## NOTE ON THE HURWITZ ZETA-FUNCTION<sup>1</sup>

N. J. FINE

It is well known that Riemann<sup>2</sup> gave two proofs of the functional equation for  $\zeta(s)$ , the first depending on a contour integration, the second on the transformation equation for  $\vartheta_3(0|\tau)$ . Hurwitz<sup>3</sup> introduced his generalized zeta-function, defined for R(s) > 1 and 0 < a < 1 by<sup>4</sup>

(1) 
$$\zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + a)^{-s},$$

showed that it can be continued to the entire s-plane with the exception of a simple pole at s=1, and proved that for R(s)>1,

(2) 
$$\zeta(1-s, a) = \frac{2\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s} \left\{ \cos \frac{\pi s}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2n\pi a}{n^s} + \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2n\pi a}{n^s} \right\}.$$

His method of proof depends on a contour integral and parallels Riemann's first proof. It appears to have been overlooked that the second method of Riemann can be generalized to obtain the same results. The purpose of this paper is to supply such a proof.

For 0 < a < 1 and x > 0, define

(3) 
$$f(a, x) = \vartheta_3(\pi a, ix)$$
$$= 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x} \cos 2\pi na.$$

By the transformation equation for the  $\vartheta$ -function,<sup>6</sup>

Received by the editors June 10, 1950.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work is an offshoot of investigations carried out under the auspices of the Office of Naval Research, Contract N9-ONR90,000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> B. Riemann, *Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*, Monatsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (1859, 1860) pp. 671–680.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A. Hurwitz, Zeitschrift für Mathematik und Physik vol. 27 (1882) p. 95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Throughout this paper,  $x^a = \exp(s \log x)$ , the logarithm being real for x > 0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> R. Lipschitz (J. Reine Angew. Math. vol. 105, pp. 127-159) has used the thetafunction transformation device to derive a functional equation for a general type of zeta-function, but his results do not appear to include ours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Whittaker and Watson, Modern analysis, p. 475.

(4) 
$$f(a, x^{-1}) = x^{1/2}e^{-\pi a^2x}f(iax, x) = x^{1/2}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{-\pi x(n+a)^2}.$$

From (3) and (4) it is easy to see that f(a, x) tends to the values 1 and 0 exponentially as x tends to  $\infty$  and 0, respectively. Hence the two functions

(5) 
$$F(a, s) = \int_0^1 f(a, x) x^{a/2-1} dx,$$

(6) 
$$G(a, s) = \int_{1}^{\infty} (f(a, x) - 1) x^{s/2-1} dx$$

are entire in s. We define

(7) 
$$H(a, s) = F(a, s) + G(a, s) - 2/s$$
.

For a later purpose, we observe that

(8) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a}H(a,s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial a}f(a,x)x^{s/2-1}dx$$

is also an entire function of s.

Now for R(s) > 1,

$$H(a, s) = \int_0^\infty (f(a, x) - 1) x^{s/2 - 1} dx$$

$$= 2 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \cos 2\pi n a \int_0^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 x} x^{s/2 - 1} dx,$$

$$H(a, s) = 2\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\cos 2\pi n a}{n^s} \qquad (R(s) > 1).$$

For R(s) < 0,

$$G(a, s) = \frac{2}{s} + \int_{1}^{\infty} f(a, x) x^{s/2-1} dx,$$

so that

$$H(a, s) = \int_0^\infty f(a, x) x^{s/2-1} dx$$
$$= \int_0^\infty f(a, x^{-1}) x^{-s/2-1} dx.$$

By (4), therefore,

$$H(a, s) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi x (n+a)^{2}} x^{(1-s)/2-1} dx,$$

$$(1 - s) + \infty$$

(10) 
$$H(a, s) = \pi^{-(1-s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} |n+a|^{-(1-s)} \qquad (R(s) < 0).$$

With s replaced by 1-s, (10) becomes

(11) 
$$H(a, 1-s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+a)^{-s} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1-a)^{-s} \right\}$$

$$= \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \left\{ \zeta(s, a) + \zeta(s, 1-a) \right\} \qquad (R(s) > 1).$$

Now it is easy to see, from (1), that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\zeta(s, a) = -s\zeta(s+1, a).$$

Hence, differentiating (11) and replacing s by s-1, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} H(a, 2-s) = -(s-1)\pi^{-(s-1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right) \left\{ \zeta(s, a) - \zeta(s, 1-a) \right\}$$
(12)
$$(R(s) > 2).$$

Combining (11) and (12) yields

(13) 
$$2\zeta(s, a) = \frac{\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(s/2)} H(a, 1 - s) \\ - \frac{\pi^{(s-1)/2}}{(s-1)\Gamma((s-1)/2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} H(a, 2 - s) \quad (R(s) > 2).$$

Equation (13) provides the analytic continuation of  $\zeta(s, a)$ , and shows that  $\zeta(s, a) - (s-1)^{-1}$  is an entire function of s. Replace s by 1-s in (13):

(14) 
$$2\zeta(1-s, a) = \frac{\pi^{(1-s)/2}}{\Gamma((1-s)/2)} H(a, s) + \frac{\pi^{-s/2}}{s\Gamma(-s/2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} H(a, 1+s).$$

For R(s) > 1, we may use (9) to get

(15) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} H(a, 1+s) = -4\pi^{(1-s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2\pi na}{n^s}.$$

364 N. J. FINE

Substituting from (9) and (15) into (14), and simplifying by well known formulas for the  $\Gamma$ -function, we obtain the desired relation (2).

The proof just presented does not cover the classical Riemann zeta-function, defined, for R(s) > 1, by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}.$$

But it is easy to see that, for R(s) > 1,

(16) 
$$\zeta\left(s, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\right)^{-s} = 2^{s} \sum_{n \text{ odd}} n^{-s}$$
$$= 2^{s} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n)^{-s} \right\}$$
$$= (2^{s} - 1)\zeta(s).$$

This provides the continuation of  $\zeta(s)$  and shows that it has a simple pole at s=1, with possible simple poles at  $s=2n\pi i/\log 2$   $(n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$ . Now if we set a=1/2 in equation (2), we obtain, for R(s)>1,

$$\zeta\left(1-s,\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{(2\pi)^s}{2\Gamma(s)\,\cos\,(\pi s/2)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^{-s} = \sum_{n\,\text{even}} n^{-s} - \sum_{n\,\text{odd}} n^{-s}$$
$$= 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n)^{-s} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$$
$$= (2^{1-s} - 1)\zeta(s).$$

Using (16) with s replaced by 1-s, we get the required functional equation for  $\zeta(s)$ ,

(17) 
$$\zeta(1-s) = \frac{2\Gamma(s)\cos(\pi s/2)}{(2\pi)^s}\zeta(s). \quad .$$

Since the right side of (17) is regular at  $s = 1 - 2n\pi i/\log 2$ , the only singularity of  $\zeta(s)$  is the simple pole at s = 1.

University of Pennsylvania