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Abstract. Let \( p > 3 \) be a prime. Euler numbers \( E_{p-3} \) first appeared in H. S. Vandiver’s work (1940) in connection with the first case of Fermat’s Last Theorem. Vandiver proved that if \( x^p + y^p = z^p \) has a solution for integers \( x, y, z \) with \( \gcd(xyz, p) = 1 \), then it must be that \( E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \). Numerous combinatorial congruences recently obtained by Z.-W. Sun and Z.-H. Sun involve the Euler numbers \( E_{p-3} \). This gives a new significance to the primes \( p \) for which \( E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \).

For the computation of residues of Euler numbers \( E_{p-3} \) modulo a prime \( p \), we use a congruence which runs significantly faster than other known congruences involving \( E_{p-3} \). Applying this congruence, via a computation in Mathematica 8, shows that there are only three primes less than \( 10^7 \) that satisfy the condition \( E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \) (these primes are 149, 241 and 2946901). By using related computational results and statistical considerations similar to those used for Wieferich, Fibonacci-Wieferich and Wolstenholme primes, we conjecture that there are infinitely many primes \( p \) such that \( E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \).

1. Introduction

Euler numbers \( E_n \) \((n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)\) (e.g., see [14, pp. 202–203]) are integers defined recursively by

\[
E_0 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n \atop k \text{ even}} \binom{n}{k} E_{n-k} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

(it is the case that \( E_{2n-1} = 0 \) for each \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \)). The first few Euler numbers are \( E_0 = 1, E_2 = -1, E_4 = 5, E_6 = -61, E_8 = 1385, E_{10} = -50521, E_{12} = 2702765, E_{14} = -199360981, \) and \( E_{16} = 19391512145 \). Euler numbers can also be defined by the generating function

\[
\frac{2}{e^x + e^{-x}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_n}{n!} x^n.
\]

If \( E_n(x) \) is the classical Euler polynomial, then \( E_n = E_n(0) \) \((n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)\) (see e.g., [16] p. 61 et seq.).
Recall that Bernoulli numbers $B_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) are rational numbers defined by the formal identity
\[
\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.
\]
It is easy to see that $B_n = 0$ for odd $n \geq 3$, and the first few nonzero terms of $(B_n)$ are $B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = -1/2$, $B_2 = 1/6$, $B_4 = -1/30$, $B_6 = 1/42$ and $B_8 = -1/30$. If $B_n(x)$ is the classical Bernoulli polynomial, then $B_n = B_n(0)$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) (see e.g., [16, p. 61 et seq.] or [17]).

One historical significance of Euler numbers, and especially of $E_{p-3}$ with a prime $p$, is closely related to Fermat’s Last Theorem (see [14, Lecture X, Section 2]). In 1850 Kummer (see e.g., [14, Theorem (3A), p. 86 and Theorems (2A)–(2F), pp. 99–103]) proved that Fermat’s Last Theorem holds for each regular prime, that is, for each prime $p$ that does not divide the numerator of any Bernoulli number $B_{2n}$ with $n = 1, 2, \ldots, (p-3)/2$. Recently, J.P. Buhler and D. Harvey [1] computed all irregular primes less than 163577856. In 1940 H.S. Vandiver [25] likewise proved the analogous case for Euler-regular primes. Paralleling the previous definition of a (ir)regular prime (with respect to the Bernoulli numbers) following Vandiver [25], a prime $p$ is said to be an Euler-irregular prime ($E$-irregular for short) if and only if it divides at least one of the Euler numbers $E_{2n}$ with $1 \leq n \leq (p-3)/2$. Otherwise, if $p$ does not divide $E_2, E_4, \ldots, E_{p-3}$, a prime $p$ is called $E$-regular. The smallest $E$-irregular prime is $p = 19$, which divides $E(10) = -50521$. The first few $E$-irregular primes are 19, 31, 43, 47, 61, 67, 71, 79, 101, 137, 139, 149, 193, 223, and 241 (with $p = 241$ dividing both $E_{210}$ and $E_{238}$, and hence having an $E$-irregularity index of 2) (see [5]). In 1954 L. Carlitz [2] proved that there are infinitely many $E$-irregular primes $p$, i.e., $p \mid E_2 E_4 \cdots E_{p-3}$. Using modular arithmetic to determine divisibility properties of the corresponding Euler numbers, the $E$-irregular primes less than 10000 were found in 1978 by R. Ernvall and T. Metsänkylä [5].

In his book [14, p. 203] P. Ribenboim noticed that “it is not at all surprising that the connection, via Kummer’s theorem, between the primes dividing certain Bernoulli numbers and the truth of Fermat’s theorem, would suggest a similar theorem using the Euler numbers”. Vandiver [25] proved that if $x^p + y^p = z^p$ has a solution for integers $x, y, z$ with $\gcd(xyz, p) = 1$, then it must be the case that $E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The analogous result was proved by Cauchy (1847) and Genocchi (1852) (see [14, p. 29, Lecture II, Section 2]) with the Bernoulli number $B_{p-3}$ instead of $E_{p-3}$. Further, in 1950 M. Gut [9] proved that the condition $E_{p-3} \equiv E_{p-5} \equiv E_{p-7} \equiv E_{p-9} \equiv E_{p-11} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ is necessary for the Diophantine equation $x^{2p} + y^{2p} = z^{2p}$ to be solvable.

Furthermore, numerous combinatorial congruences recently obtained by Z.-W. Sun in [20–24] and by Z.-H. Sun in [18] involve Euler numbers $E_{p-3}$ with a prime $p$. Many of these congruences become “supercongruences” if and only if $E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. (A supercongruence is a congruence whose modulus is a prime power.) This gives a significance to primes $p$ for which $E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The first two such primes, 149 and 241, were discovered by Z.-W. Sun [21].

In this note, we focus our attention to the computational search for residues of Euler numbers $E_{p-3}$ modulo a prime $p$. By the congruence obtained in 1938 by
E. Lehmer [10] p. 359, for each prime \( p \geq 5 \),
\[
\left\lfloor \frac{p}{4} \right\rfloor \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor p/4 \rfloor} \frac{1}{k^2} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} 4E_{p-3} \pmod{p},
\]
where \( \lfloor a \rfloor \) denotes the integer part of a real number \( a \). Usually (cf. [5]), if \( E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \), then we say that \((p, p - 3)\) is an \( E \)-irregular pair. It was founded in [5] that in the range \( p < 10^4 \) \((p, p - 3)\) is an \( E \)-irregular pair for \( p = 149 \) and \( p = 241 \).

For our computations presented in Section 3 we do not use Lehmer’s congruence (1) including a harmonic number of the second order. Our computation via Mathematica 8 which uses the expression including the harmonic number (of the first order) is significantly faster than those related to the congruence (1). Here we report that only three primes less than \( 10^7 \) satisfy the condition \( E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \). Using our computational results and statistical considerations similar to those used for Wieferich, Fibonacci-Wieferich and Wolstenholme primes (cf. [3] p. 447 and [12]), we conjecture that there are infinitely many primes \( p \) such that \( E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \).

2. A congruence used in our computation

Here, as expected, for integers \( m, n, r, s \) with \( n \neq 0 \) and \( s \neq 0 \), and a prime power \( p^e \), we put \( m/n \equiv r/s \pmod{p^e} \) if and only if \( ms \equiv nr \pmod{p^e} \), and the residue class of \( m/n \) is the residue class of \( mn' \) where \( n' \) is the inverse of \( n \) modulo \( p^e \).

In what follows \( p \) always denotes a prime. The Fermat Little Theorem states that if \( p \) is a prime and \( a \) is an integer not divisible by \( p \), then \( a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \). This gives rise to the definition of the Fermat quotient of \( p \) to base \( a \),
\[
q_p(a) := \frac{a^{p-1} - 1}{p},
\]
which is an integer. It is well known that divisibility of the Fermat quotient \( q_p(a) \) by \( p \) has numerous applications which include relevance to the Fermat Last Theorem and squarefreeness testing (see [6], [8] and [14]). If \( q_p(2) \) is divisible by \( p \), \( p \) is said to be a Wieferich prime. Despite several intensive searches, only two Wieferich primes are known: \( p = 1093 \) and \( p = 3511 \) (see [3] and [4]). Another class of primes initially defined because of Fermat’s Last Theorem are Fibonacci-Wieferich primes, sometimes called Wall-Sun-Sun primes. A prime \( p \) is said to be a Fibonacci-Wieferich prime if the Fibonacci number \( F_{p-(\frac{p}{2})} \) is divisible by \( p^2 \), where \( (\frac{\xi}{p}) \) denotes the Legendre symbol (see [19]). A search in [12] and [4] shows that there are no Fibonacci-Wieferich primes less than \( 9.7 \times 10^{14} \).

For the computation of residues of Euler numbers \( E_{p-3} \) modulo a prime \( p \), it is suitable to use the following congruence which runs significantly faster than Lehmer’s congruence (1).

Theorem ([18] Theorem 4.1(iii)]). Let \( p \geq 5 \) be a prime. Then
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor p/4 \rfloor} \frac{1}{k} + 3q_p(2) - \frac{3p}{2} q_p(2)^2 \equiv (-1)^{(p+1)/2} pE_{p-3} \pmod{p^2},
\]
where \( \lfloor a \rfloor \) denotes the integer part of a real number \( a \).
Proof. Quite recently, Z.-W. Sun [21, Proof of Theorem 1.1, the congruence after (2.3)] noticed that by a result of Z.-H. Sun [18, Corollary 3.3],
\[
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}\right) \equiv q_p(2) - \frac{p}{2}q_p(2)^2 - (-1)^{(p+1)/2}pE_{p-3} \pmod{p^2}.
\]
We also have
\[
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}\right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{k}\right) - 2\sum_{1 \leq k \leq (p-1)/2} \frac{1}{k} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{k}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor p/4 \rfloor} \frac{1}{j}.
\]
By the classical congruence proved in 1938 by E. Lehmer [10, the congruence (45), p. 358], for each prime \( p \geq 5, \)
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{1}{k} \equiv -2q_p(2) + pq_p(2)^2 \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Substituting the congruence (5) into (4), we obtain
\[
\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}\right) = -2q_p(2) + pq_p(2)^2 \pmod{p^2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor p/4 \rfloor} \frac{1}{j} \pmod{p^2}.
\]
Finally, substituting (6) into (3), we immediately obtain (2). \( \square \)

3. The Computation

Using congruence (2) via a computation in Mathematica 8 shows that there are only three primes less than \( 10^7 \) that satisfy the condition \( E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \) (these primes are 149, 241 and 2946901, and they are given as a sequence A198245 in [15]). Notice also that in 2011 [13, p. 3, Remarks] the author of this article reported that these three primes are only primes less than \( 3 \times 10^6 \).

Investigations of such primes have been recently suggested by Z.-W. Sun in [21]; namely, in [21, Remark 1.1] Sun found the first and the second such primes, 149 and 241, and used them to discover curious supercongruences (1.2)–(1.5) from Theorem 1.1 in [21] involving \( E_{p-3} \).

Motivated by the search for Wieferich and Fibonacci-Wieferich primes given in [3] and [4] and the search for Wolstenholme primes given in [12], here we use similar computational considerations for Euler numbers \( E_{p-3} \) where \( p \) is a prime. Our computational results presented below suggest two conjectures on numbers \( E_{p-3} \) that are analogous to those on Wieferich (3, 4) and Wolstenholme primes [12]. Accordingly, we search primes \( p \) in the range \([10^5, 5 \times 10^6]\) such that \( E_{p-3} \equiv A \pmod{p} \) with \(|A| \leq 100 \) and/or \( |A/p| \leq 1 \). Our search employed congruence (2) which runs significantly faster than Lehmer’s congruence (1). Our search is also faster than the code

```
Print[{|Prime[n],Mod[EulerE[Prime[n]-3],Prime[n]]}]
```

in Mathematica 8, and other known congruences involving Euler number \( E_{p-3} \). Our computation was performed on an HP 500B Intel Pentium with Dual Core E5700 3.0 GHz Processor and 2 GB DDR3 RAM, running Mathematica 8. In particular, using the code given below, near the prime \( p = 2946901 \) (for which \( E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \)), this processor was capable of processing an interval of 5920
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Integers per day or about 14.6 seconds per prime, while a related code involving congruence (1) for such intervals runs about 32 seconds per prime.

Here EulerE[k] gives $E_k$ and Mod[a,m] gives $a(\text{mod } m)$. Namely, in order to obtain data of Table 1 below concerning primes $p$ with $10^5 < p < 5 \times 10^6$ we used the code:

\begin{verbatim}
Do[If[Max[1000, Min[Mod[(Mod[Numerator[HarmonicNumber[Floor[Prime[n]/4]]], Prime[n]^2]*PowerMod[Denominator[HarmonicNumber[Floor[Prime[n]/4]]],Prime[n]^2]*((2^Prime[n]-1)/Prime[n]-PowerMod[2,-1,Prime[n]^2]*(3*Prime[n])*((2^Prime[n]-1)/Prime[n])^2)/((-1)^((Prime[n]+1)/2)*Prime[n]),Prime[n]])==1000, Print[{n,Prime[n],Mod[Numerator[HarmonicNumber[Floor[Prime[n]/4]]],Prime[n]^2]*PowerMod[Denominator[HarmonicNumber[Floor[Prime[n]/4]]],Prime[n]^2]*((2^Prime[n]-1)/Prime[n]-PowerMod[2,-1,Prime[n]^2]*(3*Prime[n])*((2^Prime[n]-1)/Prime[n])^2)/((-1)^((Prime[n]+1)/2)*Prime[n]),Prime[n]])],{n,i,j}]
\end{verbatim}

Here Mod[a,m] gives $a(\text{mod } m)$ and PowerMod[a,b,m] gives $a^b(\text{mod } m)$ (and is faster than Mod[a^b,m]).

Further, in order to verify that there are no primes $p$ between $5 \times 10^6$ and $10^7$ such that $E_{p-3} \equiv 0(\text{mod } p)$, we used the code which again is faster than the previous code because it does not involve the operation count PowerMod[a,-1,p^2] where $a$ is the denominator of harmonic number $\sum_{k=1}^{p/4} 1/k$. Furthermore, this code runs more than twice as fast as those involving congruence (1) because congruence (1) contains harmonic numbers of the second order. In particular, near the end of the search range, the code given below runs an interval of 5500 integers per day or about 15.7 seconds per prime, while a related code involving congruence (1) for such primes runs about 35.2 seconds per prime.

\begin{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}

Certainly $A = A(p)$ can take any of the $p$ possible values (mod $p$). Assuming that $A$ takes these values randomly, the “probability” that $A$ takes any particular value (say 0) is $1/p$. From this, in accordance to the heuristic given in [3] related to the Wieferich primes, we might argue that the number of primes $p$ in an interval $[x, y]$ such that $E_{p-3} \equiv 0(\text{mod } p)$ is expected to be

\begin{equation}
\sum_{x \leq p \leq y} \frac{1}{p} \approx \log \log y - \log \log x.
\end{equation}

If this is the case, we would only expect to find about $0.998529(\approx 1)$, such primes in the interval $[10^7, 10^{10}]$. Also, since $9999991$ is the greatest prime less than $10^7$ and
is actually the 664589th prime, by the above estimate, we find that in the interval \([2, 10^7]\) we can expect about \(\sum_{2 \leq p \leq 10^7} \frac{1}{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{664589} \frac{1}{p_k} \approx 3.04145\) primes \(p\) such that \(E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\) (\(p_k\) is a \(k\)th prime); as noticed previously, our computation shows that these primes are 149, 241 and 2946901. The second column of Table 1 shows that there are 61 primes between \(10^5\) and \(5 \times 10^6\) for which \(|A| \leq 100\). Since the “probability” that \(|A| \leq 100\) for a prime \(p \gg 200\) is equal to \(\frac{201}{p}\), it follows that the expected number of such primes between the \(M\)th prime \(p_M\) and the \(N\)th prime \(p_N\) with \(N > M \gg 1000\) (that is, \(p_N > p_M \gg 1000\)) is equal to

\[
Q(N, M, 100) = 201 \sum_{p_M < p < p_N} \frac{1}{p},
\]

where the summation ranges over all primes \(p\) such that \(p_M < p < p_N\). In particular, for the values \(M = 9593\) and \(N = 348513\) which correspond to the interval \([10^5, 5 \times 10^6]\) containing all primes from Table 1, we have

\[
Q(348513, 9593, 100) = 201 \sum_{10^5 < p < 5 \times 10^6} \frac{1}{p} \approx 201 \cdot 0.292251 = 58.742451.
\]

Further, Table 1 shows that there are 61 primes between \(10^5\) and \(5 \times 10^6\) for which \(|A| \leq 100\), which is \(\approx 3.8431\%\) greater than the related “expected number” 58.742451.

Because our program recorded all \(p\) with “small \(|A|\)”, that is, with \(|A| \leq 100\), we compiled a large data set which can be used to give a more rigorous (experimental) confirmation of both our Conjectures 1 and 2. Indeed, our program recorded 568 primes \(p\) in the interval \([10^5, 5 \times 10^6]\) for which \(|A| \leq 1000\). Exploiting formula (9), it follows that the expected number of such primes is equal to

\[
Q(348513, 9593, 1000) = 2001 \sum_{10^5 < p < 5 \times 10^6} \frac{1}{p} \approx 2001 \cdot 0.292251 = 584.794251,
\]

which is \(\approx 2.956\%\) greater than 568.

Instead of selecting values based on \(|A| \leq 100\), we suggest selecting them based on \(A/p < q \times 10^{-4}\) (e.g., \(q = 1\)) that would be consistent with the original selection criterion. In particular, in the third column of Table 1 there are 72 primes \(p\) contained in the interval \([10^5, 5 \times 10^6]\) with related values \(10^4 \times A/p < 1\).

Furthermore, since the “probability” that \(|A/p| \leq 10^{-4}\) for a prime \(p \gg 10000\) is equal to

\[
\frac{2 \left(\frac{p}{10000}\right) + 1}{p} \approx \frac{2}{10000},
\]

it follows that the expected number of such primes between the \(M\)th prime \(p_M\) and the \(N\)th prime \(p_N\) with \(N > M \gg 1000\) (that is, \(p_N > p_M \gg 10000\)) is equal to

\[
P(N, M) = \frac{2(N - M)}{10000}.
\]

In particular, for the values \(M = 9593\) and \(N = 348513\) which correspond to the range \([10^5, 5 \times 10^6]\) of all primes from Table 1, we have

\[
P(348513, 9593) = \frac{677840}{10000} = 67.7840,
\]

which is \(\approx 5.855\%\) less than 72.
Table 1. Primes $p$ with $10^5 < p < 5 \times 10^6$ for which $E_{p-3} \equiv A \pmod{p}$ with $|A| \leq 100$ and/or with related values $|A/p| \leq 10^{-4}$ (given in multiples of $10^{-4}$).

| $p$    | $A$  | $|A/p|$ | $p$    | $A$  | $|A/p|$ |
|--------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|
| 105829 | -74  | > 1    | 1355269| -60  | 0.442717|
| 111733 | 45   | > 1    | 1392323| -29  | 0.208285|
| 127487 | 38   | > 1    | 1462421| -78  | 0.533362|
| 130489 | -27  | > 1    | 1546967| -43  | 0.277963|
| 131617 | 9    | 0.683802| 1743271| 107 (> 100) | 0.613789|
| 162847 | -85  | > 1    | 1794049| -131 (< -100) | 0.730192|
| 165157 | -46  | > 1    | 1808497| -121 (< -100) | 0.669109|
| 171091 | -17  | 0.993623| 1952131| -153 (< -100) | 0.783759|
| 171449 | 7    | 0.408285| 1986539| -157 (< -100) | 0.795319|
| 191237 | 37   | > 1    | 2053873| 18   | 0.087639|
| 192961 | 63   | > 1    | 2114251| 211 (> 100) | 0.997989|
| 200461 | 7    | 0.349195| 2236349| 4    | 0.017886|
| 209393 | 27   | > 1    | 2342381| 143 (> 100) | 0.610490|
| 245471 | 39   | > 1    | 2410627| -219 (< -100) | 0.908477|
| 246899 | -54  | > 1    | 2472731| 230 (> 100) | 0.930146|
| 276371 | -69  | > 1    | 2583011| 159 (> 100) | 0.651561|
| 290347 | 10   | 0.344415| 2619847| 224 (> 100) | 0.855011|
| 292133 | 53   | > 1    | 2740421| 225  | 0.821042|
| 306739 | -42  | > 1    | 2890127| -34  | 0.117642|
| 317263 | -35  | > 1    | 2946901| 0    | 0      |
| 321509 | 84   | > 1    | 3279833| -111 (< -100) | 0.338432|
| 342569 | 25   | 0.729780| 3290689| 200 (> 100) | 0.607775|
| 422789 | -40  | 0.946098| 3312653| 228 (> 100) | 0.688270|
| 429397 | -62  | > 1    | 3340277| 226 (> 100) | 0.676591|
| 440047 | 82   | > 1    | 3355813| 116 (> 100) | 0.345669|
| 479561 | 31   | 0.646425| 3652613| -290 (< -100) | 0.793952|
| 501317 | 60   | > 1    | 3818131| -318 (< -100) | 0.832868|
| 546631 | 92   | > 1    | 3852677| 75   | 0.194670|
| 628301 | 73   | > 1    | 3960377| -48  | 0.121201|
| 636137 | 25   | 0.392997| 4007747| 190 (> 100) | 0.470482|
| 656147 | -68  | > 1    | 4121503| -270 (< -100) | 0.655101|
| 659171 | -22  | 0.333753| 4171229| 153 (> 100) | 0.366798|
| 687403 | -4   | 0.058190| 4343659| -252 (< -100) | 0.580156|
| 717667 | -42  | 0.585230| 4392007| 55   | 0.125227|
| 719947 | 53   | 0.736165| 4418497| 70   | 0.158425|
| 766261 | -8   | 0.104403| 4475707| 193 (> 100) | 0.431217|
| 801709 | 53   | 0.661088| 4541501| 120 (> 100) | 0.264230|
| 920921 | -82  | 0.890413| 4551973| -362 (< -100) | 0.795260|
| 924727 | -8   | 0.086512| 4564939| -63  | 0.138008|
| 1064477| 106 (> 100) | 0.995794| 4631399| 367 (> 100) | 0.792417|
| 1080091| 42   | 0.388856| 4674347| 302 (> 100) | 0.646080|
| 1159339| -38  | 0.327773| 4706047| 220 (> 100) | 0.467484|
| 1202843| 21   | 0.174586| 4751599| -279 (< -100) | 0.587171|
| 1228691| 15   | 0.122081| 4761677| 200 (> 100) | 0.420020|
| 1285301| 47   | 0.365673| 4869517| -100 | 0.205359|
| 1336469| -5   | 0.037412| 4898099| -236 (< -100) | 0.481820|
| 1353281| 78   | 0.576377| 4928503| -173 (< -100) | 0.351019|

Note: The table entries are given in multiples of $10^{-4}$.
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Expected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([10^5, 10^6])</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>36.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([10^6, 5 \times 10^6])</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the previous considerations and the well-known fact that the series

\[
\sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p}
\]

diverges suggest the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** There are infinitely many primes \(p\) such that \(E_{p-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\).

Since

\[
\sum_{x \leq p \leq y} \frac{1}{p} \approx \log \log x - \log \log y,
\]

in view of the previous comparison of our computational results with the expected number of primes \(p \in [10^5, 5 \times 10^6]\) for which \(|A(p)| \leq 100\) given by (9) (or primes \(p \in [10^5, 5 \times 10^6]\) for which \(|A(p)| \leq 1000\) given by (10)), we can assume that the expected number of primes \(p\) in an interval \([x, y]\) such that \(K \leq |A(p)| \leq L\) is asymptotically equal to (cf. (7))

\[
2(L - K) \cdot (\log \log b - \log \log a).
\]

Using a larger data set which our program recorded, consisting of total 568 pairs \((p, A(p))\) such that \(p \in [10^5, 5 \times 10^6]\) and \(|A(p)| \leq 1000\), we obtain experimental results presented in Table 2. In Table 2 the values in “column \(k\)” and in the first and second row reflect the number of \(p \in [10^5, 10^6]\) and \(p \in [10^6, 5 \times 10^6]\), respectively, such that \(A = A(p) \in [k \times 100, (k+1) \times 100]\) \((k = 0, 1, \ldots, 9)\). Expected numbers given in the last column of Table 2 are calculated by formula (11). Table 2 presents a small snapshot of our experimental results. Notice that by the data of the last row, the relative error between the conjectured and experimental values for \(k = 0, 1, \ldots, 9\) are respectively equal to 0.18%, 4.18%, 15.23%, 10.20%, 0.18%, 0.18%, 4.95%, 8.17%, 4.95%, and 10.20%. Accordingly, we propose the following conjecture (cf. the same conjecture in [4, Conjecture 6.1] concerning the Wieferich primes; see also [3, Section 3]).

**Conjecture 2.** The number of primes \(p \in [a, b]\) such that \(|A| = |A(p)| \in [K, L]\) is asymptotically

\[
2(L - K) \cdot (\log \log b - \log \log a).
\]

**Remarks.** Recall that a prime \(p\) is said to be a Wolstenholme prime if it satisfies the congruence

\[
\left(\frac{2p-1}{p-1}\right) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^4},
\]

or equivalently (cf. [11, Corollary on page 386]; also see [14]) that \(p\) divides the numerator of \(B_{p-3}\). The only two known such primes are 16843 and 2124679, and by a result of R.J. McIntosh and E.L. Roettger from [12, pp. 2092–2093], these primes are the only two Wolstenholme primes less than \(10^9\). Nevertheless, using
similar arguments to those given in Section 3 of this paper, McIntosh [11 page 387] conjectured that there are infinitely many Wolstenholme primes. This conjecture is based on a heuristic argument that the “probability” that $p$ is a Wolstenholme prime is about $1/p$.
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