

Further  $\mathfrak{F}$  can, apart from trivial (unit) factors, be expressed in at most one way as a product of indecomposable factors. The same results hold for families of regular bilinear mappings ( $n=2$ ,  $H=K$ ,  $H_i=K_i$ ) and for families of groups of class 1 or 2. If either  $Q$  or  $G/Z$  be finite,  $F(G)$  is uniquely expressible as a product of indecomposable families.

#### REFERENCE

1. P. Hall, *Classification of prime power groups*, Journal für Mathematik (1940).  
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, LEICESTER

### A SHORT PROOF OF AN IDENTITY OF EULER

DANIEL SHANKS

Euler discovered the identity

$$(1) \quad \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^s) = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (-1)^s [x^{s(3s-1)/2} + x^{s(3s+1)/2}].$$

He used it in the theory of partitions, and, after some time, he proved it [1].<sup>1</sup> Later, famous proofs involving theta functions and combinatorial arguments were given by Jacobi and F. Franklin [2]. The following algebraic proof is quite simple.

Let the partial products and partial sums of (1) be

$$P_0 = 1, \quad P_n = \prod_{s=1}^n (1 - x^s),$$

and

$$S_n = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^s [x^{s(3s-1)/2} + x^{s(3s+1)/2}].$$

Then  $S_n$  and  $P_n$  are related by the *finite* identity

$$(2) \quad S_n = F_n \quad \text{where } F_n = \sum_{s=0}^n (-1)^s \frac{P_n}{P_s} x^{s n + s(s+1)/2}.$$

To prove (2) we detach the last term,  $s=n$ , and split the remaining sum into two parts by putting  $P_n = P_{n-1} - x^n P_{n-1}$ . This gives

Received by the editors October 6, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.

$$F_n = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^s \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_s} x^{s(n+s+1)/2} + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{r+1} x^n \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_r} x^{r(n+r+1)/2} + (-1)^n x^{n(3n+1)/2}.$$

We detach the term  $r = n - 1$ , and recombine the two sums by putting  $r = s - 1$  and adding the new corresponding terms. By using  $1/P_{s-1} = (1 - x^s)/P_s$  we obtain

$$F_n = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (-1)^s \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_s} x^{s(n-1)+s(s+1)/2} + (-1)^n [x^{n(3n-1)/2} + x^{n(3n+1)/2}];$$

or, referring to the definitions of  $F_n$  and  $S_n$ ,

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + (S_n - S_{n-1}).$$

Therefore  $S_n = F_n$  if  $S_{n-1} = F_{n-1}$  and since

$$S_1 = 1 - (x + x^2) = (1 - x) - x^2 = F_1,$$

equation (2) follows by induction.

Now the partial product,  $P_n$ , is the first term of  $F_n$  ( $s=0$  in (2)), and since the remaining terms of  $F_n$  are of order  $x^{n+1}$  and higher,  $P_n$  must agree with  $F_n$  up to  $x^n$ . Therefore  $P_n$  agrees with  $S_n$  up to  $x^n$  and, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that both sides of (1) have the same power series. This proves the theorem.

The origin of the formula,  $F_n$ , is of interest. It was obtained by applying a nonlinear transformation [3] to the sequence of products,  $A_i = 1/P_i$ . Given the sequence  $A_i$  ( $i=0, 1, \dots, 2k$ ), one obtains the transform,  $B_{kk}$ , from the formula:

$$B_{kk} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_k \\ \Delta A_0 & \Delta A_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \Delta A_k \\ \Delta A_1 & \Delta A_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \Delta A_{k+1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \Delta A_{k-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \Delta A_{2k-1} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \Delta A_0 & \Delta A_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \Delta A_k \\ \Delta A_1 & \Delta A_2 & \cdots & \cdots & \Delta A_{k+1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \Delta A_{k-1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \Delta A_{2k-1} \end{vmatrix}} \text{ where } \Delta A_i = A_{i+1} - A_i.$$

Reduction of the determinants gives  $B_{kk} = 1/F_k$ . The members of the original sequence,  $A_0$  to  $A_{2k}$ , agree with the infinite series to, at most,

$x^{2k}$ , and since the transform  $B_{kk}$  agrees to  $x^{k(3k+5)/2}$ , we see that the transformation greatly increases the rate of convergence of the sequence.

By a very similar calculation (proof omitted), one finds that if

$$Q_0 = 1, \quad Q_n = \prod_{s=1}^n \frac{(1 - x^{2s})}{(1 - x^{2s-1})}, \quad \text{and} \quad T_n = 1 + \sum_{s=1}^n [x^{s(2s-1)} + x^{s(2s+1)}],$$

then  $T_n = G_n$  where  $G_n = \sum_{s=0}^n (Q_n/Q_s)x^{s(2n+1)}$ . This implies  $Q_\infty = T_\infty$ , an identity due to Gauss [2].

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Bachmann, *Die analytische Zahlentheorie*, 1894, p. 13-29.
2. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 1938, pp. 280-286.
3. D. Shanks, *An analogy between transients and mathematical sequences and some nonlinear sequence-to-sequence transforms suggested by it*, 1949, Naval Ordnance Laboratory Memorandum 9994. Another version will appear in the Journal of Mathematics and Physics. Or see D. Shanks, *Mathematical sequences treated as transients*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Abstract 55-7-366.

NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY, SILVER SPRING