

# A BOUND FOR A DETERMINANT WITH DOMINANT MAIN DIAGONAL

J. L. BRENNER

In [3, pp. 13–14], Hadamard proved that if a square matrix

$$(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

satisfies the inequalities

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & a_{ii} \neq 0, \\ & \sigma_i |a_{kk}| = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|, \quad 0 \leq \sigma_i < 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

then the determinant of the matrix is different from 0. Upper and lower bounds have been given for the determinant of the matrix; see [4; 5; 6; 7].<sup>1</sup> In this article, improvements are given to the bounds of [5; 6]. It is remarkable that the bound of [5] could be improved by using nothing more complicated than ratios already introduced in that article: the bound for  $|\det A| - |a_{11} \cdots a_{nn}|$  given there was asymptotically of the correct order in the  $l_j$  and  $r_j$ . It is possible to improve the bounds of [1a] by the methods here given.

Certain generalizations of the present results appear in [1b]. Generalizations of some lemmas in [5] will appear elsewhere.

The following lemma is needed.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  be a matrix such that the relations (1) hold. Let  $b_{ij}$  be defined as  $a_{ij} - a_{ii}a_{1j}/a_{11}$ . Then  $(b_{ij})_{2 \leq i, j \leq n}$  has dominant main diagonal, and indeed the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i |b_{ii}| &\geq \sum_{j > 1; j \neq i} |b_{ij}|, \\ b_{ii} &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

hold for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

This lemma states that the constant  $\sigma'_i$  defined by the relation  $\sigma'_i |b_{ii}| = \sum_{j > 1; j \neq i} |b_{ij}|$  is no greater than the corresponding constant  $\sigma_i$ . The fact that  $\det (b_{ij})$  is not 0 is established in [2].

**PROOF.** The asserted inequalities follow from the hypotheses as follows.

---

Presented to the Society, May 1, 1954; received by the editors December 28, 1953.

<sup>1</sup> References 1a, 1b, 6, 7 and 2 added subsequently. The author wishes to thank the referee for calling his attention to the last.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i |b_{ii}| &\geq \sigma_i |a_{ii}| - \sigma_i |a_{i1}a_{1i}/a_{11}| \\ &\geq \sum_{j>1; j\neq i} |a_{1j}| + |a_{i1}/a_{11}| (|a_{11}| - \sigma_i |a_{1i}|) \\ &\geq \sum_{j>1; j\neq i} |a_{ij}| + |a_{i1}/a_{11}| \left( \sum_{j>1; j\neq i} |a_{1j}| + |a_{1i}| (1 - \sigma_i) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{j>1; j\neq i} |b_{ij}|. \text{ Similarly, } |b_{ii}| > \sum_{j>1; j\neq i} |b_{ij}|. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  be a square matrix satisfying (1). Then a bound for  $\det A$  is

$$|\det A| \geq |a_{11}| \prod_{i>1} (|a_{ii}| - l_i + L_i),$$

where  $l_i$  is  $\sum_{j<i} \sigma_j |a_{ij}|$ ;  $L_i$  is  $|a_{i1}/a_{11}| \sum_{j>i} |a_{ij}|$ . Thus  $|a_{ii}| - l_i$  is automatically positive, and  $L_i$  is non-negative.

In both [5] and [6], a similar bound appears with  $L_i$  replaced by zero. Leaving this aside, the factor  $a_{ii} - l_i$  is still an improvement over the corresponding factor in [6], where  $l_i$  is replaced by the sum  $\sum_{j<i} |a_{ij}|$ , and is also an improvement over the corresponding factor in [5], where  $l_i$  is replaced by  $\sigma_t \sum_{j<i} |a_{ij}|$ ,  $\sigma_t$  being the greatest of the  $\sigma_j$  with  $j$  not equal to  $i$ . Even in this form, the statement can be improved to read that  $\sigma_t$  is the greatest of the  $\sigma_j$  with  $j$  less than  $i$ .

This theorem is a special case of

THEOREM 2. Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  be a matrix such that relations (1) hold. A bound for  $|\det A|$  is given by the relation

$$(2) \quad |\det A| \geq \prod_{j<k} (|a_{jj}| - r_j + R_j) \cdot |a_{kk}| \cdot \prod_{j>k} (|a_{jj}| - l_j + L_j),$$

where  $r_j, l_j, R_j, L_j$  are defined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} r_j &= \sum_{t>j} \sigma_t |a_{jt}|, & L_j &= |a_{jk}/a_{kk}| \left( \sum_{t>j} |a_{kt}| + \sum_{t<k} |a_{kt}| \right), \\ R_j &= |a_{jk}/a_{kk}| \sum_{t<j} |a_{kt}|, & l_j &= \sum_{k \leq t < j} \sigma_t |a_{jt}|, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $R_j$  and  $L_j$  are non-negative.

To prove Theorem 2, first reduce to 0 the nondiagonal elements of the  $k$ th row of  $A$  by adding an appropriate multiple of the  $k$ th column to the other columns. In the resulting matrix, call  $B$  the submatrix obtained by leaving out the  $k$ th row and the  $k$ th column.

The  $(i, j)$  element  $b_{ij}$  of  $B$  is  $a_{ij} - a_{kj}a_{ik}/a_{kk}$  ( $i \neq k, j \neq k$ ). As an induc-

tion hypothesis, it is assumed that  $\det B$  satisfies the relation

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} |\det B| \geq & \prod_{j < k} (|b_{jj}| - r'_j + R'_j) \cdot |b_{k+1, k+1}| \\ & \cdot \prod_{j > k+1} (|b_{jj}| - l'_j + L'_j), \end{aligned}$$

where  $R'_j, L'_j$  are non-negative, and  $r'_j, l'_j$  are defined by the relations

$$r'_j = \sum_{t > j; t \neq k} \sigma_t |b_{jt}|, \quad l'_j = \sum_{k < l < j} \sigma_l |b_{jl}|.$$

The theorem follows from a set of three estimates, which are established below by applying Lemma 1. The first estimate is that provided by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k+1, k+1}| & \geq |a_{k+1, k+1}| - |a_{k+1, k}| \left( \sigma_k |a_{kk}| - \sum_{t \neq k, k+1} |a_{kt}| \right) \\ & = |a_{k+1, k+1}| - \sigma_k |a_{k+1, k}| + |a_{k+1, k}/a_{kk}| \sum_{t \neq k, k+1} |a_{kt}|. \end{aligned}$$

The second estimate concerns the factor  $|b_{jj}| - l'_j$ , when  $j$  exceeds  $k+1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{jj}| - l'_j & \geq |a_{jj}| - |a_{jk}/a_{kk}| \left( \sigma_k |a_{kk}| - \sum_{t \neq j, k} |a_{kt}| \right) \\ & \quad - \sum_{k < t < j} \sigma_t |b_{jt}| \\ & \geq |a_{jj}| - \sum_{k \leq t < j} \sigma_t |a_{jt}| + |a_{jk}/a_{kk}| \sum_{t \neq j, k} |a_{kt}| \\ & \quad - |a_{jk}/a_{kk}| \sum_{k < t < j} \sigma_t |a_{kt}| \\ & \geq |a_{jj}| - \sum_{k \leq t < j} \sigma_t |a_{jt}| + |a_{jk}/a_{kk}| \left( \sum_{t > j} + \sum_{t < k} \right) |a_{kt}|. \end{aligned}$$

Last, it is necessary to estimate the factor  $|b_{jj}| - r'_j$  if  $j$  is less than  $k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{jj}| - r'_j & \geq |a_{jj}| - \sigma_k |a_{jk}| + |a_{jk}/a_{kk}| \sum_{t \neq j, k} |a_{kt}| - \sum_{j < t; t \neq k} \sigma_t |b_{jt}| \\ & \geq |a_{jj}| - \sum_{j < t} \sigma_t |a_{jt}| \\ & \quad + |a_{jk}/a_{kk}| \left( \sum_{t \neq j, k} |a_{kt}| - \sum_{j < t; t \neq k} \sigma_t |a_{kt}| \right) \\ & \geq |a_{jj}| - \sum_{j < t} \sigma_t |a_{jt}| + |a_{jk}/a_{kk}| \sum_{t < j} |a_{kt}|. \end{aligned}$$

It will be observed that the above inequalities neglect certain terms which if retained would lead to an inequality slightly stronger than that of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *Upper bounds for  $|\det A|$  are the expressions obtained by mechanically reversing the four signs  $-$ ,  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $+$ , which appear on the right side of (2).*

#### REFERENCES

- 1a. J. L. Brenner, *Une borne pour un déterminant avec diagonale majorante*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 238 (1954) pp. 555-556.
- b. ———, *Bounds for determinants*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 40 (1954) pp. 452-454.
2. P. Furtwängler, *Sitzungsber. Akad. Wiss. Wien IIa* vol. 145 (1936) pp. 527-528.
3. J. Hadamard, *Leçons sur la propagation des ondes*, Paris, 1903.
4. Emilie V. Haynsworth, *Bounds for determinants with dominant main diagonal*, Duke Math. J. vol. 20 (1953) pp. 199-209.
5. A. M. Ostrowski, *Note on bounds for determinants with dominant principal diagonal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 3 (1952) pp. 26-30.
6. G. B. Price, *Bounds for determinants with dominant principal diagonal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 2 (1951) pp. 497-502.
7. Y. K. Wong, *An inequality for Minkowski matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 4 (1953) pp. 137-141.

STATE COLLEGE OF WASHINGTON