

with mild restrictions on ϕ ensures that u/v satisfies the maximum principle; and this is the property which underlies the present analysis.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. P. Hartman and A. Wintner, *On a comparison theorem for self-adjoint partial differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 6 (1955).
2. H. Bateman, *Partial differential equations of mathematical physics*, New York, Dover, 1944, pp. 37, 135–138.
3. De la Vallée Poussin, *Cours d'analyse*, vol. I, New York, Dover, 1956, p. 118.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

A NOTE ON LINEAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

STEPHEN P. DILIBERTO¹

Let

$$(1) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = A(t)x,$$

where x is an n -column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and $A = (a_{ij}(t))$ where $a_{ij}(t)$ are continuous real valued functions of time ($-\infty < t < +\infty$). Let $y^1(t), \dots, y^n(t)$ be any n -linearly independent solutions of (1) defined for all t . Let $B^1(t), \dots, B^n(t)$ be the n normal-orthogonal vectors obtained from the set $\{y^i\}$ by the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process. Let $B(t)$ be the orthogonal matrix whose j th column is $B^j(t)$, and introduce a new variable u (an n -column vector) defined by

$$(2) \quad x = B(t)u.$$

u satisfies the linear differential equation

Received by the editors August 23, 1956.

¹ The author is indebted to the Office of Scientific Research for a research grant 1954–1955, during which time this work was undertaken.

$$(3) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = C(t)u$$

where C is related to A and B by

$$(4) \quad C(t) = B^{-1}(t)A(t)B(t) - B^{-1}(t) \frac{d}{dt} B(t).$$

We have shown² that

$$c_{ij}(t) = 0 \quad \text{if } i > j.$$

We propose to show that the c_{ij} satisfies certain simple formulas for $i \leq j$, and these will imply that the c_{ij} are bounded if the a_{ij} are bounded. Our first proof² of this fact was unsatisfactory.

We reemploy the convention that if B is an n -square matrix, B_i will denote the i th row of B and also the row vector determined by the i th row of B ; B^j will denote the j th column of B and also the column vector determined by the j th column of B . If E , F , and G are three matrices (n -square) and $E = FG$, then $E_i = F_i G$ and $E^j = F G^j$, where in the latter two formulas one has the appropriate vector-matrix and matrix-vector multiplication. E_i^j will denote the $(i-j)$ th element of E , and if $E = FG$, then $E_i^j = F_i G^j$ where the right side is *scalar multiplication* (of a row vector times a column vector). If $E = (e_{ij})$, then $E_i^j = e_{ij}$.

From (4) one finds

$$(5) \quad c_{iif} = B_i^{-1} A B^i - B_i^{-1} \left(\frac{dB^i}{dt} \right)$$

or

$$(6) \quad c_{iij} = B'^i A B^i - B'^i \left(\frac{dB^j}{dt} \right),$$

this last following from the fact the B is orthogonal, i.e. B' , the transpose of B , satisfies $B' = B^{-1}$ and therefore $(B')_i = B_i^{-1}$, but $(B')_i = (B^i)'$ or B'^i (in our notation). From $\delta_{ij} = B_i^{-1} B^j = B'^i B^j$, one finds on differentiating that

$$(7) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dt} B'^i \right) B^i = - B'^i \left(\frac{d}{dt} B^i \right) = - \left(\frac{dB^j}{dt} \right) B^i.$$

² S. P. Diliberto, *On systems of ordinary differential equations*, pp. 1-48 of *Contributions to the theory of non-linear oscillations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton, 1950.

For $i=j$ (7) implies that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} B^i\right) B^i = 0;$$

therefore (6) for $i=j$ becomes

$$(8) \quad c_{ii} = B^i A B^i.$$

Formula (5) implies for $r > s$, $c_{rs} = 0$; hence using (6) that

$$B'^r \left(\frac{dB^s}{dt}\right) = B'^r A B^s, \quad r > s$$

and this combined with (7) implies

$$(9) \quad B'^s \left(\frac{dB^r}{dt}\right) = -B'^r A B^s \quad (r > s).$$

For $s=i$ and $r=j$ and $i < j$ (9) substituted into (6) yields

$$(10) \quad c_{ij} = B'^i A B^j + B'^j A B^i.$$

Observing, when $A' = \text{transpose of } A$, that $B'^i A B^j = B'^i A' B^j$ one may rewrite (10) as

$$(11) \quad c_{ij} = B'^i (A + A') B^j.$$

Remarks. The fact that one has "explicit" formulas for c_{ij} (i.e. (5), (8), and (10)) does not appear to simplify our treatment (loc. cit.) of the theory of "generalized characteristic exponents." Formulas (8) and (10) can of course be used to establish a number of "stability" theorems; and all such results, including the formulas themselves, carry over directly to systems of linear ordinary differential equations in Hilbert space. It is to be noted that our expression for C does *not* depend on the derivatives of the $b_{ij}(t)$.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY AND
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY