

## BERNSTEIN POLYNOMIALS FOR FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES OF CLASS $C^{(k)}$

EDWARD H. KINGSLEY<sup>1</sup>

**Introduction.** Let  $\phi(x, y)$  be a continuous function of the real variables  $x$  and  $y$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are in the closed region  $R: 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1$ . The Bernstein polynomial  $B_{mn}(x, y)$  associated with the function  $\phi(x, y)$  is defined as

$$(1) \quad B_{mn}(x, y) = \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{q=0}^m \phi\left(\frac{p}{n}, \frac{q}{m}\right) \lambda_{n,p}(x) \lambda_{m,q}(y)$$

where  $\lambda_{n,p}(x) = C_{n,p} x^p (1-x)^{n-p}$ ,  $\lambda_{m,q}(y) = C_{m,q} y^q (1-y)^{m-q}$ .

A function  $\phi(x, y)$  is said to be of class  $C^{(k)}$  for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ , if the partial derivatives of order  $1, 2, \dots, k$  of  $\phi(x, y)$  exist and are continuous. We shall use the notation

$$\phi^{(i,k-i)} = \frac{\partial^{(k)} \phi(x, y)}{\partial x^i \partial y^{k-i}} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, k)$$

and, for brevity, shall omit functional arguments from expressions whenever possible.

It is the purpose of this paper to prove the

**THEOREM.** *If  $\phi(x, y)$  is of class  $C^{(k)}$  for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ , then*

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} B_{mn}^{(i,k-i)} = \phi^{(i,k-i)}$$

*and the convergence is uniform in  $R$ .*

This theorem, for functions of one variable and for  $k=0$ , was proved by S. Bernstein [1],<sup>2</sup> and again for functions of one variable but for arbitrary  $k$ , the theorem was proved by S. Wigert [2]. The process of extending the results of Bernstein and Wigert to functions of two variables of class  $C^{(k)}$  introduces aspects which are of interest.

**Preliminary results.** We shall make use of the relations

$$(2) \quad \sum_{p=0}^n \lambda_{n,p}(x) = 1,$$

---

Received by the editors December 12, 1949.

<sup>1</sup> This is part of a thesis written under the direction of Professor E. D. Hellinger for the degree M.S. at Northwestern University, June 1949.

<sup>2</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

$$(3) \quad \sum_{p=0}^n (nx - p)^2 \lambda_{n,p}(x) = nx(1 - x) \quad [3, \text{p. 152}].$$

If we define, for  $k \geq 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, k,$

$$A_{p,q}^{(i,k-i)} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^i \sum_{\beta=0}^{k-i} (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} C_{i,\alpha} C_{k-i,\beta} \phi \left( \frac{p + (i - \alpha)}{n}, \frac{q + (k - i - \beta)}{m} \right),$$

then, by mathematical induction, the following two lemmas can be established.

LEMMA 1. *If  $0 \leq i \leq k, i \leq n, k \leq m, x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ , then the  $k$ th partial derivatives of the Bernstein polynomials (1) are given by*

$$B_{mn}^{(i,k-i)} = \frac{n!m!}{(n-i)!(m-k+i)!} \sum_{p=0}^{n-i} \sum_{q=0}^{m-k+i} A_{p,q}^{(i,k-i)} \lambda_{n-i,p} \lambda_{m-k+i,q}.$$

LEMMA 2. *If  $0 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq p \leq n-i, 0 \leq q \leq m-k+i$ , and if  $\phi(x, y)$  is of class  $C^{(k)}$  for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ , then there exist two real numbers  $\xi = \xi(p), \gamma = \gamma(q)$  such that  $0 < \xi < 1, 0 < \gamma < 1$  and such that*

$$A_{p,q}^{(i,k-i)} = \frac{1}{n^i m^{k-i}} \phi^{(i,k-i)} \left( \frac{p + \xi i}{n}, \frac{q + \gamma(k-i)}{m} \right).$$

The next lemma is basic for the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 3. *For fixed  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$  and for fixed positive integers  $M$  and  $N$ , let  $d$  be an arbitrary positive number and let  $a(p, q)$  be a quantity dependent upon  $p$  and  $q$  and such that*

$$(4) \quad |a(p, q)| \leq \pi_1 \quad \text{for} \quad \left| x - \frac{p}{N} \right| \leq d \quad \text{and} \quad \left| y - \frac{q}{M} \right| \leq d,$$

$$(5) \quad |a(p, q)| \leq \pi_2 \quad \text{for} \quad \left| x - \frac{p}{N} \right| > d \quad \text{and} \quad \left| y - \frac{q}{M} \right| > d.$$

Furthermore, assume that it is possible to split off from  $a(p, q)$  terms  $a'(p)$  independent of  $q$  or terms  $b'(q)$  independent of  $p$ , that is,

$$a(p, q) = a''(p, q) + a'(p) = b''(p, q) + b'(q)$$

such that

$$(6) \quad |a''(p)| \leq \pi_3 \quad \text{for} \quad \left| x - \frac{p}{N} \right| \leq d \quad \text{and} \quad \left| y - \frac{q}{M} \right| > d,$$

$$(7) \quad |a'(p, q)| \leq \pi_4 \quad \text{for} \quad \left| x - \frac{p}{N} \right| \leq d,$$

$$(8) \quad |b''(p, q)| \leq \pi_6 \text{ for } \left| x - \frac{p}{N} \right| > d \text{ and } \left| y - \frac{q}{M} \right| \leq d,$$

$$(9) \quad |b'(q)| \leq \pi_6 \text{ for } \left| y - \frac{q}{M} \right| \leq d,$$

then

$$(10) \quad \left| \sum_{p=0}^N \sum_{q=0}^M a(p, q) \lambda_{N,p} \lambda_{M,q} \right| \leq \pi_1 + \pi_4 + \pi_6 + \frac{\pi_2(M+N)}{8MNd^2} + \frac{\pi_3}{4Md^2} + \frac{\pi_5}{4Nd^2}.$$

PROOF. Consider the inequality

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{p=0}^N \sum_{q=0}^M a(p, q) \lambda_{N,p} \lambda_{M,q} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{|x-p/N| \leq d} \sum_{|y-q/M| \leq d} |a(p, q)| \lambda_{N,p} \lambda_{M,q} \\ & \quad + \sum_{|x-p/N| > d} \sum_{|y-q/M| > d} |a(p, q)| \lambda_{N,p} \lambda_{M,q} \\ & \quad + \sum_{|x-p/N| \leq d} \sum_{|y-q/M| > d} |a''(p, q) + a'(p)| \lambda_{N,p} + \lambda_{M,q} \\ & \quad + \sum_{|x-p/N| > d} \sum_{|y-q/M| \leq d} |b''(p, q) + b'(q)| \lambda_{N,p} \lambda_{M,q} \\ & = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$s_1 \leq \pi_1 \sum_{|x-p/N| \leq d} \sum_{|y-q/M| \leq d} \lambda_{N,p} \lambda_{M,q} \quad (\text{by (4)})$$

$$\leq \pi_1 \sum_{p=0}^N \sum_{q=0}^M \lambda_{N,p} \lambda_{M,q} = \pi_1 \quad (\text{by (3)})$$

and hence  $s_1 \leq \pi_1$ .

The inequalities in (5) imply the inequality

$$\frac{M^2(Mx - p)^2 + N^2(My - q)^2}{2M^2N^2d^2} > 1$$

and by (5) again

$$|a(p, q)| \leq \pi_2 < \frac{\pi_2}{2M^2N^2d^2} \{M^2(Nx - p)^2 + N^2(My - q)^2\},$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} s_2 &\leq \frac{\pi_2}{2M^2N^2d^2} \sum_{p=0}^N \sum_{q=0}^M \{M^2(Nx - p)^2 + N^2(My - q)^2\} \lambda_{N,p} \lambda_{M,q} \\ &= \frac{\pi_2}{2MNd^2} [Mx(1-x) + Ny(1-y)] \quad (\text{by (3) and (2)}) \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\max_{x,y \in R} [Mx(1-x) + Ny(1-y)] = \frac{M+N}{4},$$

we have

$$s_2 \leq \frac{\pi_2(M+N)}{8MNd^2}.$$

In similar fashion, using (6), (7), (8), and (9), we obtain

$$s_3 \leq \pi_4 + \frac{\pi_3}{4Md^2}, \quad s_4 \leq \pi_6 + \frac{\pi_6}{4Nd^2}.$$

Collecting these estimates in (11) we obtain (10).

**Proof of the theorem.** By Lemmas 1 and 2, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &|B_{mn}^{(i,k-i)} - \phi^{(i,k-i)}| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{p=0}^{n-i} \sum_{q=0}^{m-k+i} \left\{ 1 - \frac{n!m!}{(n-i)!(m-k+i)!n^i m^{k-i}} \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \phi^{(i,k-i)} \left( \frac{p+\xi i}{n}, \frac{q+\gamma(k-i)}{m} \right) \lambda_{n-i,p} \lambda_{m-k+i,q} \left. \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{p=0}^{n-i} \sum_{q=0}^{m-k+i} \left\{ \phi^{(i,k-i)} \left( \frac{p+\xi i}{n}, \frac{q+k(k-i)}{m} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \phi^{(i,k-i)}(x,y) \right\} \lambda_{n-i,p} \lambda_{m-k+i,q} \right| = S_1 + S_2. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate  $S_2$ . Let  $\phi^{(i,k-i)}(x,y) = \psi(x,y)$ , then since  $\psi(x,y)$  is continuous for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ , it is uniformly continuous and bounded on  $R$ . That is, for an arbitrary positive number  $\epsilon$ , there exists a number  $d(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if  $|x-x_1| \leq d(\epsilon)/2$  and  $|y-y_1| \leq d(\epsilon)/2$ , where  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  are in  $R$ , then

$$(12) \quad |\psi(x_1, y_1) - \psi(x, y)| \leq \epsilon/6$$

and

$$(13) \quad |\psi(x, y)| \leq L^{(k)}, \quad \text{for } x \text{ and } y \text{ in } R,$$

where  $L^{(k)} = \max_{x, y \in R} |\psi(x, y)|$ .

If  $p, n, i$  are positive integers such that  $0 \leq p \leq n - i$ , and if  $\xi$  is a real number such that  $0 < \xi < 1$ , then

$$(14) \quad \left| \frac{p}{n-i} - \frac{p + \xi i}{n} \right| < \frac{i}{n},$$

and if  $m, q, k, i$  are positive integers such that  $0 \leq q \leq m - (k - i)$ , and  $\gamma$  a real number such that  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , then

$$(15) \quad \left| \frac{q}{m-k+i} - \frac{q + \gamma(k-i)}{m} \right| < \frac{k-i}{m}.$$

Now if  $x$  is fixed and  $p$  is such that  $0 \leq p \leq n - 1$  and  $|x - p/(n-i)| \leq d(\epsilon)/2$ , then by (14)

$$\left| x - \frac{p + \xi i}{n} \right| \leq \frac{d(\epsilon)}{2} + \frac{i}{n}$$

and if we choose

$$N_{1\epsilon} > 2i/d(\epsilon) + i,$$

then for  $n > N_{1\epsilon}$

$$i/n < d(\epsilon)/2$$

and

$$(16) \quad \left| x - \frac{p + \xi i}{n} \right| \leq d(\epsilon) \quad \text{if } n > N_{1\epsilon}.$$

In the same manner, choose

$$M_{1\epsilon} > (k-i) + \frac{2(k-i)}{d(\epsilon)};$$

then for fixed  $y$  and  $q$  such that  $0 \leq q \leq m - k + i$  and  $|y - q/(m - k + i)| \leq d(\epsilon)/2$ , we obtain from (15)

$$(17) \quad \left| y - \frac{q + \gamma(k-i)}{m} \right| \leq d(\epsilon) \quad \text{if } m > M_{1\epsilon}.$$

If we choose  $x_1 = (p + \xi i)/n$ ,  $y_1 = (q + \gamma(k - i))/m$  in (12), then from (16), (17) we have

$$(18) \quad \left| \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) - \psi(x, y) \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{6}$$

if  $n > N_{1\epsilon}$ ,  $m > M_{1\epsilon}$ ,  $|x - (p + \xi i)/n| \leq d(\epsilon)/2$ ,  $|y - (q + \gamma(k - i))/m| \leq d(\epsilon)/2$ .

If we now let:

$\Delta_{n-i}$  indicate summation for all  $p$  such that  $|x - p/(n-i)| \leq d(\epsilon)/2$ ,

$\Delta'_{n-i}$  indicate summation for all  $p$  such that  $|x - p/(n-i)| > d(\epsilon)/2$ ,

$\Delta_{m-k+i}$  indicate summation for all  $q$  such that  $|y - q/(m-k+i)| \leq d(\epsilon)/2$ ,

$\Delta'_{m-k+i}$  indicate summation for all  $q$  such that  $|y - q/(m-k+i)| > d(\epsilon)/2$ ,

then  $S_2$  may be written as

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} S_2 \leq & \sum_{\Delta_{n-i}} \sum_{\Delta_{m-k+i}} \left| \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) - \psi(x, y) \right| \lambda_{n-i, p} \lambda_{m-k+i, q} \\ & + \sum_{\Delta'_{n-i}} \sum_{\Delta_{m-k+i}} \left| \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) - \psi(x, y) \right| \lambda_{n-i, p} \lambda_{m-k+i, q} \\ & + \sum_{\Delta_{n-i}} \sum_{\Delta'_{m-k+i}} \left| \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, y\right) \right| \lambda_{n-i, p} \lambda_{m-k+i, q} \\ & + \sum_{\Delta'_{n-i}} \sum_{\Delta_{m-k+i}} \left| \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) - \psi\left(x, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) \right| \lambda_{n-i, p} \lambda_{m-k+i, q} \\ & + \sum_{\Delta_{n-i}} \sum_{\Delta_{m-k+i}} \left| \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, y\right) - \psi(x, y) \right| \lambda_{n-i, p} \lambda_{m-k+i, q} \\ & + \sum_{\Delta'_{n-i}} \sum_{\Delta_{m-k+i}} \left| \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) - \psi\left(x, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) \right| \lambda_{n-i, p} \lambda_{m-k+i, q} \\ & + \sum_{\Delta_{n-i}} \sum_{\Delta'_{m-k+i}} \left| \psi\left(x, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) - \psi(x, y) \right| \lambda_{n-i, p} \lambda_{m-k+i, q} \end{aligned}$$

If we use (13) and (18) and let

$$\begin{aligned} a(p, q) &= \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) - \psi(x, y), \\ d &= d(\epsilon)/2, \\ \pi_1 &= \pi_4 = \pi_6 = \epsilon/6, \\ \pi_2 &= \pi_3 = \pi_5 = 2L^{(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

then the hypotheses of Lemma 3 are satisfied and (19) becomes

$$S_2 \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{3L^{(k)}}{[d(\epsilon)]^2} \left( \frac{1}{n - i} + \frac{1}{m - k + i} \right), \text{ for } n > N_{1\epsilon}, m > M_{1\epsilon}.$$

To estimate  $S_1$ , we note that there exist two numbers  $N_{2\epsilon} > i$ ,  $M_{2\epsilon} > k - i$  such that if  $n > N_{2\epsilon}$ ,  $m > M_{2\epsilon}$ , then

$$\left| 1 - \frac{n!m!}{(n - i)!(m - k + i)!n^i m^{k - i}} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{6L^{(k)}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{6L^{(k)}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-i} \sum_{q=0}^{m-k+i} \left| \psi\left(\frac{p + \xi i}{n}, \frac{q + \gamma(k - i)}{m}\right) \right| \lambda_{n-i, p} \lambda_{m-k+i, q} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{6} \sum_{p=0}^{n-i} \sum_{q=0}^{m-k+i} \lambda_{n-i, p} \lambda_{m-k+i, q} \quad \text{by (13)} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$S_1 \leq \epsilon/6.$$

Using these estimates of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  we have

$$(20) \quad |B_{mn}^{(i, k-i)} - \phi^{(i, k-i)}| \leq \frac{2}{3}\epsilon + \frac{3L^{(k)}}{[d(\epsilon)]^2} \left[ \frac{1}{n - i} + \frac{1}{m - k + i} \right]$$

for  $n > N_{1\epsilon}$ ,  $N_{2\epsilon}$ ;  $m > M_{1\epsilon}$ ,  $M_{2\epsilon}$ .

Let

$$(21) \quad N_{3\epsilon} > i + \left\lceil \frac{18L^{(k)}}{\epsilon[d(\epsilon)]^2} \right\rceil,$$

$$(22) \quad M_{3\epsilon} > (k - i) + \frac{18L^{(k)}}{\epsilon[d(\epsilon)]^2},$$

and take

$$N_\epsilon = \max (N_{1\epsilon}, N_{2\epsilon}, N_{3\epsilon}),$$

$$M_\epsilon = \max (M_{1\epsilon}, M_{2\epsilon}, M_{3\epsilon}).$$

In (21) let  $n > N_\epsilon$  and such that

$$\frac{3L^{(k)}}{[d(\epsilon)]^2(n-i)} < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$$

and in (22) let  $m > M_\epsilon$  and such that

$$\frac{3L^{(k)}}{[d(\epsilon)]^2(m-k+i)} < \frac{\epsilon}{6}.$$

Thus, for  $n > N_\epsilon$  and  $m > M_\epsilon$ , (20) becomes

$$|B_{mn}^{(i,k-i)} - \phi^{(i,k-i)}| < \epsilon$$

and the theorem is proved.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. Bernstein, Soc. Math. Charkow (2) vol. 13 (1912-1913).
2. S. Wigert, *Sur l'approximation par polynomes, des fonctions continues*, Arkiv för Matematik, Astronomi och Fysik vol. 22B (1932) pp. 1-4.
3. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform*, Princeton, 1946.

ROOSEVELT COLLEGE