

## REMARKS ON A THEOREM OF E. J. MCSHANE<sup>1</sup>

B. J. PETTIS

In a recent paper E. J. McShane [3]<sup>2</sup> has given a theorem which is the common core of a variety of results about Baire sets, Baire functions, and convex sets in topological spaces including groups and linear spaces. In general terms his theorem states that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of open maps defined in one topological space  $X_1$  into another,  $X_2$ , the total image  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  of a second category Baire set  $S$  in  $X_1$  has, under certain conditions on  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $S$ , a nonvacuous interior. The point of these remarks is to show that his argument yields a theorem for a larger class than the second category Baire sets. From this there follow slightly stronger and more specific versions of some of his results, including his principal theorem, as well as a proof that if  $S$  is a subset of a weak sort of topological group and  $S$  contains a second category Baire set, then the identity element lies in the interior of both  $S^{-1}S$  and  $SS^{-1}$ . There is also at the end an extension of Zorn's theorem on the structure of certain semigroups.

In a topological space  $X$  let the closure and interior of a set  $E$  be denoted by  $E^*$  and  $E^0$  and the null set by  $\Lambda$ . For any set  $S$  let  $I(S) = \cup[G|G \text{ open, } G \cap S \text{ is first category}]$  and  $II(S) = X - I(S)$ , and let  $III(S)$  be the open set  $II(S)^0 \cap I(X - S)$ . By a fundamental theorem of Banach [2],  $S \cap I(S)^*$  is first category and hence  $S$  is second category if and only if  $II(S)^0 \neq \Lambda$ . From these we note that if  $N$  is a non-null open subset of  $III(S)$ , then  $N - S$  is in the first category set  $(X - S) \cap I(X - S)$ , and  $N \cap S$  cannot be first category since  $N$  is non-null open and disjoint with  $I(S)$ . This gives us the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *For any non-null open subset  $N$  of  $III(S)$ , the sets  $N - S$  and  $N \cap S$  are first and second category respectively.*

We recall that  $S$  is defined to be a Baire set in  $X$  if  $(S - G) \cup (G - S)$  is first category for some open set  $G$ ; an equivalent condition is  $II(S)^0 \subset I(X - S)$ , or  $II(S)^0 = III(S)$ .

DEFINITION.  $\mathfrak{B}(X)$  is the family of all second category Baire sets in  $X$ . A set  $S$  is in  $\mathfrak{A}(X)$  if and only if  $S$  contains an element of  $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ .

---

Received by the editors December 15, 1949 and, in revised form, January 27, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> This paper was written under Contract N7-onr-434, Task Order III, Navy Department (The Office of Naval Research).

<sup>2</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

Any member  $S$  of  $\mathfrak{B}(X)$  is characterized by  $I(X-S) \supset II(S)^0 \neq \Lambda$  or by  $III(S) = II(S)^0 \neq \Lambda$ . A characterization of the class  $\mathfrak{A}(X)$ , which is generally larger (for example, when  $X$  is the reals), is given by the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.  $S \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$  is equivalent to each of these: (1)  $III(S) \neq \Lambda$ , (2) there is a non-null open set  $N$  such that  $N-S$  is first category and  $N \cap S$  is second category.

If  $S \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$ , let  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$  with  $B \subset S$ . The latter implies  $II(S)^0 \supset II(B)^0$  and  $I(X-S) \supset I(X-B)$  and hence  $III(S) \supset III(B) \neq \Lambda$ . When (1) holds, the set  $N = III(S)$  satisfies (2) by Lemma 1 above. When (2) is true, let  $B = N \cap S$ . Then  $B$  is second category and differs from the open set  $N$  only on the first category set  $N-S$ ; thus  $B \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ .

Now let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be topological spaces and  $\mathcal{F} = [f]$  a non-null family of functions defined in  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  where the domain of definition  $D_f$  of each  $f$  is open in  $X_1$  and each  $f$  is open on its domain to  $X_2$ , that is, maps open sets into open sets. For any sets  $E_1 \subset X_1$  and  $E_2 \subset X_2$  we shall write  $\mathcal{F}(E_1)$  for the set  $\cup [f(E_1 \cap D_f) | f \in \mathcal{F}]$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(E_2)$  for the set of all  $x \in X_1$  such that  $f(x)$  is defined and in  $E_2$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

For any pair of sets  $S_0$  and  $N$  in  $X_1$  consider these conditions on  $S_0$ ,  $N$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$ : (i)  $S_0 \neq \Lambda$  and  $S_0 \subset D_f$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ; (ii)  $N$  is open and  $N \supset S_0$ ; (iii)  $f(N \cap D_f) \subset \mathcal{F}(S_0)$  for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . We note that (iii) is equivalent to the following: (iii')  $y \in \mathcal{F}(N)$  implies  $S_0 \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(y) \neq \Lambda$ . The essential proposition in McShane's theorem can be stated as follows.

LEMMA 3. When  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $S_0$ , and  $N$  satisfy (i), (ii), and (iii),  $\mathcal{F}(S_0)$  is non-null and open.

For (following McShane) if  $U = \cup_f f(N \cap D_f)$ , then from the original assumptions on  $\mathcal{F}$  clearly  $U$  is open in  $X_2$ , and  $U \subset \mathcal{F}(S_0)$  from (iii). By (i) and (ii) on the other hand,  $S_0 \subset D_f \cap N$  for each  $f$  and hence  $\mathcal{F}(S_0) \subset U$ . And  $\mathcal{F}(S_0) \neq \Lambda$  since  $S_0$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are nonvacuous and  $S_0 \subset D_f$  for all  $f$ .

Now suppose these are true for two sets  $N$  and  $S$  in  $X_1$ : (i')  $\Lambda \neq N \cap S \subset D_f$  for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ ; (ii')  $N$  is open. If we set  $S_0 = N \cap S$  clearly (i) and (ii) hold; hence we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. If  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $S$ , and  $N$  satisfy (i') and (ii') and also either (iii) or (iii') with  $S_0 = N \cap S$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(N \cap S)$  is a non-null open set.

Obviously (iii') is true for  $S_0 = N \cap S$  if  $N \cap S \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(y)$  is second

category for each  $y \in \mathcal{F}(N)$ ; and the latter clearly holds whenever  $N - S$  is first category and the following is true: (iii'')  $y \in \mathcal{F}(N)$  implies  $N \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(y)$  is second category. Lemma 4 now gives us the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.  $\mathcal{F}(N \cap S)$  is non-null and open whenever  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $S$ , and  $N$  satisfy (i'), (ii'), and (iii'') and  $N - S$  is first category.

From Lemmas 1 and 5 we have immediately the following theorem.

THEOREM.  $\mathcal{F}(N \cap S)$  is non-null and open provided that  $N$  is a non-null open subset of  $III(S)$ , that  $D_f \supset S \cap N$  for each  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and that (iii'') is satisfied.

In view of Lemma 2 the theorem is concerned with and only with elements  $S$  of  $\mathfrak{A}(X_1)$ . When  $S$  is in the more restricted class  $\mathfrak{B}(X_1)$ , that is, when  $III(S) = II(S)^0 \neq \Lambda$ , the following slightly sharper version of McShane's theorem results on taking  $N$  to be  $II(S)^0$ .

COROLLARY 1. If  $S \in \mathfrak{B}(X_1)$ , if  $D_f \supset S \cap II(S)^0$  for each  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and if  $II(S)^0 \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(y)$  is second category for each  $y \in \mathcal{F}(N)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(S) = \mathcal{F}(S \cap II(S)^0) \cup \mathcal{F}(S \cap I(S)^*)$  where  $\mathcal{F}(S \cap II(S)^0)$  is non-null and open in  $X_2$  and  $S \cap I(S)^*$  is first category in  $X_1$ .

Now let  $X$  be a group having a topology in which  $xy$  is continuous in each variable and let  $e$  be the identity element. We recall the following properties of the function  $II(E)$  in any topological space [2, pp. 46-47]: ( $\alpha$ )  $II(E)$  is always closed,  $II(II(E)) = II(E)$ , and  $II(E) \subset II(F)$  when  $E \subset F$ ; ( $\beta$ )  $(II(E)^0)^* = II(E) \subset E^*$ ; ( $\gamma$ ) for any open set  $G$ ,  $II(G \cap E \cap II(E)^0) \supset G \cap II(E)$ ; and ( $\delta$ ) for any homeomorphism  $\phi$  in  $X$ ,  $II(\phi(E)) = \phi(II(E))$ .

COROLLARY 2. Let  $R$  be second category in  $X$  and  $S \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$ . Suppose  $G$  and  $H$  are open and  $G \cap II(R) \neq \Lambda \neq H \cap III(S)$ . If we set  $A = G \cap R \cap II(R)$  and  $B = H \cap S \cap III(S)$ , it follows that  $A^{-1}B$  and  $BA^{-1}$  are non-null open subsets of  $R^{-1}S$  and  $SR^{-1}$  respectively.

For each  $a \in A$  define  $f_a(x) = a^{-1}x$  for all  $x$  in the non-null open set  $N = H \cap III(S)$  and set  $\mathcal{F} = [f_a]$ . Since  $G \cap II(R) \neq \Lambda$  it follows from ( $\gamma$ ) above that  $A \neq \Lambda$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-null, each  $f_a$  is open on its open domain, and  $D_{f_a} \supset S \cap N = B$  for each  $f_a$ . If it is shown that  $N \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(y)$  is second category whenever  $y \in \mathcal{F}(N)$ , the theorem is applicable and  $\mathcal{F}(N \cap S) = \mathcal{F}(B) = A^{-1}B$  is a non-null open subset of  $R^{-1}S$ . If  $y \in \mathcal{F}(N)$ , then  $y = a^{-1}x$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $x \in N$ , and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(y) = Aa^{-1}x$ . Hence  $II(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(y)) = II(Aa^{-1}x) = II(A)a^{-1}x$  by ( $\delta$ ) above; since  $II(A) \supset A$  by ( $\gamma$ ), it follows that  $II(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(y)) \ni x$ . Then, since  $N \ni x$  and is open,

$N \cap \mathcal{F}^{-1}(y)$  must be second category. A similar proof, setting  $f_a(x) = xa^{-1}$ , establishes the theorem's other assertion.

Taking  $G = H = X$  we have the following corollaries.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $S \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$ . If  $R$  is second category then  $[R \cap II(R)]^{-1}[S \cap III(S)]$  and  $[S \cap III(S)][R \cap II(R)]^{-1}$  are non-null open subsets of  $R^{-1}S$  and  $SR^{-1}$  respectively.*

**COROLLARY 4.** *If  $S \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$  and  $R^{-1}$  is second category the sets  $RS$  and  $SR$  have non-null interiors.*

**COROLLARY 5.** *When  $S \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$  it follows that  $e \in (S^{-1}S)^0 \cap (SS^{-1})^0$ .*

**COROLLARY 6.** *If  $S$  is a subgroup and  $S \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$ , then  $S = S^0$  and hence, since  $S$  is a subgroup,  $S = S^*$ .*

Corollaries 4 and 6 are slight extensions of results of McShane and Banach [3]. Corollary 5 for a more restricted  $X$  is in another paper [4].

**COROLLARY 7.** *Suppose  $E^{-1}$  is second category in  $X$  whenever  $E$  is second category. If  $R$  is second category and  $S \in \mathfrak{A}(X)$ , then*

$$(1) \quad [R \cap II(R^{-1})^{-1}][S \cap III(S)] \text{ and } [S \cap III(S)][R \cap II(R^{-1})^{-1}]$$

*are non-null open subsets of  $RS$  and  $SR$  respectively, and*

$$(2) \quad II(R)III(S)^* \subset ((RS)^0)^* \text{ and } III(S)^*II(R) \subset ((SR)^0)^*.$$

Conclusion (1) results immediately from Corollary 3 since  $R^{-1}$  is second category. To establish (2) let  $C = R \cap II(R^{-1})^{-1}$  and  $D = S \cap III(S)$ , and note that (1) implies that  $C^*D^* \subset (CD)^* \subset ((RS)^0)^*$  and  $D^*C^* \subset ((SR)^0)^*$ . It is thus sufficient to show that  $II(R) \subset C^*$  and  $III(S) \subset D^*$ . The latter follows from ( $\gamma$ ) above; for on setting  $G = I(X - S)$  and  $E = S$  therein we have  $II(D) \supset III(S)$  and hence  $D^* \supset III(S)$ . For the former, consider any open set  $N$  intersecting  $II(R)$ . The set  $N \cap R$  is second category and hence  $(N \cap R)^{-1}$  is a second category subset of  $R^{-1}$ . From this it follows that  $(N \cap R)^{-1} \cap II(R^{-1}) \neq \Lambda$ , for otherwise  $(N \cap R)^{-1}$  is in the first category set  $R^{-1} \cap I(R^{-1})$ . Taking inverses we have  $\Lambda \neq N \cap R \cap II(R^{-1})^{-1} = N \cap C$ , proving that  $II(R) \subset C^*$ .

When  $S \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$  the terms  $III(S)$  and  $III(S)^*$  in Corollary 7 can be replaced by  $II(S)^0$  and  $II(S)$ , and hence in particular  $II(S)^2 \subset ((S^2)^0)^*$ . If also  $S$  is a semigroup, that is,  $S^2 \subset S$ , then  $II(S)^2 \subset (S^0)^*$ . It may also be remarked that the first assumption in Corollary 7 is weaker than that of assuming  $x^{-1}$  to be continuous in  $x$ , as is shown by the reals with intervals  $a \leq x < b$  as neighborhoods.

LEMMA 6. For any sets  $R$  and  $S$  in  $X$  let  $\Gamma(R, S) = II(R)S^* \cup R^*II(S) \cup II(R)II(S^*) \cup II(R^*)II(S)$  and  $\Delta(R, S) = II(R)S^* \cup R^*II(S) \cup II(R)II(S)$ . Then  $(RS)^* \supset II(RS) \supset \Gamma(R, S) \supset \Delta(R, S) \supset II(R)II(S)$ .

For any  $s \in S$  and  $r \in R$  we have  $II(R)s = II(Rs) \subset II(RS)$  and  $rII(S) = II(rS) \subset II(RS)$ , so that  $II(R)S \cup RII(S) \subset II(RS)$ . Since  $II(RS)$  is closed and  $A^*B^* \subset (AB)^*$  for any  $A$  and  $B$ , it follows that  $II(R)S^* \cup R^*II(S) \subset II(RS)$ . Moreover  $II(II(E)) = II(E)$  for any  $E$ ; hence  $II(RS) = II(II(RS)) \supset II(II(R)S^*) \cup II(R^*II(S))$ . But from what has already been shown we have  $II(II(R)S^*) \supset II(II(R))(S^*)^* \cup II(R)^*II(S^*) = II(R)S^* \cup II(R)II(S^*)$ , and similarly  $II(R^*II(S)) \supset II(R^*)II(S) \cup R^*II(S)$ . Thus  $II(RS) \supset \Gamma(R, S)$ . The rest is obvious.

From this it is clear that  $II(S^2) \supset \Gamma(S, S) \supset \Delta(S, S) \supset II(S)^2$  for any  $S$ . Another consequence is the following corollary.

COROLLARY 8. Suppose  $E^{-1}$  is second category whenever  $E$  is second category. If  $R$  is second category,  $S \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ , and  $S \supset RS \cup SR$ , then

$$(S^0)^* \supset II(R) [\Gamma(R, S) \cup \Gamma(S, R)] \cup [\Gamma(R, S) \cup \Gamma(S, R)] II(R).$$

Clearly  $(S^0)^* \supset ((RS)^0)^*$ , and from a remark after Corollary 7,  $((RS)^0)^* \supset II(R)II(S)$ . At the same time, obviously  $II(S) \supset II(RS) \cup II(SR)$ , where  $II(RS) \supset \Gamma(R, S)$  and  $II(SR) \supset \Gamma(S, R)$  by Lemma 6. Thus  $(S^0)^* \supset II(R) [\Gamma(R, S) \cup \Gamma(S, R)]$ . Similarly,  $(S^0)^* \supset ((SR)^0)^* \supset [\Gamma(S, R) \cup \Gamma(R, S)] II(R)$ .

When  $S_1$  is a semigroup, this has obvious consequences, first when  $S_1 \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$  and  $R = S = S_1$  and second when  $S_1$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}(X)$  and  $R = S_1^{-1}$  and  $S = X - S_1$ . These together imply Corollary 5 of [3].

LEMMA 7. For any subset  $S$  of a topological space  $X$  these conditions are equivalent: (1)  $S$  is a Baire set,  $S \subset II(S)$ , and  $X - S \subset II(X - S)$ ; (2) the equalities (i)  $S^0 = II(S)^0 = (S^*)^0 = I(X - S)$  and (ii)  $S^* = II(S) = (S^0)^* = I(X - S)^*$  are true; (3) equalities (i) and (ii) hold when  $S$  and  $X - S$  are interchanged.

Taking complements in (i) and (ii) yields (ii) and (i) with  $S$  and  $X - S$  interchanged; thus (2) and (3) are equivalent. Concerning (1) and (2) we note that by  $(\beta)$  above  $S \subset II(S)$  is equivalent to (4)  $S^* = II(S)$ , that  $X - S \subset II(X - S)$  is equivalent to  $(X - S)^* = II(X - S)$ , that is, to (5)  $S^0 = I(X - S)$ , and recall that  $S$  is a Baire set if and only if (6)  $II(S)^0 \subset I(X - S)$ . Obviously (2) now implies (1). Conversely, (1) implies (4), (5), and (6), where (6) implies (7)  $II(S) \subset I(X - S)^*$  since  $II(S) = (II(S)^0)^*$ . From (4), (7), and (5) we have  $S^* = II(S) \subset I(X - S)^* = (S^0)^*$ ; since  $(S^0)^* \subset S^*$ , (ii) follows.

Taking interiors in (ii) yields  $(S^*)^0 = II(S)^0 = (I(X-S)^*)^0$ ; since  $(I(X-S)^*)^0 = ((X-II(X-S))^*)^0 = (X-II(X-S))^0 = X - (II(X-S))^* = X - II(X-S) = I(X-S)$  and (5) holds, (i) now follows also.

Lemmas 6 and 7, which are independent of the other lemmas and corollaries, provide the following mild extension of Zorn's theorem on the structure of a semigroup  $S$  when  $S$  is a Baire set such that  $S$  and  $S^{-1}$  are second category at  $e$  [1, pp. 157-158; 3, Corollary 6].

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $S$  is a Baire set and  $S \supset RS$  or  $S \supset SR$  for some  $R$  such that  $II(R)^m \cap II(R^{-1})^n \ni e$  for some  $m$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then (2) and (3) of Lemma 7 are true.*

Suppose  $S \supset RS$ . From Lemma 6 and the definition of  $\Delta(R, S)$ ,  $S^* \supset II(S) \supset II(RS) \supset \Delta(R, S) \supset II(R)S^*$ , so that  $S^* \supset II(S) \supset II(R)S^*$ . Multiplying by  $II(R)^k$  we have  $II(R)^k S^* \supset II(R)^{k+1} S^*$ , and hence  $S^* \supset II(S) \supset II(R)^k S^*$  for any  $k \geq 1$ . When  $e \in II(R)^m$ , it is then clear that  $S^* \supset II(S) \supset S^*$ , or  $S^* = II(S)$ . Since  $S \supset RS$  implies  $X-S \supset R^{-1}(X-S)$ , we also have  $(X-S)^* = II(X-S)$  in case  $e \in II(R^{-1})^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . A similar proof applies when  $S \supset SR$ . Thus our hypotheses here imply (1) of Lemma 7, and the present conclusion follows.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Hille, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 31, New York, 1948.
2. C. Kuratowski, *Topologie I*, Monografie Matematyczne III, Warsaw-Lwów, 1933.
3. E. J. McShane, *Images of sets satisfying the condition of Baire*, Ann. of Math. vol. 51 (1950) pp. 380-386.
4. B. J. Pettis, *On continuity and openness of homomorphisms in topological groups*, Ann. of Math. vol. 52 (1950) pp. 293-308.

TULANE UNIVERSITY AND  
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY