

## THE RANGE OF CERTAIN VECTOR INTEGRALS

DAVID BLACKWELL

1. **Introduction.** Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be completely additive set functions defined over a Borel field  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of a space  $X$ , and let  $A$  be any bounded subset of Euclidean  $n$ -space. With every  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable function  $f = a(x) = [a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x)]$  defined on  $X$  with range in  $A$  we associate the vector  $v(f) = (\int a_1(x) du_1, \dots, \int a_n(x) du_n)$ . Our problem is to investigate the range  $R$  of the function  $v(f)$ .

If  $A$  consists of the two points  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ , the functions  $f$  have the form  $\phi(x), \dots, \phi(x)$ , where  $\phi(x)$  is the characteristic function of a  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable set  $E$ , and the range  $R$  of  $v(f)$  is the range of the vector measure  $u_1(E), \dots, u_n(E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ . This case has been treated by Liapounoff<sup>1</sup> who has shown that  $R$  is closed and, if  $u_1(E), \dots, u_n(E)$  are nonatomic, convex. A simplified proof of Liapounoff's results has been given by Halmos.<sup>2</sup>

Our results are extensions of those of Liapounoff. We shall show (1) that whenever  $A$  is closed,  $R$  is closed, and (2) that whenever  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are nonatomic,  $R$  is convex. As will be noted below, result (2) follows directly from the corresponding result of Liapounoff; nevertheless we give an independent proof, as our methods differ in detail, though not in essential idea, from those of Halmos, and the principal tool, Theorem 2, is a curious result of some interest.

We shall sketch here the application of the results to statistical decisions and the theory of games, in the special case where  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are probability measures. Nature (Player I) chooses an integer  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , a point  $x$  is then chosen from  $X$  according to the distribution  $u_i$ , and the statistician (Player II) observes  $x$ . He then chooses a point  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$  and loses the amount  $a_i$ . A strategy for the statistician is a function  $f = a(x)$ , specifying for each  $x \in X$  the point  $a$  to be chosen when  $x$  is observed, and the vector  $v[f]$  is his expected loss vector; its  $i$ th coordinate is the statistician's expected loss when Nature chooses  $i$  and he uses strategy  $f$ . Thus  $R$  is the set of loss vectors the statistician can achieve. Now by a mixed strategy, that is, using  $N$  strategies  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  with specified probabilities  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum \lambda_i = 1$ , the statistician can achieve

---

Received by the editors May 3, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> *Sur les fonctions-vecteurs complètement additives*, Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Sér. Math. vol. 4 (1940) pp. 465-478.

<sup>2</sup> *The range of a vector measure*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 54 (1948) pp. 416-421.

as an expected loss vector precisely the points in the convex set determined by  $R$ . Thus whenever  $R$  is convex, any vector which can be obtained with a mixed strategy can already be obtained with a pure strategy; mixed strategies are unnecessary. Closure of  $R$  alone seems to have no particular game theory significance, but if  $R$  is convex and closed, it follows from a theorem of Wald<sup>3</sup> that the statistician has a good pure strategy. Our results, then, have the following consequences: if  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are nonatomic, mixed strategies are unnecessary; if in addition  $A$  is closed, the statistician has a good pure strategy.<sup>4</sup>

**2. Separation of atomic and nonatomic cases.** Let  $u$  be any non-negative measure such that each  $u_i$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $u$ ; there are functions  $p_1(x), \dots, p_n(x)$  such that  $\int_{\mathcal{E}} p_i(x) du(x) = u_i(E)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $X = X_1 + X_2$ , where  $u$  is nonatomic on  $X_1$ , that is, every set of positive  $u$ -measure contains a set of every smaller positive measure, and completely atomic on  $X_2$ , that is,  $X_2 = S_1 + S_2 + \dots$ , and every  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable subset  $S$  of  $S_i$  has  $u(S) = 0$  or  $u(S) = u(S_i)$ .<sup>5</sup> Now the range  $R$  of  $v[f]$  is simply the vector sum of  $R_1, R_2$ , the ranges obtained when  $X, u_1, \dots, u_n$  are contracted to  $X_1, X_2$ . Thus we need consider only the two cases  $u$  nonatomic,  $u$  completely atomic.

### 3. Atomic case.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $u$  is atomic and  $A$  is closed, then  $R$  is closed.*

**PROOF.** If  $X = S_1 + S_2 + \dots$  is the decomposition of  $X$  into atoms, every  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable function is constant almost everywhere over each  $S_i$ ; we specify the function by the sequence  $\{v_i\}$ , where  $v_i$  is its value almost everywhere on  $S_i$ . The admissible functions  $f$  are sequences  $\{v_i\}$  of points of  $A$ . Then every sequence  $\{f_j\}$  of admissible  $f$ 's has a subsequence converging almost everywhere to a function  $f^*$  ( $A$  is bounded). Since  $A$  is closed, the values of  $f^*$  are in  $A$  so that  $f^*$  is admissible. If  $v(f_j) \rightarrow \xi$ , then  $v(f^*) = \xi$ , so that  $R$  is closed.

### 4. Nonatomic case: convexity of $R$ .

<sup>3</sup> Generalization of a theorem by von Neumann concerning zero sum two person games, *Ann. of Math.* vol. 46 (1945) pp. 281–286.

<sup>4</sup> A more detailed discussion and related results are given in Dvoretzky, Wald, and Wolfowitz, *Elimination of randomization in certain problems of statistics and of the theory of games*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* vol. 36 (1950) pp. 256–260, and in a note *On a theorem of Liapounoff*, submitted by the author to the *Ann. Math. Statist.*

<sup>5</sup> That such a decomposition exists is well known; see for instance the author's *Idempotent Markoff chains*, *Ann. of Math.* vol. 43 (1942) pp. 560–567.

LEMMA. *If  $u$  is any nonatomic, non-negative measure on a Borel field  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of a space  $X$ , and  $f(x)$  is any  $u$ -integrable function, there is a set  $S$  with  $u(S) = (1/2)u(X)$ ,  $\int_S f(x)du = (1/2)\int fdu$ .*

PROOF. We merely outline the construction of such a set  $S$ ; the details are straight forward. Let  $a^*$  be the maximum of all real numbers  $a$  for which  $u\{f \geq a\} \geq (1/2)u(X)$ . For every  $a \leq a^*$  there are sets  $S$  with  $u(S) = (1/2)u(X)$  of the form  $U + V + W$ , where  $U = \{a < f(x) < b\}$ ,  $V$  is a subset of  $\{f(x) = a\}$ ,  $W$  is a subset of  $\{f = b\}$ . Let  $\phi(S) = \int_S f(x)du$ . If  $a_1 < a_2 \leq a^*$ , for any  $S_1, S_2$  corresponding to  $a_1, a_2$ ,  $\phi(S_1) \leq \phi(S_2)$ . The minimum value of  $\phi(S)$  occurs with  $a = -\infty$ ,  $V$  equal to a null set; the maximum value of  $\phi(S)$  occurs at  $a = a^*$ ,  $b = +\infty$ ,  $W$  equal to a null set; and  $(1/2)\int f(x)du = \alpha$  lies between these two values. For an  $a$  with  $u\{f = a\} = 0$ , there is only one value of  $\phi(S)$ , while if  $u\{f = a\} > 0$ ,  $\phi(S)$  increases continuously as  $u(V)$  decreases from  $u\{f = a\}$  to 0, except at  $a = a^*$ , where  $u(V)$  may have a positive minimum. If  $\psi_1(a), \psi_2(a)$  are the minimum and maximum values of  $\phi(S)$  for a given  $a$ ,  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  are continuous from the left and right respectively. If  $a_0$  is the maximum  $a$  with  $\psi_1(a) \leq \alpha$ , we have, for  $a > a_0$ ,  $\psi_2(a) \geq \psi_1(a) > \alpha$ , so that,  $\psi_2(a)$  being continuous from the right,  $\psi_2(a_0) \geq \alpha$ . Since for  $S$  corresponding to  $a_0$  we can make  $\phi(S)$  assume any value between  $\psi_1(a_0), \psi_2(a_0)$ , there is an  $S$  corresponding to  $a_0$  with  $\phi(S) = \alpha$ .

THEOREM 2. *If  $u$  is any nonatomic, non-negative measure on a Borel field  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are any  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions with  $\int f_i du$  finite,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there is a Borel field  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $u$  is nonatomic on  $\mathcal{A}$  and for every  $D \in \mathcal{A}$ ,*

$$\int_D f_i(x)du = u(D) \int f_i(x)du.$$

PROOF. It is no loss of generality to suppose  $u(X) = 1$  and use the language of probability. The theorem then asserts that  $E_{\mathcal{A}}(f_i) = E(f_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $E(f)$ ,  $E_{\mathcal{A}}(f)$  denote the expectation and conditional expectation with respect to the Borel field  $\mathcal{A}$  of the chance variable  $f$ .

We first prove the theorem for the single chance variable  $f_1$ . Suppose  $E(f_1) = \alpha$ . According to the lemma there is a set  $S$ , with  $u(S) = 1/2$ ,  $\int_S f_1 du = (1/2)\alpha$ . Applying the lemma to the sets  $S = S_1$ ,  $CS = S_2$ , and  $f_1$ , we obtain four disjoint sets  $S_{11}, S_{12}, S_{21}, S_{22}$  such that  $S_{11} + S_{12} = S_i$ ,  $u(S_{ij}) = 1/4$ ,  $\int_{S_{ij}} f_1 du = (1/4)\alpha$ . Continuing in this way, and denoting the Borel field determined by the  $2^n$  sets obtained at the  $n$ th stage by  $\mathcal{B}_n$ , we see that  $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_2 \subset \dots$ ,  $E_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f_1) = E(f_1)$ , and

$\mathcal{B}_n$  contains  $2^n$  disjoint sets of measure  $(1/2)^n$ . If  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is the smallest Borel field containing  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots$ ,  $u$  is nonatomic on  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and, according to a theorem of Doob,<sup>6</sup>  $E_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f_1) \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{A}_1}(f_1)$ . Thus  $E_{\mathcal{A}_1}(f_1) = E(f_1)$ . Now applying the result for a single function to  $E_{\mathcal{A}_1}(f_2)$  with  $\mathcal{B}$  replaced by  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , we obtain a Borel field  $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_1$  on which  $u$  is nonatomic, and on which  $E_{\mathcal{A}_2}[E_{\mathcal{A}_1}f_2] = E[E_{\mathcal{A}_1}f_2]$ . Since  $E_{\mathcal{A}_2}[E_{\mathcal{A}_1}f] = E_{\mathcal{A}_2}(f)$  and  $E[E_{\mathcal{A}_1}f] = E(f)$  for any  $f$ , we have  $E_{\mathcal{A}_2}(f_i) = E(f_i), i = 1, 2$ . Continuing in this way, we obtain a decreasing sequence of Borel fields  $\mathcal{A}_1 \supset \mathcal{A}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{A}_n$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}$  has the property asserted in the theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $u$  is nonatomic,  $R$  is convex.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $v[f_1] = r_1, v[f_2] = r_2$ , where  $f_1 = (a_{11}(x), \dots, a_{1n}(x)), f_2 = (a_{21}(x), \dots, a_{2n}(x))$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Borel field such that  $u$  is nonatomic on  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $E_{\mathcal{A}}(g) = E(g)$  for  $g = a_{11}p_1, \dots, a_{1n}p_n, a_{21}p_1, \dots, a_{2n}p_n$ . Let  $D$  be a set in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $u(D) = t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , and define  $f = f_1$  on  $D, f_2$  on  $CD$ . Then

$$v(f) = \left( \int_D a_{11}p_1 du + \int_{CD} a_{21}p_1 du, \dots, \int_D a_{1n}p_n du + \int_{CD} a_{2n}p_n du \right) = tr_1 + (1 - t)r_2.$$

As remarked above, Theorem 3 is a direct consequence of the theorem of Liapounoff: we consider the  $2n$ -dimensional measure  $w(D) = \int_D a_{11}p_1 du, \dots, \int_D a_{1n}p_n du, \int_D a_{21}p_1 du, \dots, \int_D a_{2n}p_n du$ . We have  $w(X) = (r_1, r_2)$ , so that for any  $t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , by Liapounoff's theorem, there is a  $D$  with  $w(D) = (tr_1, tr_2)$ . Then  $w(CD) = [(1 - t)r_1, (1 - t)r_2]$  and, defining  $f = f_1$  on  $D, f = f_2$  on  $CD$ , we obtain  $v(f) = tr_1 + (1 - t)r_2$ .

**5. Nonatomic case,  $A$  closed: closure of  $R$ .**

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose  $A$  is closed, and let  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  be any linear functions on  $n$ -dimensional space. Let  $\bar{R}$  be the closure of  $R$ ; define  $\lambda_1 = \min_{r \in \bar{R}} L_1(r), S_1 = \bar{R}\{L_1(r) = \lambda_1\}$ , and, inductively for  $1 < i \leq k$ ,  $\lambda_i = \min_{r \in S_{i-1}} L_i(r), S_i = S_{i-1}\{L_i(r) = \lambda_i\}$ . Then there is a point  $r \in R$  with  $L_i(r) = \lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ .*

**PROOF.** We may suppose, choosing additional  $L_i$  if necessary, that there are  $n$  linearly independent linear functions among the  $L_i$ . Let  $r^*$  be the point such that  $L_i(r^*) = \lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $r^* \in \bar{R}$ . Let  $\{r_j\}$  be a sequence of points in  $R$  with  $r_j \rightarrow r^*$ ; say  $r_j = v(f_j), f_j = [a_{1j}(x),$

<sup>6</sup> *Regularity properties of certain families of chance variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 47 (1940) p. 460, Theorem 1.3.

$\dots, a_{nj}(x)]$ . Define  $\phi_{ij}(x) = L_i[a_{1j}(x)p_1(x), \dots, a_{nj}(x)p_n(x)]$ . Then  $\int \phi_{ij}(x)du \rightarrow \lambda_i$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . We shall show that there is a subsequence  $j_t$  such that  $\phi_{ij_t}(x) \rightarrow \phi_i(x)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  except on an  $x$ -set of  $u$ -measure zero.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_N(x) &= \min [\phi_{11}(x), \dots, \phi_{1N}(x)], \\ S_{kN} &= \{\phi_{1j} > \phi_N \text{ for } j < k, \phi_{1k} = \phi_N\}, \\ f_N^* &= f_k \text{ on } S_{kN}.\end{aligned}$$

Then<sup>7</sup>  $L_1(f_N^*) = \int \phi_N(x)du$ . Now  $\phi_N(x) \leq \phi_{1N}(x)$ , so that  $L_1(f_N^*) \leq L_1(f_N)$ ,  $L_1(f_N^*) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ . Let  $\phi(x) = \lim \phi_N(x)$ ,  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\phi_{1N} \geq \phi$  for all  $x$  and  $\int \phi_{1N}du \rightarrow \int \phi du$ . Consequently  $\phi_{1N} \rightarrow \phi$  in  $u$ -measure, and there is a subsequence of  $\phi_{1N} \rightarrow \phi$  almost everywhere. Suppose we have found a subsequence of  $j$  for which  $\phi_{ij}$  converges almost everywhere to a function  $\phi_i(x)$  for  $1 \leq i < m$ . To simplify notation, suppose the original sequence  $\phi_{ij}$  has this property. If  $\phi_{mj}$  does not converge in  $u$ -measure, there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  and a sequence of integers  $s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2, \dots, s_j, t_j$  becoming infinite with  $j$ , for which the set  $T_j = \{\phi_{ms_j} - \phi_{mt_j} > \epsilon\}$  has  $u(T_j) > \epsilon$ . Define  $g_j = f_{mt_j}$  on  $T_j$ ,  $g_j = f_{ms_j}$  on  $C(T_j)$ . Then  $L_i(g_j) \rightarrow \lambda_i$  for  $i < m$ , and  $L_m(g_j) \leq L_m(f_{s_j}) - \epsilon^2$ , so that  $\limsup L_m(g_j) \leq \lambda_m - \epsilon^2$ . Since  $v_j = v(g_j) \in R$ , there is a subsequence of  $v_j$  approaching  $v_0 \in \bar{R}$ . We have  $L_i(v_0) = \lambda_i$  for  $i < m$ ,  $L_m(v_0) \leq \lambda_m - \epsilon^2$ , contradicting the definition of  $\lambda_m$ . Hence  $\phi_{mj}$  converges in  $u$ -measure to a limiting function  $\phi_m(x)$  and there is a subsequence converging almost everywhere to  $\phi_m(x)$ . This completes the induction.

We may now suppose, replacing  $f_j$  by an appropriate subsequence, that  $\phi_{ij}(x) \rightarrow \phi_i(x)$  almost everywhere as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ; that is,  $L_i[a_{1j}p_1, \dots, a_{nj}p_n] \rightarrow \phi_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Since  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  contain  $n$  linearly independent functions, the sequence of points  $\{(a_{1j}p_1, \dots, a_{nj}p_n)\}$  converges almost everywhere to a limiting point  $w(x) = (w_1(x), \dots, w_n(x))$ . If  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  never vanish, this implies  $a_j(x)$  converges to a limiting function  $a^*(x)$  almost everywhere; since  $A$  is closed, the values of  $a^*(x)$  are in  $A$ ,  $f = a^*(x)$  is an admissible function, and  $L_i(f) = \lim L_i(f_j) = \lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and the proof is complete. If  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  sometimes vanish, we make the following modification. We need only find an  $a^*(x) = (a_1^*(x), \dots, a_n^*(x))$  such that the  $t$ th component  $a_{jt}(x)$  of  $a_j(x)$  converges to  $a_t^*(x)$  for all  $x$  with  $p_t(x) \neq 0$ ; the values of  $a_t^*(x)$  on  $\{p_t = 0\}$  do not influence  $v[a^*]$ . For any subset  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_c)$  of  $(1, \dots, n)$ , let  $U_\alpha$  be the  $x$ -set where  $p_t \neq 0$  for  $t \in \alpha$ ,  $p_t = 0$  for  $t \notin \alpha$ . Let  $h_\alpha$  be a Baire func-

<sup>7</sup> For brevity we write  $L_i(f)$  for  $L_i[v(f)]$ .

tion mapping  $c$ -dimensional space into  $n$ -dimensional space in such a way that every point  $(x_1, \dots, x_c)$  in the projection of  $A$  on the  $c$ -dimensional subspace  $(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_c})$ , that is, every point  $(x_1, \dots, x_c)$  for which there is a point  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$  with  $a_{i_1} = x_1, \dots, a_{i_c} = x_c$ , has  $h_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_c) = a^* = (a_1^*, \dots, a_n^*) \in A$ , with  $a_{i_1}^* = x_1, \dots, a_{i_c}^* = x_c$ .<sup>8</sup> On  $U_\alpha$ ,  $a_{j_i}(x)$  converges for  $t \in \alpha$ , say to  $a_i^*(x)$ . Then  $a^*(x) = h_\alpha[a_{i_1}^*(x), \dots, a_{i_c}^*(x)]$  on  $U_\alpha$  is the required function.

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $u$  is nonatomic and  $A$  is closed, then  $R$  is closed.*

**PROOF.** According to Theorem 3,  $R$  is convex. With the property of  $R$  proved in Theorem 4, its closure follows from the following fact about convex sets.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $B$  be any closed bounded convex set, and let  $D$  be any convex set with the property (\*): For any linear functions  $L_1, \dots, L_k$ , if we define  $\lambda_1 = \min_{x \in B} L_1(x)$ ,  $B_1 = B \{L_1(x) = \lambda_1\}$  and, inductively for  $1 < i \leq k$ ,  $\lambda_i = \min_{x \in B_{i-1}} L_i(x)$ ,  $B_i = B_{i-1} \{L_i(x) = \lambda_i\}$ , there is a point  $d \in BD$  with  $L_i(d) = \lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $D$  contains  $B$ .*

This is easily established by induction on the dimension of  $B$ . If  $B$  is one-dimensional, that is, a closed interval,  $D$  must contain the end points of  $B$  so that  $D \supset B$ . Suppose the lemma established for sets  $B$  of dimension less than  $s$ , and let  $B$  be  $s$ -dimensional. The intersection  $B_1$  of  $B$  with any supporting hyperplane  $L_1(x) = \lambda_1$ , where  $\lambda_1 = \min_{x \in B} L_1(x)$ , is a closed convex set of dimension less than  $s$  and has the property (\*) relative to  $D$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $D \supset B_1$ . Thus  $D$  contains the intersection of  $B$  with every supporting hyperplane, so that  $D \supset B$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $A$  is closed,  $R$  is closed.*

**PROOF.** This follows from Theorems 1 and 5, by splitting  $u$  into atomic and nonatomic parts with ranges  $R_1, R_2$  and noting that  $R$  is the vector sum of the closed sets  $R_1, R_2$ .

HOWARD UNIVERSITY

<sup>8</sup> Such a Baire function may be constructed as follows: To simplify notation, say  $\alpha = (1, \dots, c)$ . Let  $A_d$  be the projection of  $A$  on its first  $d$  coordinates,  $c \leq d \leq n$ . Then each  $A_d$  is closed and is the projection of  $A_{d+1}$  on its first  $d$  coordinates. If we can construct a Baire function  $h_d$  mapping  $d$ -space into  $d+1$ -space with the required property, where  $A$  is replaced by  $A_{d+1}$ , the Baire function  $h_{n-1}[h_{n-2}[\dots [h_c(x_1, \dots, x_c)] \dots]]$  is the required function. Thus we may suppose  $c = n-1$ . The function  $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \min_{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_n) \in A} a_n$  on  $A_{n-1}$ , and 0 elsewhere, is lower semi-continuous on the closed set  $A_{n-1}$  and so is a Baire function, and the function  $h(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = [x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})]$  is the required function.