

ON THE FACTORIZATION OF SQUAREFREE INTEGERS

ABE SKLAR

In recent years several papers [1; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 9; 10; 11] have appeared dealing with the problem of "Factorisatio numerorum," the number $f(n)$ of representations of an integer n as an ordered product of factors greater than 1. As a result, the basic combinatorial properties of $f(n)$ and the asymptotic behavior of its summatory function are well known. In this paper, I determine the asymptotic behavior of $f(n)$ itself for squarefree n and use the result to determine a "normal" order of $f(n)$ for all n .

If n is written as a product of powers of distinct primes p_i , then $f(n)$ is evidently a symmetric function of the exponents of the p_i 's. If n is squarefree, all the exponents are 1 and $f(n)$ can be considered a function of the single variable r , the number of distinct prime factors of n . It is therefore convenient to define, for positive integral r :

$$h(r) = f(S_r),$$

where S_r represents any squarefree integer with r prime factors.

A (convergent) asymptotic expansion of $h(r)$ is given by:

THEOREM 1. (a) *For every positive integral r ,*

$$(1) \quad h(r) = 2^{-1}r!(\log 2)^{-r-1}\{1 + R_r\},$$

and the remainder R_r can be expressed in the form:

$$(2) \quad 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\cos \theta_n)^{r+1} \cos \{(r+1)\theta_n\},$$

where θ_n is defined by:

$$(3) \quad \cos \theta_n = \frac{\log 2}{2\pi n} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\log 2}{2\pi n} \right)^2 \right\}^{-1/2}.$$

(b) *For every positive integral r ,*

$$(4) \quad |R_r| \leq 2\zeta(r+1) \left(\frac{\log 2}{2\pi} \right)^{r+1}$$

where $\zeta(r+1)$ is the Riemann zeta function.

PROOF. Sen [10] proves the identity:¹

Received by the editors December 17, 1951.

¹ The identity (5) is a special case of the general relation $f(n) = 2^{-1} \sum d_k(n) 2^{-k}$, which relation does not seem to appear explicitly anywhere in the literature. $d_k(n)$ is the number of ordered factorizations of n into k factors greater than or equal to 1.

$$(5) \quad h(r) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^r 2^{-n}.$$

Part (a) of Theorem 1 is merely the result of applying the Euler-MacLaurin formula to (5).

For this purpose, let $g_r(x) = 2^{-1}x^r 2^{-x}$ for x real. Then $g_r(x)$ and all its derivatives vanish at $+\infty$, are integrable from 0 to $+\infty$, and $g_r(x)$ and its derivatives up to order $r-1$ vanish at $x=0$. Since $g_r(n) = 2^{-1}n^r 2^{-n}$, the Euler-MacLaurin formula gives for every positive integral k :

$$(6) \quad h(r) = \int_0^{\infty} g_r(x) dx - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{B_{2j}}{(2j)!} g_r^{(2j-1)}(0) \\ + \int_0^{\infty} p_{2k+1}(x) g_r^{(2k+1)}(x) dx,$$

the notation being that of Knopp [8, pp. 524-526].

The first term on the right gives the dominant term in (1), since

$$\int_0^{\infty} g_r(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} x^r 2^{-x} dx = \frac{1}{2} r! (\log 2)^{-r-1}.$$

Set

$$I_{r,k} = \int_0^{\infty} p_{2k+1}(x) g_r^{(2k+1)}(x) dx.$$

Then

$$|I_{r,k}| \leq 4(2\pi)^{-2k-1} \int_0^{\infty} |g_r^{(2k+1)}(x)| dx$$

since $|P_{2k-1}(x)| \leq 4(2\pi)^{-2k-1}$ [8, p. 527].

From Leibnitz's rule, for any positive integral m :

$$(7) \quad g_r^{(m)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\min(m,r)} (-1)^{m-l} C_{m,l} C_{r,l} l! (\log 2)^{m-l} x^{r-l} 2^{-x}.$$

It immediately follows that:

$$|g_r^{(2k+1)}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\min(m,r)} C_{2k+1,l} C_{r,l} l! (\log 2)^{2k+1-l} x^{r-l} 2^{-x}.$$

If $f_j(n)$ be defined as the number of factorizations of n into j factors greater than n , then the general relation is a consequence of the identity (Strehler [11]) $d_k(n) = \sum_{j=1}^k C_{k,j} f_j(n)$.

When this is substituted into the inequality for $|I_{r,k}|$, and the integral evaluated, the result is:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{r,k}| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{r!}{(\log 2)^r} \left(\frac{\log 2}{2\pi}\right)^{2k} \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} C_{2k+1,i} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{r!}{(\log 2)^r} \left(\frac{\log 2}{\pi}\right)^{2k} \end{aligned}$$

where the expression on the right tends to zero, for fixed r , as k increases.

Since the remainder in (6) tends to zero as k increases, (6) may be written:

$$h(r) = \frac{1}{2} r! (\log 2)^{-r-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2j}}{(2j)!} g_r^{(2j-1)}(0).$$

From (7),

$$g_r^{(2j-1)}(0) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{2j-r-1} C_{2j-1,r} r! (\log 2)^{2j-r-1}.$$

Hence,

$$R_r = (-1)^r \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2j}}{(2j)!} C_{2j-1,r} (\log 2)^{2j}.$$

Now [8, p. 237],

$$\frac{B_{2j}}{(2j)!} = (-1)^{j-1} \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{2j}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2j}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} R_r &= (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(-1)^j C_{2j-1,r} \left(\frac{\log 2}{2n\pi}\right)^{2j} \\ &= (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 \cos \frac{\pi j}{2} C_{j-1,r} a_n^j \end{aligned}$$

where $a_n = (\log 2)/2n\pi$. Substitution of $i^j + (-i)^j$ for $2 \cos(2^{-1}\pi j)$, interchanging of the order of summation, and use of the identity

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_{j-1,r} y^j = y^{r+1} (1-y)^{-r-1}$$

leads to the expression:

$$R_r = (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{r+1} \{(-i - a_n)^{-r-1} + (i - a_n)^{-r-1}\}$$

which, when put back into real form, is (2).

Part (b) of Theorem 1 follows from (2) and (3), for:

$$\begin{aligned} |R_r| &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\cos \theta_n|^{r+1} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\log 2}{2\pi n}\right)^{r+1} = 2 \left(\frac{\log 2}{2\pi}\right)^{r+1} \zeta(r+1). \end{aligned}$$

Deviating slightly from Hardy and Ramanujan [2], I define a "normal" order of a numerical function $F(n)$ as a function $G(n)$ such that $F(n) \sim G(n)$ as n increases over a set that includes almost all integers ("almost all" in the sense of Hardy and Ramanujan [2]). Under this definition, a consequence of Theorem 1 is:

THEOREM 2. *A normal order of $\log f(n)$ is $\log n \log \log n$.*

PROOF. Let $r_1 = r_1(n)$ be the number of distinct prime factors of n , and $r_2 = r_2(n)$ be the total number of prime factors of n , distinct or not. Evidently, $\log h(r_1) \leq \log f(n) \leq \log h(r_2)$. By Theorem 1, $\log h(r) = r \log r \{1 + o(1)\}$ as r increases. Hence,

$$r_1 \log r_1 \{1 + o(1)\} \leq \log f(n) \leq r_2 \log r_2 \{1 + o(1)\}.$$

Now r_1 and r_2 both have the normal orders $\log \log n$ [2, Theorems B' and C']. Therefore, for almost all n , $\log \log n \log \log n \{1 + o(1)\} \leq \log f(n) \leq \log \log n \log \log n \{1 + o(1)\}$ which is equivalent to Theorem 2.

A maximum order of a non-negative numerical function $F(n)$ defined over an infinite set of positive integers may be defined as a function $G(n)$ such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(n)/G(n) = 1$.

THEOREM 3. *A maximum order of $\log f(n)$ for squarefree n is $\log n$.*

PROOF. Take n_k to be the product of the first k primes. Then $\log f(n_k) = \log h(k)$ and $\log f(n_k) \sim k \log k$, since $\log h(k) \sim k \log k$ by Theorem 1. By the prime-number theorem $k \log k \sim \log n_k$, hence

$$\log f(n_k) \sim \log n_k.$$

For any squarefree integer n , there is an n_k for which $f(n_k) = f(n)$, $n_k \leq n$. Hence $\log f(n) = \log f(n_k) = \log n_k \{1 + o(1)\} \leq \log n \{1 + o(1)\}$.

Theorem 3 may be compared with the fact (Hille [3], Ikehara

[5]) that the maximum order of $\log f(n)$ for all n is $\rho \log n$, where ρ is the unique positive number with the property $\zeta(\rho) = 2$.

In conclusion, I wish to acknowledge my deep appreciation and indebtedness to Dr. Allen Strehler, who kindled and sustained my interest in this problem, and to Drs. Saunders MacLane and Lowell Schoenfeld for their assistance and encouragement.

REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős, *On some asymptotic formulas in the theory of factorisatio numerorum*, Ann. of Math. vol. 42 (1941) pp. 989–993.
2. G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, *The normal number of prime factors of a number n* , Quart. J. Math. vol. 48 (1920) pp. 76–92. Reprinted as No. 35 of Ramanujan's *Collected papers*.
3. E. Hille, *A problem of factorisatio numerorum*, Acta Arithmetica vol. 2 (1936) pp. 134–144.
4. S. Ikehara, *A theorem in factorisatio numerorum*, Tôhoku Math. J. vol. 44 (1938) pp. 162–164.
5. ———, *On Kalmar's problem in factorisatio numerorum*, Proceedings of the Physico-Mathematical Society of Japan (3) vol. 21 (1939) pp. 208–219; vol. 23 (1941) pp. 767–774.
6. L. Kalmar, *A factorisatio numerorum problemájáról*, Matematikai és Fizikai Lapok vol. 38 (1931) pp. 1–15.
7. ———, *Über die mittlere Anzahl der Produktdarstellungen der Zahlen*, Acta Litterarum ac Scientiarum Szeged vol. 5 (1931) pp. 95–107.
8. K. Knopp, *Theory and application of infinite series*, Blackie, 1928.
9. U. Kühnel, *Über die Anzahl der Produktdarstellungen der positiven ganzen Zahlen*, Archiv. der Mathematik vol. 2 (1949–50) pp. 215–219.
10. D. N. Sen, *A problem on factorisatio numerorum*, Proceedings of the Calcutta Mathematical Society vol. 33 (1941) pp. 1–8.
11. A. Strehler, *A result in factorisatio numerorum*, unpublished, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Abstract 54-11-444.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO