

2. G. Birkhoff and S. MacLane, *A survey of modern algebra*, MacMillan, 1953.
3. P. R. Halmos, *Algebraic Logic, I—Monadic Boolean algebras*, *Compositia Math.* vol. 12 (1955) pp. 217–249.
4. J. C. C. McKinsey and Alfred Tarski, *The algebra of topology*, *Ann. of Math.* vol. 45 (1944) pp. 141–191.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

---

## ON HARMONIC MAPPINGS<sup>1</sup>

JOHANNES C. C. NITSCHÉ

1. Suppose that the functions  $x = x(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $y = y(\alpha, \beta)$  define a one-to-one harmonic mapping of the unit disc  $\Gamma$  in the  $\alpha, \beta$ -plane ( $\alpha + i\beta = \gamma$ ) onto a convex domain  $C$  in the  $x, y$ -plane ( $x + iy = z$ ). The origin is assumed to be fixed. Introducing two functions  $F(\gamma)$  and  $G(\gamma)$  which, in  $\Gamma$ , depend analytically upon the variable  $\gamma$  we may write  $z = \operatorname{Re} F(\gamma) + i \operatorname{Re} G(\gamma)$ . The purpose of the present paper is (i) to give a new proof of a lemma which, in a special form, was first used by T. Radó [13] and which was proved in general by L. Bers (see [2, Lemma 3.3]),<sup>2</sup> (ii) to derive an improved value for an important constant first introduced by E. Heinz [3]. The proofs will be very simple due to the fact that there is a close connection between univalent harmonic mappings and the minimal surface equation (see e.g. [11, footnote 2]) and also the differential equation

$$(1) \quad \phi_{xx}\phi_{yy} - \phi_{xy}^2 = 1.$$

The connection with the latter equation was exploited by K. Joergens [8] for the study of the solutions of (1). One can, however, proceed one step further by introducing a mapping which was invented by H. Lewy [10] for Monge-Ampère equations.

2. Let  $z = \operatorname{Re} F(\gamma) + i \operatorname{Re} G(\gamma)$  be a harmonic mapping with the properties mentioned above. Then the expression

---

Presented to the Society August 20, 1956 and November 17, 1956; received by the editors September 10, 1957.

<sup>1</sup> This paper was prepared under Contract N onr-710(16) between the University of Minnesota and the Office of Naval Research.

<sup>2</sup> It has been shown by H. Hopf (cf. [7, p. 133 and 5, pp. 91–92]) that the combination of Heinz's inequality with Schwarz's lemma yields a sharper result.

$$(2) \quad \phi = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left( F\bar{G} + \int_0^\gamma (FG' - F'G)d\gamma \right)$$

may be regarded as a function  $\phi(x, y)$  of  $x$  and  $y$ , defined in  $C$  (see K. Joergens [8, p. 339]). By a straightforward computation it can be verified that  $\phi(x, y)$  is a solution of the Equation (1). In fact, one obtains

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} p &= -\operatorname{Im} G(\gamma), & q &= \operatorname{Im} F(\gamma), \\ r &= |G'|^2 \cdot [\operatorname{Im} F'\bar{G}']^{-1}, & s &= -[\operatorname{Re} F'\bar{G}'] \cdot [\operatorname{Im} F'\bar{G}']^{-1}, \\ t &= |F'|^2 \cdot [\operatorname{Im} F'\bar{G}']^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $p, q, r, s, t$  are abbreviations for  $\phi_x, \phi_y, \phi_{xx}, \phi_{xy}, \phi_{yy}$ , as usual. According to a lemma of H. Lewy [9],  $\operatorname{Im}(F'\bar{G}') = x_\alpha y_\beta - x_\beta y_\alpha \neq 0$  in  $\Gamma$ . It may be assumed that  $\operatorname{Im}(F'\bar{G}') > 0$ . Then  $\phi_{xx} > 0$ . Now consider, in  $C$ , the functions

$$(4) \quad u = u(x, y) = x + p(x, y), \quad v = v(x, y) = y + q(x, y)$$

and put  $u + iv = w$ . For any two points  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in  $C$  the following inequality holds true

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_2 - x_1)[p(x_2, y_2) - p(x_1, y_1)] + (y_2 - y_1)[q(x_2, y_2) - q(x_1, y_1)] \\ = \tilde{r}(x_2 - x_1)^2 + 2\tilde{s}(x_2 - x_1)(y_2 - y_1) + \tilde{t}(y_2 - y_1)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{t}$  stand for the values of  $r, s, t$  in a point of the segment connecting  $z_1$  with  $z_2$ . Substitute (4) into (5):

$$(6) \quad (x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 \leq (x_2 - x_1)(u_2 - u_1) + (y_2 - y_1)(v_2 - v_1)$$

and hence

$$(7) \quad |z_2 - z_1| \leq |w_2 - w_1|,$$

equality holding only if  $z_1 = z_2$  (see H. Lewy [10]). Therefore the mapping (4) is one-to-one and it enlarges distances. Denote by  $\Omega$  the image domain of  $C$  under this mapping. On the other hand, going back to the definitions of  $x, y$  and  $p, q$  one finds

$$(8) \quad w = F(\gamma) + iG(\gamma) \equiv W(\gamma).$$

That is to say the domain  $\Omega$  is also the schlicht conformal image of  $\Gamma$  under the mapping function  $W(\gamma)$ .

3. It is easy to see that, starting out with a solution  $\phi(x, y)$  of (1), the inverse mapping  $w \rightarrow z$  under all circumstances is harmonic. Furthermore it turns out that the expression  $f = 2\bar{z} - \bar{w}$  which can be regarded as a function of  $u$  and  $v$  is an analytic function of  $w$ . The

inequality  $|df/dw| < 1$  which is satisfied by its derivative has interesting consequences, see [12].

4. The lemma in question states that there cannot exist a schlicht harmonic mapping of the unit disc  $\Gamma$  onto the whole  $z$ -plane. The proof is obvious since, if  $C$  would be the whole  $z$ -plane then  $\Omega$  would have to be the whole  $w$ -plane. But, at the same time,  $\Omega$  is the conformal image of  $\Gamma$ . This is not possible.

5. Suppose now that  $C$ , like  $\Gamma$ , is the unit disc  $|z| < 1$ . E. Heinz [3] has established an inequality

$$(9) \quad x_\alpha^2 + x_\beta^2 + y_\alpha^2 + y_\beta^2 \Big|_{\gamma=0} \geq \mu.$$

Here his constant  $\mu$  is independent of the individual harmonic mapping under consideration. Heinz found  $\mu \geq 2 - (8/\pi) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-2} = 0.358$ . Using the relations derived above one obtains the formula

$$(10) \quad x_\alpha^2 + x_\beta^2 + y_\alpha^2 + y_\beta^2 = \frac{r+t}{2+r+t} \cdot \left| \frac{dW}{d\gamma} \right|^2.$$

Remembering the properties of the mapping (4) we know that  $\Omega$  contains at least a circle of radius 1. Hence, by Schwarz's lemma,  $|dW(0)/d\gamma| \geq 1$ . In fact, the sign of equality cannot hold since  $\partial(u, v)/\partial(x, y) = 2+r+t \geq 4$ . Furthermore  $1/2 \leq (r+t)/(2+r+t) < 1$ . Combining these two inequalities we conclude

$$(11) \quad \mu \geq 1/2.$$

6. We wish to mention that H. Hopf<sup>3</sup> has given another simple proof of the value  $1/2$  for the constant  $\mu$ . A similar inequality to (9) holds also for more general univalent mappings, see P. Berg [1], E. Heinz [4; 5]. However, remaining with the harmonic mappings: the best value of  $\mu$  is not known.<sup>4</sup> If one takes the polynomial solution  $\phi(x, y) = cx^2/2 + y^2/2c$  then  $\Omega$  is an ellipse with the semiaxes  $1+c$  and  $1+1/c$ . A computation yields

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r+t}{2+r+t} = 1, \quad \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{dW(0)}{d\gamma} \right| = \frac{4}{\pi},$$

and hence

<sup>3</sup> In a letter of October 26, 1956.

<sup>4</sup> *Added in proof:* A refinement of the preceding method yields even  $\mu \geq 0.64$ , as will be shown elsewhere. Therefore, referring to Richert's example for an upper bound, one knows:  $0.64 \leq \mu \leq 27/2\pi^2$ .

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} [x_\alpha^2 + x_\beta^2 + y_\alpha^2 + y_\beta^2]_{\gamma=0} = 16/\pi^2.$$

By an example of H. E. Richert (cf. E. Hopf [6, p. 802]) it is, however, known that the value  $16/\pi^2$  is too large

#### LITERATURE

1. P. Berg, *On univalent mappings by solutions of linear elliptic partial differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 84 (1957) pp. 310-318.
2. L. Bers, *Isolated singularities of minimal surfaces*, Ann. of Math. vol. 53 (1951) pp. 364-386.
3. E. Heinz, *Ueber die Loesungen der Minimalflaechengleichung*, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Goettingen, Math.-Phys. Kl. (1952) pp. 51-56.
4. E. Heinz, *Ueber gewisse elliptische Systeme von Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung mit Anwendung auf die Monge-Ampère'sche Gleichung*, Math. Ann. vol. 131 (1956) pp. 411-428.
5. E. Heinz, *On certain non-linear elliptic differential equations and univalent mappings*, Technical Report No. 63, Stanford University, 1957.
6. E. Hopf, *On an inequality for minimal surfaces  $z=z(x, y)$* , Journal of Rational Mechanics and Analysis vol. 3 (1953) pp. 519-522 and 801-802.
7. K. Joergens, *Ueber die Loesungen der Differentialgleichung  $rt-s^2=1$* , Math. Ann. vol. 127 (1954) pp. 130-134.
8. ———, *Harmonische Abbildungen und die Differentialgleichung  $rt-s^2=1$* , Math. Ann. vol. 129 (1955) pp. 330-344.
9. H. Lewy, *On the nonvanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 42 (1936) pp. 689-692.
10. ———, *A priori limitations for solutions of Monge-Ampère equations II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 41 (1937) pp. 365-374.
11. J. Nitsche, *Ueber eine mit der Minimalflaechengleichung zusammenhaengende analytische Funktion und den Bernsteinschen Satz*, Archiv der Mathematik vol. 7 (1956) pp. 417-419.
12. ———, *Elementary proof of Bernstein's theorem on minimal surfaces*, Ann. of Math. vol. 66 (1957) pp. 543-544.
13. T. Radó, *Zu einem Satze von Bernstein ueber Minimalflaechen im Grossen*, Math. Ztschr. vol. 26 (1927) pp. 559-565.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA