

# ON THE LIMIT OF THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE EIGEN-FUNCTION SERIES ASSOCIATED WITH A CERTAIN NON-SELF-ADJOINT DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEM<sup>1</sup>

LUNA I. MISHOE AND GLORIA C. FORD

**Introduction.** In the attempt to solve certain problems in mathematical-physics, such as diffraction of an arbitrary pulse by a wedge as considered by Irvin Kay [1], one encounters the hyperbolic differential equation

$$(1) \quad u_{xx} - q(x)u = u_{xt} - p(x)u_t$$

where  $u(x, t)$  must satisfy the conditions  $u(a, t) = u(b, t) = 0$  and  $u(x, 0) = F(x)$ . In attempting to solve equation (1) by separation of variables, one is led to the consideration of expanding an arbitrary function  $F(x)$  in terms of the eigenfunctions, or nonzero solutions,  $u_n(x)$  of the equation:

$$(2) \quad (A + \lambda B)u = 0$$

satisfying the conditions  $u(a) = u(b) = 0$ , where  $A$  is the operator  $d^2/dx^2 + q(x)$  and  $B$  is the operator  $-d/dx + p(x)$ . The system adjoint to (2) is:

$$(3) \quad (A^* + \lambda B^*)v = 0, \quad v(a) = v(b) = 0$$

where  $A = A^*$  and  $B^* = d/dx + p(x)$ .

Conditions have been established [2], under which a function  $F(x)$  of bounded variation on  $(a, b)$  can be expanded in terms of  $u_n(x)$ . However, in the expansion  $F(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n u_n(x)$  there are certain properties of the coefficients,  $a_n$ , which differ quite radically from the corresponding properties of the coefficients of certain well-known self-adjoint eigenfunction expansions. For example, if  $B_n$  are the Fourier coefficients of a function  $g(x)$ , it is well known that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n = 0$ . However, in the expansion  $F(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n u_n(x)$ , it is found that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  is not in general equal to zero. Consequently, the series  $\sum_1^{\infty} a_n^2$ , unlike the corresponding series of Fourier coefficients, does not in general converge.

---

Received by the editors August 17, 1953 and, in revised form, May 4, 1955.

<sup>1</sup> The research in this document has been made possible through a grant to Morgan State College by the National Science Foundation, Washington, D. C. Research Grant NSF-G 1224, November 1954.

In this paper it is proved that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$  if and only if  $F(a) = F(b) = 0$ .

The following theorem was proved in [2]:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $q(x)$  be continuous and let  $p(x)$  have a continuous second derivative. If  $F(x)$  is of bounded variation in  $(a, b)$  and if*

$$(4) \quad F(a + 0) + F(b - 0) \exp \left[ - \int_a^b p(t) dt \right] = 0,$$

then the series

$$(5) \quad \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n u_n(x),$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{\int_a^b F(\xi) B^* v_n(\xi) d\xi}{\int_a^b u_n(\xi) B^* v_n(\xi) d\xi}$$

with  $u_n(x)$  and  $v_n(x)$  eigenfunctions of (2) and (3) respectively, converges to  $[F(x+0) + F(x-0)]/2$  in the interval  $a < x < b$ . If  $F(x)$  does not satisfy the condition (4), then the series (5) converges to

$$T(x) = [F(x + 0) + F(x - 0)]/2 - c \exp \left[ \int_a^x p(t) dt \right]$$

in the interval  $a < x < b$ , where

$$c = \left\{ F(a + 0) + F(b - 0) \exp \left[ - \int_a^b p(t) dt \right] \right\} / 2.$$

We now prove:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $F'(x)$  exists and is of bounded variation for  $a \leq x \leq b$ , and if  $F(a) + F(b) \exp \left[ - \int_a^b p(t) dt \right] = 0$ , then a necessary and sufficient condition that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$  is that  $F(a) = F(b) = 0$ . (The prime denotes differentiation with respect to  $x$ .)*

**Asymptotic form of  $a_n$ .** Since

$$a_n = \int_a^b F(\xi) B^* v_n(\xi) d\xi / \int_a^b u_n(\xi) B^* v_n(\xi) d\xi,$$

we can develop the asymptotic form for  $a_n$  by considering the corresponding forms for  $u_n(\xi)$  and  $B^* v_n(\xi)$ , and we have from [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_n(x) &= u_a(x, \lambda_n) \\
 (6) \quad &= \lambda_n^{-1} \left\{ \exp \left[ \lambda_n(x-a) - \int_a^x p(t) dt \right] - \exp \left[ \int_a^x p(t) dt \right] \right\} \\
 &\quad + O(\lambda_n^{-2} \exp [(\lambda_n + |\sigma|)(x-a)/2]),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_n = \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n$  and  $|\lambda_n| \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(7) \quad B^*v_n(x) = B^*v_a(x, \lambda_n) = \exp \left[ -\lambda_n x + \int_a^x p(t) dt \right] + \Omega_a,$$

where

$$\Omega_a = \begin{cases} O(\lambda_n^{-2} \exp [-\lambda_n a]) + O(\lambda_n^{-1} \exp [-\lambda_n x]), & \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \geq 0, \\ O(\lambda_n^{-1} \exp [-\lambda_n x]) & \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and where

$$(7a) \quad \lambda_n = \frac{2n\pi i + 2 \int_a^b p(t) dt + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{b-a}.$$

From (6) and (7) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad u_n(\xi) B^*v_n(\xi) &= \frac{\exp(-\lambda_n a)}{\lambda_n} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{\exp \left[ -\lambda_n \xi + 2 \int_a^\xi p(t) dt \right]}{\lambda_n}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad \int_a^b u_n(\xi) B^*v_n(\xi) d\xi &= \int_a^b \frac{\exp(-\lambda_n a)}{\lambda_n} d\xi + \int_a^b O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\right) d\xi \\
 &\quad - \int_a^b \frac{\exp \left[ -\lambda_n \xi + 2 \int_a^\xi p(t) dt \right]}{\lambda_n} d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $u_n(\xi)$  has a bounded derivative on  $(a, b)$ , it follows that  $u_n(\xi)$  is of bounded variation for  $a \leq \xi \leq b$ . Also

$$B^*v_n(\xi) = p(\xi)v_n(\xi) + v_n'(\xi)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} [B^*v_n(\xi)] = p(\xi)v_n'(\xi) + v_n(\xi)p'(\xi) + v_n''(\xi)$$

but by (3)

$$v_n''(\xi) = -q(\xi)v_n(\xi) - \lambda_n[p(\xi)v_n(\xi) + v_n'(\xi)].$$

Therefore

$$(10) \quad \frac{d}{d\xi} [B^*v_n(\xi)] = p(\xi)v_n'(\xi) + v_n(\xi)p'(\xi) - q(\xi)v_n(\xi) - \lambda_n[p(\xi)v_n(\xi) + v_n'(\xi)]$$

is bounded for  $a \leq \xi \leq b$ . Hence  $B^*v_n(\xi)$  is of bounded variation for  $a \leq \xi \leq b$  and it follows that

$$(11) \quad u_n(\xi)B^*v_n(\xi)$$

is of bounded variation, and consequently the term

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\right) \equiv \frac{g(n, \xi)}{\lambda_n^2}$$

in (9) is of bounded variation for  $a \leq \xi \leq b$ . Now put

$$g(n, \xi) = Q_1(n, \xi) - Q_2(n, \xi)$$

where  $Q_1(n, \xi)$  and  $Q_2(n, \xi)$  are two non-negative bounded monotone decreasing functions, and apply the mean value theorem to (9) and we get:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_a^b u_n(\xi)B^*v_n(\xi)d\xi \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{\exp(-\lambda_n a)}{\lambda_n} d\xi + \frac{Q_1(n, a)}{\lambda_n^2} \int_a^{d_1} d\xi \\ & \quad - \frac{Q_2(n, a)}{\lambda_n^2} \int_a^{d_2} d\xi - \int_a^b \frac{\exp\left[-\lambda_n \xi + 2 \int_a^\xi p(t)dt\right]}{\lambda_n} d\xi \\ &= \frac{(b-a)\exp(-\lambda_n a)}{\lambda_n} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\left[(b-a)\exp(-\lambda_n a) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right)\right]}{\lambda_n}, \text{ where } a < d_1, d_2 < b. \end{aligned}$$

Now put

$$(13) \quad \left[ (b-a) \exp(-\lambda_n a) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right) \right] = \frac{1}{B(n)}.$$

We then have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} a_n &= B(n)\lambda_n \int_a^b F(\xi) \exp\left[-\lambda_n \xi + \int_a^\xi p(t) dt\right] d\xi \\ &+ B(n)\lambda_n \int_a^b \frac{F(\xi)O[\exp(-\lambda_n \xi)]}{\lambda_n} d\xi \\ &+ B(n)\lambda_n \int_a^b F(\xi)O\left(\frac{\exp[-\lambda_n a]}{\lambda_n^2}\right) d\xi, \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} a_n &= B(n)\lambda_n \int_a^b F(\xi) \exp\left[-\lambda_n \xi + \int_a^\xi p(t) dt\right] d\xi \\ &+ B(n)\lambda_n \int_a^b F(\xi)O\left(\frac{\exp[-\lambda_n \xi]}{\lambda_n}\right) d\xi, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Determination of the limit of  $a_n$ .** From equation (14) we have, for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n \geq 0$ :

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n)\lambda_n \int_a^b F(\xi) \exp\left[-\lambda_n \xi + \int_a^\xi p(t) dt\right] d\xi \\ &+ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) \int_a^b F(\xi)O(\exp[-\lambda_n \xi]) d\xi \\ &+ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{\lambda_n} \int_a^b F(\xi)O(\exp[-\lambda_n a]) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

provided these limits exist. Since  $B^*v_n(x)$  is of bounded variation for  $a \leq x \leq b$ , it is clear from (7) that the expressions  $O(\exp[-\lambda_n \xi])$  and  $O(\exp[-\lambda_n a])$  in the integrands of (16) are also of bounded variation for  $a \leq \xi \leq b$ .

Consider now the second integral of (16). We have:

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) \int_a^b F(\xi)O(\exp[-\lambda_n \xi]) d\xi \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) \int_a^b F(\xi)g_1(n, \xi) \exp[-\lambda_n \xi] d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_1(n, \xi)$  and consequently  $F(\xi)g_1(n, \xi)$  are of bounded variation

for  $a \leq \xi \leq b$ . Put  $F(\xi)g_1(n, \xi) = Q_3(n, \xi) - Q_4(n, \xi)$ , where  $Q_3(n, \xi)$  and  $Q_4(n, \xi)$  are two non-negative, bounded monotone decreasing functions, and apply the mean value theorem to (17) and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) \int_a^b F(\xi)g_1(n, \xi) \exp [-\lambda_n \xi] d\xi \\ (18) \quad &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) \left[ \frac{Q_3(n, a) \exp [-\lambda_n a]}{-\lambda_n} + \frac{Q_4(n, a) \exp [-\lambda_n a]}{\lambda_n} \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{O(1)}{\lambda_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_n = (2n\pi i + 2 \int_a^b p(t) dt) / (b-a) + O(1/n)$ , it is clear that  $\lambda_n = nO(1)$  and (18) becomes:

$$(19) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) \int_a^b F(\xi)g_1(n, \xi) \exp [-\lambda_n \xi] d\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{O(1)}{n} = 0.$$

A completely similar argument will show that the third integral in (16) becomes:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{\lambda_n} \int_a^b F(\xi)O(\exp [-\lambda_n a]) d\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{O(1)}{n} = 0.$$

Hence, by (14) and (15), we have:

$$(20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n B(n) \int_a^b F(\xi) \exp \left[ -\lambda_n \xi + \int_a^\xi p(t) dt \right] d\xi.$$

If in (20), we put  $F(\xi) \exp \left[ \int_a^\xi p(t) dt \right] = H(\xi)$  and integrate by parts we get:

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = & - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) \left\{ [H(\xi) \exp (-\lambda_n \xi)]_a^b \right. \\ & \left. - \int_a^b H'(\xi) \exp (-\lambda_n \xi) d\xi \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $F'(\xi)$  is of bounded variation for  $a \leq \xi \leq b$ , it follows that  $H'(\xi)$  is also of bounded variation for  $a \leq \xi \leq b$  and

$$(22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b H'(\xi) \exp (-\lambda_n \xi) d\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{O(1)}{n} = 0.$$

We have finally, by (7a), (21) and (22):

$$(23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) \left\{ F(a) \exp [-\lambda_n a] - F(b) \exp \left[ \int_a^b p(t) dt - \lambda_n b \right] \right\}.$$

But, by Theorem 2, we have

$$(24) \quad F(a) = - \exp \left[ - \int_a^b p(t) dt \right] F(b).$$

Therefore

$$(25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = - F(b) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) \left( \exp \left[ - \int_a^b p(t) dt - \lambda_n a \right] + \exp \left[ \int_a^b p(t) dt - \lambda_n b \right] \right).$$

We have from (13) that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B(n) e^{-\lambda_n a} = \frac{1}{b - a}.$$

Using this result in (25), we have

$$(26) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \frac{-F(b)}{b - a} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \exp \left[ - \int_a^b p(t) dt - 2\lambda_n a \right] + \exp \left[ \int_a^b p(t) dt - \lambda_n (b + a) \right] \right).$$

From the value of  $\lambda_n$  as given by (7a), it is clear that the second factor in equation (26) does not approach zero as  $n$  approaches infinity. Hence it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$  if and only if  $F(b) = 0$ . Then it follows from (24) that  $F(a)$  is also equal to zero. And, our theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

1. Irvin Kay, *Diffraction of an arbitrary pulse by a wedge*, Mathematics Research Group, New York University, Report No. EM-43.
2. B. Friedman and L. I. Mishoe, *Eigenfunction expansions associated with a non-self-adjoint differential equation*, Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, Research Report No. BR-4, 1954.

MORGAN STATE COLLEGE