SMALL-SPAN HERMITIAN MATRICES OVER QUADRATIC INTEGER RINGS

GARY GREAVES

Abstract. A totally-real polynomial in \( \mathbb{Z}[x] \) with zeros \( \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_d \) has span \( \alpha_d - \alpha_1 \). Building on the classification of all characteristic polynomials of integer symmetric matrices having small span (span less than 4), we obtain a classification of small-span polynomials that are the characteristic polynomial of a Hermitian matrix over some quadratic integer ring. Taking quadratic integer rings as our base, we obtain as characteristic polynomials some low-degree small-span polynomials that are not the characteristic (or minimal) polynomial of any integer symmetric matrix.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

1.1. Introduction. Let \( f \) be a totally-real monic polynomial of degree \( d \) having integer coefficients with zeros \( \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_d \). The span of \( f \) is defined to be \( \alpha_d - \alpha_1 \). In this article, for Hermitian matrices \( A \) over quadratic integer rings, we are interested in the characteristic polynomials \( \chi_A(x) := \det(xI - A) \) and the minimal polynomials \( p_A(x) \). We build on the work of McKee [17] who classified all integer symmetric matrices whose characteristic polynomials have span less than 4. Following McKee, we call a totally-real monic integer polynomial small-span if its span is less than 4 and a Hermitian matrix is called small-span if its characteristic polynomial is small-span.

A set consisting of an algebraic integer and all of its (Galois) conjugates is called a conjugate set. Given a real interval \( I \) of length \( l \), it is an interesting question as to how many conjugate sets are contained in \( I \). For \( l > 4 \) it is known that \( I \) contains infinitely many conjugate sets [19]. For \( l = 4 \), with \( I = [a,a+4] \), for some \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \), there are infinitely many conjugate sets contained in \( I \) [16]; these conjugate sets correspond to cosine polynomials and their translates (see Section 4). For \( a \notin \mathbb{Z} \) the question is still open. On the other hand, if \( l < 4 \), then there are only finitely many conjugate sets contained in \( I \) [21]. Therefore, up to equivalence (described below), there are only finitely many irreducible small-span polynomials of any given degree. A list of small-span polynomials up to degree 8 was produced by Robinson [20], (this list has been recently extended up to degree 14 [2] and even more recently up to degree 15 [8]).

Let \( K \) be a quadratic extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \) and let \( \mathcal{O}_K \) be its ring of integers. We classify all small-span Hermitian matrices over \( \mathcal{O}_K \) for all quadratic number fields \( K \). In doing so, we obtain, as characteristic polynomials of symmetric \( \mathcal{O}_K \)-matrices,
small-span polynomials that are not the minimal polynomial of any Hermitian \(Z\)-matrix. But, as we shall see, there still remain some that are not even minimal polynomials of any Hermitian \(O_K\)-matrix.

Let \(R \subset \mathbb{C}\) be a (unitary) ring and let \(A\) be a Hermitian matrix over \(R\). Both \(p_A(x)\) and \(\chi_A(x)\) are monic polynomials in \(R[x]\). Since \(A\) is Hermitian, \(p_A(x)\) is separable and both \(p_A(x)\) and \(\chi_A(x)\) are totally real. Let \(\mathcal{T}\) be the set of all totally-real separable monic integer polynomials and let \(H(R)\) be the set of all Hermitian matrices over \(R\). Define
\[
\mathcal{S}(R) := \{f(x) \in \mathcal{T} \mid p_M(x) = f(x), \exists M \in H(R)\}
\]
and
\[
\mathfrak{d}(R) := \min \{\deg f(x) \mid f(x) \in \mathcal{T}\setminus\mathcal{S}(R)\}.
\]

Of course, \(\mathfrak{d}(R)\) may not exist for some rings \(R\). For example, when \(R = \mathbb{R}\) we write \(\mathfrak{d}(R) = \infty\). Clearly, for \(R_1 \subset R_2 \subset \mathbb{C}\), we have \(\mathfrak{d}(R_1) \leq \mathfrak{d}(R_2)\).

Estes and Guralnick [6] were interested in the set \(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})\). They proved that \(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})\) contains all degree-\(k\) totally-real separable monic integer polynomials for \(k \leq 4\), giving \(\mathfrak{d}(\mathbb{Z}) > 4\). In fact, what they proved is even stronger: they showed that for any monic separable totally-real integer polynomial \(f\) of degree \(n\) at most 4, there exists a \(2n \times 2n\) integer symmetric matrix having \(f\) as its minimal polynomial.

It is well established [5] that, for every totally-real algebraic integer \(\alpha\), there exists an integer symmetric matrix \(A\) having \(\alpha\) as an eigenvalue, and hence the minimal polynomial of \(\alpha\) divides \(p_A\). Hoffman [13,14] showed that this result implied the same result for the adjacency matrices of undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. In their paper concerned with the set \(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z})\), Estes and Guralnick [6, page 84] made the conjecture that any monic separable totally-real integer polynomial is the minimal polynomial of some integer symmetric matrix. This conjecture was shown to be false by Dobrowolski [4] who showed that if a degree \(n\) polynomial \(f\) is the minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric matrix, then the absolute value of its discriminant is at least \(n^n\). The falsity of the conjecture was deduced by producing an infinite family of totally-real monic separable integer polynomials violating this bound. The lowest degree of any of these polynomials is 2880, giving \(5 \leq \mathfrak{d}(\mathbb{Z}) \leq 2880\). It was shown in [17] that there exist polynomials of low degree that are not the minimal polynomial of any integer symmetric matrix, the lowest degree being 6. Therefore, \(\mathfrak{d}(\mathbb{Z}) \in \{5,6\}\). This is a consequence of the classification of small-span \(Z\)-matrices; on Robinson’s list [20] of small-span polynomials, there are three polynomials of degree 6 that are not the minimal polynomials of any small-span integer symmetric matrix and hence of any integer symmetric matrix. It is still unknown whether \(\mathfrak{d}(\mathbb{Z}) = 5\) or 6.

In this paper we characterise every small-span Hermitian matrix over a quadratic integer ring. In Section 2 we describe our computations and the classification is proved in Section 3. In Section 4 using a quadratic integer ring as our base, we find as characteristic polynomials some degree-6 small-span polynomials that are not the characteristic (or minimal) polynomial of any integer symmetric matrix. We also exhibit a degree-6 polynomial that is not the characteristic or minimal polynomial of any Hermitian matrix over any quadratic integer ring. Hence we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \(K\) be a quadratic number field. Then \(\mathfrak{d}(O_K) \in \{5,6\}\).
1.2. **Equivalence and graphical interpretation.** Let $S$ be a subset of $\mathbb{C}$. We find it convenient to view Hermitian $S$-matrices as the adjacency matrices of weighted directed graphs $G$ with edge-weights $w$. So we define these as the pair $(G, w)$ where $w$ maps pairs of (not necessarily distinct) vertices of $G$ to $S$ and satisfies $w(u, v) = \overline{w(v, u)}$. The adjacency matrix of $(G, w)$ is given by $A = (w(u, v)).$ We call $G = (G, w)$ an $S$-graph. This way of viewing Hermitian matrices has been used before in \cite{10,11,17,18,22}. By a subgraph $H$ of $G$ we mean an induced subgraph: a subgraph obtained by deleting vertices and their incident edges. Taking subgraphs corresponds to taking principal submatrices of the adjacency matrix. We also say that $G$ contains $H$ and that $G$ is a supergraph of $H$. The notions of a cycle, path, triangle, etc., carry through in an obvious way from those of an undirected unweighted graph. We call a vertex $v$ charged with charge $w(v, v)$ if $w(v, v) \neq 0$, otherwise $v$ is called uncharged. A graph is called charged if it contains at least one charged vertex, and uncharged otherwise. We will interchangeably speak of both graphs and their adjacency matrices.

Now we describe equivalence. We write $M_n(R)$ for the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over a ring $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Let $U_n(R)$ denote the unitary group of matrices $Q$ in $M_n(R)$. These satisfy $QQ^* = Q^*Q = I$, where $Q^*$ denotes the Hermitian transpose of $Q$. Conjugation of a matrix $M \in M_n(R)$ by a matrix in $U_n(R)$ preserves the eigenvalues of $M$ and the base ring $R$. Now, $U_n(R)$ is generated by permutation matrices and diagonal matrices of the form

$$\text{diag}(1, \ldots, 1, u, 1, \ldots, 1),$$

where $u$ is a unit in $R$. Let $D$ be such a diagonal matrix having $u$ in the $j$-th position. Conjugation by $D$ is called a $u$-switching at vertex $j$. This has the effect of multiplying all the out-neighbour edge-weights $w(j, l)$ of $j$ by $u$ and all the in-neighbour edge-weights $w(l, j)$ of $j$ by $\overline{u}$. The effect of conjugation by permutation matrices is just a relabeling of the vertices of the corresponding graph. Let $L$ be the Galois closure of the field generated by the elements of $R$ over $Q$. Let $A$ and $B$ be two matrices in $M_n(R)$. We say that $A$ is strongly equivalent to $B$ if $A = \sigma(QBQ^*)$ for some $Q \in U_n(R)$ and some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/Q)$, where $\sigma$ is applied componentwise to $Q$ and $B$. Let $f$ and $g$ be totally-real monic integer polynomials of degree $d$ having zeros $\alpha_j$ and $\beta_j$ respectively. We consider $f$ and $g$ to be equivalent if for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ each $\alpha_j = \varepsilon \beta_j + c$. It is clear that the span is preserved under this equivalence. If a totally-real monic integer polynomial has span less than 4, then it is equivalent to a monic integer polynomial whose zeros are all contained inside the interval $[-2, 2.5)$. By setting $\varepsilon = 1$ and $c = \lfloor \alpha_d \rfloor - 2$, one can see that each small-span polynomial is equivalent to a monic integer polynomial whose zeros are contained inside the interval $[-2, 3)$. Moreover, suppose that $f$ is a small-span polynomial with $2.5 \leq \alpha_d < 3$. Setting $\varepsilon = -1$ and $c = 1$, one can see that, in fact, $f$ and hence each small-span polynomial, is equivalent to a monic integer polynomial whose zeros are contained inside the interval $[-2, 2.5)$. The matrices $A$ and $B$ are called equivalent if $A$ is strongly equivalent to $\pm B + cf$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that two small-span $Q_k$-matrices are equivalent precisely when their characteristic polynomials are equivalent. The notions of equivalence and strong equivalence carry through to graphs in the natural way and, since all possible labelings of a graph are strongly equivalent, we do not need to label the vertices.
When we say “G is a graph”, we mean that \( G \) is some \( S \)-graph where \( S \) is some subset of \( \mathbb{C} \) and for our notion of equivalence, we take \( R \) to be the ring generated by the elements of \( S \).

2. Computation of small-span matrices up to size 8 × 8

In this section we describe our computations and deduce some restrictions to make the computations feasible.

2.1. Interlacing and row restrictions. A Hermitian matrix \( A \) is called decomposable if there exists a permutation matrix \( P \) such that \( PAP^\top \) is a block diagonal matrix of more than one block; otherwise \( A \) is called indecomposable. In the classification of small-span Hermitian matrices, it suffices to consider only indecomposable matrices since the set of eigenvalues of a decomposable matrix is just the collection of the eigenvalues of each of its blocks.

The following theorem of Cauchy [3,7,15] plays a central role in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1** (Interlacing Theorem). Let \( A \) be an \( n \times n \) Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n \). Let \( B \) be an \((n-1) \times (n-1)\) principal submatrix of \( A \) with eigenvalues \( \mu_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{n-1} \). Then the eigenvalues of \( A \) and \( B \) interlace. Namely,

\[
\lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{n-1} \leq \lambda_n.
\]

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \( A \) be an \( n \times n \) Hermitian matrix with \( n \geq 2 \), and let \( B \) be an \((n-1) \times (n-1)\) principal submatrix. Then the span of \( A \) is at least as large as the span of \( B \). Moreover, if \( A \) has all its eigenvalues in the interval \([-2, 2.5)\), then so does \( B \).

In view of this corollary, given a matrix that contains a matrix that it not equivalent to a small-span matrix having all of its eigenvalues in the interval \([-2, 2.5)\), we can instantly disregard it since it is not a small-span matrix. In fact, by Corollary 2.2 we can iteratively compute small-span matrices as described below.

Using interlacing (Lemma 2.1) we can rapidly restrict the possible entries for the matrices that are of interest to us. This is just a trivial modification of a lemma [17] Lemma 4] used in the classification of small-span integer symmetric matrices.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( A \) be a small-span Hermitian matrix. Then all entries of \( A \) have absolute value less than 2.5, and all off-diagonal entries have absolute value less than 2.

**Proof.** Let \( a \) be a diagonal entry in \( A \). Then since \((a)\) has \( a \) as an eigenvalue, interlacing shows that \( A \) has an eigenvalue with modulus at least \(|a|\). Our restriction on the eigenvalues of \( A \) shows that \(|a| < 2.5\).

Let \( b \) be an off-diagonal entry of \( A \). Then deleting the other rows and columns gives a submatrix of the shape

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
a & b \\
b & c
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

By repeated use of Corollary 2.2 this submatrix must have span less than 4, giving

\[
\sqrt{(a-c)^2 + 4|b|^2} < 4.\]

This implies \(|b| < 2.\) \( \square \)
2.2. **Small-span matrices over quadratic integer rings.** In order to obtain our results, we use a certain amount of computation. By Corollary [2.2] if $A$ is a small-span matrix, then so is any principal submatrix of $A$. Hence, to compute small-span matrices we can start by creating a list of all $1 \times 1$ small-span matrices, then for each matrix in the list we consider all of its supergraphs and add to our list any that are small-span. The list is then pruned with the goal of having at most one representative for each equivalence class. Since there is no canonical form in our equivalence class, we can only prune our list to some limited extent. We can repeat this growing process until all small-span matrices of the desired size are obtained. The algorithm we use is essentially the same as the one described in [17], with modifications to deal with irrational elements. Up to equivalence, for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, we compute all small-span $O_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$-matrices up to size $8 \times 8$, and in doing so, we also compute Hermitian matrices whose eigenvalues satisfy the small-span condition but whose characteristic polynomials do not have integer coefficients. As it turns out, these do not cause a problem: we will see that for $n > 6$, an $n \times n$ matrix whose eigenvalues satisfy the small-span condition also has an integer characteristic polynomial.

To make our computation more efficient, we can bound the number of nonzero entries in a row of a small-span matrix. The amount of computation required to prove this result varies according to the ring over which we are working. The ring $O_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})} = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ (where we take $\omega = 1/2 + \sqrt{-3}/2$) requires the most work.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $A$ be a small-span Hermitian $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-matrix with all eigenvalues in the interval $[-2, 2.5)$. Then each row of $A$ has at most 4 nonzero entries.

**Proof.** First, we compute a list of all small-span $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-graphs up to degree 6. This is done by exhaustively growing from $1 \times 1$ small-span matrices $(a)$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is a real element whose absolute value is less than 2.5. We find by inspection that there are no small-span $6 \times 6 \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-matrices that have a row with more than 4 nonzero entries. Now suppose that there exists a small-span $n \times n \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-matrix with $n \geq 7$ and a row having more than 4 nonzero entries. We can take a $6 \times 6$ principal submatrix $B$ that has a row with 5 nonzero entries. By Corollary [2.2] $B$ is small-span, but $B$ is not on our computed list. Therefore, our supposition must be false. □

It suffices to grow up to size $5 \times 5$ for small-span $R$-matrices where $R \neq \mathbb{Z}[i], \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is a quadratic integer ring.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $R \neq \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ be a quadratic integer ring and let $A$ be a small-span Hermitian $R$-matrix with all eigenvalues in the interval $[-2, 2.5)$. Suppose that $A$ is not equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}$-matrix. Then each row of $A$ has at most 3 nonzero entries.

**Proof.** The same as the proof of Lemma [2.4] where each quadratic integer ring $R \neq \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is considered separately and the list of $R$-matrices only goes up to size $5 \times 5$. Growing from each $2 \times 2$ small-span $R$-matrix that contains an irrational entry ensures that the resulting matrices are not equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}$-matrices.

For the Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, after growing up to matrices of size $5 \times 5$, one can continue growing from the set of matrices that have a row with 4 nonzero entries. This computation terminates on matrices of size $12 \times 12$ and one observes that all the resulting small-span $\mathbb{Z}[i]$-matrices are equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}$-matrices. □
We can restrict our consideration to $d$ in the set $\{-11, -7, -3, -2, -1, 2, 3, 5, 6\}$. For other $d$, there are no irrational elements of $O_{\sqrt{d}}$ having (up to Galois conjugation) absolute value small enough to satisfy Lemma 2.3. Hence, for the $d$ not in this set, all small-span $O_{\sqrt{d}}$-matrices are $Z$-matrices, which have already been classified.

Now, the $1 \times 1$ small-span matrices have the form $(a)$ where $a \in O_{\sqrt{d}}$ is real and $|a| < 2.5$. Moreover, for $d = 6$ and $n \geq 2$, all $n \times n$ small-span matrices are $Z$-matrices. Therefore, for $n \times n$ small-span $O_{\sqrt{d}}$-matrices, where $n \geq 2$, we can restrict further to $d$ in the set $\{-11, -7, -3, -2, -1, 2, 3, 5\}$. An indecomposable small-span matrix is called **maximal** if it is not equivalent to any proper submatrix of any indecomposable small-span matrix. We have computed all $n \times n$ maximal indecomposable small-span $O_{\sqrt{d}}$-matrices (for $2 \leq n \leq 8$) that are not equivalent to any $Z$-matrix, and their numbers are tabulated in Table 1. See the author’s thesis [9] for a list of the actual matrices.

### Table 1. For each $d$ the number of maximal $n \times n$ small-span $O_{\sqrt{d}}$-matrices that are not equivalent to a $Z$-matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$-11$</th>
<th>$-7$</th>
<th>$-3$</th>
<th>$-2$</th>
<th>$-1$</th>
<th>$2$</th>
<th>$3$</th>
<th>$5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observe from Table 1 that for $d \in \{-11, 3, 5\}$, each small-span $O_{\sqrt{d}}$-matrix having more than 5 rows is equivalent to a $Z$-matrix. We summarise other useful implications from our computations in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $G$ be small-span $O_{\sqrt{d}}$-graph on more than 6 vertices. Then $G$ has the following properties:

1. Each edge-weight of $G$ has absolute value less than 2;
2. Each charge of $G$ has absolute value at most 1;
3. No charged vertex is incident to an edge with edge-weight having absolute value more than 1;
4. $G$ contains no triangles with fewer than 2 charged vertices;
5. If $G$ contains a triangle $T$ having exactly 2 charged vertices, then $T$ is equivalent to the triangle

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bullet & \circ & \circ \\
\bullet & \circ & \circ \\
\end{array}
\]

*(See the following section for our graph drawing conventions.)*
3. Maximal small-span infinite families

Let $K$ be a quadratic number field. We define a template $\mathcal{T}$ to be a $\mathbb{C}$-graph that is not equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}$-graph and whose edge-weights are all determined except for some irrational edge-weights $\pm \alpha$ where $\alpha$ is determined only up to its absolute square $a = \alpha \overline{\alpha}$. The pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{O}_K)$ is the set of all $\mathcal{O}_K$-graphs where $\alpha$ is substituted by some element $\rho \in \mathcal{O}_K$ where $\rho \overline{\rho} = a$. We say an $\mathcal{O}_K$-graph $G$ has template $\mathcal{T}$ if $G$ is equivalent to some graph in $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{O}_K)$. In a template, an edge of weight 1 is drawn as $\bullet$ and for weight $-1$ we draw $\circ$. Let $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ denote irrational complex numbers with $|\alpha_1|^2 = 1$ and $|\alpha_2|^2 = 2$ respectively. We draw edges of weight $\alpha_1$ as $\longrightarrow$ and $-\alpha_1$ as $\longrightarrow$. Similarly, we draw edges of weight $\pm \alpha_2$ as $\longrightarrow$ and $\longrightarrow$. For our purposes, we will not need to draw any other types of edges. A vertex with charge 1 is drawn as $\bigcirc$ and a vertex with charge $-1$ is drawn as $\odot$. And if a vertex is uncharged, we simply draw $\bullet$. A template only makes sense as a graph over rings that have irrational elements having the required absolute squares. Using templates, we can simultaneously study small-span graphs over various quadratic integer rings.

In this section we study the two classes of infinite families of small-span matrices over quadratic integer rings. Namely, these are the infinite families of templates $\mathcal{P}_n$ and $\mathcal{Q}_n$, as drawn below. (The subscript corresponds to the number of vertices.)

\[
\mathcal{P}_n(n \geq 3)
\]

\[
\mathcal{Q}_n(n \geq 3)
\]

Since small-span $\mathbb{Z}$-matrices have been classified, we can assume that $d$ is in the set $\{-11, -7, -3, -2, -1, 2, 3, 5, 6\}$. We can restrict $d$ further. In the previous section we observed, from the computations, that on more than 5 rows there are no small-span $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$-matrices when $d = -11, 3, 5$. Hence, we need only consider $d$ from the set $\{-7, -3, -2, -1, 2\}$.

Our classification of small-span $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$-matrices uses the classification of cyclotomic $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$-matrices. Cyclotomic $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$-graphs have been classified for all $d$, with each classification being over a different set of rings $[10][11][22]$.

In these classifications, the maximal cyclotomic graphs belonging to an infinite family that are not $\mathbb{Z}$-graphs have one of three templates given in Figures $1$ and $3$. We call a template $\mathcal{T}$ cyclotomic (resp. small-span), if all the elements of $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})})$ are cyclotomic (resp. have small-span) for all $d$ that make sense with $\mathcal{T}$. (E.g., the family of templates $\mathcal{T}_{2k}$ in Figure $1$ only make sense with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ when $d = -1$ or $d = -3$.) More generally, we say a template $\mathcal{T}$ has property $\mathfrak{P}$ if all $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$-graphs in $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})})$ have property $\mathfrak{P}$ for all appropriate $d$. Warning: two graphs that have the same template do not necessarily have the same eigenvalues.

In Figures $1$ and $3$, we have three infinite families of cyclotomic templates $\mathcal{T}_{2k}$, $\mathcal{C}_{2k}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{2k+1}$. The sets $(\mathcal{T}_{2k}, R)$, $(\mathcal{C}_{2k}, S)$, and $(\mathcal{C}_{2k+1}, S)$ are sets of maximal cyclotomic graphs where $R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ for $d = -1$ or $d = -3$, and $S = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ for $d \in \{-7, -2, -1, 2\}$. 

Figure 1. The infinite family \( T_{2k} \) of \( 2k \)-vertex maximal connected cyclotomic templates. (The two copies of vertices \( A \) and \( B \) should each be identified to give a toral tessellation.)

Figure 2. The infinite family of \( 2k \)-vertex maximal connected cyclotomic templates \( C_{2k} \) for \( k \geq 2 \).

Figure 3. The infinite family of \( (2k + 1) \)-vertex maximal connected cyclotomic templates \( C_{2k+1} \) for \( k \geq 1 \).

All of the eigenvalues of the maximal connected cyclotomic graphs in the sets \((T_{2k}, R), (C_{2k}, S), \) and \((C_{2k+1}, S)\), are equal to \( \pm 2 \) with at least one pair of eigenvalues having opposite signs. Hence each of these graphs has span equal to 4. We want to find small-span graphs contained inside these maximal connected cyclotomic templates. We look for subgraphs that have span equal to 4. This way, we can recognise that a graph is not small-span if it contains one of these as a subgraph.

Since its spectrum is \( \{-2^{(1)}, 0^{(2)}, 2^{(1)}\} \), we have that the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graph \( X_4 \) in Figure 4 has span equal to 4.

Figure 4. The \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graph \( X_4 \).

In Figure 5 we list 4 infinite families of cyclotomic subtemplates having span equal to 4.

We can show that the subtemplates \( X_n^{(1)}, X_n^{(2)}, X_n^{(3)}, \) and \( X_{k,t}^{(4)} \) in Figure 5 have span equal to 4 by simply giving the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues \( \pm 2 \). The numbers beneath the vertices of \( X_n^{(1)} \) and \( X_n^{(3)} \) in Figure 5 correspond to entries of an eigenvector having associated eigenvalue 2 and since these two
templates are bipartite, they also have as an eigenvalue $-2$. The entries of the eigenvectors of $X_n^{(2)}$ are represented by the $e_j$; the eigenvector associated to 2 has $e_1 = 1$, $e_2 = -1$, and $e_j = 0$ for $j \in \{3, \ldots, n\}$. For the eigenvalue $-2$ we have $e_1 = e_2 = 1$, $e_j = (-1)^j \cdot 2$ for $j \in \{3, \ldots, n-1\}$, and $e_n = (-1)^n \cdot \alpha_1$. For the graph $X_{s,t}^{(4)}$, we are either working over the Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ or the Eisenstein integers $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. For the eigenvalue $-2$: For $j \in \{1, \ldots, s-1\}$, set

$$l_j = (-1)^{s-j}(1 + \alpha_1), \quad r_j = (-1)^{t-j}(1 + \alpha_1), \quad \text{and} \quad l_s = r_t = 1 + \alpha_1.$$ 

If $s + t$ is even, set

$$l_0 = (-1)^s \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_1}{2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad r_0 = (-1)^s \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_1}{2}.$$ 

Otherwise, set

$$l_0 = (-1)^s \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad r_0 = (-1)^s \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_1}{2} \right).$$
2: Set

\[ l_1 = \cdots = l_{s-1} = 1 + \alpha_1, \quad r_1 = \cdots = r_t = l_s = 1 + \alpha_1 \]

and

\[ l_0 = 1 + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad r_0 = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_1}{2}. \]

In the next two lemmas we show that any small-span subtemplate of \( C_{2k}, C_{2k+1}, \) or \( T_{2k} \) is a subtemplate of either \( P_n \) or \( Q_n \).

**Lemma 3.1.** The template \( P_n \) is small-span for all \( n \geq 3 \). Any connected small-span subtemplate of either \( C_{2k} \) or \( C_{2k+1} \) is contained in \( P_n \) for some \( n \).

Recall that a template must have at least one irrational edge-weight.

**Proof.** The template \( P_n \) is a subtemplate of the cyclotomic template \( C_{2n-1} \) and so, by interlacing, the eigenvalues of \( P_n \) lie in the interval \([-2, 2]\). Let \( A \) be an adjacency matrix of \( P_n \). Clearly, it suffices to show that \( P_n \) does not have \(-2\) as an eigenvalue, i.e., the rows of \( A + 2I \) are linearly independent. Using Gaussian elimination, put \( A + 2I \) into the following upper triangular form:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
3 & 1 \\
5/3 & 1 & 1 \\
7/5 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
2(n-2)+1 & 2(n-1)+1 & 2(n-1) & 2(1 - \frac{2(n-1)}{2(n-1)+1})
\end{pmatrix}
\]

It is then easy to see that the determinant is 4. Therefore, \( A + 2I \) is nonsingular and so \( A \) does not have \(-2\) as an eigenvalue.

By Corollary 2.2 any subgraph of a graph having either \( X^{(1)}_k \), \( X^{(2)}_k \), or \( X^{(3)}_k \) as a template cannot occur as a subgraph of a small-span graph. Similarly, \( X_4 \) cannot be a subgraph of a small-span graph. Hence all connected small-span subtemplates of \( C_{2k} \) and \( C_{2k+1} \) are subtemplates of \( P_n \) for some \( n \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.2.** The template \( Q_n \) is small-span for all \( n \geq 3 \). Any connected small-span subtemplate of \( T_{2k} \) is contained in \( Q_n \) for some \( n \).

**Proof.** As with the previous lemma, since \( Q_n \) is contained in \( T_{2n} \), it suffices to show that \( Q_n \) does not have \(-2\) as an eigenvalue. Let \( A \) be an adjacency matrix of \( Q_n \). Using Gaussian elimination, put \( A + 2I \) into the following upper triangular form:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 & 1 \\
3/2 & 1 & -1/2 \\
4/3 & 1 & 1/3 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{n-1}{n-2} & 1 & \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-2} \\
\frac{n}{n-1} & \alpha_1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{n-1} & 1 + S(n)
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Here
\[ S(n) = \frac{1}{n} \left( 1 - (-1)^n (\alpha_1 + \overline{\alpha_1}) \right) - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k(k-1)}. \]
Hence the determinant of this matrix is
\[ 2 - (-1)^n (\alpha_1 + \overline{\alpha_1}). \]
Since the absolute value of \( \alpha_1 \) is 1 and \( \alpha_1 \) is not an integer, the absolute value of the real part of \( \alpha_1 \) is less than 1, and so the determinant is nonzero for all \( n \). Therefore, \( \mathcal{Q}_n \) is small-span.

By Corollary 2.2, no small-span template can contain \( X_{t,s}^{(4)} \) (see Figure 5) for all \( s,t \geq 2 \). Moreover, any subgraph of \( T_{2k} \) obtained by deleting two vertices that have the same neighbourhood is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graph and hence not a template. With these two restrictions on the subgraphs of \( T_{2k} \), we are done. □

In the next proposition we classify cyclotomic small-span \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} \)-matrices, using the classification of cyclotomic matrices over quadratic integer rings.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( \mathcal{T} \) be a connected cyclotomic small-span template on more than 6 vertices. Then \( \mathcal{T} \) is contained in either \( \mathcal{P}_n \) or \( \mathcal{Q}_n \) for some \( n \).

**Proof.** We can readily check the subgraphs of sporadic cyclotomic graphs of over quadratic integer rings (see the classifications [10,11,22]) that are not equivalent to a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graph, to find that no such subgraph on more than 6 vertices is small-span. The proposition then follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. □

Before completing the classification of small-span \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} \)-matrices, we first state some lemmas.

**Lemma 3.4.** ([17, Lemma 2]). Let \( G \) be a connected graph and let \( u \) and \( v \) be vertices of \( G \) such that the distance from \( u \) to \( v \) is maximal. Then the subgraph induced by removing \( u \) (and its incident edges) is connected.

**Lemma 3.5.** ([17, Theorem 3]). Let \( A \) be a small-span \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graph on more than 12 vertices. Then \( A \) is cyclotomic.

**Lemma 3.6.** ([1, Theorem 2.2]). Let \( G \) be a path whose edge-weights \( \alpha \) satisfy \( |\alpha| = 1 \). Then \( G \) is strongly equivalent to a \( \{0,1\} \)-path.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let \( G \) be an uncharged cycle all of whose edge-weights have absolute value 1. Then \( G \) is strongly equivalent to a graph having \( \mathcal{Q}_n \) as a template for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( G \) has \( n \) vertices. Label the vertices \( v_1, \ldots, v_n \) so that \( v_1 \) is adjacent to \( v_n \) and \( v_j \) is adjacent to \( v_{j+1} \) for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \). We can inductively switch the vertices of \( G \) so that \( w(v_j, v_{j+1}) = 1 \) for all \( j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \), and \( |w(v_1, v_n)| = 1 \). □

We are now ready to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let \( \mathcal{T} \) be a small-span template on more than 8 vertices. Then \( \mathcal{T} \) is cyclotomic.
Proof. Suppose that $T'$ is a counterexample on the minimal number of vertices possible. Then $T'$ is noncyclotomic and has at least 9 vertices. It follows from the minimality of $T'$ that any proper subtemplate of $T'$ must have all its eigenvalues in the interval $[-2, 2]$. But if $T'$ does not have any proper subtemplates, i.e., if all its subgraphs are equivalent to $\mathbb{Z}$-graphs, then $T'$ must be a cycle and its subpaths need not have all their eigenvalues in $[-2, 2]$. Pick vertices $u$ and $v$ as far apart as possible in $T'$. By Lemma 3.4, deleting either $u$ or $v$ leaves a connected subgraph on at least 8 vertices. If the subgraph $G_u$ obtained by deleting $u$ from $T'$ is equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}$-graph, then let $G = G_u$ be the subgraph obtained by deleting $v$; otherwise let $G = G_v$. If $G$ is a template, then by Proposition 3.3 either $G$ is equivalent to $Q_n$ for some $n$ or $G$ is equivalent to a connected subgraph of $P_n$ for some $n$. Otherwise, if $G$ is equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}$-graph, then $T'$ must be a cycle and each irrational edge-weight $\alpha$ must satisfy $|\alpha| = 1$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6 we have that every edge-weight of $T'$ must have absolute value equal to 1. First we deal with the case where $G$ is equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}$-graph.

Case 1. Suppose $G$ is equivalent to a $\mathbb{Z}$-graph. Then, by above, $T'$ is a cycle whose edge-weights all have absolute value 1. Let $C$ be a cycle on $n \geq 9$ vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ such that $v_j$ is adjacent to $v_{j+1}$ with subscripts reduced modulo $n$. Let each vertex $v_j$ of $C$ have charge $c_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and let each edge-weight have absolute value 1. Now, if $C$ is small-span, then each induced subpath of $C$ is small-span. Hence, $C$ is small-span only if each subpath $v_k v_{k+1} \cdots v_{k+n-2}$ is small-span for all $k$ where the subscripts are reduced modulo $n$. Since we are working up to equivalence, by Lemma 3.6 we can assume that all edges in these paths have weight 1. We have computed all small-span $\mathbb{Z}[[y]]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-cycles on up to 13 vertices having all edge-weight of absolute value 1. The ones on more than 8 vertices have $Q_n$ (for some $n$) as a template. By Lemma 3.5 all small-span $\mathbb{Z}$-paths on at least 13 vertices are cyclotomic and hence have charges (if at all) only at each end-vertex. It follows that $C$ is small-span only if $c_j = 0$ for all $j \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$. Therefore, $T'$ must be uncharged. Hence $T'$ is equivalent to $Q_n$ for some $n$ (see Lemma 3.7). This contradicts $T'$ being noncyclotomic. Note that if $C$ had only 8 vertices, then the above argument would not work since the following $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-cycle $C_8$ is small-span:

![Small-span cycle](image)

where the irrational edge-weight is $-\omega$.

Now we have two remaining cases to consider: the case where $G$ is contained in $P_n$ and the case where $G$ is equivalent to $Q_n$ for some $n$. In each case, by Lemma 2.6 we can exclude the possibility that $G$ contains a triangle having fewer than two charged vertices, and similarly, by Lemma 2.6 we need only consider charges from the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Moreover, we can exclude any graph equivalent to $X_5^{(6)}$, $X_5^{(7)}$, $X_5^{(8)}$, or $X_8^{(9)}$ (see Figure 6) as a subgraph of a small-span graph since these graphs have span at least 4.
Case 2. Suppose $G$ is equivalent to a subtemplate of $P_n$ for some $n$. In this case $T'$ cannot be a cycle for, if it were, it would have a subtemplate on more than 6 vertices that is not equivalent to a subgraph of either $P_n$ or $Q_n$, contradicting Proposition 3.3. By Lemma 2.6, a charged vertex cannot be incident to an edge-weight of absolute value greater than 1. Now, $G$ has at most one charged vertex. Hence, if $T'$ contains a triangle, then it can have at most two charged vertices. We deduce, therefore, that $T'$ must be triangle-free, since otherwise, by Lemma 2.6, $T'$ would contain $X_n^{(2)}$ for some $n$. Moreover, since we have excluded $X_5^{(6)}$, $X_5^{(7)}$, and $X_5^{(9)}$, no leaf (a vertex having only one neighbour) can share its adjacent vertex with a charged leaf.

Since it is equivalent to a subgraph of $P_n$, we have that $G$ is a path. Recall that we obtained $G$ by deleting either $u$ or $v$ which are vertices at the maximal distance from one another. Label the vertices of $G$ by $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r$ where $v_j$ is adjacent to $v_{j+1}$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$. Then we can obtain $T'$ from $G$ by attaching a vertex to one of the vertices $v_1, v_2, v_r$, or $v_r$. Thus, in order for $T'$ not to contain a subgraph equivalent to $X_5^{(6)}, X_5^{(7)}, X_5^{(10)}, X_5^{(11)}, X_5^{(12)}, X_5^{(13)}, X_k^{(1)},$ or $X_k^{(3)}$, the template $T'$ must be equivalent to a subtemplate of either $X_k^{(1)}, X_k^{(3)}$, or $P_k$ for some $k$. Since each of these templates is cyclotomic, we have established a contradiction.

Case 3. Suppose $G$ is equivalent to $Q_n$ for some $n$. Since we have excluded graphs equivalent to $X_5^{(6)}$ and $X_8^{(9)}$ as subgraphs, and triangles with fewer than two charged vertices are forbidden, there do not exist any possible small-span supergraphs $T'$ having $G$ as a subgraph. $\square$
4. Missing small-span polynomials

Finally, we turn our attention to the question of which polynomials appear as minimal polynomials of small-span matrices. We define a cosine polynomial to be a monic integer polynomial having all its zeros contained in the interval $[-2, 2]$, and a noncosine polynomial to be a monic totally-real integer polynomial with at least one zero lying outside $[-2, 2]$. McKee [17] found six small-span polynomials of low degree (degree less than 8) that are not the minimal polynomial of any symmetric $\mathbb{Z}$-matrix: three degree-6 cosine polynomials

$$x^6 - x^5 - 6x^4 + 6x^3 + 8x^2 - 8x + 1,$$
$$x^6 - 7x^4 + 14x^2 - 7,$$
$$x^6 - 6x^4 + 9x^2 - 3,$$

and three degree-7 noncosine polynomials

$$x^7 - x^6 - 7x^5 + 5x^4 + 15x^3 - 5x^2 - 10x - 1,$$
$$x^7 - 8x^5 + 19x^3 - 12x - 1,$$
$$x^7 - 2x^6 - 6x^5 + 11x^4 + 11x^3 - 17x^2 - 6x + 7.$$

Suppose a noncosine polynomial of degree more than 8 is the minimal polynomial of a Hermitian $R$-matrix for some quadratic integer ring $R$. Then, by Proposition 3.8, it is the minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric matrix. In fact, by our computations, except for the graph $\mathcal{C}_8$ (mentioned in the proof of Proposition 3.8), the above holds for noncosine polynomials of degree more than 6. We record this observation as a proposition, below. Let $Q(x)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{C}_8$. We have established the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $R$ be a quadratic integer ring and let $f \neq Q(x)$ be a noncosine polynomial of degree more than 6. If $f$ is the minimal polynomial of some Hermitian $R$-matrix, then $f$ is the minimal polynomial of some integer symmetric matrix.

Hence, in particular, the three degree-7 noncosine polynomials are not minimal polynomials of any Hermitian $R$-matrix for any quadratic integer ring $R$.

We do, however, find that two of the degree-6 cosine polynomials above are characteristic polynomials of Hermitian $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-matrices. The graph

where $\alpha_1 = \omega$, has characteristic polynomial $x^6 - 7x^4 + 14x^2 - 7$; and the graphs $\mathcal{Q}_6$ and

where $\alpha_1 = \omega$ in both, have characteristic polynomial $x^6 - 6x^4 + 9x^2 - 3$.

The polynomial $p(x) = x^6 - x^5 - 6x^4 + 6x^3 + 8x^2 - 8x + 1$ remains somewhat elusive as it is not the minimal polynomial of any Hermitian $R$-matrix for any
quadratic integer ring $R$. Suppose that $p(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of some Hermitian $R$-matrix $A$. Then each eigenvalue of $A$ is a zero of $p(x)$ \[12, \S11.6\] and the minimal polynomial $p(x)$ divides the characteristic polynomial $\chi_A(x)$. Since $p(x)$ is irreducible, $\chi_A(x)$ must be some power of $p(x)$. Therefore, we need to check that $p(x)$ is not the minimal polynomial of any $r \times r$ Hermitian $R$-matrix where $6$ divides $r$. Both $P_n$ and $Q_n$ have span larger than the span of $p(x)$ for $n \geq 18$ and we have checked all possible matrices for $r = 6$ and 12. Hence we have Theorem 1.1.

Finally, we point out a matrix that comes close to having $p(x)$ as its minimal polynomial: the $\mathbb{Z}[^1_0]$-graph $Q_7$ where $\alpha_1 = -\omega$, has as its characteristic polynomial the polynomial $(x + 1)(x^6 - x^5 - 6x^4 + 6x^3 + 8x^2 - 8x + 1)$.
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