

ON THE OPTIMUM GRADIENT METHOD FOR SYSTEMS OF LINEAR EQUATIONS

M. MARCUS

In the optimum gradient procedure for solving the system of linear equations

$$(1) \quad Ax = b$$

the choice of metric is arbitrary. In this note we consider a comparison of metrics induced by certain matrix functions of A in terms of rapidity of convergence. A is an n -square, nonsingular, complex matrix, x and b are complex, column n -vectors, z^* is the conjugate transpose of z , $\lambda(A)$ denotes an arbitrary eigenvalue of A , and $\lambda_m(A)$ and $\lambda_M(A)$ are the minimum and maximum eigenvalues of A respectively when all $\lambda(A)$ are real. In this case we denote by I_A the closed interval $[\lambda_m(A), \lambda_M(A)]$.

If R is a positive-definite Hermitian (p.d.h.) matrix define

$$(2) \quad \|z\|_R^2 = z^*Rz.$$

Solving (1) is then equivalent to minimizing the function

$$(3) \quad \phi(x) = \|Ax - b\|_R^2.$$

Denote by $L(x, d)$ the set of vectors $x - \alpha d$ for $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$. In [2] the following relations are established:

$$(4) \quad \min_{x \in L(x_0, d)} \phi(x) = \phi(x_0 - \alpha_0 d)$$

where $\alpha_0 = \operatorname{Re}(d^*(Bx_0 - c)/d^*Bd)$, $B = A^*RA$, $c = A^*Rb$; and

$$(5) \quad \phi(x_0) - \phi(x_0 - \alpha_0 d) = \alpha_0^2 d^* B d.$$

α_0 is called the *optimum* α in direction d at x_0 . Note that B is p.d.h. In [2] the *optimum gradient* procedure for solving (1) is defined as follows:

- (i) let x_0 be arbitrary,
- (ii) set $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k z_k$ where $z_k = Bx_k - c$ and α_k is the optimum α in direction z_k at x_k . From (5) we see that

Received by the editors January 28, 1955.

$$\phi(x_{k+1}) = \phi(x_k) - \alpha_k^2 z_k^* B z_k$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi(x_{k+1})}{\phi(x_k)} &= 1 - \alpha_k \frac{z_k^* z_k}{\phi(x_k)} \\ (6) \quad &= 1 - \frac{z_k^* z_k}{z_k^* B z_k} \frac{z_k^* z_k}{z_k^* B^{-1} z_k} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{4}{((\lambda_M(B)/\lambda_m(B))^{1/2} + (\lambda_m(B)/\lambda_M(B))^{1/2})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This last inequality is due to L. V. Kantorovich [3], and is proved by maximizing the function

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k u_k^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{-1} u_k^2 \right),$$

subject to the restraint

$$\sum_{k=1}^n u_k^2 = 1.$$

The results here will be stated for A p.d.h. Let $\psi(x)$ be a differentiable function for $x \in I_A$ and we may define $\psi(A)$ as in [1]. For, since A is p.d.h. there exists U , unitary, such that $U^{-1}AU$ is the direct sum of scalar matrices $\lambda_1 I_1, \dots, \lambda_s I_s$. We then define $U^{-1}\psi(A)U$ as the direct sum of the matrices $\psi(\lambda_1)I_1, \dots, \psi(\lambda_s)I_s$. We shall assume $\psi(x)$ is real, $\psi^*(A) = \psi(A^*)$, and $\psi(A)$ is nonsingular, $\psi(\lambda(A)) \neq 0$.

Set

$$R_\psi = \psi^*(A)\psi(A) = \psi(A^*)\psi(A)$$

and

$$B_\psi = A^*R_\psi A = A^*\psi(A^*)A\psi(A).$$

R_ψ defines a metric as in (2) since $\psi(\lambda(A)) \neq 0$. Define

$$(7) \quad \mu(B) = \lambda_m(B)/\lambda_M(B),$$

$$(8) \quad \sigma(B) = \frac{4}{((\lambda_M(B)/\lambda_m(B))^{1/2} + (\lambda_m(B)/\lambda_M(B))^{1/2})^2},$$

and

$$(9) \quad \alpha_\psi = \frac{\sigma(B_\psi)}{\sigma(B_1)}.$$

In view of (6) we shall say that R_ψ is as fast a metric as I when $\alpha_\psi \geq 1$.

THEOREM 1. *If*

$$(10) \quad -\frac{\psi^2(x)}{x} \leq \psi(x)\psi'(x) \leq 0$$

for $x \in I_A$, then R_ψ is as fast a metric as I .

PROOF. From (7) and (8) we have

$$\sigma(B_\psi) = \frac{4\mu(B_\psi)}{(1 + \mu(B_\psi))^2}.$$

Set $f_\psi(x) = x^2\psi^2(x)$ and then

$$B_\psi = A^2\psi^2(A) = f_\psi(A).$$

A p.d.h. and (10) together imply

$$f'_\psi(x) = 2x(\psi^2(x) + x\psi(x)\psi'(x)) \geq 0$$

and thus $f_\psi(x)$ is monotonic increasing in I_A . Similarly $\psi^2(x)$ is monotonic decreasing in I_A . To simplify the notation set

$$\sigma(B_\psi) = \sigma_\psi, \quad \omega_\psi = \frac{\psi^2(\lambda_m(A))}{\psi^2(\lambda_M(A))}, \quad \bar{\mu} = \mu^2(A)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_\psi}{4} &= \frac{\lambda_m(f_\psi(A))/\lambda_M(f_\psi(A))}{(1 + \lambda_m(f_\psi(A))/\lambda_M(f_\psi(A)))^2} \\ &= \frac{\min_{\lambda(A)} f_\psi(\lambda(A))/\max_{\lambda(A)} f_\psi(\lambda(A))}{\left(1 + \frac{\min_{\lambda(A)} f_\psi(\lambda(A))}{\max_{\lambda(A)} f_\psi(\lambda(A))}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{\bar{\mu}\omega_\psi}{(1 + \bar{\mu}\omega_\psi)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The condition

$$(11) \quad \alpha_\psi \geq 1$$

is then equivalent to

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}\omega_\psi}{(1 + \bar{\mu}\omega_\psi)^2} \geq \frac{\bar{\mu}}{(1 + \bar{\mu})^2},$$

$$\bar{\mu}^2 \omega_\psi^2 - \omega_\psi(\bar{\mu}^2 + 1) + 1 \leq 0.$$

Noting that $\bar{\mu} \leq 1$, (11) becomes

$$1 \leq \omega_\psi \leq 1/\bar{\mu}^2$$

which in turn reduces to

$$(12) \quad \mu^4(A)\psi^2(\lambda_M(A)) \leq \psi^2(\lambda_m(A))\mu^4(A) \leq \psi^2(\lambda_M(A)).$$

Substituting in the last inequality of (12) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^2(\mu(A)\lambda_M(A))\mu^4(A) &\leq \psi^2(\lambda_M(A)), \\ \frac{\mu^2(A)}{\lambda_M(A)} (\mu(A)\lambda_M(A))^2 \psi^2(\mu(A)\lambda_M(A)) &\leq \psi^2(\lambda_M(A)), \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$(13) \quad \mu^2(A)f_\psi(\mu(A)\lambda_M(A)) \leq f_\psi(\lambda_M(A)).$$

The monotonicity of f_ψ implies (13) and the left side of (12) follows similarly.

For example, if

$$\psi(x) = x^\alpha, \quad -1 \leq \alpha \leq 0,$$

(10) clearly holds.

It is of interest to obtain an estimate of α_ψ solely in terms of ψ and the entries of A .

THEOREM 2. *Assume the conditions of Theorem 1. Let $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k$, $k \leq n$, be a sequence of positive integers and set*

$$S(i_1, \dots, i_k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\alpha, \nu=1}^k A_{i_\alpha i_\nu},$$

$$M = \max S(i_1, \dots, i_k),$$

$$m = \min S(i_1, \dots, i_k),$$

$$\mu_0 = (m/M)^2,$$

and

$$\delta = \psi^2(m)/\psi^2(M).$$

Then

$$\alpha_\psi \geq \frac{\delta}{(1 + \mu_0 \delta)^2}.$$

PROOF. Note that

$$\lambda_M(A) = \max_{z^*z=1} z^*Az,$$

$$\lambda_m(A) = \min_{z^*z=1} z^*Az,$$

and hence $z^*Az \in I_A$ for $z^*z=1$. Let z_0 be the unit vector with $1/k^{1/2}$ in the i_j position, and 0 elsewhere. It is easy to check that

$$z_0^*Az_0 = S(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_A.$$

It follows from the monotonicity properties of ψ and f_ψ that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\psi &= \frac{\omega_\psi}{(1/(1+\bar{\mu}) + (\bar{\mu}/(1+\bar{\mu}))\omega_\psi)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\omega_\psi}{(1+\bar{\mu}\omega_\psi)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\psi^2(m)/\psi^2(M)}{(1+f_\psi(m)/f_\psi(M))^2} \\ &= \frac{\delta}{(1+\mu_0\delta)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We may remark that for

$$\frac{\delta}{(1+\mu_0\delta)^2} \geq 1$$

it is necessary that $\mu_0 \leq 1/4$.

REFERENCES

1. W. L. Ferrar, *Finite matrices*, Oxford, 1951, p. 99.
2. A. S. Householder, *Principles of numerical analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1953.
3. L. V. Kantorovich, *Functional analysis and applied mathematics*, Uspehi Matematicheskikh Nauk vol. 3 no. 6 (1948) pp. 89-185.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA