

## CONCERNING CARTAN'S CRITERION

H. E. CAMPBELL

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a Lie algebra over a field  $\mathfrak{F}$  and let  $\mathfrak{L}^{(0)}$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}'$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}''$ ,  $\dots$  be the *derived sequence* of  $\mathfrak{L}$  where  $\mathfrak{L}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}^{(i+1)} = \mathfrak{L}^{(i)}\mathfrak{L}^{(i)}$ . Let  $t(R_x)$  denote the trace of a right multiplication  $R_x$  of an element  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$ . Without further mention we shall assume that the base fields of all Lie and associative algebras considered have characteristic zero.

Cartan's criterion for solvability states that if  $t(R_x^2) = 0$  for all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{L}^{(i)}$  for some  $i \geq 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}$  is solvable. On the other hand if  $\mathfrak{L}$  is solvable then  $t(R_x^2) = 0$  for all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{L}^{(i)}$  for  $i \geq 1$ , but it is not true in general for  $i = 0$ . However we shall show that if  $\mathfrak{L}$  is solvable it can be imbedded in a solvable Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over an algebraic extension  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has this property.

But we shall prove a much more general result, namely

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{S} + \mathfrak{N}$  be the Levi-Whitehead decomposition of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  over a field  $\mathfrak{F}$ , where  $\mathfrak{N}$  is the radical and  $\mathfrak{S}$  is either zero or semi-simple. Then there is a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{R}} + \mathfrak{N}$  over an algebraic extension  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  whose radical  $\mathfrak{N}$  contains  $\mathfrak{N}$  and is the set of all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $t(R_x R_y) = 0$  for all  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

The radical of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  is [2, p. 14] the set of all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$  such that  $t(R_x R_y) = 0$  for all  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{L}'$  but the radical is not always the set of all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$  such that  $t(R_x R_y) = 0$  for every  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$  as is the case for an associative algebra. Theorem 1 is an analogue to the associative case. It is also true that every associative algebra over  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a faithful representation  $x \rightarrow Q_x$  by matrices whose elements are in  $\mathfrak{F}$ , such that the radical is the set of all  $x$  such that  $t(Q_x Q_y) = 0$  for every  $y$ . The corresponding statement for Lie algebras is not true, but we do obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Every Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  over a field  $\mathfrak{F}$  has a faithful representation  $x \rightarrow Q_x$  whose matrices have elements in an algebraic extension  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , such that the radical  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$  is the set of all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$  such that  $t(Q_x Q_y) = 0$  for every  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .*

**2. Four lemmas.** In order to prove these theorems we first prove four lemmas. Throughout this section we let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a Lie algebra with radical  $\mathfrak{N}$  over a field  $\mathfrak{F}$  and let  $x \rightarrow S_x$  be any representation of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

---

Presented to the Society, September 3, 1952, under the title *A converse of Cartan's criterion for solvability*; received by the editors November 9, 1952.

Our first lemma, where  $x \rightarrow S_x$  is the adjoint representation, can be found in [2, p. 14].

LEMMA 1.  $t(S_x S_y) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{N}$  and all  $y \in \mathfrak{L}'$ .

If  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{L}$  we let  $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$  be the Lie algebra of linear transformations consisting of the  $S_x$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}$  is the radical of  $\overline{\mathfrak{L}}$  and hence [3, p. 106]  $[\overline{\mathfrak{L}}\overline{\mathfrak{N}}] \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{N}}$  where  $\mathfrak{N}$  is the radical of the enveloping associative algebra  $\mathfrak{L}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$ . Consequently if  $x \in \mathfrak{N}$  and  $y = uv$  for  $u, v \in \mathfrak{L}$  then  $t(S_x S_y) = t(S_{xu} S_v)$  where  $S_{xu} = [S_x S_u] \in \overline{\mathfrak{N}}$  and so  $t(S_x S_y) = 0$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $t(S_x S_y) = 0$  for all  $x, y$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ , then  $t(S_x S_y) = 0$  for all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  and all  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{S} + \mathfrak{N}$  be the Levi-Whitehead decomposition of  $\mathfrak{L}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}$  is semi-simple or is zero. Then if  $y \in \mathfrak{L}$ ,  $y = u + v$  where  $u \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{N}$ . Now by Lemma 1, if  $x \in \mathfrak{N}$ ,  $t(S_x S_u) = 0$ , since  $\mathfrak{S}' = \mathfrak{S}$ . Hence  $t(S_x S_y) = t(S_x S_u) + t(S_x S_v) = 0$ .

LEMMA 3. The set of equations

$$\sum_{r=1}^t d_{pr} d_{qr} = a_{pq} \quad (p \leq q = 1, 2, \dots, t)$$

where  $a_{pq} \in \mathfrak{F}$  have a solution for the  $d_{ij}$  in an algebraic extension  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Take  $d_{ij} = 0$  for  $i < j$  and then the equations can be put in  $t$  sets

$$\begin{aligned} d_{11}d_{q1} &= a_{1q}, \\ d_{21}d_{q1} + d_{22}d_{q2} &= a_{2q}, \\ \dots & \\ d_{q1}d_{q1} + d_{q2}d_{q2} + \dots + d_{qq}d_{qq} &= a_{qq} \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, t). \end{aligned}$$

Evidently these can be solved successively to get a solution in an algebraic extension  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

LEMMA 4.  $\mathfrak{L}$  has an equivalent representation  $x \rightarrow Q_x$  whose matrices have elements in an algebraic extension  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , such that  $t(Q_x Q_y) = 0$  for all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  and all  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be a basis for  $\mathfrak{L}$  where  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$  is a basis for  $\mathfrak{N}$  and  $e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n$  is a basis for the set  $\mathfrak{L}$  of all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  such that  $t(S_x S_y) = 0$  for every  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . If  $x = \sum x_i e_i$  let  $D_x = \sum x_i D_i$  where  $D_i$  is the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag} [d_{i1}, d_{i2}, \dots, d_{it}]$  if  $i \leq t$  and  $D_i = 0$  otherwise. If

$$Q_x = \begin{bmatrix} S_x & 0 \\ 0 & D_x \end{bmatrix},$$

then  $D_{xy} = 0$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{L}$  and hence  $Q$  is a representation equivalent to  $\mathfrak{S}$  in the sense that the correspondence  $S_x \rightarrow Q_x$  is an isomorphism under addition and the commutator multiplication  $[S_x S_y] = S_{xy} = S_x S_y - S_y S_x$ . By Lemma 3 we can take the  $d_{ij}$  in an algebraic extension  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $t(Q_x Q_y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{N}$ . Hence by Lemma 2 we have  $t(Q_x Q_y) = 0$  for all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  and all  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** The set of all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  such that  $t(\overline{R}_x \overline{R}_y) = 0$  for every  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ , where  $x \rightarrow \overline{R}_x$  is the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{L}$ , is an ideal  $\mathfrak{I}$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$  be a basis for  $\mathfrak{N}$  and  $e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n$  be a basis for  $\mathfrak{S}$  with  $e_{t+1}, \dots, e_k$  a basis for  $\mathfrak{L}$ . We construct a non-associative algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over a field  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{F}(d_{ij})$  by adjoining the additional basal elements  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t$  to  $\mathfrak{L}$  and defining

$$w_j e_i = -e_i w_j = d_{ij} w_j \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, t),$$

the products of the  $w$ 's with the other  $e$ 's and with themselves being defined to be zero.

It follows that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Lie algebra, for by Lemma 1 and our construction,

$$(e_i e_j) w_k = 0 \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots, t),$$

while on the other hand

$$e_i (e_j w_k) + (e_i w_k) e_j = 0 \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots, t).$$

The ideal  $\mathfrak{N}$  with basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t$  is the radical of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Now if  $x \rightarrow R_x$  is the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  we have

$$t(R_{e_i} R_{e_j}) = t(\overline{R}_{e_i} \overline{R}_{e_j}) + \sum_{r=1}^t d_{ir} d_{jr} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, t).$$

But by Lemma 3 we can take the  $d_{ij}$  in an algebraic extension of  $\mathfrak{F}$  so that  $t(R_{e_i} R_{e_j}) = 0$  for  $(i, j = 1, 2, \dots, t)$  and then by Lemma 2 and the form of the  $R_w$ 's, with this choice of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , we have  $t(R_x R_y) = 0$  for all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  and all  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

The set of all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $t(R_x R_y) = 0$  for every  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an ideal  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and we have just shown that  $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ . But if  $x \rightarrow R'_x$  is the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{B}$  we have  $t(R'_x R'_y) = t(R_x R_y) = 0$  since  $\mathfrak{B}$  is an ideal and hence by Cartan's criterion  $\mathfrak{B}$  is solvable. Thus  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{B}$  and the proof is complete.

4. **Proof of Theorem 2.** Harish-Chandra [1] and others have proved that every Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero has a faithful representation. Consequently by Lemma 4,  $\mathfrak{L}$  has a faithful representation  $x \rightarrow Q_x$  whose matrices have elements in an algebraic extension  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $t(Q_x Q_y) = 0$  for all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  and all  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$ . We now apply another form of Cartan's criterion for solvability which states that if  $t(A^2) = 0$  for all  $A$  in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of linear transformations, then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is solvable, and deduce that the ideal  $\mathfrak{B}$  of all  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$  such that  $t(Q_x Q_y) = 0$  for every  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$  is solvable. This proves the theorem for we now have  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{N}$  as above.

#### REFERENCES

1. Harish-Chandra, *Faithful representations of Lie algebras*, Ann. of Math. vol. 50 (1949) pp. 68-76.
2. ———, *On the radical of a Lie algebra*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society vol. 1 (1950) pp. 14-17.
3. N. Jacobson, *Completely reducible Lie algebras of linear transformations*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society vol. 2 (1951) pp. 105-113.

EMORY UNIVERSITY

---

## A SUBDIRECT-UNION REPRESENTATION FOR COMPLETELY DISTRIBUTIVE COMPLETE LATTICES

GEORGE N. RANEY

1. **Introduction.** In [1],<sup>1</sup> Garrett Birkhoff makes the following remark: "Tarski has shown that any complete, completely distributive Boolean algebra is isomorphic with the field of subsets of a suitable set. One can also show that any closed sublattice of a direct union of complete chains is a complete, completely distributive lattice. The question is (no. 69), are there any other complete, completely distributive lattices?" This paper will answer Birkhoff's question by proving the following theorem:<sup>2</sup>

**THEOREM A.** *Every completely distributive complete lattice is iso-*

Presented to the Society, October 25, 1952; received by the editors November 11, 1952.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.

<sup>2</sup> Definitions and notations used here conform with those of [3], on which this paper is based.