

CHAIN HOMOTOPY AND THE de RHAM THEORY

V. K. A. M. GUGENHEIM AND D. C. SPENCER¹

Introduction. This note contains a method for constructing chain-homotopy operators suitable for the de Rham cohomology theory. In particular, it is proved that differentiably homotopic maps induce chain homotopic chain-mappings in the exterior algebra of differential forms (Formula 13 below; cf. pp. 80–81 of [1], where the same formula is obtained). This shows that the de Rham theory satisfies the “homotopy axiom” in the sense of S. Eilenberg and N. E. Steenrod (cf. [2]); hence the de Rham cohomology groups of a differentiably contractible manifold are trivial. This fundamental result is often referred to as the “Poincaré Lemma.”

A simple generalization is given in the case of an almost product structure.

Almost complex and complex structures are investigated in §5; no genuine chain-homotopies are obtained, and in §6 is given an example which shows that $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology does not satisfy the homotopy axiom, even in the case of complex manifolds and analytic homotopies; this example is due to Professor K. Kodaira.

1. Definitions and notations. By “manifold” we mean “differentiable manifold of class C^∞ ,” by “map,” “map of class C^∞ ,” etc.; and all notions such as tangent vector or differential form will be taken in their C^∞ -sense. Tangent vectors will always be taken to have been defined by the C^∞ -analogue of the definition given in §IV, Chap. II of [10].

If U is a manifold, we denote by $T^1(U)$ the tangent bundle, by $T(U) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} T^p(U)$ the bundle of exterior algebras of tangent vectors. Note that $T^0(U) = R =$ the reals. By $\Phi(U) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \Phi^p(U)$ we denote the exterior algebra of differential forms; for our purposes, the most convenient definition is

$$(1) \quad \Phi^p(U) = \text{Hom}_{R(U)} [\times T^p(U), R(U)]$$

where $R(U) = \Phi^0(U) = R$ -module of C^∞ -maps $U \rightarrow R$, and $\times T^p(U)$ denotes the $R(U)$ -module of cross-sections of $T^p(U)$. { If Λ is a commutative ring and A, B are Λ -modules, $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, B)$ denotes the Λ -module of Λ -homomorphisms $A \rightarrow B$. }

If $v, v' \in \times T^p(U)$ are such that $v|V = v'|V = v'$ “on V ” where V

Received by the editors December 15, 1954 and, in revised form, March 24, 1955.

¹ This work was done while Dr. Gugenheim was a Commonwealth Fund Fellow.

is some open set of U , it is easy to see that $\phi v = \phi v'$ on V for $\phi \in \Phi^p(U)$. Hence the definition of $\Phi^p(U)$ is a "local" one; and $\phi \in \Phi^p(U)$ can be given by giving its values on germs of cross-section; a germ of cross-section at $x \in U$ is the equivalence class of all cross-sections which agree (pairwise) in some neighborhood of x .

If $\phi \in \Phi^{p+q}(U)$ and $v \in \times T^p(U)$ we define the contraction $v \lrcorner \phi \in \Phi^q(U)$ by

$$(v \lrcorner \phi)v' = \phi(v \wedge v')$$

where $v' \in \times T^q(U)$.

The exterior derivative $d: \Phi^p \rightarrow \Phi^{p+1}$ is given by the formula

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (d\phi)(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{p+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} v_i(\phi(v_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{i} \cdots \wedge v_{p+1})) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j+1} \phi([v_i, v_j] \wedge v_1 \wedge \cdots \hat{i} \cdots \hat{j} \cdots \wedge v_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where the v_i are germs of $\times T^1(U)$, $\phi \in \Phi^p(U)$, $[v_i, v_j] = v_i v_j - v_j v_i$ and $\cdots \hat{i} \cdots$ denotes the omission of the term with index i . The following will be useful:

LEMMA 1. *The homomorphism d is uniquely characterized by:*

- (i) *If $\phi \in \Phi^0(U)$, $v \in \times T^1(U)$, $(d\phi)v = v\phi$,*
- (ii) *If $\phi \in \Phi^0(U)$, $d^2\phi = 0$,*
- (iii) *If $\phi \in \Phi^p(U)$, $\psi \in \Phi(U)$, $d(\phi \wedge \psi) = d\phi \wedge \psi + (-1)^p \phi \wedge d\psi$.*

Since locally $\Phi^1(U)$ is (isomorphic to) the Grassmann algebra generated by $\Phi^1(U)$ regarded as an $R(U)$ -module, (ii) and (iii) imply (ii'): $d^2 = 0$.

If U, V are manifolds, and $f: U \rightarrow V$ is a map, we denote by $f_*: T(U) \rightarrow T(V)$ and $f^*: \Phi(V) \rightarrow \Phi(U)$ the corresponding induced maps.

If c is a differentiable (i.e., C^∞) p -chain in U and $\phi \in \Phi^p(U)$, we shall write $\phi \cdot c = \int \phi$. Stokes's theorem then takes the form $(d\phi) \cdot c = \phi \cdot bc$, where b denotes the boundary operator of the singular theory.

2. Almost product structure. We say that the manifold U has almost product structure (P, Q) if there are homomorphisms³ $P, Q: T^1(U) \rightarrow T^1(U)$ such that $T^1(U) = PT^1(U) \oplus QT^1(U)$ (direct sum). Thus for $v \in T^1(U)$, $v = Pv + Qv$ and hence if $v_i \in T^1(U)$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$), then $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p$ is a sum of terms each of which is the exterior

² More accurately: $\Phi(V)$ is the Grassmann algebra generated by $\Phi^1(V)$ for sufficiently small neighbourhoods $V \subset U$.

³ For fibre bundles the fibres of which are modules, a homomorphism is a fibre-preserving map which, restricted to any fibre, is a homomorphism in the algebraic sense.

product of r vectors of type Pv_i by s vectors of type Qv_j where $r+s=p$; for given r, s such a term is called a vector of "type (r, s) "; and this process defines a unique projection operator

$$\prod_{r,s}: T^{r+s}(U) \rightarrow T^{r+s}(U)$$

onto the submodule of vectors of type (r, s) .

We then define projection operators $\prod_{r,s}^*: \Phi^{r+s}(U) \rightarrow \Phi^{r+s}(U)$ by $\prod_{r,s}^* \phi = \phi \prod_{r,s}$. If $\phi = \prod_{r,s}^* \phi$ we say that ϕ is of type (r, s) (cf. [8]).

Let U, V be manifolds with almost product structures $(P, Q), (\bar{P}, \bar{Q})$ respectively. A map $F: U \rightarrow V$ is said to be of type (l, m) (in relation to these structures) if

$$\prod_{r+l,s+m} F_* = F_* \prod_{r,s}$$

A map of type $(0, 0)$ is said to be *admissible*; the same definitions apply to any homomorphisms $T(U) \rightarrow T(V)$ or $\Phi(V) \rightarrow \Phi(U)$.

An examination of formula (2) shows that $d = d'_2 + d'_1 + d''_1 + d''_2$ where d'_2, d'_1, d''_1, d''_2 are of types $(2, -1), (1, 0), (0, 1), (-1, 2)$ respectively. $d^2 = 0$ leads to the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} d_2'^2 &= d_2' d_1' + d_1' d_2' = d_2' d_1'' + (d_1')^2 + d_1'' d_2' \\ (3) \quad &= d_2' d_2'' + d_1' d_1'' + d_1'' d_1' + d_2'' d_2' \\ &= d_2'' d_1'' + d_1'' d_2'' = d_2'' d_1' + (d_1'')^2 + d_1' d_2'' = (d_2'')^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In analogy to Lemma 1, we now define the $R(U)$ -homomorphism $d_P: \Phi^p(U) \rightarrow \Phi^{p+1}(U)$ by

(i) If $\phi \in \Phi^0(U)$ and $v \in \times T^1(U)$, then

$$(d_P \phi)v = (Pv)\phi.$$

(ii) If $\phi \in \Phi^0(U)$, $(d_P d + d d_P)\phi = 0$.

(iii) If $\phi \in \Phi^p(U)$ and $\psi \in \Phi(U)$,

$$d_P(\phi \wedge \psi) = d_P \phi \wedge \psi + (-1)^p \phi \wedge d_P \psi.$$

It easily follows that

(ii') $d_P d + d d_P = 0$.

It is easily verified that $2d'_2 + d'_1 - d''_2$ satisfies these conditions; whence

$$(4) \quad d_P = 2d'_2 + d'_1 - d''_2.$$

Writing also

$$(5) \quad d_Q = 2d''_2 + d''_1 - d'_2,$$

we see that $d = d_P + d_Q$ and, by symmetry, that d_Q is related to Q as d_P is to P .

Using (3), (4), we see that $d_P^2 = d_1'^2 + 2(d_1' d_1'' + d_1'' d_1') + d_1''^2$. Hence, noting $d|_{\Phi^0(U)} = d_1' + d_1''$ and appealing to Lemma 1, we have

LEMMA 2. $d_P^2 = 0$ if and only if $d = d_1' + d_1''$; i.e., $d_2' = d_2'' = 0$; i.e., $d_P = d_1'$, $d_Q = d_1''$.

It is not hard to prove that the conditions of Lemma 2 are equivalent to the "integrability" of the given almost product structure in which case we have a local product structure.

3. **The / operation.** Let U, V be manifolds. An obvious almost product structure is defined on $U \times V$ by regarding P, Q as the (natural) projection operators associated with the direct sum decomposition $T^1(U \times V) = T^1(U) \oplus T^1(V)$. We shall thus regard vector fields in U, V as lying, in an evident manner, in $U \times V$. It is clear that the conditions of Lemma 2 pertain; we write $d_U = d_P, d_V = d_Q$. d_U corresponds to "differentiation in U only."

Now, let $\phi \in \Phi^{r+s}(U \times V)$ and let c be a singular r -chain in U . Then (using a notation due to N. E. Steenrod, cf. [3]) we define $\phi/c \in \Phi^s(V)$ by

$$(6) \quad (\phi/c)(v)(y) = (-1)^{rs} [j_v^*(v \lrcorner \phi)] \cdot c$$

where $v \in \times T^s(V), y \in V$ and $j_v: U \rightarrow U \times V$ is the map $x \rightarrow (x, y)$. Then, as is easily seen,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (-1)^{rs} d(\phi/c) &= (-1)^{r(s+1)} d_V \phi/c \\ &= (-1)^{r(s+1)} [d\phi/c - d_U \phi/c]. \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $v' \in \times T^{s+1}(V)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{r(s+1)} (d_U \phi/c)(v')(y) &= j_v^*(v' \lrcorner d_U \phi) \cdot c \\ &= (-1)^{s+1} [d j_v^*(v' \lrcorner \phi)] \cdot c = (-1)^{s+1} j_v^*(v' \lrcorner \phi) \cdot bc \\ &= (-1)^{r(s+1)} (\phi/bc)(v')(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(8) \quad d\phi/c - \phi/bc = (-1)^r d(\phi/c)$$

(cf. 2.9 in [3]).

Now assume that V has almost product structure (P, Q) and that $U = U_P \times U_Q$. Define an almost product structure (\bar{P}, \bar{Q}) on $U \times V = U_P \times U_Q \times V$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}T^1(U \times V) &= T^1(U_P) \oplus PT^1(V), \\ \bar{Q}T^1(U \times V) &= T^1(U_Q) \oplus QT^1(V). \end{aligned}$$

In this situation, formula (8) splits up into various components. We discuss one special case, namely that when $c = c' \times x_Q$ where c' is an r -chain in U_P and x_Q is a point of U_Q regarded as a 0-chain. In this case the homomorphism $\phi \rightarrow \phi/c$ is of type $(-r, 0)$ in relation to the almost product structures $(\bar{P}, \bar{Q}), (P, Q)$. By examining (8) in terms of its components we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 d'_2 \phi/c &= (-1)^r d'_2 (\phi/c), \\
 d''_1 \phi/c &= (-1)^r d''_1 (\phi/c), \\
 d''_2 \phi/c &= (-1)^r d''_2 (\phi/c), \\
 d'_1 \phi/c - \phi/bc &= (-1)^r d'_1 (\phi/c)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9}$$

from which, using (4) and (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{\bar{P}} \phi/c - \phi/bc &= (-1)^r d_P (\phi/c), \\
 d_{\bar{Q}} \phi/c &= (-1)^r d_Q (\phi/c).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

4. Chain homotopies. Let us retain the notations of §3, let W be a third manifold and $F: U \times V \rightarrow W$ a map. We define $\lambda: \Phi(W) \rightarrow \Phi(V)$ by

$$\lambda \psi = (-1)^{r+1} (F^* \psi) / c
 \tag{11}$$

for $\psi \in \Phi(W)$. Then, using (8) we get

$$(d\lambda + (-1)^{r+1} \lambda d) \psi = (F^* \psi) / bc.
 \tag{12}$$

Now, consider the case when $c: I \rightarrow U$ is a singular 1-simplex and define $f_t: V \rightarrow W$ by $f_t(y) = F(c(t), y)$; then F represents a homotopy, and (12) becomes

$$d\lambda + \lambda d = f_1^* - f_0^*
 \tag{13}$$

showing that differentiably homotopic maps induce chain-homotopic homomorphisms.

Next, consider the almost product structures introduced in the second part of §3, and assume that F is admissible (in relation to these structures). The homomorphism λ defined by (11) in terms of an r -chain c "in U_P " will be denoted by λ_P . Using (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d_P \lambda_P + (-1)^{r+1} \lambda_P d_P) \psi &= F^* \psi / bc, \\
 d_Q \lambda_P + (-1)^{r+1} \lambda_P d_Q &= 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

and finally, in analogy to (13),

$$d_P \lambda_P + \lambda_P d_P = f_1^* - f_0^*;
 \tag{15}$$

in other words: A homotopy consistent with a given almost product

structure induces chain-homotopies for the operator d_P ; and similarly for d_Q .

5. **Almost complex structure** (cf. [5; 6]). Let M be an m -manifold, and let $CT(M) = T(M) \otimes_R C$ where C are the complex numbers; and let $C(M) = C$ -module of C^∞ -maps $M \rightarrow C$. We define

$$(16) \quad C\Phi^p(M) = \text{Hom}_{C(M)}[\times CT^p(M), C(M)]$$

and $C\Phi(M) = \sum_{p=0}^\infty C\Phi^p(M)$; cf. (1). We also define $d: C\Phi^p(M) \rightarrow C\Phi^{p+1}(M)$ by the formal analogue of (2); the definitions of f_* , f^* are similarly extended. It is clear that the whole "complex" theory is analogous to the "real" theory; also, $C(M)$ is naturally isomorphic to $R(M) \otimes_R C$, $C\Phi^p(M)$ to $\Phi^p(M) \otimes_R C$ and, under this isomorphism, d corresponds to $d \otimes 1$.

We say that M has a complex almost product structure if there are $C(M)$ -homomorphisms $P, Q: CT^1(M) \rightarrow CT^1(M)$ such that $CT^1(M) = PCT^1(M) \oplus QCT^1(M)$, P, Q being projections. It is clear that the theory of almost product structures (§2 above) has an exact analogue in this situation: and we take over, without change, the definitions of $\prod_{r,s}, \prod_{r,s}^*$, "type (r, s) ," $d = d_P + d_Q$, complete with Lemma 2.

We say that M has almost complex structure if it has complex almost product structure together with an isomorphism $k: CT^1(M) \rightarrow CT^1(M)$ such that $kPCT^1(M) = QCT^1(M)$, $kQCT^1(M) = PCT^1(M)$, $k^2 = 1$. Then k can be extended to $k: CT(M) \rightarrow CT(M)$ (and with a slight abuse of notation!) $k: C\Phi(M) \rightarrow C\Phi(M)$. We write $kv = \bar{v}$, $k\phi = \bar{\phi}$. In this case, in accordance with the usual notation, we write $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ for d_P, d_Q . If $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$ (cf. Lemma 2) the given almost complex structure is called integrable (cf. [5]).

It is well known that if M has almost complex structure and n complex dimensions, then it can be assigned a Hermitian metric (cf. [4, p. 209]) and in terms of this a duality operator $*$: $C\Phi^p(M) \rightarrow C\Phi^{2n-p}(M)$ and a scalar product (ϕ, ψ) for $\psi, \phi \in C\Phi^p(M)$; cf [1; 5; 7; 8]. These operations satisfy

$$\left(\prod_{r,s}^* \phi, \psi \right) = \left(\phi, \prod_{r,s}^* \psi \right),$$

$$* \prod_{r,s}^* = \prod_{n-r, n-s}^* *$$

and also, writing $\vartheta = - * \partial *$,

$$(\phi, \vartheta\psi) = (\bar{\partial}\phi, \psi)$$

if ϕ, ψ are forms with compact carriers (cf. [5]). We define

$$(17) \quad \Delta = 2(\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial).$$

Now, let U be a subdomain (i.e., an open set) of M such that the closure of U in M is compact. By \mathcal{L} denote the Hilbert space (in terms of the scalar product just introduced) of norm-finite differential forms on U and by \mathcal{F} the space of forms $\phi \in C\Phi(M)$ such that $\bar{\partial}\phi = \partial\phi = 0$ and $\phi = 0$ outside U ; then \mathcal{F} can be regarded as a subspace of \mathcal{L} ; we denote by $F: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ the associated projection operator. There exists a "Green's operator" $G: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$(18) \quad \Delta G\phi = \phi - F\phi$$

(cf. [5]).

Define $H, J: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ by

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= 2\partial(\bar{\partial}G - G\bar{\partial}) + F, \\ J &= 2\partial G. \end{aligned}$$

If the structure is complex, $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0, \partial^2 = 0$ and hence $\bar{\partial}\Delta = \Delta\bar{\partial}, \partial\Delta = \Delta\partial$; hence in this case $\Delta H = 0$. If U is a closed, compact manifold, $\bar{\partial}G - G\bar{\partial} = 0$ and $H = F$.

In the case of complex euclidean n -space, it is trivial that there exists a Green's operator G satisfying $\Delta G\phi = \phi$ and, if ϕ has a compact support, $\bar{\partial}G\phi = G\bar{\partial}\phi$. Hence, if we assume that U is an arbitrary subdomain of complex euclidean space, then $H\phi = 0$ provided that the support of ϕ is compact relative to U .

As is easily verified,

$$(20) \quad \bar{\partial}J + J\partial = I - H.$$

Let V be another almost complex manifold; give to $U \times V$ the natural induced almost complex structure; and by $J_U, H_U, \bar{\partial}_U$ denote the operators on $C\Phi(U \times V)$ associated with U . Then, if c is some singular r -chain in U , define $L: C\Phi(U \times V) \rightarrow C\Phi(V)$ by

$$(21) \quad L\phi = J_U\phi/c.$$

It is easily seen that

$$(22) \quad (-1)^{r+1}\bar{\partial}L + L\bar{\partial} = L\bar{\partial}_U$$

or, using (20),

$$(23) \quad ((-1)^{r+1}\bar{\partial}L + L\bar{\partial})\phi = (I - H_U - \bar{\partial}_U J_U)\phi/c.$$

Notice that, since there is no Stokes's formula in the geometrical sense for $\bar{\partial}$, no formula analogous to (8) can be obtained; similarly, no formulas analogous to (10) seem to exist, as singular chains cannot be closely related to almost complex structure.

In particular, if $r=0$, $\bar{\partial}_U J_U/c=0$ and (23) becomes

$$(24) \quad (-\bar{\partial}L + L\bar{\partial})\phi = (I - H_U)\phi/c.$$

Let W be a third almost complex manifold, $F: U \times V \rightarrow W$ a map such that $\bar{\partial}F^* = F^*\bar{\partial}$, let $c = u_1 - u_0$ where $u_0, u_1 \in U$, write $f_i(v) = F(u_i, v)$, and $\lambda = LF^*: C\Phi(W) \rightarrow C\Phi(V)$. Then, (24) gives

$$(25) \quad (-\bar{\partial}\lambda + \lambda\bar{\partial})\phi = (f_1^* - f_0^*)\phi - (H_U F^* \phi)_1 + (H_U F^* \phi)_0$$

where $(H_U F^* \phi)_i = H_U F^* \phi|_{u_i \times V}$.

If U is compact and connected, $H_U = F_U$ where F_U is the projection onto the space of forms satisfying $\partial_U \phi = \bar{\partial}_U \phi = 0$, and \mathcal{F}_U^0 (subspace of such forms of degree 0) is isomorphic to C ; then $(H_U F^* \phi)_1 = (H_U F^* \phi)_0$ and (25) becomes

$$(26) \quad -\bar{\partial}\lambda + \lambda\bar{\partial} = f_1^* - f_0^*.$$

6. An example (cf. the Introduction). Let G be the multiplicative group consisting of matrices of the form

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z_1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 1 & z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $z_i \in C$; let D be the (discrete) subgroup consisting of all z such that z_i are Gaussian integers. Then $V = G/D$ (i.e., the space of right cosets $z \cdot D$) is a homogeneous compact complex manifold (which was first considered by Iwasawa). It is easily seen that (in classical notation) the holomorphic 1-forms

$$w_1 = dz_1, \quad w_2 = dz_2 - z_3 dz_1, \quad w_3 = dz_3$$

are right invariant on G ; they can hence be regarded as holomorphic 1-forms on V . Further, it is not hard to verify that w_1, w_2, w_3 generate the $\bar{\partial}$ -homology group $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{1,0}(V)$ of forms of type $(1, 0)$. Hence

$$\dim H_{\bar{\partial}}^{1,0}(V) = 3.$$

By the duality theorem of Kodaira-Serre (cf. [9; 11])

$$\dim H_{\bar{\partial}}^{2,3}(V) = 3.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= w_2 \wedge w_3 \wedge \bar{w}_1 \wedge \bar{w}_2 \wedge \bar{w}_3, \\ \psi_2 &= w_3 \wedge w_1 \wedge \bar{w}_1 \wedge \bar{w}_2 \wedge \bar{w}_3, \\ \psi_3 &= w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge \bar{w}_1 \wedge \bar{w}_2 \wedge \bar{w}_3 \end{aligned}$$

represent linearly independent elements of $H_5^{2,3}(V)$ and hence generate this group.

Now, every $t \in G$ induces the analytic homeomorphism $T_t: z \rightarrow t \cdot z$ of V onto itself; obviously each T_t is homotopic to the identity. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(T_t)^*w_1 &= w_1, \\ (T_t)^*w_2 &= w_2 - t_3w_1 + t_1w_3, \\ (T_t)^*w_3 &= w_3\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}(T_t)^*\psi_1 &= \psi_1 + t_3\psi_2, \\ (T_t)^*\psi_2 &= \psi_2, \\ (T_t)^*\psi_3 &= \psi_3 - t_1\psi_2\end{aligned}$$

showing that there is *no* chain-homotopy.

REFERENCES

1. G. de Rham and K. Kodaira, *Harmonic integrals*, Princeton, Institute for Advanced Study, 1950 (Polycopied).
2. S. Eilenberg and N. E. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton, 1952.
3. N. E. Steenrod, *Homology of symmetric groups and reduced power operations*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 39 (1953) pp. 213–217.
4. ———, *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton, 1951.
5. D. C. Spencer, *Potential theory and almost-complex manifolds*, Conference on Complex Variables, University of Michigan, June, 1953.
6. C. Ehresmann, *Sur les variétés presque complexes*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Cambridge, Mass. 1950, Providence, American Mathematical Society, 1952, vol. 2, pp. 412–419.
7. G. de Rham, *Variétés différentiables*, Paris, Hermann, 1955.
8. P. R. Garabedian and D. C. Spencer, *A complex tensor calculus for Kähler manifolds*, Acta Math. vol. 89 (1953) pp. 279–331.
9. J. P. Serre, *Un théorème de dualité*, Comment. Math. Helv. vol. 29 (1955) pp. 9–26.
10. C. Chevalley, *Theory of Lie groups*, I, Princeton, 1946.
11. K. Kodaira, *On cohomology groups of compact analytic varieties with coefficients in some analytic faisceaux*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 39 (1953) pp. 865–868.

BIRKBECK COLLEGE AND
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY