

A GENERALIZATION OF HILBERT'S NULLSTELLENSATZ

S. A. AMITSUR

1. Introduction. The relation between Hilbert's Nullstellensatz and the theory of Jacobson's radical was first pointed out by Krull in [4]. This new approach can be utilised to obtain a new proof and an extension of this theorem to the case of polynomials in noncommutative indeterminates.

Let F be a field and let \bar{F} be its algebraic closure. Denote by $F[\xi] = F[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ the ring of all polynomials in a set of commutative variables ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n . Let $G = \{g(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)\}$ be a set of polynomials in $F[\xi]$ and let $f(\xi) \in F[\xi]$. The classical form of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz states that: "If $f(\xi)$ vanishes for all the zeros of the polynomials of the set G then some power $f^m(\xi)$ of $f(\xi)$ belongs to the ideal generated by the set G ."

By a slight change in the statement of this theorem we can observe possible extensions of this result. To this end we consider the free algebra (with a unit) $F[x_1, \dots, x_n] = F[x]$ generated by a finite set of *noncommutative* indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n over F . Let $G = \{g(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$ be a set of polynomials of $F[x]$ and let $I(G)$ be the ideal of $F[x]$ generated by the polynomials of the set G . Denote by \mathfrak{M}_1 the ideal generated by the set of commutators $x_i x_j - x_j x_i$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and consider the following property of a polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$:

$$(Z_1) \quad \begin{aligned} f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= 0 \text{ for every set of elements } \alpha_i \in \bar{F} \text{ for which} \\ g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= 0 \text{ for all } g(x) \in G \end{aligned}$$

and the new form of the Nullstellensatz will be:

"If $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_1) then some power of $f(x)$ belongs to the union of the ideals $I(G)$ and \mathfrak{M}_1 ."

The equivalence of the two forms of this theorem follows from the fact that $F[x]/\mathfrak{M}_1 \cong F[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$, where the ξ_i are commutative algebraically independent elements over F .

In this last form we extend the Nullstellensatz by generalising the property (Z_1) . Let \bar{F}_k be the algebra of all square matrices of order n over \bar{F} , and let \mathfrak{M}_n be the set of all polynomials $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F[x]$ for which the relation $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ holds identically in \bar{F}_n . It is readily verified that \mathfrak{M}_n is an ideal in $F[x]$ and that \mathfrak{M}_1 is the ideal

Received by the editors June 9, 1955.

¹ Compare with the results of [1] where an infinite number of indeterminates were considered.

generated by the commutators $x_i x_j - x_j x_i$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Let R be an algebra over F . A set of elements (r_1, \dots, r_n) of R is said to be a zero in R of the set of polynomials $G = \{g(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$ if $g(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0$ for all $g(x) \in G$. Consider the following properties of polynomials in $F[x]$:

(Z_k) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ vanishes for all zeros of G which lie in \bar{F}_k .

(Z_∞) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ vanishes for all zeros of G which lie in primitive rings.

The following extensions of the Nullstellensatz are shown:

THEOREM 1. *If $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k) then some power $f^m(x)$ belongs to the union of the ideals $I(G)$ and \mathfrak{M}_k .*

THEOREM 2. *If $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_∞) then $f^m(x) \in I(G)$ for some integer m .*

Thus, the case $k = 1$ is Hilbert's Nullstellensatz.

The proofs are obtained by studying the relations between the Jacobson radical of the quotients $F[x]/(I(G), \mathfrak{M}_k)$, $F[x]/I(G)$ and the properties (Z_k) and (Z_∞) and applying a recent result of the author (Corollary 4 of [2]) which we state for further references as:

LEMMA 1. *The Jacobson radical of a finitely generated algebra over a nondenumerable field is a nil ideal.*

2. Proofs. Before proceeding with the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 we need some lemmas.

Note that the property (Z_k) seems to depend on the underlined field \bar{F} and our first object is to prove its independence. Namely:

LEMMA 2. *If $f(x)$ vanishes for all zeros of G which lie in \bar{F}_k then $f(x)$ vanishes also for all zeros of G which lie in a matrix ring H_k , where H is an arbitrary field-extension of \bar{F} .*

Suppose the lemma is not true, then for some extension H of \bar{F} there exists a set of matrices $a_1, \dots, a_n \in H_k$ such that $g(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for all $g(x) \in G$ but $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$. We shall show that there exists a specialization: $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$ of H onto \bar{F} over \bar{F} such that the extended specialization: $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$ of H_k onto \bar{F}_k maps the elements a_i on finite matrices $\bar{a}_i \in \bar{F}_k$ and maps $f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ onto a nonzero matrix $f(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ of \bar{F}_k . Clearly, $(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n)$ is a zero of G and since $f(x)$ is supposed to satisfy (Z_k) we are led to a contradiction.

To obtain the required specialization we first note that H may be assumed to be a finitely generated extension of \bar{F} , since we have to consider only the elements of H which belong to the finite set of ma-

trices a_1, \dots, a_n . Let η_1, \dots, η_r be a maximal set of algebraically independent elements of H and let ζ_1, \dots, ζ_s the other generators of H which are algebraic over $\bar{F}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$. Before proceeding with the proof we need the following:

LEMMA 3. *Let $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ be a finite set of elements in H which are algebraic over $F(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$, then there exists a specialization: $t \rightarrow \bar{t}$ of H into \bar{F} such that $\bar{\lambda}_\nu \neq \infty$ for all ν , and if $\lambda_\nu \neq 0$ then $\bar{\lambda}_\nu \neq 0$.*

PROOF. The element λ_ν is algebraic over $\bar{F}(\eta)$. Let $\phi_{0\nu}(\eta)\lambda_\nu^r + \dots + \phi_{r\nu}(\eta) = 0$, where $\phi_{i\nu}(\eta)$ are polynomials in η_j , be a minimum equation of λ_ν over $\bar{F}(\eta)$. If $\lambda_\nu \neq 0$ then $\phi_{0\nu}(\eta)\phi_{r\nu}(\eta) \neq 0$. The required specialization will be any extension of the specialization of $\bar{F}(\eta)$ defined by the mappings: $\eta_i \rightarrow \alpha_i$ where $\alpha_i \in \bar{F}$ are so chosen that $\phi_{0\nu}(\alpha)\phi_{r\nu}(\alpha) \neq 0$, for all ν . One can find such α_i since \bar{F} is algebraically closed and, therefore, infinite. Thus, if $t \rightarrow \bar{t}$ denotes the specialization then $\bar{\lambda}_\nu \neq \infty$ and $\bar{\lambda}_\nu \neq 0$ if $\lambda_\nu \neq 0$. If $\lambda_\nu = 0$ then, obviously, $\bar{\lambda}_\nu = 0$.

We continue now with the proof of Lemma 2. Let $a_i = (\lambda_{jk}^i)$ and $f(a) = \sum f_\nu a_{\nu_1} a_{\nu_2} \dots a_{\nu_s} = (f_{jk})$, $f_\nu \in F$ and $\lambda_{jk}^i, f_{jk} \in H$. Consider now a specialization of H into \bar{F} which maps nonzero elements of the set (λ_{jk}^i, f_{jk}) onto nonzero elements. Clearly, for this specialization we have, $a \rightarrow \bar{a} \neq \infty$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = f(\bar{a}) \neq 0, \infty$ since $f(a) \neq 0$. This proves the existence of the required specialization.

An immediate consequence of the preceding lemma is the following:

COROLLARY 1. *If $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k) then $f(x)$ vanishes also for all zeros of G which lie in simple algebras over F which are of order $\leq k^2$ over their centres.*

Indeed, let \mathfrak{D} be a central simple algebra of order r^2 over its centre Z , where $Z \supset F$ and $r \leq k$. Let H be a splitting field of \mathfrak{D} containing \bar{F} . Since, $\mathfrak{D} \otimes H \cong H_r \subset H_k$, we may consider \mathfrak{D} as a subring of H_k . This means that the zeros of G in \mathfrak{D} are actually in H_k and, thus, the corollary follows by Lemma 2.

For further applications we introduce the following notations: Let $Q_k = (I(G), \mathfrak{M}_k)$ and let J_k/Q_k be the Jacobson radical of the quotient ring $F[x]/Q_k$. Note also that if P/Q_k is a primitive ideal in $F[x]/Q_k$ then P is also primitive in $F[x]$; furthermore, if P is assumed to contain Q_k then the converse is also true. This follows from the isomorphism: $F[x]/P \cong (F[x]/Q_k)/(P/Q_k)$.

LEMMA 4. *If $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k) then $f(x) \in J_k$.*

Let $P \supset Q_k$ be a primitive ideal in $F[x]$ and let \bar{x}_i denote the coset of $F[x]/P$ represented by the element x_i . Since every $g(x) \in G$ belongs

to Q_k and $Q_k \subset P$, it follows that $g(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) = 0$. This means that $(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ is a zero of G in $F[x]/P$. Since $P \supset \mathfrak{M}_k$, one readily verifies as in the proof of Lemma 1 of [1] that $F[x]/P$ satisfies the identities of \bar{F}_k . It is known that primitive algebras which satisfy a polynomial identity are central simple algebra of finite order (Kaplansky [3]). It follows readily that a central simple algebra of finite order which satisfies the identities of \bar{F}_k is of order $\leq k^2$ over its centre. Indeed if \mathfrak{D} is such an algebra and its order over its centre is r^2 and H is a splitting field of \mathfrak{D} , then the identities of \mathfrak{D} which are satisfied by \bar{F}_k are satisfied also by $\mathfrak{D} \otimes H \cong H_r$ and H_r satisfies all identities of \bar{F}_k if and only if $r \leq k$ [1]. Consequently, we obtain that $F[x]/P$ is a central simple algebra of order $r^2 \leq k^2$ over its centre. Since $(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ is a zero of G in $F[x]/P$, it follows by Corollary 1 that $f(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) = 0$. This is equivalent to the fact that $f(x) \in P$.

Now J_k is the intersection of all primitive ideals P containing Q_k , hence, $f(x) \in J_k$. q.e.d.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Assume first that F is nondenumerable, then since $F[x]/Q_k$ is finitely generated, it follows by Lemma 1 and Lemma 3 that if $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k) then $f(x)$ is nilpotent modulo Q_k .

In the general case let H be a nondenumerable extension of \bar{F} , and consider the free algebra $H[x] \supset F[x]$.² Let $Q_H(G)$ be the ideal of $H[x]$ generated by the set G and let $\mathfrak{M}_k(H)$ be the set of identities satisfied by the matrix ring H_k . Clearly $Q_H(G) = Q(G) \otimes H$ and we shall show that $\mathfrak{M}_k(H) = \mathfrak{M}_k \otimes H$. It follows now by Lemma 2 and by proof of Theorem 1 for nondenumerable fields that $f(x)$ is nilpotent modulo the ideal $(Q_H(G), \mathfrak{M}_k(H))$. The latter is readily seen to be equal to $(Q(G), \mathfrak{M}_k) \otimes H = Q_k \otimes H$; hence since every power $f^m(x) \in F[x]$, it follows immediately that $f^m(x) \in Q_k \otimes H$ implies that $f^m(x) \in Q_k$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we still have to show that $\mathfrak{M}_k(H) = \mathfrak{M}_k \otimes H$. Let (h_i) be a base of H over \bar{F} and let $\phi(x) \in \mathfrak{M}_k(H)$. Then $\phi(x) = \sum \phi_i(x)h_i$. Note that (h_i) is also a base of H_k over \bar{F}_k , hence setting $x_i = a_i \in \bar{F}_k$ we obtain that $0 = \phi(a) = \sum \phi_i(a)h_i$ which implies that $\phi_i(a) = 0$. This proves that $\mathfrak{M}_k(H) \subset \mathfrak{M}_k \otimes H$. On the other hand since \bar{F} is infinite (being algebraically closed), it follows by [1, Lemma 6] that the identities of \bar{F}_k hold also in $H_k (= \bar{F}_k \otimes H)$. That is, $\mathfrak{M}_k \subset \mathfrak{M}_k(H)$. Hence $\mathfrak{M}_k \otimes H \subset \mathfrak{M}_k(H)$, which proves that $\mathfrak{M}_k(H) = \mathfrak{M}_k \otimes H$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let H be a nondenumerable extension of \bar{F} . If \bar{F} is nondenumerable, set $H = \bar{F}$. With the preceding notations, consider the quotient ring $H[x]/Q_H(G)$ and any primitive ideal

² We shall identify $H[x]$ with $F[x] \otimes H$.

$P/Q_H(G)$ in it. Denoting by \bar{x}_i the coset of $H[x]/P$ defined by x_i , we obtained similarly to the preceding proof that $(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ is a zero of G in $H[x]/P$. The latter is a primitive ring, hence it follows by (Z_∞) that $f(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) = 0$ and consequently, $f(x) \in P$. Let $J/Q_H(G)$ be the Jacobson radical of the ring $H[x]/Q_H(G)$, then J is the intersection of all the primitive ideals P of $H[x]$ which contain $Q_H(G)$. From this we conclude that $f(x) \in J$. It follows now, by Lemma 1, that J is a nil ideal; hence $f^m(x) \in Q_H(G)$ for some integer m . Clearly, $Q_H(G) = Q(G) \otimes H$. This together with the fact that $f^m(x) \in F[x]$ yield that $f^m(x) \in Q(G)$. q.e.d.

3. Modified conditions. If $Z_k(G)$ denotes the set of all polynomials $f(x)$ satisfying (Z_k) then Lemma 4 states that $Z_k(G) \subseteq J_k$. Generally, $Z_k(G)$ is not identical with J_k but by modifying the condition (Z_k) we can obtain a complete characterization of the polynomials of J_k .

First we introduce the notion of a regular zero. Let \mathfrak{D} be a simple algebra over F and let Z be its centre. A set (d_1, \dots, d_n) of elements of \mathfrak{D} will be said to be a *regular zero* of G in \mathfrak{D} if the set (d_i) is a zero of G in \mathfrak{D} and the algebra $Z(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ generated by (d_i) and the centre Z is \mathfrak{D} itself. We replace condition (Z_k) by the following:

(Z_k^R) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ vanishes for all regular zeros of G in $\bar{F}_r, r \leq k$.

The results of Lemma 2 and Corollary 1 of the preceding section will remain true if one replaces the ordinary zeros by regular zeros. That is:

LEMMA 5. *If $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k^R) then $f(x)$ vanishes also for all regular zeros of G in \mathfrak{D} , where \mathfrak{D} is any simple algebra over F such that the order of \mathfrak{D} over its centre is $\leq k^2$.*

The proof of this lemma is obtained by noting that the proof of Lemma 2 will hold also for regular zeros in $H_r, r \leq k$. Indeed, if we follow the proof of Lemma 2 we observe that in order to prove that lemma for regular zeros it suffices to show that if (a_i) is a regular zero of G in H_r and $f(a) \neq 0$ then one can choose the specialization, given there, so that (\bar{a}_i) is a regular zero of G in \bar{F}_r and $f(\bar{a}) \neq 0$. To this end we proceed as follows: since (a_i) is a regular zero in $H_r, H(a_1, \dots, a_n) = H$. Let c_{ik} be the matrices of H_k having the unit in the i th row and k th column, then $c_{ik} = \sum \psi_v^{ik} a_{v_1} \dots a_{v_r}$. In addition to the requirements $\bar{\lambda}_{jk}^i \neq \infty$ and $\bar{f}_{jk} \neq \infty$ of Lemma 2 we impose also the conditions $\bar{\psi}_v^{ik} \neq \infty$ for all i, k . With these conditions satisfied, clearly (\bar{a}) is a regular zero in \bar{F}_k and $f(\bar{a}) \neq 0$.

Now let (d) be a regular zero of G in \mathfrak{D} and let $Z \supset F$ be the centre of \mathfrak{D} . Let H be a splitting field of \mathfrak{D} containing \bar{F} . Since $Z(d) = \mathfrak{D}$ and

$\mathfrak{D} \otimes H \cong H_r$, it follows that $H(d) \cong H_r$, which means that (d) is also a regular zero of G in H_r . The rest of the proof follows as in the proof of Corollary 1.

The following gives the characterization of J_k :

THEOREM 3. *The polynomial $f(x) \in J_k$ if and only if $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k^R) .*

If $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k^R) then the fact that $f(x) \in J_k$ follows in the same way as the proof of Lemma 4 with the additional remark that: if P is a primitive ideal in $F[x]$ and (\bar{x}_i) is the zero defined in the proof of Lemma 4, then (\bar{x}_i) is actually a regular zero of G in the ring $F[x]/P$ since $F[\bar{x}_i] = F[x]/P$.

To prove the converse, we consider a regular zero of (a_i) of G in \bar{F}_r , $r \leq k$. Let P be the kernel of the homomorphic mapping of $F[x]$ into \bar{F}_r , determined by the correspondence: $x_i \rightarrow a_i$. Since $r \leq k$, it follows readily that $P \supset \mathfrak{M}_k$ and the fact that (a) is a zero of G implies that $P \supset Q(G)$. Consequently, $P \supset Q_k$. The ideal P is a primitive ideal in $F[x]$: for let $\mathfrak{A} = F(a_1, \dots, a_n) \subset \bar{F}_r$ be the algebra generated by the set (a_i) over F . One readily observes that since the matrices (a_i) generate \bar{F}_r , $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \bar{F} = \bar{F}(a_i) = \bar{F}_r$, where the product is taken over the centre of \mathfrak{A} . It follows, therefore, that \mathfrak{A} is a central simple algebra of finite order over its centre, and hence \mathfrak{A} is primitive. Thus $F[x]/P \cong \mathfrak{A}$ means that P is a primitive ideal. Consequently, $P \supset J_k$. If $f(x) \in J_k$, then $f(x) \in P$, i.e., $f(x)$ is mapped onto zero by the preceding homomorphism which means that $f(a) = 0$. This proves that $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k^R) .

The generality of Lemma 4 leads us to the following generalization of Theorem 1:

THEOREM 4. *The following conditions are equivalent: (1) $f(x) \in J_k$; (2) $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k^R) ; (3) $f(x)$ generates a right (and left) nil ideal mod Q_k .*

Theorem 3 gives the equivalence of (1) and (2), and clearly (3) implies (1) since nil ideals are quasi-regular. The proof will be completed by showing that (2) implies (3). The proof of this fact is similar to the proof of Theorem 1: If $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k^R) and H is any non-denumerable field-extension of \bar{F} , then, clearly, it follows by Lemma 4 that $f(x)$ vanishes also for all regular zeros of G in \bar{H}_r , with $r \leq k$. Hence, $f(x)$ belongs to the Jacobson Radical of the quotient ring $H[x]/Q_H(G)$ (with the notations of the proof of Theorem 1). It follows by Lemma 1 that this radical is nil, thus $f(x)^m \in Q_H(G)$ for some integer m . Following the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain that we also

have $f(x)^m \in Q(G)$. If $f(x)$ satisfies (Z_k^R) then obviously every polynomial of $f(x)F[x]$ satisfies the same condition. This implies that the right ideal $f(x)F[x]$ is nil modulo Q_k , which completes the proof of our theorem.

Theorem 4 states that the radical of $F[x]/Q_k$ is a nil ideal. This enables us to show:

THEOREM 5. *The Jacobson radical of a finitely generated algebra which satisfies an identity is a nil ideal.*³

Let $A = F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ be a finitely generated algebra over F satisfying a polynomial identity. Let x_1, x_2, \dots be an infinite set of noncommutative indeterminates over F and consider the free algebra $F[x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots]$. The correspondence: $x_i \rightarrow a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $x_i \rightarrow 0$ for all the others x_i determines a homomorphism of $F[\dots, x_i, \dots]$ onto A . Let Q_∞ be the kernel of this homomorphism. Put $F[x] = F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $F[x_\infty] = F[x_1, x_2, \dots]$. Let $Q = Q_\infty \cap F[x]$, then one readily observes that $F[x_\infty]/Q_\infty \cong F[x]/Q \cong A$. It follows by Lemma 1 of [1] that $Q_\infty \supset Q_0$ where Q_0 is a T -ideal in the sense of [1]. In view of Corollary 1 of [1] and of Theorem 6 of [1] it follows that the Jacobson radical of $F[x_\infty]/Q_0$ is an ideal M_k/Q_0 and the latter is nil. Clearly, $\mathfrak{M}_k = M_k \cap F[x]$.⁴ We now obtain that $(M_k, Q_\infty)/Q_\infty$ is a nil ideal, since it is isomorphic with $M_k/(M_k \cap Q_\infty)$ and the latter is a homomorphic image of M_k/Q_0 because $M_k \cap Q_\infty \supset Q_0$. Let J_0/Q_∞ be the Jacobson radical of $F[x_\infty]/Q_\infty$ then since $(M_k, Q_\infty)/Q_\infty$ is nil it follows that $J_0 \supset (M_k, Q_\infty)$ and the Jacobson radical of $F[x_\infty]/(M_k, Q_\infty)$ is also $J_0/(M_k, Q_\infty)$. Consider now the isomorphism $F[x_\infty]/Q_\infty \cong F[x]/Q$ obtained by mapping $x_i \rightarrow x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $x_i \rightarrow 0$ for all other indeterminates. This isomorphism maps $(M_k, Q_\infty)/Q_\infty$ onto $(\mathfrak{M}_k, Q)/Q$ and J_0/Q_∞ onto an ideal J/Q , and it induces, therefore, an isomorphism between $F[x_\infty]/(M_k, Q_\infty)$ and $F[x]/(\mathfrak{M}_k, Q)$. Consequently we obtain that $J/(\mathfrak{M}_k, Q)$ is the Jacobson radical of $F[x]/(\mathfrak{M}_k, Q)$. Now (\mathfrak{M}_k, Q) satisfies the properties of the ideal Q_k which was used in the proofs of the preceding results; that is, it contains \mathfrak{M}_k . Hence by combining Theorems 3 and 4 it follows that the radical $J/(\mathfrak{M}_k, Q)$ is a nil ideal. By the previous results it follows that $(\mathfrak{M}_k, Q)/Q$ is nil. Hence J/Q is a nil ideal. Obviously, this ideal is an isomorphic image of the radical of A , hence the radical of the latter is also a nil ideal.

³ It is interesting to know if Theorem 5 is true for arbitrary finitely generated rings.

⁴ Recall that M_k is the set of all polynomials $f(x) \in F[x_\infty]$ for which the relation $f(x) = 0$ holds identically in \bar{F}_k . The requirement that the identities are satisfied by \bar{F}_k and not only by F_k is to make sure that the underlined field is infinite.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. A. Amitsur, *The identities of PI-rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 4 (1953) pp. 27-34.
2. ———, *Algebras over infinite fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 7 (1956) pp. 35-48.
3. I. Kaplansky, *Rings with a polynomial identity*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 54 (1948) pp. 575-580.
4. W. Krull, *Jacobson'sches Radical und Hilbertscher Nullstellensatz*, International Congress of Mathematicians, Cambridge, 1950, Conference in Algebra, pp. 56-64.

HEBREW UNIVERSITY, JERUSALEM

A NOTE ON THE STONE-WEIERSTRASS THEOREM FOR QUATERNIONS¹

JOHN C. HOLLADAY

A result of M. H. Stone [1, p. 466], which is nicely presented by N. Dunford [2, p. 23], is as follows: Let A be a closed subalgebra of the B -algebra $C(X)$ of all continuous real-valued functions on the compact Hausdorff space X . Then $A = C(X)$ if and only if A distinguishes between every pair of distinct points of X , i.e., for every pair $x_1 \neq x_2$ of points in X , there is an f in A such that $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.

If one substitutes the word complex for the word real in the above statement, it becomes false. A well known counter example is obtained by letting X be the set of complex numbers z such that $|z| \leq 1$ and letting A be the subalgebra of functions which are analytic in the interior of X .

The purpose of this note is to show that if the word quaternion is substituted for the word real in the above statement, it remains valid. To be specific, let A be a set of continuous quaternion-valued functions which satisfy the following conditions:

1. A is complete.
2. Given a quaternion q , the function $f(x) \equiv q$ is in A .
3. If f and g are in A , then fg and $f+g$ are in A .

Received by the editors November 18, 1956.

¹ A small part of the work done under an AEC Predoctoral Fellowship at Yale University, year 1952-1953, under the kind and patient guidance of Dr. Charles E. Rickart.