

ON THE COVERING OF E_n BY SPHERES

DONALD WARNCKE AND FRED SUPNICK

1. Statement of results. Let S_n denote the set of (closed) n -spheres with radii of length $(n^{1/2})/2$ and centers on the lattice points of a rectangular Cartesian coordinate system in E_n (Euclidean n -space). Since $(n^{1/2})/2$ is half the largest diagonal of the unit n -cube, every point of E_n falls on or within some of the spheres of S_n . For $n=1, 2, 3$, if an n -sphere is removed from S_n , then certain points of E_n are not covered by the remaining spheres. However, for $n > 3$, proper subsets of S_n cover E_n completely.

Let $[x]$ denote the greatest integer less than or equal to x . We prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. *Each point of E_n is on or within some n -sphere with radius of length $(n^{1/2})/2$ and center at a lattice point (y_1, \dots, y_n) for which*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \equiv 0 \pmod{([n/4] + 1)}.$$

2. A lemma. *Let*

- 1) (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) be any point of E_4 ,
- 2) $\delta_i = x_i - ([x_i] + 1/2)$ ($i=1, 2, 3, 4$),
- 3) (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) be a rearrangement of $(1, 2, 3, 4)$ such that

$$|\delta_{i_1}| \leq |\delta_{i_2}| \leq |\delta_{i_3}| \leq |\delta_{i_4}|,$$

4) (y'_1, y'_2, y'_3, y'_4) and $(y''_1, y''_2, y''_3, y''_4)$ be points in E_4 defined as follows:

- (i) $y''_1 = [x_{i_1}]$ and $y'_1 = [x_{i_1}] + 1$,
- (ii) for $j=2, 3, 4$

$$y'_{i_j} = y''_{i_j} = \begin{cases} [x_{i_j}] & \text{if } \delta_{i_j} \leq 0, \\ [x_{i_j}] + 1 & \text{if } \delta_{i_j} > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 (x_i - y'_i)^2 \leq 1$$

and

Presented to the Society, August 24, 1956; received by the editors May 29, 1956.

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 (x_i - y_i'')^2 \leq 1.$$

PROOF. Let k range over the set $\{y_i', y_i''\}$ and j over the set $\{2, 3, 4\}$. For each j such that $\delta_{ij} \leq 0$

$$(4) \quad (x_{ij} - k) = \left([x_{ij}] + \frac{1}{2} - |\delta_{ij}| - [x_{ij}] \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - |\delta_{ij}| \right).$$

For each j such that $\delta_{ij} > 0$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_{ij} - k) &= \left([x_{ij}] + \frac{1}{2} - |\delta_{ij}| - ([x_{ij}] + 1) \right) \\ &= \left(|\delta_{ij}| - \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=2}^4 (x_{ij} - k)^2 = \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\frac{1}{2} - |\delta_{ij}| \right)^2.$$

PROOF OF (2): (i) Suppose $\delta_{i1} \leq 0$. Then (4) holds if we replace k by y_i' and all j by 1. Since $|\delta_{ij}| \leq 1/2$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^4 (x_{ij} - y_i')^2 = \sum_{j=1}^4 \left(\frac{1}{2} - |\delta_{ij}| \right)^2 \leq 1.$$

(ii) Suppose $\delta_{i1} > 0$. Then

$$(8) \quad (x_{i1} - y_i') = \left([x_{i1}] + \frac{1}{2} + |\delta_{i1}| - [x_{i1}] \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + |\delta_{i1}| \right).$$

Therefore

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^4 (x_{ij} - y_i')^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2} + |\delta_{i1}| \right)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\frac{1}{2} - |\delta_{ij}| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + |\delta_{i2}| \right)^2 + 3 \left(\frac{1}{2} - |\delta_{i2}| \right)^2 \\ &= 1 - 2|\delta_{i2}| + 4|\delta_{i2}|^2 \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

since $|\delta_{i2}| \leq 1/2$ implies $4|\delta_{i2}|^2 \leq 2|\delta_{i2}|$.

PROOF OF (3): (i) Suppose $\delta_{i1} > 0$. Then (5) holds if we replace k by y_i'' and all j by 1. Therefore (7) holds if we replace y_i' by y_i'' .

(ii) Suppose $\delta_{i1} \leq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad (x_{i_1} - y''_{i_1}) &= \left([x_{i_1}] + \frac{1}{2} - |\delta_{i_1}| - ([x_{i_1}] + 1) \right) \\
 &= \left(-\frac{1}{2} - |\delta_{i_1}| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (9) holds if we replace y'_{i_j} by y''_{i_j} .

It is an immediate consequence of the lemma that the theorem is true for $n=4$:

COROLLARY. *Each point of E_4 is on or within some 4-sphere of unit radius, and center at a lattice point the sum of whose coordinates is even.*

PROOF. By the lemma each point of E_4 is on or within two spheres of unit radius, and centers at lattice points the sum of whose coordinates differ by one. Thus if we remove from S_4 all spheres with centers of odd coordinate sum, the remaining spheres of S_4 still cover E_4 .

3. Proof of the theorem. Let $n \geq 4$ (if $n=1, 2, 3$ the theorem is obviously true), and

- 1) (x_1, \dots, x_n) be a point in E_n ,
- 2) $\delta_i = x_i - ([x_i] + 1/2)$ ($i=1, \dots, n$),
- 3) $\theta_i \equiv \{x_{4i-3}, x_{4i-2}, x_{4i-1}, x_{4i}\}$ ($i=1, \dots, m$) where $m = [n/4]$,
- 4) $\theta_{m+1} \equiv \{x_{4m+1}, x_{4m+2}, \dots, x_n\}$ (θ_{m+1} having at most three elements).

We shall choose integers y_1, \dots, y_n satisfying the conditions of the theorem.

Let us consider any θ_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Let (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) be a rearrangement of $(4i-3, 4i-2, 4i-1, 4i)$ so that

$$|\delta_{i_1}| \leq |\delta_{i_2}| \leq |\delta_{i_3}| \leq |\delta_{i_4}|.$$

For $j=2, 3, 4$ let

$$(11) \quad z_{i_j} = \begin{cases} [x_{i_j}] & \text{if } \delta_{i_j} \leq 0, \\ [x_{i_j}] + 1 & \text{if } \delta_{i_j} > 0. \end{cases}$$

By the lemma if we choose z_{i_1} as either $[x_{i_1}]$ or $[x_{i_1}] + 1$, then in either case

$$(12) \quad \sum_{j=1}^4 (x_{i_j} - z_{i_j})^2 \leq 1.$$

An inequality (12) holds for each θ_i ($i=1, \dots, m$). Therefore

$$(13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{4m} (x_i - z_i)^2 \leq m.$$

Now let z_{4m+1}, \dots, z_n be chosen as in (11), i.e. by replacing i_j in (11) by $4m+1, \dots, n$ successively. Therefore by (4) and (5)

$$(14) \quad \sum_{i=4m+1}^n (x_i - z_i)^2 \leq \frac{n - 4m}{4}.$$

Therefore by (13) and (14)

$$(15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - z_i)^2 \leq \frac{n}{4}$$

for any (z_1, \dots, z_n) which may be chosen.

Associated with each point (x_1, \dots, x_n) of E_n there is a set of 2^m possible lattice points (z_1, \dots, z_n) which may be selected as in the previous paragraph; let us denote this set by Z . Let (z'_1, \dots, z'_n) and (z''_1, \dots, z''_n) be elements of Z ; we shall call them *equivalent* if $\sum_{i=1}^n (z'_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (z''_i)$. Furthermore, for each element k of the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ there exist elements (z_1^*, \dots, z_n^*) and $(z_1^{**}, \dots, z_n^{**})$ of Z such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i^*) - \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i^{**}) \right| = k.$$

Therefore Z can be expressed as a sum of mutually exclusive subsets Z_1, \dots, Z_{m+1} so that (i) any two elements of Z_i are equivalent, and (ii) $n_i = n_{i-1} + 1$ ($i = 2, \dots, m+1$) (where n_i denotes the sum of the coordinates of any element of Z_i). As n_1, \dots, n_{m+1} are $m+1$ consecutive integers, it must contain one, say n_j , which is divisible by $m+1$. Let (y_1, \dots, y_n) be any element of Z_j . Then (1) is true. This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Some further questions. It is a corollary of the above proof that there are at least $2^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor}$ spheres with radii of length $n^{1/2}/2$, and centers at lattice points, which contain (x_1, \dots, x_n) on or within them. For, (12) holds whether z_{i_1} of Q_i ($i = 1, \dots, \lfloor n/4 \rfloor$) is $\lfloor x_{i_1} \rfloor$ or $\lfloor x_{i_1} \rfloor + 1$ ($z_{i_2}, z_{i_3}, z_{i_4}$ of Q_i are defined by (11)). (This yields a constructive process for obtaining all $2^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor}$ of the spheres mentioned above.) Therefore every n -sphere (independent of position) with radius of length $n^{1/2}/2$ must contain at least $2^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor}$ lattice points on or within it. The length $n^{1/2}/2$ of the radius is "sharp" with respect to the property of "effective lattice point inclusion" as spheres with radii less than $n^{1/2}/2$ do not necessarily have to contain lattice points on or within them (e.g. those with center at $(1/2, 1/2, \dots, 1/2)$). The following questions remain open:

I. What is the largest number $N(n)$ so that every n -sphere (inde-

pendent of position) of radius $n^{1/2}/2$ contains *at least* $N(n)$ lattice points on or within it?

II. Is it possible (for certain n) to replace the number $[n/4]+1$ of the theorem by a number $M(n)$ which is greater than $[n/4]+1$?

BROOKLYN, N. Y. AND
CITY COLLEGE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

ON THE MULTIPLICATIVE GROUP OF A DIVISION RING

W. R. SCOTT

Let K be a noncommutative division ring with center Z and multiplicative group K^* . Hua [2; 3] proved that (i) K^*/Z^* is a group without center, and (ii) K^* is not solvable. A generalization (Theorem 1) will be given here which contains as a special case (Theorem 2) the fact that K^*/Z^* has no Abelian normal subgroups. This latter theorem obviously contains both (i) and (ii). As a further corollary it is shown that if M and N are normal subgroups of K^* not contained in Z^* , then $M \cap N$ is not contained in Z^* . The final theorem is that an element x outside Z contains as many conjugates as there are elements in K . This makes more precise a theorem of Herstein [1], who showed that x has an infinite number of conjugates.

Square brackets will denote multiplicative commutation. If S is a set, then $o(S)$ will mean the number of elements in S . A subgroup H of K^* is *subinvariant* in K^* if there is a chain $\{N_i\}$ of subgroups such that $H \triangleleft N_{r-1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft K^*$, where $A \triangleleft B$ means that A is a normal subgroup of B .

LEMMA. *Let K be a division ring, H a nilpotent subinvariant subgroup of K^* , $y \in H$, $x \in K^*$, and $[y, x] = \lambda \in Z^*$, $\lambda \neq 1$. Then the field $Z(x)$ is finite.*

PROOF. The proof of this lemma is essentially part of Hua's proof of (ii), but will be included for the sake of completeness.

Let f be any rational function over Z such that $f(x) \neq 0$. Then

Presented to the Society, November 23, 1956; received by the editors June 15, 1956.