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In the author's paper [1] it is shown that if a sequence of orientable
n-dimensional generalized closed manifolds (abbreviated n-gcm) con-
verge (n — 1)-regularly to an n-dimensional set, then the limit set is
also an orientable n-gcm (see Definitions 1 and 2). In this paper a
similar result is obtained for manifolds with boundary.

Throughout the paper we assume that our sets are imbedded in a
compact Hausdorff space S and that the cycles are Čech cycles with
coefficients in an arbitrary field which we will omit from our notation
for a cycle. All of the basic homology theory needed is in [7] and a
knowledge of it will be assumed. We shall use the notation \( \{A_i\} \to A \)
to mean that the sequence of sets \( A_i \subseteq S \) converges to \( A \subseteq S \) as a
limit (see p. 10 of [5]). We shall consider convergence only when all
of the sets \( A_i \) are closed, and shall not explicitly state this hence-
forth; the limit set, as is well known, will always be closed. We shall
use small latin letters for points, large ones for sets, and script letters
for finite collections of open sets which are coverings of \( S \). We shall
use "\( \cup \)" for point set union or sum, "\( \cap \)" for intersection, reserving
\( + \) and \( - \) for the group operations. By \( \cup \mathcal{A} \) we shall mean the
subcomplex of the nerve of \( \mathcal{U} \) whose vertices are elements of the
covering \( \mathcal{U} \) that have a nonvacuous intersection with \( A \). If every
element of \( \mathcal{U} \) is contained in some element of \( \mathcal{V} \), we shall say that \( \mathcal{U} \)
is a refinement of \( \mathcal{V} \), and shall write \( \mathcal{U} > \mathcal{V} \), or \( \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{V}) \); we shall
use the notation\(^1\) \( \Pi_{\mathcal{V}} \) to denote a simplicial projection from the
nerve of \( \mathcal{U} \) into the nerve of \( \mathcal{V} \). By \( \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \) we mean that \( \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \), and
by \( \mathcal{U} > \mathcal{V} \) we mean that the closure of the elements of \( \mathcal{U} \) form a re-
finement of \( \mathcal{V} \), and by \( \mathcal{U} > \mathcal{V} \), we mean that the stars of the elements
of \( \mathcal{U} \) form a refinement of \( \mathcal{V} \). If a cell \( \sigma \) of a covering \( \mathcal{U} \) has a nucleus
(the intersection of all the open sets representing the vertices of \( \sigma \))
that meets a set \( B \), we say that \( \sigma \) is on \( B \); if all the cells with nonzero
coefficients in a chain \( C' \) of \( \mathcal{U} \) are on \( B \), we say that \( C' \) is on \( B \). If
the nucleus of \( \sigma \) is in the open set \( Q \), we say \( \sigma \) is in \( Q \). We shall use
the symbol "\( \partial \)" to denote the boundary operator.

\textbf{Definition 1.} We say that \( \{A_i\} \to A \) \( n \)-regularly if and only if
\( \{A_i\} \to A \) and corresponding to any coverings \( \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \), there is a covering
\( \mathcal{U}' := \Pi_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{U}) \) and an integer \( N \) each depending only on \( \mathcal{U} \), and a cover-

\(^1\) Because of notational difficulties, lower case italic subscripts \( u \) and \( v \) are used
here instead of capital script.
ing \( \mathcal{V}_n = \mathcal{V}_n'(U, \mathcal{V}) \) such that if, for \( i > N \) and \( r \leq n \), \( Z'(\mathcal{V}_n' \wedge A_i) \) is a cycle on \( A_i \) with diameter \( < U'_i \), then \( \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_n} Z'(\mathcal{V}_n' \wedge A_i) \) bounds a chain on \( \mathcal{V} \wedge A_i \) with diameter \( < U \).

**Definition 2.** The compact space \( M \) will be called an \( n \)-dimensional generalized manifold (n-gm) with boundary if there exists a closed subset \( K \) of \( M \) such that

(a) \( M = K \cup A \) where \( A \) is open and \( K = \overline{A} - A \),
(b) \( \dim M = n \) (in the sense of Lebesgue, see p. 195 of [7]),
(c) \( p_r(M \mod K, x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in M \), \( r \leq n - 1 \),
(d) \( p_n(M \mod K, x) = 1 \) for all \( x \in M \),
(e) \( p_r(M, x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in K \), \( r \leq n \).

This definition reduces to Wilder's definition of an \( n \)-dimensional generalized closed manifold (n-gcm) when \( K = 0 \) (see p. 244 of [7]).

We first prove the following theorem which will be useful in proving the main result.

**Theorem 1.** If \( \{A_i\} \) and \( \{B_i\} \) are sequences of closed sets such that \( \{A_i\} \rightarrow A \) and \( \{B_i\} \rightarrow B \), both \( n \)-regularly, and \( \{A_i \cap B_i\} \rightarrow A \cap B \ (n - 1) \)-regularly, then \( \{A_i \cup B_i\} \rightarrow A \cup B \) \( n \)-regularly.

**Proof.** Let \( U, \mathcal{V} \) be arbitrary coverings of the space. Choose \( U_i > * U \), then there exist \( U_i' > * U_i \), \( N_1 \), and \( U_i'' > * U_i \), \( N_2 \); also \( \mathcal{V}_n' \) and \( \mathcal{V}_n'' \) in the definition of \( n \)-regular convergence of \( \{A_i\} \) and \( \{B_i\} \) respectively corresponding to \( U_i, \mathcal{V} \). Choose \( U_i'' > (U_i', \mathcal{V}_n') \) and \( U_i'' > * U_i'' \) and \( \mathcal{V}_n'' > (\mathcal{V}_n', \mathcal{V}_n'') \). There exists a covering \( U_{n-1}' \) > * \( U_n' \), \( N_3 \), and \( \mathcal{V}_{n-1}' \) in the definition of the \( (n-1) \)-regular convergence of \( \{A_i \cap B_i\} \) corresponding to \( \mathcal{V}_n'' \) and \( \mathcal{V}_n''' \). Also suppose \( \mathcal{V}_{n-1}' \) is chosen so that any cell of \( \mathcal{V}_{n-1}' \) on both \( A \) and \( B \) will also be on \( A \cap B \). Choose \( \mathcal{V}'' > \mathcal{V}_{n-1}' \) and \( N_4 \) according to Theorem 2 of [1] so that \( \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_n''} \) maps \( C'(\mathcal{V}'' \wedge A_i) \) and \( C'(\mathcal{V}_n'' \wedge B_i) \) for \( i > N_4 \) homeomorphically into \( C'(\mathcal{V}_{n-1}' \wedge A) \) and \( C'(\mathcal{V}_{n-1}' \wedge B) \), respectively. Finally choose \( N_5 \) according to Theorem 1 of [1] such that if \( i > N_5 \), then the identity projection maps \( C'(\mathcal{V}_{n-1}' \wedge (A \cap B)) \) isomorphically into \( C'(\mathcal{V}_{n-1}' \wedge (A_i \cap B_i)) \). Let \( N = N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4 + N_5 \). Consider \( i > N \) and a cycle \( Z \) on \( \mathcal{V}' \wedge (A_i \cup B_i) \) with diameter \( < U_{n-1}' \). Let \( Z = Z_1 + Z_2 \) where \( Z_1 \) is the part of \( Z \) on \( A_i \) and \( Z_2 = Z' - Z_1 \); hence on \( B_i \). Let \( Z_{i-1} = \partial Z_1 = - \partial Z_2 \), then \( \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_{n-1}''} Z_{i-1} \) is on both \( A \) and \( B \) and, hence, on \( A \cap B \). Applying the identity projection, we conclude that \( \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_{n-1}''} Z_{i-1} \) is on \( A \cap B_i \) and, of course, has diameter \( < U_{n-1}' \). Thus there exists a chain \( C \) on \( \mathcal{V}_n''' \wedge (A_i \cap B_i) \) with diameter \( < U_n'' \) such that \( \partial C' = \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_n''} \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_{n-1}''} Z_{i-1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_n''} Z_{i-1}' \). Now \( \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_n''} Z_{i-1} + C \) and \( \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_n''} Z_{i-1} + C \) are cycles of \( \mathcal{V}_n'' \wedge A_i \) and \( \mathcal{V}_n'' \wedge B_i \), respectively, each with diameter \( < U_n'' \) (in fact each is on the same element of \( \mathcal{V}_n'' \)). Now \( \Pi_{\mathcal{V}_n''} (\Pi_{\mathcal{V}_n''} Z_{i-1} - C) \) bounds a chain \( C_{i+1} \) of
\( \mathcal{V} \setminus A_i \) with diameter \( <U_i \) and \( \Pi_{\nu, \ldots, \nu} \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{V}^+ Z_2 + C' \) bounds a chain \( G_2^+ \) of \( \mathcal{V} \setminus B_i \) with diameter \( <U_i \). Now each of the above cycles is on an element \( U''_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n \); hence in \( St(U''_n, U''_n) \subset \text{an element } U_i \subseteq \mathcal{U}_i \). Since each bounds a chain on some element of \( \mathcal{U}_i \) which must certainly meet \( U_i \), it follows that both chains \( G_2^+ \) and \( G_3^+ \) are on \( St(U_i, U_i) \subset \text{some element } U \subseteq \mathcal{U} \). Thus by adding we obtain \( \Pi_{\nu, \ldots, \nu} Z_1 - \Pi_{\nu, \ldots, \nu} \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{V}^+ Z_2 + \Pi_{\nu, \ldots, \nu} C' = \Pi_{\nu, \ldots, \nu} Z^+ \) bounds \( G_1^+ + C_2^+ \), which is a chain on \( \mathcal{V} \setminus (A_i \setminus B_i) \) with diameter \( <U \). Thus \( \{ A_i \cup B_i \} \rightarrow n \)-regularly to \( A \cup B \).

**Theorem 2.** If \( \{ M_i \} \) is a sequence of orientable generalized \( n \)-manifolds with boundaries \( \{ K_i \} \), such that \( \{ M_i \} \rightarrow M \) \((n - 1)\)-regularly and \( \{ K_i \} \rightarrow K \) \((n - 2)\)-regularly, then \( M \) is an orientable \( n \)-gm with boundary \( K \).

**Proof.** Define a second sequence of topologically equivalent orientable manifolds \( \{ M'_i \} \rightarrow (n - 1)\)-regularly to \( M' \) \( \supset M'_i \cap M_i = K_i \) and \( M' \cap M = K \). By Theorem 1, \( \{ C_i = M'_i \cup M'_i \} \rightarrow (n - 1)\)-regularly to \( C = M \cup M' \). Also by results in paper [2], \( C_i \) is a closed orientable \( n \)-gm for each \( i \) and by results in the paper [1] we have \( C \) is an orientable \( n \)-gm and \( K \) is an orientable \( (n - 2) \)-gm. By results in the paper [3], we have \( M \) is an orientable \( n \)-gm with boundary \( K \).

This result includes as a special case G. T. Whyburn's Theorem 1 (see [6]) that if a sequence of 2-cells \( \{ Z_i \} \rightarrow 1 \)-regularly to \( Z \), and have boundary simple closed curves \( \{ J_i \} \rightarrow 0 \)-regularly to \( J \), then \( Z \) is a 2-cell with \( J \) as boundary. In fact we can conclude the following more general result concerning any \( 1 \)-regular convergent sequence of 2-manifolds with boundary.

**Theorem 3.** If \( \{ M_i \} \) is a sequence of connected orientable separable 2-gms with boundaries \( \{ K_i \} \) (i.e. by Theorem 20 of [4] each \( M_i \) is a classical orientable 2-manifold from which a finite number of open 2-cells whose closures are disjoint have been deleted and \( K_i \) consists of the union of the 1-spheres that form the boundaries of the 2-cells) such that \( \{ M_i \} \) converges 1-regularly to the separable set \( M \) and \( \{ K_i \} \) converges 0-regularly to \( K \), then \( M \) is an orientable 2-manifold with boundary \( K \) and all but a finite number of the \( M_i \) are homeomorphic with \( M \).

**Proof.** By the proof of Theorem 2, we can construct a second sequence of homeomorphic 2-manifolds \( \{ M'_i \} \) with the same boundaries \( \{ K_i \} \) such that \( \{ M'_i \} \rightarrow 1 \)-regularly to \( M' \), which is homeomorphic to \( M \) and \( \supset M' \cup M' \), is a closed orientable 2-manifold. Since all but a finite number of \( M_i \cup M'_i \) must have the same betti numbers as \( M \cup M' \), it follows that \( M_i \cup M'_i \) is homeomorphic to...
$M \cup M'$ for all but a finite number of the subscripts. Since $M_i$ and $M'_i$ as well as $M$ and $M'$ are homeomorphic, it follows that $M_i$ and $M$ are homeomorphic for all but a finite number of $i$'s.
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