

WIENER INTEGRALS OF MULTIPLE VARIATIONS

MARGARET OWCHAR

1. **Introduction.** N. Wiener [1] has defined measure in the space C of all real-valued functions $x(t)$ continuous on $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and vanishing at $t=0$. He defines a quasi-interval in the space as the set of all $x(t)$ in C satisfying $a_i < x(t_i) < b_i$, $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, where a_i and b_i are real numbers such that $-\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq +\infty$, $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \leq 1$. Wiener then defines the measure of this quasi-interval to be

$$\frac{1}{(\pi^n t_1(t_2 - t_1) \cdots (t_n - t_{n-1}))^{1/2}} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} \cdots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u_1^2}{t_1} - \frac{(u_2 - u_1)^2}{t_2 - t_1} - \cdots - \frac{(u_n - u_{n-1})^2}{t_n - t_{n-1}} \right\} du_1 \cdots du_n.$$

This definition leads in a familiar way to a Lebesgue type integral over C . R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin have investigated the properties of this integral in various papers [2; 3; 4; 5; 6], including its behavior under translation and more general linear and nonlinear transformations. They have defined a complete orthonormal set of functionals on C which provides a means of development of nonlinear functionals in series, and have found methods of evaluating certain classes of Wiener integrals.

In this paper we consider the problem of integrating the n th variation of a functional $F(x)$ over the space C . The first variation, $\delta F(x|y)$, of the functional $F(x)$ with respect to $y(t) \in C$ is defined as $\delta F(x|y) = d[F(x+hy)]/dh|_{h=0}$, and the n th variation is defined as the first variation of the $(n-1)$ st variation; i.e.,

$$\delta^{(n)}F(x|y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{d}{dh} \delta^{(n-1)}F(x + hy_n|y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \Big|_{h=0}.$$

We also derive a result for the integration over C of functionals related to the variations of $F(x)$, viz., functionals $F^{(n)}(x|t_1, \dots, t_n)$ where $\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 F^{(n)}(x|t_1, \dots, t_n) y_1(t_1) \cdots y_n(t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n = \delta^{(n)}F(x|y_1, \dots, y_n)$. It is known that if $\delta F(x|y) = \int_0^1 F^{(1)}(x|t)y(t)dt$, and $F^{(1)}(x|t)$ is continuous in (x, t) , where continuity in x is defined in the uniform topology sense, then $F^{(1)}(x|t)$ is the Volterra derivative of $F(x)$ at the point t , $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Presented to the International Congress of Mathematicians, September 2, 1950; received by the editors April 23, 1951 and, in revised form, November 10, 1951.

The problems we consider are a generalization of certain results proved by R. H. Cameron [2]. We shall have occasion to use one theorem from that paper several times; therefore we quote it here:

THEOREM 1a. *Let $y_0(t) \in C$ be an absolutely continuous function with derivative $y_0'(t)$ which is essentially of bounded variation¹ on $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and let $F(x)$ be a Wiener summable functional over C which has a first variation $\delta F(x|y_0)$ for all x in C . Suppose also there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that $\sup_{|h| \leq \eta} |\delta F(x + hy_0|y_0)|$ is Wiener summable in x on C . Then it follows that both members of the following identity exist and are equal:*

$$\int_c^w \delta F(x|y_0) d_w x = 2 \int_c^w F(x) \left[\int_0^1 y_0'(t) dx(t) \right] d_w x.$$

It is understood that the symbol $\int_c^w F(x) d_w x$ represents the Wiener integral of the functional $F(x)$ over the space C .

2. Integral of the n th variation of a functional. We shall use the following notation in the succeeding theorems: $[n/2]$ will denote the greatest integer less than or equal to $n/2$; $K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)$ will mean a combination of j pairs $(\mu_1, \nu_1), \dots, (\mu_j, \nu_j)$, chosen from the numbers $1, \dots, n$, while $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{n-2j}$ denote the remaining numbers; and $\sum_{K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)}$ will mean the sum over the terms obtained by all possible choices of $K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)$ disregarding order within the pairs as well as among the pairs and the remaining $n - 2j$ numbers. The number of terms in the sum is $n!/2^j j!(n - 2j)!$ for $0 \leq j \leq [n/2]$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t)$ be absolutely continuous functions in C , with derivatives $y_1'(t), y_2'(t), \dots, y_n'(t)$, which are essentially of bounded variation¹ on $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Let $F(x)$ be a functional over C such that*

$$(1.1) \quad F(x) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \left| \int_0^1 y_i'(t) dx(t) \right| \right)$$

is Wiener summable over C . Let the i th variation of $F(x)$ with respect to $y_1(t), \dots, y_i(t)$, $\delta^{(i)} F(x|y_1, y_2, \dots, y_i)$, exist for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and let there exist $\eta_i > 0$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{|h| \leq \eta_i} \left| \delta^{(i)} F(x + hy_i|y_1, \dots, y_i) \right| \cdot \prod_{j=i+1}^n \left(1 + \left| \int_0^1 y_j'(t) dx(t) \right| \right)$$

¹ Here and elsewhere in this paper the requirement that a function be of "bounded variation" can be replaced by the requirement that it be "of class L_2 " if Stieltjes integrals are interpreted as Paley-Wiener-Zygmund integrals.

is summable in x on C , $i=1, 2, \dots, n$. (The product is understood to be unity when $i=n$.) Then it follows that both members of (1.3) exist and are equal:

$$(1.3) \quad \int_c^w \delta^{(n)}F(x | y_1, \dots, y_n) d_w x \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^j 2^{n-j} \left(\sum_{K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)} \prod_{k=1}^j \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \right. \\ \left. \cdot \int_c^w F(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] d_w x \right).$$

PROOF. We shall prove this theorem by induction.

Assume that the theorem is true for n and that $F(x)$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem for $n+1$. Then it is clear that $\delta F(x | y_1)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem for n , and we conclude that

$$(1.4) \quad \int_c^w \delta^{(n+1)}F(x | y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) d_w x \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^j 2^{n-j} \left(\sum_{K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)} \prod_{k=1}^j \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \right. \\ \left. \cdot \int_c^w \delta F(x | y_1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] d_w x \right),$$

where μ_k, ν_k , and ρ_i are chosen from the n numbers $2, 3, \dots, n, n+1$. Now we have

$$(1.5) \quad \delta F(x | y_1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] \\ = \delta \left[F(x) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-2j} \left(\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right) \right] \\ - F(x) \sum_{p=1}^{n-2j} \left\{ \int_0^1 y'_{\rho_p}(t) y'_1(t) dt \prod_{i=1, i \neq p}^{n-2j} \left(\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right) \right\}$$

where the first term on the right represents the variation of the product with respect to $y_1(t)$, and the second term on the right is understood to vanish if $n=2j$. After substitution of (1.5) in (1.4) both terms of the integrand of the Wiener integral on the right are summable since the second term is summable by (1.1), and the difference of the two terms is summable by (1.4). We can therefore write:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_c^w \delta^{(n+1)}F(x \mid y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})d_w x \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^j 2^{n-j} \left(\sum_{K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)} \prod_{k=1}^j \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \right. \\
 (1.6) \quad & \cdot \left\{ \int_c^w \delta \left[F(x) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] \right] d_w x \right\} \\
 & \quad - \int_c^w F(x) \sum_{p=1}^{n-2j} \left(\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_p}(t) y'_1(t) dt \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1, i \neq p}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] d_w x \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we shall prove that $H(x) \equiv F(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2j} [\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t)]$ satisfies Theorem 1a. $H(x)$ is summable for $j=0, 1, \dots, [n/2]$ by (1.1). Its first variation with respect to $y_1(t)$ exists as in (1.5), and it remains only to show that $\eta > 0$ exists such that $\sup_{|h| \leq \eta} |\delta H(x + hy_1 | y_1)|$ is Wiener summable on C . To this end we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta H(x + hy_1 | y_1) \\
 &= \delta F(x + hy_1 | y_1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) + h \int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) y'_1(t) dt \right] \\
 (1.7) \quad & + F(x + hy_1) \sum_{p=1}^{n-2j} \left\{ \int_0^1 y'_{\rho_p}(t) y'_1(t) dt \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1, i \neq p}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) + h \int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) y'_1(t) dt \right] \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1.2) for $n+1$ we have that there exists $\eta_1 > 0$ such that the supremum for $|h| \leq \eta_1$ of the first term on the right of (1.7) is summable, $j=0, 1, \dots, [n/2]$. To show that the supremum for $|h| \leq \eta_2$ of the second term on the right of (1.7) is summable in x for some $\eta_2 < 0$, we apply the mean value theorem to $F(x)$, obtaining $F(x + hy_1) = h\delta F(x + \theta hy_1 | y_1) + F(x)$, $0 < \theta < 1$. Now, by (1.2) there exists $\eta_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{|h| \leq \eta_3} \left| h\delta F(x + \theta hy_1 | y_1) \cdot \prod_{i=1, i \neq p}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. + h \int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) y'_1(t) dt \right] \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

is summable in x on C , while by (1.1) likewise $\eta_4 > 0$ exists for which

$$\sup_{|h| \leq \eta_4} \left| F(x) \prod_{i=1, i \neq p}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) + h \int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) y'_1(t) dt \right] \right|$$

is summable for $j=0, 1, \dots, [n/2]$. Hence we may conclude that there exists $\eta_2 > 0$ such that the supremum of the second term on the right side of (1.7) for $|h| \leq \eta_2$ is summable in x .

Thus we now apply the conclusion of Theorem 1a to $H(x)$ in (1.6) and arrive at the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_c^w \delta^{(n+1)} F(x | y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) d_w x \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^j 2^{n-j+1} \left(\sum_{K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)} \prod_{k=1}^j \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \int_c^w \left\{ F(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] \cdot \int_0^1 y'_1(t) dx(t) \right\} d_w x \\ & \quad - \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^j 2^{n-j} \left(\sum_{K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)} \prod_{k=1}^j \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \int_c^w F(x) \sum_{p=1}^{n-2j} \left(\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_p}(t) y'_1(t) dt \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. \cdot \prod_{i=1, i \neq p}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] \right) d_w x \right). \end{aligned}$$

To combine these terms we let $j' = j + 1$ in the second term, remembering that when $2j = 2j' - 2 = n$, the summand is zero. We obtain (dropping primes):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_c^w \delta^{(n+1)} F(x | y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) d_w x \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^j 2^{n+1-j} \left(\sum_{K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)} \prod_{k=1}^j \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \int_c^w \left\{ F(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] \int_0^1 y'_1(t) dx(t) \right\} d_w x \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^{[(n+1)/2]} (-1)^j 2^{n+1-j} \left(\sum_{K_{n,j-1}(\mu, \nu, \rho)} \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{n+2-2j} \int_0^1 y'_{\rho_p}(t) y'_1(t) dt \right. \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \\ & \quad \left. \left. \cdot \int_c^w F(x) \prod_{i=1, i \neq p}^{n+2-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] d_w x \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(where $K_{n,j}$ means j pairs from $2, \dots, n+1$).

In the second term on the right-hand side the summation with respect to j will go from 1 to $[(n+1)/2]$ only, since if n is odd, $[n/2]+1 = [(n+1)/2]$, while if n is even, the summand vanishes when $j = n/2 + 1$. Combining the two terms we have the required result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_c^w \delta^{(n+1)} F(x | y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) d_w x \\
 (1.8) \quad & = \sum_{j=0}^{[(n+1)/2]} (-1)^j 2^{n+1-j} \left(\sum_{K_{n+1,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)} \prod_{k=1}^j \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \cdot \int_c^w F(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] d_w x \Big).
 \end{aligned}$$

For,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{K_{n,j-1}(\mu, \nu, \rho)} \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{n+2-2j} \int_0^1 y'_{\rho_p}(t) y'_1(t) dt \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \cdot \left. \int_c^w F(x) \prod_{i=1, i \neq p}^{n+2-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] d_w x \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

is equal to the sum of

$$\prod_{k=1}^j \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\mu_k}(t) y'_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \cdot \int_c^w F(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1-2j} \left[\int_0^1 y'_{\rho_i}(t) dx(t) \right] d_w x$$

over all terms obtained by all the possible combinations of j pairs $(\mu_1, \nu_1), \dots, (\mu_j, \nu_j)$ chosen from the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n, n+1$ so that exactly one pair includes the number 1, while $\sum_{K_{n,j}(\mu, \nu, \rho)}$ is the sum over all terms obtained by choosing j pairs $(\mu_1, \nu_1), \dots, (\mu_j, \nu_j)$ from the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n, n+1$ so that none of the pairs involves the number 1.

Now (1.8) is precisely the result of our theorem for $n+1$. This result has been verified for $n=1$ in Theorem 1a [2]. Hence the theorem is true for all values of n .

NOTE. It might be useful, from the standpoint of application, to note that the above theorem holds under the following set of conditions:

Let $F(x)$ be measurable and satisfy the inequality $|F(x)| < A_0 \exp(B_0 \int_0^1 [x(t)]^2 dt)$, A_0 any constant, $B_0 < \pi^2/4$. Let $y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t)$ be defined as in Theorem 1, and let $\delta^{(i)} F(x | y_1, \dots, y_i), i=1, 2, \dots, n$, be measurable and such that $|\delta^{(i)} F(x | y_1, \dots, y_i)| < A_i \cdot \exp(B_i \int_0^1 [x(t)]^2 dt)$, A_i any constant, $B_i < \pi^2/4$.

To show that these conditions imply the conditions of Theorem 1, we use the properties of exponentials and the Hölder inequality. The summability of the dominating functionals has been proved [3; 5].

3. A special case of Theorem 1. Suppose $y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_n(t) \in C$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1, and at the same time are such that the set of derivatives $y'_j(t)$ is made up of ν subsets of identical elements—say r_i derivatives equal to $y'_i(t)$, $i=1, \dots, \nu$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} r_i = n$. (We can, if necessary, relabel the $y(t)$ to fit this notation.) Suppose, also, that the distinct members of the set of derivatives are orthonormal. Then Theorem 1 will hold with

$$(1.9) \quad \int_c^w \delta^{(n)} F(x | y_1, \dots, y_n) d_w x \\ = \int_c^w F(x) \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \left\{ H_{r_i} \left(\int_0^1 y'_i(t) dx(t) \right) \right\} d_w x,$$

where $H_m(u)$ is the Hermite polynomial defined as

$$H_m(u) = (-1)^m \left\{ \frac{d^m}{du^m} e^{-u^2} \right\} e^{u^2},$$

and expressible as

$$H_m(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \frac{2^{m-2j} m!}{j!(m-2j)!} u^{m-2j}.$$

The proof of (1.9) is straightforward.

4. Integral of a functional related to the first variation. The theorem which follows will serve as a starting point for the induction proof of Theorem 3. It has been generalized from R. H. Cameron's Theorem III in [2] to the extent needed in another portion of the succeeding theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let $F(x)$ be a Wiener summable functional over C such that

$$(2.1) \quad F(x) \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|$$

is also Wiener summable. Let $F(x)$ have a first variation with respect to $y(t) \in C$, such that

$$(2.2) \quad \delta F(x | y) = \int_c^w K(x | t) y(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^n L_j(x) y(t_j),$$

where $K(x|t)$ and $L_j(x)$ are measurable functionals over C and $C \otimes [0, 1]$ respectively, with the property that for each $y(t) \in C$ there exist $\eta = \eta(y) > 0$ for which

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{h \leq \eta, 0 \leq t \leq 1} |K(x + hy|t)| \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{h \leq \eta, j=1,2,\dots,n} |L_j(x + hy)|$$

are summable in x on C . Then it follows that $\int_c^w F(x)x(t)d_w x$ has an absolutely continuous derivative with respect to $t, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, except for jumps at $t_j, j=1, 2, \dots, n$, and this derivative vanishes at $t=1$ if $t_j \neq 1, j=1, 2, \dots, n$. Moreover,

$$(2.4) \quad \int_c^w K(x|t)d_w x = -2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_c^w F(x)x(t)d_w x$$

for almost all t on $0 \leq t \leq 1$. In fact, (2.4) holds whenever its left member is continuous on $[0, 1]$ except at t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n .

The proof is closely analogous to that of Cameron's theorem.

5. Integral of a functional related to the n th variation.

THEOREM 3. Let $F(x)$ be a Wiener summable functional over C such that

$$(3.1) \quad F(x) \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^n$$

is also Wiener summable over C .

Let the i th variation of $F(x)$ exist, $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, and let it be expressible as

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\delta^{(i)}F(x|y_1, \dots, y_i) \\ &= \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 F^{(i)}(x|t_1, \dots, t_i)y_1(t_1) \dots y_i(t_i)dt_1 \dots dt_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $F^{(i)}(x|t_1, \dots, t_i)$ is continuous in (x, t_1, \dots, t_i) , continuity in x being in the uniform topology, and where $0 \leq t_j \leq 1, j=1, 2, \dots, i$. For each $y(t) \in C$ let there exist $\eta = \eta(y) > 0$ for which

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{|h| \leq \eta, 0 \leq t_j \leq 1, j=1,2,\dots,i} |F^{(i)}(x + hy|t_1, \dots, t_i)| \cdot \left(1 + \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^{n-i}\right)$$

is Wiener summable in x on $C, i=1, 2, \dots, n$. Then it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_c^w F^{(n)}(x | t_1, \dots, t_n) d_w x = (-2)^n \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t_n^2 \partial t_{n-1}^2 \dots \partial t_1^2} \int_c^w F(x) \prod_{i=1}^n \{x(t_i)\} d_w x$$

for all $\{t_i\}$ on $[0, 1]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that $t_i \neq t_j$ when $i \neq j$.

This theorem and Theorem 2 could be proved under the weaker condition that (3.3) and (2.3) be summable for a set of $y(t) \in C$ whose derivatives $y'(t)$ are absolutely continuous on $[0, 1]$ and vanish at $t=1$, and whose second derivatives $y''(t)$ are closed in $L_2(0, 1)$.

PROOF. This theorem, too, we shall prove by induction. Let us assume that the result holds for n . Then, under the same hypotheses for $n+1$ as quoted for n above, we shall demonstrate that the theorem is true for $n+1$.

If we define $G(x) = F^{(1)}(x | t_1)$, we have

$$F^{(n+1)}(x | t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) = G^{(n)}(x | t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}),$$

so that under hypotheses for $n+1$ and for fixed t_1 , $G(x)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem for n . That is, $G(x)$ and $G(x) \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^n$ are summable in x on C as a consequence of (3.3) with n replaced by $n+1$, $i=1$, and $h=0$. Also, since $G^{(i)}(x | t_2, \dots, t_{i+1}) = F^{(i+1)}(x | t_1, \dots, t_{i+1})$, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{|h| \leq \eta, 0 \leq t_j \leq 1, j=2,3,\dots,t+1} \left| G^{(i)}(x + hy | t_2, \dots, t_{i+1}) \right| \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot (1 + \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^{n-i}) \\ & \leq \sup_{|h| \leq \eta, 0 \leq t_j \leq 1, j=1,2,\dots,t+1} \left| F^{(i+1)}(x + hy | t_1, \dots, t_{i+1}) \right| \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot (1 + \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^{n+1-(i+1)}); \end{aligned}$$

hence (3.3) is satisfied by $G(x)$. We conclude therefore that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_c^w F^{(n+1)}(x | t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) d_w x = (-2)^n \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial t_{n+1}^2 \dots \partial t_2^2} \int_c^w F^{(1)}(x | t_1) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\} d_w x$$

for all $\{t_i\}$ on $[0, 1]$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$, such that $t_i \neq t_j$ when $i \neq j$.

Next we consider $H(x) = F(x) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\}$, and show that $H(x)$

satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2. $H(x)$ is summable since $F(x) \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^n$ is summable when (3.1) holds for $n+1$. Also $H(x) \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|$ is summable since $|H(x)| \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)| \leq |F(x)| \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^{n+1}$ which is given summable in (3.1) for $n+1$. Furthermore,

$$\delta H(x | y_1) = \delta F(x | y_1) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\} + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \left[F(x) \cdot y_1(t_k) \cdot \prod_{i=2, i \neq k}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\} \right],$$

wherefore we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta H(x | y_1) &= \int_0^1 F^{(1)}(x | t_1) y_1(t_1) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\} dt_1 \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \left[F(x) \left\{ \prod_{i=2, i \neq k}^{n+1} [x(t_i)] \right\} y_1(t_k) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|h| \leq \eta, 0 \leq t_1 \leq 1} \left| F^{(1)}(x + hy_1 | t_1) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \{x(t_i) + hy_1(t_i)\} \right| \\ \cong \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \sup_{|h| \leq \eta, 0 \leq t_1 \leq 1} |F^{(1)}(x + hy_1 | t_1)| \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^{n-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_k = {}_n C_k \eta^k \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |y_1(t)|^k$; but the expression on the right-hand side is summable by (3.3) for $n+1$, with $i=1$. Also,

$$\sup_{|h| \leq \eta} \left| F(x + hy_1) \cdot \prod_{i=2, i \neq k}^{n+1} \{x(t_i) + hy_1(t_i)\} \right|, \quad k = 2, \dots, n+1,$$

is summable over C . For, by the mean value theorem, $F(x + hy_1) = h\delta F(x + \theta hy_1 | y_1) + F(x)$, where $0 < \theta < 1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|h| \leq \eta} \left| h\delta F(x + \theta hy_1 | y_1) \prod_{i=2, i \neq k}^{n+1} \{x(t_i) + hy_1(t_i)\} \right| \\ \leq \eta \sup_{|h| \leq \eta, 0 \leq t_1 \leq 1} \left| F^{(1)}(x + \theta hy_1 | t_1) \right| \cdot \max_{0 \leq t_1 \leq 1} |y_1(t_1)| \\ \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t) + hy_1(t)|^{n-1} \\ \leq {}_{n-1} C \eta^n \sup_{|h| \leq \eta, 0 \leq t_1 \leq 1} \left| F^{(1)}(x + \theta hy_1 | t_1) \right| \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^i \\ \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |y_1(t)|^{n-i} \end{aligned}$$

which is summable by (3.3). Likewise

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|h| \leq \eta} \left| F(x) \cdot \prod_{i=2, i \neq k}^{n+1} \{x(t_i) + hy_1(t_i)\} \right| \\ \leq |F(x)| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n_{-1} C_j \eta^{n-j-1} \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t)|^j \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |y_1(t)|^{n-j-1} \end{aligned}$$

which is summable by (3.1).

We apply Theorem 2 to $H(x)$ with

$$K(x | t_1) = F^{(1)}(x | t_1) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\} \text{ and } L_j(x) = F(x) \cdot \prod_{i=2, i \neq k}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\}$$

as in (3.6), and obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \int_c^w F^{(1)}(x | t_1) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\} d_w x = -2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1^2} \int_c^w F(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\} d_w x$$

for all t_1 on $[0, 1]$ except at the points $t_1 = t_i, i = 2, \dots, n+1$.

Finally, substituting for

$$\int_c^w F^{(1)}(x | t_1) \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \{x(t_i)\} d_w x,$$

from (3.7) in (3.5), we obtain the desired result. To complete the induction, we note that the theorem holds for $n = 1$ by Theorem 2.

6. Applications. Let $z_1(t), z_2(t), \dots, z_{2n}(t)$ be functions which are of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$, then it follows easily from Theorem 1 that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_c^w \prod_{i=1}^{2n} \left[\int_0^1 z_i(t) dx(t) \right] d_w x \\ = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{K_{2n,n}(\mu, \nu)} \prod_{k=1}^n \left[\int_0^1 z_{\mu_k}(t) z_{\nu_k}(t) dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{2n,n}(\mu, \nu)$ represents a combination of n pairs $(\mu_1, \nu_1), (\mu_2, \nu_2), \dots, (\mu_n, \nu_n)$ from the $2n$ numbers $1, 2, \dots, 2n$, and $\sum_{K_{2n,n}(\mu, \nu)}$ is the sum over terms obtained by all possible different choices of the n pairs from these numbers.

This is a generalization of the Paley-Wiener-Zygmund formula [7]. Wiener has proved this result for $n = 1$ in paper [1]. The induction

follows through easily using Theorem 1 with $F(x) = 1$.

If it is further assumed that $z_1(t), \dots, z_n(t)$ are orthonormal on $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we have immediately

$$\int_c^w \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\int_0^1 z_i(t) dx(t) \right]^2 d_w x = \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

It is hoped that the results which have been established in this paper will prove useful in the manipulation and evaluation of other Wiener integrals. In particular, it is hoped that the special case of Theorem 1 will lead to stronger convergence theorems on the Fourier-Hermite development of functionals.

REFERENCES

1. N. Wiener, *Generalized harmonic analysis*, Acta Math. vol. 55 (1930) pp. 117-258, especially pp. 214-234.
2. R. H. Cameron, *The first variation of an indefinite Wiener integral*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society vol. 2 (1951) pp. 914-924.
3. R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin, *Evaluation of various Wiener integrals by use of certain Sturm-Liouville differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 51 (1945).
4. ———, *The orthogonal development of non-linear functionals in series of Fourier-Hermite functionals*, Ann. of Math. vol. 48 (1948).
5. ———, *Transformations of Wiener integrals under translations*, Ann. of Math. vol. 45 (1944).
6. ———, *Transformations of Wiener integrals under a general class of linear transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 58 (1945).
7. R. E. A. C. Paley, N. Wiener, and A. Zygmund, *Notes on random functions*, Math. Zeit. vol. 37 (1933) pp. 647-668, especially p. 650.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA