

## A THEOREM FOR KERNEL FUNCTIONS

PHILIP DAVIS AND HENRY POLLAK

Let  $B$  be a domain lying in the complex  $z$  plane and  $K_B(z, \bar{i})$  its kernel function. A number of relationships exist between the kernel and the geometric properties of the domain. (See, for example, [1].)<sup>1</sup> It is the purpose of the present note to relate the successive derivatives of the kernel with the domain  $B$ .

If  $z$  is interior to  $B$ , we shall denote by  $r_B(z)$  the shortest distance from the point  $z$  to the boundary of  $B$ . Furthermore, we introduce the abbreviation

$$(1) \quad K_B^{(m,n)}(z, \bar{i}) \equiv \frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial z^m \partial \bar{i}^n} K_B(z, \bar{i}).$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let the domain  $B$  be such that at every boundary point  $z_1$  there exists<sup>2</sup> a circle exterior to  $B$  and passing through  $z_1$ . Then,*

$$(2) \quad 1/r_B(z) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e/n) [K_B^{(n,n)}(z, \bar{z})]^{1/2n}.$$

**PROOF.** It obviously suffices to prove the theorem for  $z=0$ , it being supposed that  $B$  contains this point in its interior.

Let  $r = r_B(0)$ , and suppose that  $z_1$  is a point which simultaneously lies on the boundary of  $B$  and on the circle  $|z| = r$ . In the bicylinder  $|z| \leq r^* < r$ ,  $|i| \leq r^* < r$ ,  $K_B(z, \bar{i})$  is an analytic function of the two complex variables  $z$  and  $\bar{i}$  and hence has an expansion of the form

$$(3) \quad K_B(z, \bar{i}) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} K_B^{(m,n)}(0, 0) z^m \bar{i}^n / m! n!$$

converging absolutely and uniformly there. If, then,  $\lambda$  is a real variable with  $|\lambda| < r/r^*$ , then

$$(4) \quad K_B(\lambda z, \lambda \bar{i}) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} K_B^{(m,n)}(0, 0) z^m \bar{i}^n \lambda^{m+n} / m! n!$$

With  $\lambda$  in the above range, (4) converges absolutely and uniformly in  $|z|, |i| \leq r^*$ . The circle  $|z| = r^*$  will be designated by  $C^*$ . Thus, for  $|\lambda| < r/r^*$ ,

Received by the editors September 25, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> Number 1 in brackets refers to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

<sup>2</sup> The theorem may be established for a wider class of boundaries. Moreover, a similar result holds for several complex variables.

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(\lambda) &\equiv \iint_{C^*} K_B(\lambda z, \lambda \bar{z}) dx dy \\
 (5) \quad &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} K_B^{(m,n)}(0, 0) \lambda^{m+n} / m! n! \iint_{C^*} z^m \bar{z}^n dx dy \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_B^{(n,n)}(0, 0) r^{*2n+2} \lambda^{2n} / (n!)(n+1)!.
 \end{aligned}$$

The function  $F(\lambda)$  is therefore analytic for  $|\lambda| < r/r^*$ .

We shall prove, moreover, that  $F(\lambda)$  has a singularity at the point  $\lambda = r/r^*$ . If this is admitted momentarily, then by the familiar Cauchy-Hadamard formula for the radius of convergence of a power series, we shall have

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^*/r &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [K_B^{(n,n)}(0, 0) r^{*2n+2} / n!(n+1)!]^{1/2n} \\
 (6) \quad &= r^* \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e/n) [K_B^{(n,n)}(0, 0)]^{1/2n}
 \end{aligned}$$

from which (2) follows.

We shall show that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow r/r^* -} F(\lambda) = \infty.$$

Suppose that  $C_1$  designates a circle of radius  $r_1$  which is exterior to  $B$  but whose circumference passes through  $z_1$ . Designate the exterior of the circle by  $D$ . By the monotonicity of the kernel function

$$(8) \quad K_B(\lambda z, \lambda \bar{z}) \geq K_D(\lambda z, \lambda \bar{z}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad F(\lambda) &\geq \iint_{C^*} K_D(\lambda z, \lambda \bar{z}) dx dy \\
 &= \lambda^{-2} \iint_{\lambda C^*} K_D(z, \bar{z}) dx dy \equiv I(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the above equation,  $\lambda C^*$  designates the circle  $|z| \leq \lambda r^*$ . To estimate the integral  $I(\lambda)$ , it is convenient to introduce new coordinates  $z' = x' + iy'$  as follows:  $z_1$  shall be the new origin, and the center of  $C_1$  shall lie on the negative  $x'$  axis. In the new system,  $K_D$  is given by

$$(10) \quad K_D(z', \bar{z}') = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( z' + \bar{z}' + \frac{z' \bar{z}'}{r_1} \right)^{-2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( 2x' + \frac{x'^2 + y'^2}{r_1} \right)^{-2}.$$

For values of  $\lambda$  sufficiently near to  $r/r^*$ , the ray  $x' = y'$  will intersect the circle  $\lambda C^*$  in two points whose abscissas  $x'_1(\lambda)$ ,  $x'_2(\lambda)$  have the property

$$(11) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow r/r^* -} x'_1(\lambda) = 0; \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow r/r^* -} x'_2(\lambda) = r.$$

Designate by  $T(\lambda)$  the trapezoid bounded by  $y' = 0$ ,  $y' = x'$ ,  $x' = x'_i(\lambda)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).  $T(\lambda)$  is contained in the circle  $\lambda C^*$ . Now,

$$(12) \quad x'^2 K_D(z', \bar{z}') = \frac{1}{\pi} (2 + x'/r_1 + y'^2/x'r_1)^{-2}$$

so that if  $(x', y')$  is confined to  $T(\lambda)$ , then  $0 \leq x' \leq r$ ,  $0 \leq y' \leq r$ ,  $0 \leq y'/x' \leq 1$ , and hence

$$(13) \quad x'^2 K_D(z', \bar{z}') \geq \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 + (r/r_1))^{-2} = k > 0$$

for  $z'$  in  $T(\lambda)$ . Combining our inequalities we have

$$(14) \quad I(\lambda) \geq \lambda^{-2} \iint_{T(\lambda)} k/x'^2 dx' dy' = \lambda^{-2} \log [x'_2(\lambda)/x'_1(\lambda)].$$

The assertion (7) now follows from (9) and (11), and the proof is complete.

As an application of Theorem 1, we show how, for the case of a simply-connected domain, the quantity  $r_B(0)$  may be expressed in terms of the coefficients of the mapping function of the domain onto the unit circle.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $w(z) = a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots$  map the simply connected domain  $B$  onto the unit circle in the  $w$ -plane,  $z = 0$  corresponding to  $w = 0$ . Then,*

$$(15) \quad 1/r = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1) \left| \sum_{j=\nu}^n \frac{(n-j+1)}{j!} a_{n-j+1} \left( \frac{d^j w}{dz^j} \right)_0 \right|^2 \right\}^{1/2n}.$$

**PROOF.** If  $C$  designates the unit circle in the  $w$ -plane, then by the conformal invariance of the kernel function we have

$$(16) \quad K_B(z, \bar{z}) = K_C(w, \bar{w}) \frac{dw}{dz} \overline{\left( \frac{dw}{dz} \right)}^3.$$

Now,

---

<sup>3</sup>  $z^- = x - iy$ .

$$(17) \quad \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \bar{z}^n} K_B(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{j=0}^n C_{n,j} \left( \frac{dw}{dz} \right)^{-} \frac{\partial^j K_C(w, \bar{w})}{\partial z^j} \frac{d^{n-j+1}w}{dz^{n-j+1}}$$

Furthermore,

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \bar{z}^n} \left( \left( \frac{dw}{dz} \right)^{-} \frac{\partial^j K_C(w, \bar{w})}{\partial z^j} \right) \\ = \sum_{p=0}^n C_{n,p} \frac{\partial^{j+p} K_C(w, \bar{w})}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{z}^p} \left( \frac{d^{n-p+1}w}{dz^{n-p+1}} \right)^{-} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} K_B^{(n,n)}(z, \bar{z}) \\ = \sum_{j=0, p=0}^n C_{n,j} C_{n,p} \frac{d^{n-j+1}w}{dz^{n-j+1}} \left( \frac{d^{n-p+1}w}{dz^{n-p+1}} \right)^{-} \frac{\partial^{j+p} K_C(w, \bar{w})}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{z}^p} \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$(20) \quad K_C(w, \bar{w}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\nu + 1) w^\nu \bar{w}^\nu.$$

Thus

$$(21) \quad \frac{\partial^{j+p}}{\partial z^j \partial \bar{z}^p} K_C(w, \bar{w}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (\nu + 1) \frac{d^j w^\nu}{dz^j} \left( \frac{d^p w^\nu}{dz^p} \right)^{-}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_B^{(n,n)}(0, 0) \\ = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j,p=0}^n C_{n,j} C_{n,p} \left( \frac{d^{n-j+1}w}{dz^{n-j+1}} \right)_0 \left( \frac{d^{n-p+1}w}{dz^{n-p+1}} \right)_0^{-} \\ \cdot \sum_{\nu=0}^{\min(p,j)} (\nu + 1) \left( \frac{d^j w^\nu}{dz^j} \right)_0 \left( \frac{d^p w^\nu}{dz^p} \right)_0^{-} \\ = \frac{(n!)^2}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu + 1) \sum_{j,p=0}^n (n-j+1)(n-p+1) a_{n-j+1} a_{n-p+1}^{-} \\ \cdot \left( \frac{1}{j!} \right) \left( \frac{1}{p!} \right) \left( \frac{d^j w^\nu}{dz^j} \right)_0 \left( \frac{d^p w^\nu}{dz^p} \right)_0^{-} \\ = \frac{(n!)^2}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu + 1) \left| \sum_{j=p}^n \frac{(n-j+1)}{j!} a_{n-j+1} \left( \frac{d^j w^\nu}{dz^j} \right)_0 \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

An application of Theorem 1 now yields (15).

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Stefan Bergman, *The kernel function and conformal mapping*, Mathematical Surveys, no. 5, New York, American Mathematical Society, 1950.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

#### REMARK ON THE HURWITZ ZETA FUNCTION

T. M. APOSTOL

The Hurwitz zeta function, defined for  $0 < a \leq 1$ ,  $\Re(s) > 1$ , by

$$(1) \quad \zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a+n)^s},$$

is given [2]<sup>1</sup> in the negative half-plane by means of

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta(1-s, a) &= \frac{2\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s} \left( \cos \frac{\pi s}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi a n)}{n^s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi a n)}{n^s} \right) \\ &= \frac{2\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(\pi s/2 - 2\pi a n)}{n^s} \quad (\Re(s) > 1). \end{aligned}$$

The functional equation for the Riemann zeta function is obtained from (2) upon setting  $a=1$ .

The more general function

$$(3) \quad \phi(x, a, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i n x}}{(a+n)^s}$$

reduces to  $\zeta(s, a)$  when  $x$  is an integer. Lerch [1] derived the transformation formula

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(x, a, 1-s) &= \frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s} \left\{ e^{\pi i(s/2 - 2ax)} \phi(-a, x, s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{\pi i(-s/2 + 2a(1-x))} \phi(a, 1-x, s) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Presented to the Society, June 16, 1951; received by the editors August 24, 1950 and, in revised form, October 9, 1950.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.