

## A THEOREM ON THE DERIVATIONS OF JORDAN ALGEBRAS

R. D. SCHAFER

G. P. Hochschild has proved [2, Theorems 4.4, 4.5]<sup>1</sup> that, if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Lie (associative) algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic 0, then the derivation algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple (semisimple or  $\{0\}$ ) if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple. We prove the following analogue for Jordan algebras of Hochschild's results.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Jordan algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic 0. Then the derivation algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple or  $\{0\}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple with each simple component of dimension not equal to 3 over its center.*

The restriction on the dimensionality of the simple components arises from the fact that the (3-dimensional) central simple Jordan algebra of all  $2 \times 2$  symmetric matrices has for its derivation algebra the abelian Lie algebra of dimension 1. However, most simple Jordan algebras over  $F$  have simple derivation algebras, and all except those of dimension 3 over their centers have derivation algebras which are semisimple or  $\{0\}$ , as may be seen from the listing by N. Jacobson [3, §4] of these derivation algebras.<sup>2</sup> The "if" part of the theorem then follows from the direct sum relationship. To demonstrate the converse it is sufficient to show that, if  $\mathfrak{D}$  is semisimple or  $\{0\}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple. For then, if any simple component of  $\mathfrak{A}$  had dimension 3 over its center, it would have an abelian derivation algebra not equal to  $\{0\}$  [3, §4], which would give rise to a nonzero abelian ideal in  $\mathfrak{D}$ , a contradiction.

To show that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple whenever  $\mathfrak{D}$  is semisimple or  $\{0\}$ , we use the so-called Wedderburn Principal Theorem for Jordan algebras, proved recently by A. J. Penico [5]. Also Lemma 1 below is taken from the proof of that theorem [5, §2]. Revisions have been made in the proof of our theorem in accordance with helpful suggestions of Professor Jacobson.

**LEMMA 1 (PENICO).** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Jordan algebra over  $F$  of character-*

---

Presented to the International Congress of Mathematicians, September 4, 1950; received by the editors February 7, 1950 and, in revised form, February 17, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.

<sup>2</sup> The paper by C. Chevalley and the present author, to which Jacobson refers for a proof that the exceptional simple Jordan algebra has a simple derivation algebra, is reference [1].

istic not two, and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then

- (a)  $\mathfrak{B}^3$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_1 = \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B}^2 + \mathfrak{B}^2$  are ideals of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- If we define inductively the ideals  $\mathfrak{B}_{k+1} = \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B}_k^2 + \mathfrak{B}_k^2$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then
- (b) there exists an integer  $\lambda$  such that  $\mathfrak{B}_\lambda \leq \mathfrak{B}^2$ .

For elements  $x, y, z$  in any nonassociative algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , the *associator*  $A(x, y, z)$  is defined as

$$A(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz).$$

We shall call the subspace of  $\mathfrak{A}$  spanned by all associators the *associator subspace* of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . There is an important identity relating *right multiplications*

$$R_x: a \rightarrow ax = aR_x \quad \text{for all } a \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}$$

in a Jordan algebra (of characteristic not two) to associators:

$$(1) \quad R_{A(x,y,z)} = [R_y, [R_x, R_z]]$$

where  $[U, V]$  denotes the *commutator*  $UV - VU$  [3, p. 867, formula (9)].

The *center*  $\mathfrak{Z}$  of a nonassociative algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the set of all  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfying

$$(2) \quad xz = zx, \quad (xy)z = x(yz) = (xz)y \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}.$$

In a commutative algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , (2) is equivalent to

$$(3) \quad A(x, y, z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}.$$

Formula (1) implies that any sum

$$(4) \quad \sum [R_x, R_z]$$

is a derivation of a Jordan algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  (of characteristic not two); such a derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called *inner*. We denote by  $\mathfrak{I}$  the set of all inner derivations of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The Jacobi identity gives

$$(5) \quad [[R_x, R_z], D] = [R_x, R_{zD}] + [R_{xD}, R_z]$$

for any derivation  $D$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . By (5) and the fact that  $[R_{x'}, R_{z'}]$  is a derivation, we have

$$(6) \quad [[R_x, R_z], [R_{x'}, R_{z'}]] = [R_x, R_{A(x',z,z')}] + [R_{A(x',x,z')}, R_z]$$

for  $x, z, x', z'$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

For  $\mathfrak{M} \leq \mathfrak{A}$ , we denote by  $R(\mathfrak{M})$  the set of all right multiplications  $R_x$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  for  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . It follows from (1) and (6) that the *Lie multiplication algebra*  $\mathfrak{L}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  (that is, the enveloping Lie algebra of  $R(\mathfrak{A})$ ) is  $\mathfrak{L} = R(\mathfrak{A}) + \mathfrak{I}$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a unity element, then

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{V} = R(\mathfrak{A}) + \mathfrak{Z} \text{ (direct sum),}$$

since then (4) is a right multiplication  $R_y$  only for  $y=0$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a semisimple Jordan algebra over  $F$  of characteristic 0 with center  $\mathfrak{Z}$  and associator subspace  $\mathfrak{P}$ . Then*

$$(8) \quad \mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{Z} + \mathfrak{P} \text{ (direct sum).}$$

For the multiplication centralizer of any semisimple nonassociative algebra  $\mathfrak{S}$  with a unity quantity is the set  $R(\mathfrak{Z})$  where  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . This follows by the usual direct sum argument from the known special case in which  $\mathfrak{S}$  is simple [4, Theorem 16]. If  $F$  is of characteristic 0, the Lie multiplication algebra  $\mathfrak{V}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{V}' \oplus \mathfrak{C}$ , where  $\mathfrak{C}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{V}$  and  $\mathfrak{V}' = [\mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{V}]$  [3, §2]. But we have  $\mathfrak{C} = R(\mathfrak{Z})$  by the remark above. Also it follows from (7) and (1), in case  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a Jordan algebra, that

$$(9) \quad \mathfrak{V}' = R(\mathfrak{P}) + \mathfrak{Z} \text{ (direct sum).}$$

Take the intersection of  $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{V}' \oplus \mathfrak{C}$  with  $R(\mathfrak{S})$ . Since  $R(\mathfrak{S}) \supseteq R(\mathfrak{Z}) = \mathfrak{C}$ , this gives  $R(\mathfrak{S}) = R(\mathfrak{Z}) + (R(\mathfrak{S}) \cap \mathfrak{V}')$  (direct sum), or

$$R(\mathfrak{S}) = R(\mathfrak{Z}) + R(\mathfrak{P}) \text{ (direct sum)}$$

since  $R(\mathfrak{S}) \cap \mathfrak{V}' = R(\mathfrak{P})$  by (9). The conclusion (8) follows.

We return now to the proof of the theorem. We assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Jordan algebra over  $F$  of characteristic 0, and that the derivation algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple or  $\{0\}$ . We wish to prove that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple, that is, that the radical  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\{0\}$ .

The Wedderburn Principal Theorem [5] asserts that

$$(10) \quad \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{S} + \mathfrak{N} \text{ (direct sum)}$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a semisimple subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{N}$  is *characteristic* (that is,  $\mathfrak{N}$  is mapped into itself by every derivation) [3, p. 869], it follows from (5) that the set  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  of all inner derivations (4) with both  $x$  and  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  is *solvable*: if we define

$$\mathfrak{D}_1^{(1)} = \mathfrak{D}'_1 = [\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_1], \quad \mathfrak{D}_1^{(i+1)} = (\mathfrak{D}_1^{(i)})',$$

then there exists an integer  $r$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}_1^{(r)} = \{0\}$ . For each element of  $\mathfrak{D}'_1$  is a sum of derivations (6) with  $x, z, x', z'$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Iteration gives every element in  $\mathfrak{D}_1^{(r)}$  in the form (4) where  $x$  and  $z$  are products of  $m$  and  $n$  factors respectively from  $\mathfrak{N}$  with  $m+n = 2^{r+1}$ . Thus either  $x$  or  $z$  is a product of at least  $2^r$  factors from  $\mathfrak{N}$ . But  $\mathfrak{N}$  is nilpotent, so there is an integer  $t$  such that any product of  $t$  elements from  $\mathfrak{N}$ , no matter how associated, is 0. Choose  $r$  so that  $2^r \geq t$ ; then  $\mathfrak{D}_1^{(r)} = \{0\}$ .

But  $\mathfrak{D}$  is semisimple or  $\{0\}$ , so the solvable ideal  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  is  $\{0\}$ , and

$$(11) \quad [R_x, R_z] = 0 \quad \text{for } x, z \text{ in } \mathfrak{N}.$$

We shall require, however, the stronger conclusion that (11) holds for  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{D}_2$  be the set of all inner derivations (4) with  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{D}_2$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Any element of  $\mathfrak{D}'_2$  is a sum of derivations (6) with  $x, x'$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $z, z'$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Thus (6) and (11) imply

$$(12) \quad [[R_x, R_z], [R_{x'}, R_{z'}]] = [R_x, R_{A(x', z, z')}],$$

since  $A(x', x, z')$  is in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{D}'_2$  contains only derivations (4) with  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{N}_1 = \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{N}^2 + \mathfrak{N}^2$ . Iteration shows that any element of  $\mathfrak{D}_2^{(k+1)}$  is a derivation (4) with  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{N}_{k+1} = \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{N}_k^2 + \mathfrak{N}_k^2$ . By Lemma 1(b) there is an integer  $\lambda_0$  such that  $\mathfrak{N}_{\lambda_0} \leq \mathfrak{N}^2$ . Hence any element of  $\mathfrak{D}_2^{(\lambda_0)}$  is a derivation (4) with  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{N}^2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{D}_2^{(\lambda_0+1)}$  consists of sums of derivations of the form (12) with  $z, z'$  in  $\mathfrak{N}^2$ . But then  $A(x', z, z')$  is in  $\mathfrak{N}^3$ , since  $\mathfrak{N}^3$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and the derivations in  $\mathfrak{D}_2^{(\lambda_0+1)}$  are derivations (4) with  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{N}^3$ .

Define  $\mathfrak{N}^{[0]} = \mathfrak{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}^{[k+1]} = (\mathfrak{N}^{[k]})^3$ , a sequence of ideals of  $\mathfrak{A}$  by Lemma 1(a). Since  $\mathfrak{N}$  is nilpotent, there is an integer  $s$  such that  $\mathfrak{N}^{[s+1]} = \{0\}$ . Let  $\lambda_k$  be the integer given by Lemma 1(b) for the ideal  $\mathfrak{N}^{[k]}$ . By iteration of the above process we obtain the fact that, for  $\mu = \lambda_0 + \dots + \lambda_s + s + 1$ , any derivation in  $\mathfrak{D}_2^{(\mu)}$  is a derivation (4) with  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{N}^{[s+1]} = \{0\}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{D}_2^{(\mu)} = \{0\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_2$  is solvable. Thus  $\mathfrak{D}_2 = \{0\}$ , and

$$(13) \quad [R_x, R_z] = 0 \quad \text{for } x \text{ in } \mathfrak{A}, z \text{ in } \mathfrak{N}.$$

Equivalently, (3) holds for every  $z$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ ; that is,  $\mathfrak{N}$  is contained in the center  $\mathfrak{C}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . It follows from (10) that

$$(14) \quad \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{Z} + \mathfrak{N} \text{ (direct sum)}$$

where  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Also (14) implies, with Lemma 2 and (10), that

$$(15) \quad \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{P} + \mathfrak{C} \text{ (direct sum)}$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is the associator subspace of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

Let  $z$  be in  $\mathfrak{N}$  and  $a_i$  arbitrary; then  $zA(a_1, a_2, a_3) = A(za_1, a_2, a_3) = 0$  since  $za_1$  is in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Hence

$$(16) \quad \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{P} = \{0\}.$$

Also  $\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{P} = (\mathfrak{Z} + \mathfrak{N})\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{Z}\mathfrak{P} \leq \mathfrak{P}$  since  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{S}$ ; we have

$$(17) \quad \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{P} \leq \mathfrak{P}.$$

Let  $D_{\mathfrak{C}}$  be any derivation of the associative commutative algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$  (into itself). Since  $\mathfrak{N}$  is the radical of  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{Z}$  a semisimple subalgebra (a direct sum of fields), the decomposition (14) is a Wedderburn decomposition of  $\mathfrak{C}$ , and it follows from [2, Theorem 4.3] that  $D_{\mathfrak{C}}$  may be written as the sum of an inner derivation of  $\mathfrak{C}$  (that is, 0) and a derivation which annihilates  $\mathfrak{Z}$ . That is,  $D_{\mathfrak{C}}$  maps  $\mathfrak{Z}$  into  $\{0\}$ ; it follows that  $cD_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is in  $\mathfrak{N}$  for  $c$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Let  $D$  be the linear extension of  $D_{\mathfrak{C}}$  to  $\mathfrak{A}$  in (15) defined by  $\mathfrak{P}D = \{0\}$ . Then  $D$  is a derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For  $p, p'$  in  $\mathfrak{P}$  imply  $(pp')D$  is in  $\mathfrak{C}D = (\mathfrak{Z} + \mathfrak{P})D = \mathfrak{Z}D_{\mathfrak{C}} = \{0\}$  while  $(pD)p' = p(p'D) = 0$  by definition. Therefore, since  $D$  induces the derivation  $D_{\mathfrak{C}}$  on  $\mathfrak{C}$ , it remains only to check the rule for a product  $cp$ ,  $c$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $p$  in  $\mathfrak{P}$ . But  $(cp)D = 0$  since  $cp$  is in  $\mathfrak{P}$  by (17), while  $c(pD) = 0$  by definition and  $(cD)p = (cD_{\mathfrak{C}})p = 0$  by (16) since  $cD_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Thus every derivation of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is induced by a derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Since the center  $\mathfrak{C}$  is characteristic, the restriction to  $\mathfrak{C}$  of any derivation  $D$  in  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a derivation  $D_{\mathfrak{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . We have seen that  $D \rightarrow D_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is a mapping from  $\mathfrak{D}$  onto the derivation algebra  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . But  $D \rightarrow D_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is a homomorphism. Hence the homomorphic image  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$  of  $\mathfrak{D}$  is semisimple or  $\{0\}$ . But by Hochschild's result for associative algebras [2, Theorem 4.5],  $\mathfrak{C}$  is semisimple. Hence its radical  $\mathfrak{N}$  is  $\{0\}$ , and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is semisimple.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Chevalley and R. D. Schafer, *The exceptional simple Lie algebras  $F_4$  and  $E_6$* , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 36 (1950) pp. 137-141.
2. G. P. Hochschild, *Semisimple algebras and generalized derivations*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 64 (1942) pp. 677-694.
3. N. Jacobson, *Derivation algebras and multiplication algebras of semi-simple Jordan algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 50 (1949) pp. 866-874.
4. ———, *Structure theory of simple rings without finiteness assumptions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 57 (1945) pp. 228-245.
5. A. J. Penico, *The Wedderburn principal theorem for Jordan algebras*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA