 \) is

\[
[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n](g \circ f) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{0=i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k=n} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \prod_{l=s+1}^{k} [x_{i_l-1}, x_{i_l-1+1}, \ldots, x_{i_l}] \phi \prod_{l=s}^{k} [x_{i_l-1}, x_{i_l-1+1}, \ldots, x_{i_l}] \psi.
\]

Now we choose \( f \) to be the graph of a function \( y \), i.e., \( f : x \mapsto (\phi(x), \psi(x)) = (x, y(x)) \). Then the divided differences of \( \phi \) of order greater than one are zero, implying that the summand is zero unless \((i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_s) = (0, 1, \ldots, s)\); below, this condition is realized by restricting the third sum in Equation 4 to integers \( s \) that satisfy \( s = i_s - i_0 \). Since additionally divided differences of \( \phi \) of order one are one, we obtain

\[
[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]g(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{0=i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k=n} \sum_{s=0}^{k} [x_{i_l-1}, x_{i_l-1+1}, \ldots, x_{i_l}] y,
\]

where \( y_j := y(x_j) \) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, n \). For example, when \( n = 1 \) this formula becomes

\[
[x_0, x_1]g(y) = [x_0; y_0, y_1]g(x_0, x_1) y + [x_0, x_1; y_1]g,
\]

and when \( n = 2 \),

\[
[x_0, x_1, x_2]g(y) = [x_0; y_0, y_2]g(x_0, x_1, x_2) y + [x_0; y_0, y_1, y_2]g(x_0, x_1) y [x_1, x_2] y + [x_0, x_1; y_1, y_2]g(x_1, x_2) y + [x_0, x_1, x_2; y_2]g.
\]

In case \( y \) is implicitly defined by \( g(x, y(x)) = 0 \), the left-hand side of Equation 5 is zero. In the case \( n = 1 \), therefore, we see that

\[
[01]y = -\frac{[01; 1]}{[0, 01]} g,
\]

where we now used the shorthand notation from Equations 1 and 3. For \( n \geq 2 \), the highest order divided difference of \( y \) present in the right-hand side of Equation 5 appears in the term \([0; 0 n]g[01 \cdots n]y\). Moving this term to the left-hand side and dividing by \(-[0; 0 n]g\), one finds a formula that expresses \([01 \cdots n]y\) recursively in
terms of lower order divided differences of \( y \) and divided differences of \( g \),

\[
[01 \cdots n] y = - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{0=i_0 < \cdots < i_k=n} \sum_{s=i_s-i_0}^{k} \frac{[0 \cdots s; i_s i_{s+1} \cdots i_k] g}{[0;0n] g} \prod_{l=s+1}^{k} [i_{l-1} (i_{l-1} + 1) \cdots i_l] y.
\]

Equation 7 can thus be rewritten as

\[
\prod_{l=s+1}^{k} [i_{l-1} (i_{l-1} + 1) \cdots i_l] y.
\]

We shall now simplify Equation 7. By Equation 6, the first order divided differences of \( y \) appearing in the product of Equation 7 can be expressed as quotients of divided differences of \( g \). To separate, for every sequence \((i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)\) appearing in Equation 7, the divided differences of \( g \) from those of \( y \), we define an expression involving only divided differences of \( g \),

\[
\{i_0 \cdots i_k\} g := - \sum_{s=i_s-i_0}^{k} \frac{[i_0 \cdots i_s; i_s \cdots i_k] g}{[i_0; i_0 i_k] g} \prod_{l=s+1}^{k} \left( - \frac{[i_{l-1} i_l; i_l i_l] g}{[i_{l-1} i_l; i_{l-1} i_l] g} \right).
\]

Note that if a sequence \((i_0, \ldots, i_k)\) starts with precisely \( s \) consecutive terms, the expression \( \{i_0 \cdots i_k\} g \) will comprise \( s \) terms. For instance,

\[
\{023\} g = \frac{[0;023] g}{[0;03] g} \frac{[23;3] g}{[2;23] g}, \\
\{013\} g = \frac{[0;013] g}{[0;03] g} \frac{[01;1] g}{[0;01] g} - \frac{[01;13] g}{[0;03] g}, \\
\{012\} g = - \frac{[0;012] g}{[0;02] g} \frac{[01;1] g}{[0;01] g} \frac{[12;2] g}{[1;12] g} + \frac{[01;12] g}{[0;02] g} \frac{[12;2] g}{[1;12] g} - \frac{[012;2] g}{[0;02] g}.
\]

The remaining divided differences \( [i_{l-1} \cdots i_l] y \) in the product of Equation 7 are those with \( i_l - i_{l-1} \geq 2 \), and each of these comes after any \( s \) satisfying \( s = i_s - i_0 \). Therefore, we might as well start the product of these remaining divided differences at \( l = 1 \) instead of at \( l = s + 1 \), which has the advantage of making it independent of \( s \). Equation 7 can thus be rewritten as

\[
[0 \cdots n] y = \sum_{k=2}^{n} \sum_{0=i_0 < \cdots < i_k=n} \{i_0 \cdots i_k\} g \prod_{l=1}^{k} [i_{l-1} \cdots i_l] y.
\]

For \( n = 2, 3, 4 \) this expression amounts to

\[
\begin{align*}
[012] y &= \{012\} g, \\
[0123] y &= \{0123\} g + \{023\} g [012] y + \{013\} g [123] y, \\
[01234] y &= \{01234\} g + \{0134\} g [123] y + \{034\} g [0123] y \\
&\quad + \{0124\} g [234] y + \{0234\} g [012] y + \{014\} g [1234] y \\
&\quad + \{024\} g [012] y [234] y.
\end{align*}
\]
4. A formula for divided differences of implicit functions

In this section we shall solve the recursive formula from Equation \([7]\). Repeatedly applying Equation \([7]\) to itself yields

\[
\begin{align*}
(12) & \quad [012]y = \{012\}g, \\
(13) & \quad [0123]y = \{0123\}g + \{023\}g \{012\}g + \{013\}g \{123\}g, \\
(14) & \quad [01234]y = \{01234\}g + \{0134\}g \{123\}g + \{034\}g \{013\}g \{123\}g \\
& \qquad + \{034\}g \{012\}g + \{012\}g + \{012\}g \{234\}g \\
& \qquad + \{0234\}g \{012\}g + \{014\}g \{134\}g \{123\}g + \{014\}g \{1234\}g \\
& \qquad + \{014\}g \{124\}g \{234\}g + \{024\}g \{012\}g \{234\}g.
\end{align*}
\]

Examining these examples, one finds that each term in the right-hand sides of the above formulas corresponds to a partition of a convex polygon in a manner we shall now make precise.

With a sequence of labels \(0, 1, \ldots, n\) we associate the ordered vertices of a convex polygon. A partition of a convex polygon is the result of connecting any pairs of nonadjacent vertices with straight lines, none of which intersect. We refer to these straight lines as the inner edges of the partition. We denote the set of all such partitions of a polygon with vertices \(0, 1, \ldots, n\) by \(\mathcal{P}(0, 1, \ldots, n)\). Every partition \(\pi \in \mathcal{P}(0, 1, \ldots, n)\) is described by its set \(F(\pi)\) of (oriented) faces. Each face \(f \in F(\pi)\) is defined by some increasing sequence of vertices \(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k\) of the polygon, i.e., \(f = (i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)\). We denote the set of edges in \(\pi\) by \(E(\pi)\).

Let \(y\) be a function implicitly defined by \(g(x, y(x)) = 0\) and \((x_0, y_0), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)\) be as in Section \([1]\). Equations \([12]\)–\([14]\) suggest the following theorem.

**Theorem 1 (Main Theorem).** For \(y\) and \(g\) defined as above and sufficiently smooth and for \(n \geq 2\),

\[
[0 \cdots n]y = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(0, \ldots, n)} \prod_{(v_0, \ldots, v_r) \in F(\pi)} \{v_0 \cdots v_r\}g,
\]

where \(\{v_0 \cdots v_r\}g\) is defined by Equation \([8]\).

Before we proceed with the proof of this theorem, we make some remarks. For \(n = 2, 3, 4\) this theorem reduces to the statements of Equations \([12]\)–\([14]\). To prove Theorem \([1]\) our plan is to use Equation \([7]\) recursively to express \([0 \cdots n]y\) solely in terms of divided differences of \(g\). We have found it helpful to assign some visual meaning to Equation \([7]\). Every sequence \(i = (i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)\) that appears in Equation \([7]\) induces a partition \(\pi_i \in \mathcal{P}(0, 1, \ldots, n)\) whose set of faces comprises an inner face \((i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)\) and outer faces \((i_j, i_j + 1, \ldots, i_{j+1})\) for every \(j = 0, \ldots, k - 1\) with \(i_{j+1} - i_j \geq 2\). We denote by \(\mathcal{P}_1\) the set of all partitions of the disjoint union of these outer faces. An example of such a sequence \(i\), together with its inner face, outer faces, and partition set \(\mathcal{P}_1\) is given in Figure \([1]\).

We shall now associate divided differences to these geometric objects. To each outer face \((i_j, i_j + 1, \ldots, i_{j+1})\) we associate the divided difference \([i_j(i_{j+1}) \cdots i_{j+1}]y\), and to each inner face \((i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)\) we associate the expression \(\{i_0 \cdots i_k\}g\). For any sequence \(i\) that appears in the sum of Equation \([7]\) the corresponding inner face therefore represents that part of Equation \([7]\) that can be written solely in terms of divided differences of \(g\), while the outer faces represent the part that is still expressed as a divided difference of \(y\).
Figure 1. For \( n = 7 \), the sequence \( i = (0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7) \) gives rise to the two outer faces \((2, 3, 4)\) and \((5, 6, 7)\), which are shaded in the figure. The set \( \mathcal{P}_i \) contains in this case just \( 1 \times 1 = 1 \) partition, namely the union of the unique partitions \( \{(2, 3, 4)\} \) and \( \{(5, 6, 7)\} \) of the outer faces.

Repeatedly applying Equation 7 yields a recursion tree, in which each node represents a product of divided difference expressions associated to inner and outer faces. These recursion trees are depicted in Figure 2 for \( n = 2, 3, 4 \). Equation 7 roughly states that the expression of any nonleaf vertex is equal to the sum of the expressions of its descendants.

**Proof of the Main Theorem.** This theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1 in [3], and the proofs are analogous. We prove the formula by induction on the order \( n \) of the divided difference of \( y \).

By the above discussion, the formula holds for \( n = 2, 3, 4 \). For \( n \geq 5 \), assume the formula holds for all smaller \( n \). Consider the recursive formula from Equation 7 For every sequence \( i \) that appears in this equation, the corresponding outer faces have fewer vertices than the full polygon. By the induction hypothesis, we can therefore replace each divided difference \( [i_l \cdots i_{l+1}]y \) appearing in the product of Equation 7 by an expression involving only divided differences of \( g \).

As before, let \( \mathcal{P}_i \) denote the set of all partitions of the disjoint union of the outer faces induced by \( i \). Then, by the induction hypothesis, the product in Equation 7 is equal to

\[
\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_i} \prod_{(v_0, \ldots, v_r) \in F(\pi)} \{v_0 \cdots v_r\} g.
\]

For a given inner face \( i \), the set \( \mathcal{P}_i \) can be identified with \( \{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(0, \ldots, n) : i \in F(\pi)\} \) by the bijection \( F(\pi) \mapsto F(\pi) \cup \{1\} \). Substituting the above expression into Equation 7 then yields

\[
[0 \cdots n]y = \sum_{\text{inner faces}} \{i_0 \cdots i_k\} g \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_i} \prod_{(v_0, \ldots, v_r) \in F(\pi)} \{v_0 \cdots v_r\} g
\]

\[
= \sum_{\text{inner faces}} \prod_{l=(i_0, \ldots, i_k)} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(0, \ldots, n)} \{v_0 \cdots v_r\} g
\]

\[
= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(0, \ldots, n)} \prod_{(v_0, \ldots, v_r) \in F(\pi)} \{v_0 \cdots v_r\} g.
\]

Intuitively, this proof can be expressed in terms of the recursion tree as follows. As remarked in the previous section, Equation 7 states that the expression of any nonleaf vertex is equal to the sum of the expressions of its descendants. By
induction, the expression \([01\cdots n]y\) of the root vertex is therefore equal to the sum of the expressions of the leaves, which, by construction, correspond to partitions of the full polygon.

**Example 1.** Let us apply Theorem 1 to find a simple expression for divided differences of the function \(y(x) = \sqrt{1 - x^2}\) defined on the interval \((-1, 1)\). This function is implicitly defined by the polynomial \(g(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0\). For any knots \(x_a, x_b, x_c, x_d\) satisfying \(-1 < x_a \leq x_b \leq x_c \leq x_d < 1\) and corresponding function values \(y_a, y_b, y_c, y_d\), one finds

\[
\begin{align*}
[x_a, x_b; y_c]g &= x_a + x_b, \\
[x_a; y_b, y_c]g &= y_b + y_c,
\end{align*}
\]

and all other divided differences of \(g\) of nonzero order are zero. In particular, every divided difference of \(g\) of total order at least three is zero, which means that the sum in Equation 15 will only be over triangulations (i.e., partitions in which all faces are triangles). For a polygon with vertices 0, 1, \ldots, \(n\), Exercise 6.19a of [12]
states that the number of such triangulations is given by the Catalan number
\[ C(n - 1) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n - 2}{n - 1} . \]

Consider, for a given triangulation \( \pi \in \mathcal{P}(0, 1, \ldots, n) \), a face \((a, b, c) \in F(\pi)\) from the product in Equation 15. As any divided difference of the form \([x_a, x_b; y_b, y_c]g\) is zero for this \(g\), Equation 8 expresses \(\{abc\}g\) as a sum of at most two terms. There are four cases.

\[
\{abc\}g = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{y_a + y_c} \left[ 1 + \frac{x_a + x_b}{y_a + y_b} \cdot \frac{x_b + x_c}{y_b + y_c} \right] & a, b, c \text{ consecutive;} \\
\frac{1}{y_a + y_c} \left[ \frac{x_a + x_b}{y_a + y_b} \cdot \frac{x_b + x_c}{y_b + y_c} \right] & \text{only } a, b \text{ consecutive;} \\
\frac{1}{y_a + y_c} \left[ \frac{x_a + x_b}{y_a + y_b} \cdot \frac{x_b + x_c}{y_b + y_c} \right] & \text{only } b, c \text{ consecutive;} \\
\frac{1}{y_a + y_c} \left[ \frac{x_a + x_b}{y_a + y_b} \cdot \frac{x_b + x_c}{y_b + y_c} \right] & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

For example, when \(n = 3\), our convex polygon is a quadrilateral, which admits \(C(3 - 1) = 2\) triangulations \(\pi_1\) and \(\pi_2\) with sets of faces
\[ F(\pi_1) = \{(0, 1, 2), (0, 2, 3)\}, \quad F(\pi_2) = \{(0, 1, 3), (1, 2, 3)\}. \]

One finds
\[
[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3] \sqrt{1 - x^2} = \{012\}g \{023\}g + \{013\}g \{123\}g
\]
\[
= \frac{-1}{(y_0 + y_3)(y_0 + y_2)} \left[ 1 + \frac{x_0 + x_1}{y_0 + y_1} \cdot \frac{x_1 + x_2}{y_1 + y_2} \right] \cdot \frac{x_2 + x_3}{y_2 + y_3} + \frac{-1}{(y_0 + y_3)(y_1 + y_3)} \left[ 1 + \frac{x_1 + x_2}{y_1 + y_2} \cdot \frac{x_2 + x_3}{y_2 + y_3} \right] \cdot \frac{x_0 + x_1}{y_0 + y_1}.
\]

**Example 2.** Next we show that Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem 1 of 3, which gives a similar formula for inverse functions. To see this, we apply Theorem 1 to a function \(y\) implicitly defined by a function \(g(x, y) = x - h(y)\). Referring to Equation 8 we need to compute \([i_0 \cdots i_s; i_s \cdots i_k]g\) for this choice of \(g\) and various indices \(i_0, \ldots, i_k\) and \(s \in \{0, \ldots, k\}\). Applying the recursive definition of bivariate divided differences, one obtains
\[
[i_0 \cdots i_s; i_s \cdots i_k|x] = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x_{i_0}}{1} & \text{if } s = 0, s = k; \\
1 & \text{if } s = 1, s = k; \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]
\[
[i_0 \cdots i_s; i_s \cdots i_k|h(y)] = \begin{cases} 
[i_s \cdots i_k|h & \text{if } s = 0; \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Consider a face \(f = (v_0, \ldots, v_r)\) of a given partition \(\pi \in \mathcal{P}(0, \ldots, n)\) in Equation 15. Since \(r \geq 2\), the divided difference \([v_0 \cdots v_s; v_s \cdots v_r]|(x - h(y))\) is zero for \(s \geq 1\). Using this, Equation 8 expresses \(\{v_0 \cdots v_r\}g\) as a single term
\[
\{v_0 \cdots v_r\}g = -\frac{[v_0; v_0 \cdots v_r]g}{[v_0; v_0v_r]g} \prod_{l=1}^{r} \left( -\frac{[v_{l-1}v_l; v_l]g}{[v_{l-1}; v_{l-1}v_l]g} \right) = -\frac{[v_0 \cdots v_r]h}{[v_0v_r]h} \prod_{l=1}^{r} \frac{1}{[v_{l-1}v_l]h}.
\]
Taking the product over all faces in the partition $\pi$, the denominators of the factors in the above equation correspond to the edges of the partition, while the numerators correspond to the faces of the partition. As there is a minus sign for each face in the partition, we arrive at the formula

$$ (01\cdots n)y = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(0,\ldots,n)} (-1)^{\#F(\pi)} \frac{\prod_{(v_0,v_1) \in E(\pi)} [v_0v_1]h}{\prod_{(v_0,v_1) \in F(\pi)} [v_0v_1]h}, \tag{16} $$

which appears as Equation 11 in [3].

Note that the inverse of the algebraic function $y = \sqrt{1-x^2}$ in Example 1 is again an algebraic function. Equation (16) would therefore not have been of much help to find a simple expression for divided differences of $y$. In fact, Example 1 can be thought of as one of the simplest examples for which Theorem 1 improves on Equation (16) as it concerns a polynomial $g$ with bidegree as low as $(2,2)$.

**Example 3.** In this example we shall derive a quotient rule for divided differences. That is, we shall find a formula that expresses divided differences of the quotient $y = P(x)/Q(x)$ in terms of divided differences of $P$ and of $Q$. Let $g(x,y) = Q(x)y - P(x)$. Then, in Equation (8)

$$ [i_0\cdots i_s; i_s \cdots i_k]g = \begin{cases} y_{i_s} [i_0\cdots i_s]Q - [i_0\cdots i_s]P & \text{if } s = k; \\ [i_0\cdots i_s]Q & \text{if } s = k - 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}, \tag{17} $$

In Equation (16), therefore, the only partitions with a nonzero contribution are those whose faces have all their vertices consecutive, except possibly the final one. In particular, the inner face with vertices $0 = i_0 < \cdots < i_k = n$ should either be the full polygon, or should have a unique inner edge $(i_{k-1},n)$. By induction, it follows that the partitions with a nonzero contribution to Equation (16) are precisely those for which all inner edges end at $n$. These partitions correspond to subsets $I \subset \{1,2,\ldots,n-2\}$, including the empty set, by associating with any such $I$ the partion with inner edges $\{(i,n) : i \in I\}$. Equation (16) becomes

$$ [0\cdots n]^P_Q = \{0\cdots n\}g $$

$$ + \sum_{r=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{0=i_0<i_1<\cdots<i_k=r} [r\cdots n]g \prod_{j=1}^{k} \{i_{j-1}\cdots i_jn\}g, $$

where the dots represent consecutive nodes and an empty product is understood to be one. A long but straightforward calculation, involving Equations (8) (17) and (18) yields

$$ [0\cdots n]^P_Q = \frac{[0\cdots n]^P}{Q_0} $$

$$ + \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{[r\cdots n]^P}{Q_r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} (-1)^k \sum_{0=i_0<i_1<\cdots<i_k=r} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{[i_{j-1}\cdots i_j]^Q}{Q_{ij_{j-1}}}, $$

where $Q_i := Q(x_i)$ for $i = 0,\ldots,n$. Alternatively, this equation can be found by applying a univariate chain rule to the composition $x \mapsto Q(x) \mapsto 1/Q(x)$, as described in Section 4 of [2].
Finally, we note that taking the limit \( x_0, \ldots, x_n \to x \) in Equations \([9]\) \([8]\) \([12]\) and \([13]\) yields

\[
y'(x) = -\frac{g_{10}}{g_{01}},
\]
\[
y''(x) = -\frac{g_{20}}{g_{01}} + 2\frac{g_{11}g_{10}}{g_{01}^2} - \frac{g_{02}g_{10}^2}{g_{01}^3},
\]
\[
y'''(x) = -\frac{g_{30}}{g_{01}} + 3\frac{g_{21}g_{10}}{g_{01}^2} + 3\frac{g_{20}g_{11}}{g_{01}} - 3\frac{g_{20}g_{10}g_{02}}{g_{01}^3} - 3\frac{g_{12}g_{10}^2}{g_{01}^3}
\]
\[+ \quad 6\frac{g_{11}^2g_{10}}{g_{01}^3} + \frac{g_{10}^3g_{02}}{g_{01}^4} + 9\frac{g_{11}g_{10}g_{02}}{g_{01}^3} - 3\frac{g_{10}g_{02}^2}{g_{01}^3} - \frac{g_{31}g_{02}^2}{g_{01}^4},
\]

where we have introduced the shorthand

\[
g_{st} \coloneqq \frac{\partial^{s+t} g}{\partial x^s \partial y^t}(x, y(x)).
\]

These formulas agree with the examples given in \([10]\), \([11\text{ page 153}]\) and with a formula stated as Equation 7 in \([9]\).

**Acknowledgment**

We wish to thank Paul Kettler, whose keen eye for detail provided us with many valuable comments on a draft of this paper.

**References**


