

## ON THE OPTIMUM GRADIENT METHOD FOR SYSTEMS OF LINEAR EQUATIONS

M. MARCUS

In the optimum gradient procedure for solving the system of linear equations

$$(1) \quad Ax = b$$

the choice of metric is arbitrary. In this note we consider a comparison of metrics induced by certain matrix functions of  $A$  in terms of rapidity of convergence.  $A$  is an  $n$ -square, nonsingular, complex matrix,  $x$  and  $b$  are complex, column  $n$ -vectors,  $z^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $z$ ,  $\lambda(A)$  denotes an arbitrary eigenvalue of  $A$ , and  $\lambda_m(A)$  and  $\lambda_M(A)$  are the minimum and maximum eigenvalues of  $A$  respectively when all  $\lambda(A)$  are real. In this case we denote by  $I_A$  the closed interval  $[\lambda_m(A), \lambda_M(A)]$ .

If  $R$  is a positive-definite Hermitian (p.d.h.) matrix define

$$(2) \quad \|z\|_R^2 = z^*Rz.$$

Solving (1) is then equivalent to minimizing the function

$$(3) \quad \phi(x) = \|Ax - b\|_R^2.$$

Denote by  $L(x, d)$  the set of vectors  $x - \alpha d$  for  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . In [2] the following relations are established:

$$(4) \quad \min_{x \in L(x_0, d)} \phi(x) = \phi(x_0 - \alpha_0 d)$$

where  $\alpha_0 = \operatorname{Re}(d^*(Bx_0 - c)/d^*Bd)$ ,  $B = A^*RA$ ,  $c = A^*Rb$ ; and

$$(5) \quad \phi(x_0) - \phi(x_0 - \alpha_0 d) = \alpha_0^2 d^* B d.$$

$\alpha_0$  is called the *optimum*  $\alpha$  in direction  $d$  at  $x_0$ . Note that  $B$  is p.d.h. In [2] the *optimum gradient* procedure for solving (1) is defined as follows:

- (i) let  $x_0$  be arbitrary,
- (ii) set  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k z_k$  where  $z_k = Bx_k - c$  and  $\alpha_k$  is the optimum  $\alpha$  in direction  $z_k$  at  $x_k$ . From (5) we see that

---

Received by the editors January 28, 1955.

$$\phi(x_{k+1}) = \phi(x_k) - \alpha_k z_k^* B z_k$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi(x_{k+1})}{\phi(x_k)} &= 1 - \alpha_k \frac{z_k^* z_k}{\phi(x_k)} \\ (6) \quad &= 1 - \frac{z_k^* z_k}{z_k^* B z_k} \frac{z_k^* z_k}{z_k^* B^{-1} z_k} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{4}{((\lambda_M(B)/\lambda_m(B))^{1/2} + (\lambda_m(B)/\lambda_M(B))^{1/2})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This last inequality is due to L. V. Kantorovich [3], and is proved by maximizing the function

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k u_k^2 \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{-1} u_k^2 \right),$$

subject to the restraint

$$\sum_{k=1}^n u_k^2 = 1.$$

The results here will be stated for  $A$  p.d.h. Let  $\psi(x)$  be a differentiable function for  $x \in I_A$  and we may define  $\psi(A)$  as in [1]. For, since  $A$  is p.d.h. there exists  $U$ , unitary, such that  $U^{-1}AU$  is the direct sum of scalar matrices  $\lambda_1 I_1, \dots, \lambda_o I_o$ . We then define  $U^{-1}\psi(A)U$  as the direct sum of the matrices  $\psi(\lambda_1)I_1, \dots, \psi(\lambda_o)I_o$ . We shall assume  $\psi(x)$  is real,  $\psi^*(A) = \psi(A^*)$ , and  $\psi(A)$  is nonsingular,  $\psi(\lambda(A)) \neq 0$ .

Set

$$R_\psi = \psi^*(A)\psi(A) = \psi(A^*)\psi(A)$$

and

$$B_\psi = A^*R_\psi A = A^*\psi(A^*)A\psi(A).$$

$R_\psi$  defines a metric as in (2) since  $\psi(\lambda(A)) \neq 0$ . Define

$$(7) \quad \mu(B) = \lambda_m(B)/\lambda_M(B),$$

$$(8) \quad \sigma(B) = \frac{4}{((\lambda_M(B)/\lambda_m(B))^{1/2} + (\lambda_m(B)/\lambda_M(B))^{1/2})^2},$$

and

$$(9) \quad \alpha_\psi = \frac{\sigma(B_\psi)}{\sigma(B_1)}.$$

In view of (6) we shall say that  $R_\psi$  is as fast a metric as  $I$  when  $\alpha_\psi \geq 1$ .

THEOREM 1. *If*

$$(10) \quad -\frac{\psi^2(x)}{x} \leq \psi(x)\psi'(x) \leq 0$$

for  $x \in I_A$ , then  $R_\psi$  is as fast a metric as  $I$ .

PROOF. From (7) and (8) we have

$$\sigma(B_\psi) = \frac{4\mu(B_\psi)}{(1 + \mu(B_\psi))^2}.$$

Set  $f_\psi(x) = x^2\psi^2(x)$  and then

$$B_\psi = A^2\psi^2(A) = f_\psi(A).$$

A p.d.h. and (10) together imply

$$f'_\psi(x) = 2x(\psi^2(x) + x\psi(x)\psi'(x)) \geq 0$$

and thus  $f_\psi(x)$  is monotonic increasing in  $I_A$ . Similarly  $\psi^2(x)$  is monotonic decreasing in  $I_A$ . To simplify the notation set

$$\sigma(B_\psi) = \sigma_\psi, \quad \omega_\psi = \frac{\psi^2(\lambda_m(A))}{\psi^2(\lambda_M(A))}, \quad \bar{\mu} = \mu^2(A)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_\psi}{4} &= \frac{\lambda_m(f_\psi(A))/\lambda_M(f_\psi(A))}{(1 + \lambda_m(f_\psi(A))/\lambda_M(f_\psi(A)))^2} \\ &= \frac{\min_{\lambda(A)} f_\psi(\lambda(A))/\max_{\lambda(A)} f_\psi(\lambda(A))}{\left(1 + \frac{\min_{\lambda(A)} f_\psi(\lambda(A))}{\max_{\lambda(A)} f_\psi(\lambda(A))}\right)^2} \\ &= \frac{\bar{\mu}\omega_\psi}{(1 + \bar{\mu}\omega_\psi)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The condition

$$(11) \quad \alpha_\psi \geq 1$$

is then equivalent to

$$\frac{\bar{\mu}\omega_\psi}{(1 + \bar{\mu}\omega_\psi)^2} \geq \frac{\bar{\mu}}{(1 + \bar{\mu})^2},$$

$$\bar{\mu}^2 \omega_\psi^2 - \omega_\psi(\bar{\mu}^2 + 1) + 1 \leq 0.$$

Noting that  $\bar{\mu} \leq 1$ , (11) becomes

$$1 \leq \omega_\psi \leq 1/\bar{\mu}^2$$

which in turn reduces to

$$(12) \quad \mu^4(A)\psi^2(\lambda_M(A)) \leq \psi^2(\lambda_m(A))\mu^4(A) \leq \psi^2(\lambda_M(A)).$$

Substituting in the last inequality of (12) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^2(\mu(A)\lambda_M(A))\mu^4(A) &\leq \psi^2(\lambda_M(A)), \\ \frac{\mu^2(A)}{\lambda_M(A)} (\mu(A)\lambda_M(A))^2 \psi^2(\mu(A)\lambda_M(A)) &\leq \psi^2(\lambda_M(A)), \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$(13) \quad \mu^2(A)f_\psi(\mu(A)\lambda_M(A)) \leq f_\psi(\lambda_M(A)).$$

The monotonicity of  $f_\psi$  implies (13) and the left side of (12) follows similarly.

For example, if

$$\psi(x) = x^\alpha, \quad -1 \leq \alpha \leq 0,$$

(10) clearly holds.

It is of interest to obtain an estimate of  $\alpha_\psi$  solely in terms of  $\psi$  and the entries of  $A$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Assume the conditions of Theorem 1. Let  $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k$ ,  $k \leq n$ , be a sequence of positive integers and set*

$$S(i_1, \dots, i_k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\alpha, \nu=1}^k A_{i_\alpha i_\nu},$$

$$M = \max S(i_1, \dots, i_k),$$

$$m = \min S(i_1, \dots, i_k),$$

$$\mu_0 = (m/M)^2,$$

and

$$\delta = \psi^2(m)/\psi^2(M).$$

Then

$$\alpha_\psi \geq \frac{\delta}{(1 + \mu_0 \delta)^2}.$$

**PROOF.** Note that

$$\lambda_M(A) = \max_{z^*z=1} z^*Az,$$

$$\lambda_m(A) = \min_{z^*z=1} z^*Az,$$

and hence  $z^*Az \in I_A$  for  $z^*z=1$ . Let  $z_0$  be the unit vector with  $1/k^{1/2}$  in the  $i_j$  position, and 0 elsewhere. It is easy to check that

$$z_0^*Az_0 = S(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in I_A.$$

It follows from the monotonicity properties of  $\psi$  and  $f_\psi$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\psi &= \frac{\omega_\psi}{(1/(1+\bar{\mu}) + (\bar{\mu}/(1+\bar{\mu}))\omega_\psi)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\omega_\psi}{(1+\bar{\mu}\omega_\psi)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\psi^2(m)/\psi^2(M)}{(1+f_\psi(m)/f_\psi(M))^2} \\ &= \frac{\delta}{(1+\mu_0\delta)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We may remark that for

$$\frac{\delta}{(1+\mu_0\delta)^2} \geq 1$$

it is necessary that  $\mu_0 \leq 1/4$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. W. L. Ferrar, *Finite matrices*, Oxford, 1951, p. 99.
2. A. S. Householder, *Principles of numerical analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1953.
3. L. V. Kantorovich, *Functional analysis and applied mathematics*, Uspehi Matematicheskikh Nauk vol. 3 no. 6 (1948) pp. 89-185.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA